

Beyond WW2 War Aims

**Can conflicts make people mindful about
public ethics and challenge the moral
hegemony of the victors of WW2**

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2024 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloof S., Beyond WW2 War Aims, 2024

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
Beyond WW2 War Aims

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment
www.centermpa.com

In memory of Sana'a Nehme Maalouf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Definitions

PREFACE

Beyond WW2 War Aims

1. Problem	23
2. Analysis	46

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, his supervisors told him that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national which also

included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave cost him his right to any claim! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations wants people to stop being tolerant to have any rights and wants them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes can have his or her case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

In the year 2022, the corruption ring of those UN managers who were using the UN as a cover to do their evil work in the name of truth and good and who ganged on this UN staff member because he refused to trade his self-interests for the values included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was exposed and

dismantled. Instead of UN member states requesting that those UN managers be imprisoned with the UN Judges who were protecting them, they were fine with the UN ending the contract of those UN managers while keeping all their wealth, health insurance and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member so he could be remunerated for all the pain that they put this UN staff member through because he refused to take part in their wicked plots, the UN member states believe that if they let this intergovernmental organization keep this case in the garbage basket of history, the United Nations would be preserving their power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personalities at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the

Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, and in this way avoiding that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form as the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision-making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the

rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between, nations including failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and are preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century / early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior in the aftermath of World War II in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion's share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or put into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or analyzed were solely used because I felt they contained ideas that could aid me in comprehending better if the decision of the victors of World War II (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scriptures counseled is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

Nations that won WW2: This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2 and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2nd millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The global policeman of the world role that the United States started playing in 1945 is regarded in this work as a functional role that was delegated to this country by the families/dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

Banks, large financial institutions, or Corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties that won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states in the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized

nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

United States: All references to the word “United States ” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If the American culture is endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to avoid losing the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

PREFACE

It is not possible to practice liberal democracy under any form of monarchism. The victors of WW2 (the old powers) counselled their political successors (their children) to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) in the aftermath of WW2 to prevent the voice of truth from reaching global public opinion and challenging their global dominant role. The voice of truth liberates people from false beliefs. This is because law and political order are not natural but human creations. Accordingly, the standards and norms integrated in the UN Charter and the UDHR were the means that were supposed to prevent the voice of truth from liberating people and systematically challenge their (the victors of WW2) superiority. That is to say, make people disinterested in prioritizing ethics in public life, and hence avoid making moral and humanitarian issues the core of political thought and, in light of their changes, judge the policies adopted. The chaos that has swept the world since 1945 confirms how the victors of WW2 are incapable of applying forward-looking policies to rejuvenate their powers and how they are staying bogged down by backward-looking planning. The only challenge with shrinking the number of people who follow the voice of truth is that this strategy makes people aware of the hypocrisy of the victors of WW2, including giving them insight on what they can and cannot do. In other words, it makes people reconsider political thoughts that prioritize human freedom over domination. The Israeli-Palestinian conflict is a crisis that embodies this reality. The old powers counselled their heirs to ensure that no person (society, nation, or monarch) seeks any territorial gain in the new world order, which they sketched its outline in the UN Charter unlawfully. Yet the heirs of the old powers (their children) believe that if they disallow the Iraqis from annexing Kuwait or prevent the Russians from annexing Ukraine, such a deed will eliminate the impact that such a threat can have on their power later, but letting the Israeli-Jews expel the Palestinians from their homes and annex their land is an opportunity!

This book tries to understand if the decision of the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) to prolong the Israeli-

Palestinian conflict (i.e., to let it go on so it can give newborns or new people around the world perspective on the problems of the present) is useful to them to rejuvenate their power; or if keeping this conflict unresolved (i.e., not killing all the Palestinians, once and for all, since as of 1948 they do not want them to have rights to nothing) is freeing people from continuing to want to live under their domination and the domination of all their servants (governments and international institutions) that revolve around them, starting with the United States. The objective is to understand if the victors of WW2 are better off preserving their dominant role by keeping their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) behaving arrogantly, prideful, with hardened hearts, and ignorant of the importance of observing the commands suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR (starting with the United States in its role as global policemen), or if they are better off keeping those critical thinkers and servants humble, unselfish, and benevolent as the rulers and political class in the United States (US) were from 1776 to 1917 (date when the US entered WW1) is better. This is to avoid that all those critical thinkers and servants do not gang on them (the victors of WW2) and make them taste the same humiliating punishment that kings before them tasted when they (Kings in antiquity) taught that people were not watching what they were doing. Most importantly, to avoid mankind losing the most precious culture that humanity needs to pollinate with future generations so our successors do not return to their state of nature-the American culture!

1

Problem

Most history books suggest that before World War One (WW1) and World War Two (WW2) erupted, western European monarchs and republics were dominating the world. "Competition occurs in nature between living organisms that coexist in the same environment." Therefore, it is normal that the western European monarchs and republics would be constantly fighting between themselves to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on their own. World War One and World War Two were wars that were fought between the western European monarchs and republics to determine specifically that (i.e., who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on his own). It would be naive to suppose that the western European monarchs and republics lost their superiority in the aftermath of WW2. In other words, it would be naive to suppose that the western European monarchs and republics lost their global power during WW2 and that new families/dynasties (or a new country) started to control the international order as of 1945. In real life, when two foes rival or fight, the victor does not mend with the vanquished easily. If people would accept to practice one law out of the ten Mosaic Laws, people would have started to live together in peace with one another as good neighbors and as one big happy family for a long time already.

Therefore, since it would be naive to think that new families/dynasties (or a new country) started to control the international order as of 1945 and the individuals involved accepted to let the western European monarchs and republics continue to sit at the round table (i.e., develop militarily and scientifically), there must be a way to explain why the western European monarchs and republics decided to dismantle their

empires (withdraw from the direct day-to-day control of the international order) in order to let the United States and other UN member states play this global policeman role they were playing before WW2 erupted.

In business, private and public companies have different ownership structures, regulations, and financial reporting requirements, but they also have lots of legal and regulatory requirements in common. The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) is “the highest-ranking executive in a company.” The primary responsibilities of a CEO include “making major corporate decisions, managing the overall operations and resources of a company, and acting as the main point of communication between the board of directors and corporate operations.”

A board of directors is “the governing body of a company.” The job of the board of directors is “to set strategy, oversee management, and protect the interests of shareholders and stakeholders.” The board makes decisions as “a fiduciary on behalf of the company and its shareholders.” The board of directors has “the authority to evaluate the performance of a CEO and remove them, if deemed necessary.” The chairman of the board is the individual who leads and manages the board of directors.

Generally speaking, when shareholders fail to buy out the stocks of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to remove him from his position or when the CEO ages and becomes incapable of running the company's operational structure, he is usually promoted to the board of directors or appointed to fill the position of Chairman of the Board.

All things considered, what if the victors of WW2 (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) gave themselves a promotion at the end of WW2 in recognition of having won WW2 in the same way CEOs of private and public companies do and promote themselves or get promoted to fill board of directors functions? That is to say, the western European monarchs and republics began to play, in the aftermath of WW2, on the international order, the same fiduciary role that usually is reserved to board of directors members to play in companies. In addition, the western European monarchs and republics

appointed the United States to temporarily fill the duties (the operational power) that they regularly fulfill over the international order (i.e., the global policemen role) until the capacity of the United Nations was built up so this institution could take over. In other words, the western European monarchs and republics planned to transfer this CEO role (i.e., command and control role of the international order) that the United States has been playing since 1945 around the world to the United Nations once the global operational power of this intergovernmental organization over existing national governments permits it to act as global policemen. The western European monarchs and republics planned to strip governments of the power they exercise in UN member states and delegate their authority to the United Nations as well.

Readers should keep in mind that it would be naive to suppose that the western European monarchs and republics wanted to have the United States play a permanent role in the command and control of the international order with existing national governments in the aftermath of WW2. This is because if this were the case, the victors of WW2 would not be able to convince people that the cooperation that the United States is fostering is programmed to secure UN goals and not to expand its hegemony. In fact, the growing international isolation that the United States has been facing in recent years is a result of this ill perception.

If the aforementioned conjecture on how the western European monarchs and republics programmed to organize the international order in the future contains any elements of truth, we can say that the constant wars that have swept the world as of 1945 were principally due to the poor strategies that the victors of WW2 (the chairman of the board) and their acting CEO (the United States) have made. The strategies that those two executives (the western European monarchs and republics and the United States) have set or key decisions they took did not provide the minimum insight, advice, and leadership necessary to make progress on the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Most importantly, since we cannot blame the United States for all the poor political and economic policies that this country has taken since 1945 until now, because this country had no experience whatsoever before 1945 in the day-to-day management of

the international order, we can say that the principal culprit for the failure of UN member states to stay focused and make progress on the goals suggested in the preamble of the UN Charter is the western European monarchs and republics. This is because the fiduciary responsibilities of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics after they dismantled their empire and promoted the United States temporarily to the highest position of power involved in the command and control of the international order (i.e., the global policemen role) include monitoring and evaluating risks to ensure that governments stay focused on securing their goals.

For example, the present Israeli-Palestinian conflict is over who gets what land and how it is controlled subsequent to a colonial act carried out more than a century ago (i.e., when the British Empire committed to displacing the Palestinian Arabs from their land in Palestine, which was a country where Palestinian Arab natives made up more than 90 percent of the population in order to have the Jewish people form a Jewish home over this territory). However, in my opinion, this Israeli-Palestinian conflict, which the old powers triggered to serve their domineering goals more than 100 years ago, appears to be acting as a local conflict embedded within a large-scale perpetual crisis whose seeds were planted many centuries ago in our history. The large-scale conflict is a broad philosophical contest in which the Israeli Jews, the Palestinian Arabs, the European Christians, the Indians, the Asians, the Africans, etc. are all seeking the same meta while embracing distinct philosophical principles (i.e., beliefs, ideas, views, etc.) to obtain the same thing. The local conflict refers to the constant undermining by all the individuals involved of the distinct beliefs, ideas, views, etc., included in each respective religious or philosophical dogma in order to cash in on the aggression generated in advantages that can benefit one group over the other. In other words, the distinct beliefs, ideas, views, etc. that people uphold are the fuel that each group uses to keep the large-scale conflict perpetual.

For example, the claim that the Israeli Jews make about how they were displaced from the land that encompasses modern-day Israel more than two millennia ago and that starting to exercise control of this land does not only return this territory back to its rightful owners but also comply with God's plan, represents an example of the complex

problems that are confronting mankind. Likewise, the claim that the Russians made about how the Ukrainians close relationships with the west were threatening their security, including going to war with the Ukrainians to prevent them from doing so, is another example of the constant complex problems that mankind must contend with against their will.

In the Quran, it is suggested that had it not been for people repelling the aggression of some by means of (the might of) others, corruption, disregard, wars, etc., would have dominated the earth.” [Surah Al-Hajj 22:40; Surah Al-Baqarah 2:251] This aforementioned verse suggests that if, for example, the Israeli Jews are depending on beliefs, ideas, and views as of 1948 that are contrary to the common standards that are included in the Torah, the UN Charter, and the UDHR, it would not be possible for them (the Israelis) to avoid the aggression of the Palestinians (or others) from displacing them from their power, wealth, and status. Similarly, since the constant wars that have swept the world as of 1945 are due to the victors of WW2 refusing to fulfill their godly role and judge rightly between people, it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to avoid the aggression of people around the world from displacing them from their power, wealth, and status in the course of time and things. That is to say, the longer the victors of WW2 let people depend on beliefs, ideas, and views that are programmed to repel each other (i.e., determine who could dominate the other, or preach one thing and do another) instead of on ideas that would make people voluntarily ready to observe the UN Charter and the UDHR, the harder things would be getting for the victors of WW2 to make people around the world surrender voluntarily freedom to them. The more people become involved in local conflicts, the more people will be contending to “commit shameful deeds that no man has ever done before” [Surah Al-A’raf 7:80]. The more shameful deeds people commit, the more the individuals involved will be trying to outstrip or outrun each other to determine who is capable of provoking the most outrageous or evil acts to get their way despite the resistance of others.

A contest is an event in which people compete for supremacy in a sport, activity, or particular quality. This means that the individuals who participate in a contest must displace or move something from its

actual place or position, just as happens when people break a record. Accordingly, we can say that when people allow their ideas, beliefs, views, etc., to displace the ideas, beliefs, views, etc., that others are depending on to rejuvenate their power, wealth, and status (i.e., determine who could make the weakest consider his opinion before taking action on his own), what the individuals involved would be doing would be deeds that would not be any different from the acts that the individuals who consider the power of record-breaking mesmerizing and enchanting are constantly doing. Those individuals in question are constantly updating the list of title holders of record-breaking achievements. The individuals who consider the power of record-breaking mesmerizing and enchanting are also constantly coming up with ideas, beliefs, views, objects, etc., so people could break their records or compete with them to strip the actual title holder of their record-breaking achievements in order to place theirs.

Indeed, the conflicts, wars, etc. that people are constantly effecting in social space to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on their own is not any different from the space that contestants use to carry out competitions in the sport, activity, or a particular quality that suits them to break its world records.

Accordingly, we can also say that since the only permanent thing in our world appears to be change, the more people explore (create change) and compete to determine who has what it takes to break a record or to suggest a new record idea in one's own area of expertise instead of breaking records in securing that the UN Charter and the UDHR are observed, the more people would be coming up with lots of different record ideas to break, thus reflecting the world's diversity. Most importantly, the more people would be coming up with lots of different record ideas to break, the more this phenomenon would be shuffling people's preoccupations in the wrong direction. In other words, instead of people becoming devoted to breaking records related to the ideas included in the UN Charter and the UDHR, most people would instead be working to break the world record on the ideas that reflect the world's diversity.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 concluded that if they let people in the aftermath of WW2 be devoted to breaking records on ideas that reflect the world's diversity instead of orienting them to breaking, first and foremost, records on the ideas included in the UN Charter and the UDHR, such a strategy could be helping them to rejuvenate their power better. What I do know is that among the ideas (sports, activities, or qualities) that people are constantly looking to break record on (i.e., displace the title holder from his actual place or position to have another person occupy it), figure out the records that individuals such as Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Mohammad, etc., hold in promoting social justice, freedom, equal rights, democracy, etc.

Similarly, I have no way of knowing how far the individuals who are competing to break the record that individuals such as Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Mohammad, etc. hold in promoting ideas in social justice, freedom, equal rights, democracy, etc. have gotten so far, or their actual number. What I do know is that the more people who become fixated on breaking the record that Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Mohammad, etc. hold on to the ideas in question, the more God should be getting happy with them, and the more the victors of WW2 should be alienated. This is because if people continue to be determined and committed to breaking the world record that Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Mohammad, etc. hold in the fields of social justice, freedom, equal rights, democracy, etc., in order to become themselves the record-holders in such ideas or areas, the more the power, wealth, and status of the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants should be shrinking reciprocally. And so, the question that begs answers is: can the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants avoid that people be constantly devoted to shrinking their power, wealth, and status or developing extraordinary skills to break the world record that Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Mohammad, etc., hold in the field of social justice, freedom, equal rights, democracy, etc., when all they have been doing since 1945 is to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program included in the UN Charter and the UDHR and devoted to developing extraordinary skills to break people's will to observe the standards included in those principles?

Actually, the decision of the victors of WW2 to reshuffle people's priorities in order to make the ideas that reflect the world's diversity their precedence in the aftermath of WW2 instead of the ideas included in the UN Charter and the UDHR begs many additional questions. World history shows that the teachings of Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, and Mohammad, and not that long ago, the teachings included in the US Constitution, managed to make people ready to stay together in groups, but not corruption, disregard, war, violence, etc. Can the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants stop people from being constantly determined and committed to breaking records in the areas that Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Mohammad, etc. are holding world records in when they (the victors of WW2) decided to depend on the solutions that their fathers (the old powers) adopted to preserve their power, wealth, and status instead of the solutions that their fathers counselled them to adopt in the aftermath of WW2 to keep their dominant role? Put differently, when the victors of WW2 decided to lead people to the promised land (i.e., secure the goals suggested in the preamble of the UN Charter) on a road that their critical thinkers and servants are asphaltting with political and economic thorns instead of with the principles included in the UN Charter and the UDHR! Furthermore, how would the world change when more people became determined and committed to breaking records in what Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Mohammad, etc. were saying or teaching than in the UN Charter or the UDHR? Can the victors of WW2 contain people's determination and commitment to break the world record that Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Mohammad, etc., hold in the field of social justice, freedom, equal rights, democracy, etc., when we already know that their teaching is not any different from the teaching included in the UN Charter and the UDHR except that in the teaching of Moses and others there is no standard that can be drawn on which to base a record, but in the teaching included in the UN Charter and the UDHR there are?

In addition if we consider that on this road that the victors of WW2 are taking people on as of 1945, we have people who are devoted to setting new records on ideas that does not contribute to securing the UN Charter or the UDHR, others who are busy coming up with new record idea that cannot help them (the victors of WW2) to make people become capable to observe the UN Charter or the UDHR, and

most importantly, individuals who promulgate being holders of ideas that is impossible to break or to challenge its records because it is not possible to verify or measure, such as the claim that the Jews make about God having favored them over others, or the choice that conservative christians make about retaining the orthodox traditions and beliefs of Christianity, etc., the victors of WW2 may not be able to come up with common standards that all people could follow to finish this journey, even if the digital age would be lending them a big hand. This is because when specific individuals within any group spread or promote ideas that are not verifiable, measurable, or breakable, it is not possible to come up with a set of specific guidelines (rules) that people in the group could follow to attempt to break a record or go somewhere. And hence, there is no distinction that a newborn can be anointed with besides being told or conditioned into believing that he belongs to a group of people that God favored over others, or that he (she) is the son (daughter) of God, a descendant of the prophet of God, etc. the victors of WW2 could be permitting people to standardize ideas, activities, or particular qualities that do not help them to rejuvenate their power but to retard it. This is because people explore to have God look favorably on them or to become immortal, thus not necessitating God's predisposition anymore. When there are people in the group (such as the critical thinkers and servants of the victors of WW2) who are refusing to build relations based on the verifiable guidelines that the victors of WW2 determined and are telling everyone through them (the standards) about how relations need to be done or organized and instead use guidelines that it is not possible to verify or to break, this means that the people who are refusing to observe the guidelines that the victors of WW2 have legitimized are simultaneously using or associating other guidelines or doctrines in their relation with them. Most religions forbid to associate guidelines besides the ones they promulgate. In fact, in the ten commandments, which are regarded as being among the first instructions that God communicated with people, it is suggested that God forbid people from associating other gods (ideas) with him.

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the victors of WW2 to let their critical thinkers and servants continue to enjoin people to set or break records on ideas that reflect the world's diversity as our predecessors were doing till 1945 is better to help them to rejuvenate

their power in the aftermath of WW2 as compared to them enjoining people to forbid evil practices and disregard as the founding fathers of the UN (their fathers) suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. What I do know is that the victors of WW2 are enjoining people as of 1945 in the same way the Israelites or Jews enjoined each other throughout history. This method involves the people who subscribe to the Jewish faith ensuring that their children are devout followers of the Jewish faith and not devout practitioners of the principles included in the Jewish faith. The decision of the people who subscribe to the Jewish faith to be with the rest of the people who adopt other religions or faiths to be enjoined in religiosity only and not in the principles that each faith forbid has failed to reduce violence, conflicts, and hatred among themselves in each group or religion and between each one as well.

In fact, since antiquity, people refuse to accept the suggestion made in the Bible about this territory located in the Southern Levant region of West Asia (called the promised land in the Bible; from 450 BC to 1948 called Palestine as appeared in the work of Herodotus, a Greek pre-Socratic historian, and from 1948 as Israel), as being a land where “God Himself is dwelling (i.e., God chose to be his earthly city and uses to establish His name among men)” and have been rivaling to determine who could establish control over it.

The Israelites, or Jews, whom God chose from among all the nations to be His own people [Deuteronomy 7:6] and who the Bible claims God freed from living under the domination of the Egyptians and brought to live in this city, refused to follow the commands, rules, laws, and judgments that God and Moses were teaching them. According to the Bible, their moral failures, ethical dilemmas, and ignoble deeds infuriated God to the point of Him allowing that their enemies conquer and evict them from this land, which he swore to give to their ancestors or their descendants after them. It took the people who claimed to be descendants of the families/dynasties that were expelled from this land many millennia to repossess this land back (i.e., until 1948).

In fact, from the date the Israelites were evicted from this land that the Bible suggests is where God is dwelling (i.e., called Palestine for over

two millennia; Israel as of 1948) until 1945-1948, all the conquerors who dwelled in this city and tried to turn away from practicing the laws (i.e., the ten commandments) that God instructed that people who live and do their affairs on it follow were evicted from it. In other words, all the conquerors (rulers and kings) of this land were, in the course of time and things, defeated and resized.

For example, before Jesus Christ was born, the Greeks tried to apply their own commands, rules, laws, and judgments over this land that they referred to as Palestine but failed. In the course of time and things, the Greeks were resized, and the Romans began to exercise command and control over this land. From the birth of Jesus until the middle of the first millennium, the Romans tried to apply their own commands, rules, laws, and judgments to this land and faced the same sad end that the Greeks faced. The same sad end happened to the Muslim rulers (i.e., the Umayyad Caliphate, the Abbasid Caliphate, and the Ottoman Empire) from the middle of the first millennium to the end of the 19th century.

At the start of the 20th century, the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) began to exercise command and control over this land. However, even though the old powers were aware of the fall of all the empires that did not want to recognize what Moses told the Israelites about God being a force that dwells in this land and burns with jealousy and fire whenever people ignore this command [Deuteronomy 4:24], the victors of WW2 (the old powers) decided to test for themselves whether God really commands and controls it or not). Since the start of the 20th century, western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) have been committing the same moral failures, ethical dilemmas, and ignoble deeds that the Israelites, Greeks, Romans, and Muslims committed before.

To put it differently, instead of the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) continuing to be the great shepherd that they tried to project of being in 1945 and letting all the Jews who they agreed to help them to build a Jewish home in Palestine integrate into the full life of the Palestinian political order of this state, so both form a single democratic state with equal rights, or pay the Palestinians money for carving out a piece of land so the Jews could build an

independent home in this land that God had promised to keep them living in it if they follow his laws and judgment, the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) decided to uproot the Palestinians from their land and to give it to the Jews.

Throughout history, the fittest (the western European monarchs and republics in our case herein) merged, reformed, annexed, and assimilated societies, territories, or countries, and therefore, there is no universal law that says that a country that exists today must live forever. In fact, the Palestinians (i.e., the descendants of the people who were evicted from their land by the western European monarchs and republics (through the Jews) are lucky that the families/dynasties that controlled those western European monarchs and republics did not kill every person that lived in this territory in 1948 as most conquerors throughout history did but looked at them with pity. That is also to say, the descendants of those people that lived in this land that until 1948 was known as Palestine (as of 1948 Israel) should be grateful that the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) spared their fathers and forefathers so they can be among the living today and not be as of 1948 bound by their personal power and conscience and keeping the whole world dynamic as they have been doing since 1948.

In fact, to show how the naivety (immaturity and ignorance) of the Palestinian leaders has kept the world insecure since 1948, I suggest comparing how the Jews behaved when they were trodded upon by the Greeks, the Romans, the Muslims, and in particular the western European monarchs and republics till the date when they finally reached to build a Jewish home to the way the Palestinians are going about to reclaim their rights to repossess their land since 1948.

When the Greeks, the Romans, the Muslims, and in particular the western European monarchs and republics, conquered the Israelites, they did not form militias and began to terrorize the world, as the Palestinian leaders have been doing since 1948. The Jews tolerated all the neglect, mishap, abuse, and oppression that they endured at the hands of the Greeks, the Romans, the Muslims, and in particular the western European monarchs and republics, for more than millennium. During this entire time, they did not let any dominator subvert them,

crush them, or destroy them completely, as the Palestinian leaders are doing as of October 7, 2023, in particular. This enabled the Jews to grow in wisdom and financial power instead of in wickedness and in territorial or military power, as the western European monarchs and republics (and the rest of the people around the world) were doing until 1948, minus the people who were living in the United States from 1776 to 1917 (this is the year when the United States voted in favor of entering WW1 and entered this global war).

From 1917 to 1948, the Jews managed to persuade the British Empire and the rest of the western European monarchs and republics, along with their principal allies, such as the United States, Russia, China, and others, to support them in establishing a "national home for the Jewish people" in Palestine, then an Ottoman region with a small minority Jewish population. [Refer to the Balfour Declaration for more details.] On May 14, 1948, the people who subscribed to the Jewish faith managed to finally transform the dream of their fathers into a reality. The victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) with their principal allies such as the United States, Russia, China, and many others created for the Jewish people a national home, which was called "Israel."

Indeed, the decision of the families/dynasties that control the British Empire and the rest of the western European monarchs and republics to act toward the Palestinians since 1948, contrary to the way God acted when he personally administered the exodus of the Israelites from Egypt to the promised land that he had promised to their ancestors, has been creating a problem for those European powers to this day. According to the Bible, God was working directly in the lives of the Israelites to protect, provide for, and guide them, including always going ahead of them and even doing their fights. [Deuteronomy 1:29] God was also destroying their enemies and driving out nations that were much larger and stronger than the Israelites throughout this entire resettlement exercise [Deuteronomy 7:1-2]. The decision of the families/dynasties that control the British Empire and the rest of the western European monarchs and republics to look on the Palestinians with pity and be against doing what God was doing in antiquity for the Israelites and killing all of the Palestinian Arabs in 1945 (or afterwards) since they did not want them

to keep their land or to have any authority or human rights makes some people question if this conclusion was wise given how the Israeli-Palestinian relationship has been progressing since 1948 already.

I have no way of knowing how the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) concluded that it would be possible for them to subvert the Palestinians, including preventing all the moral failures, ethical dilemmas, and ignoble deeds that they are committing as of 1945 in this land that the Bible claims is where God dwells, but without making people turn away from them and distrust them, just as happened to the previous conquerors before them, thus making it also easy for their enemies to crush them. What I do know is that in the Bible, in the book of Deuteronomy, it is suggested that God was communicating to Moses (the Israelites) which land to conquer and which land to not go near, including the people to kill or destroy and which people to spare. The Bible also suggests that it was God who was “putting the terror and fear of the Israelites on all the nations under heaven, including making all the nations hear reports of the Israelites and to tremble and be in anguish because of them. [Deuteronomy 2:25] Most importantly, the Bible depicts God as both a merciful and a mean force who destroyed the people who disobeyed his commands and judgments. For example, the Bible suggests that God reached to wherever the Israelites were in the farthest corners of earth to protect them [Isaiah 41:8-9], not necessarily because he has chosen them as his servants, but because he picked them so people around the world could pay close attention to the laws and judgments that He would be passing on them so that if they decide to act like the Israelites and refuse to follow what he has commanded, they will know what awaits them. And so the question that begs answer is: How did the Israeli Jews and their masters (the western European monarchs and republics) conclude that God wanted that the Palestinians who have been living in the promised land since antiquity be harassed or evicted from this land, which the Bible states is where he dwells, and did not want them to stay in the same way the Bible suggests that God instructed the Israelites to do with the people who were living in Seir, the Moabites, the Ammonites?” [Deuteronomy 2:2; 2:9; 2:18] According to the Bible, God prohibited the Israelites from conquering or possessing their land.

I have no way of knowing how the Israeli Jews and their masters (the western European monarchs and republics) concluded that if they encroach and drive Palestinians out of their land in order to have Jews or other people live in their place, such provocation will not anger God or ultimately end in their favor. What I do know is that this privilege that the Bible suggests God bestowed on the Jews by singling them from among all the nations to be His own people has not helped them (the Jews) and their masters (the western European monarchs and republics) to defeat the Palestinians or to make them back away from their demands about their right to return to reoccupy their land. And so once again, many questions beg answers. In the Bible, it is suggested that the people who disobey God “will repay to their face by destruction.” [Deuteronomy 7:10]. How do we know that all the confusion that has swept the world as of 1945 is not the result of God setting the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) little by little for destruction because they refused to play their godly role and observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR?

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the Israeli Jews and their masters (the western European monarchs and republics) failed to defeat and evict the Palestinians from their land because the Lord’s hand is against them or because the Palestinians are strong and numerous. What I do know is that the refusal of the western European monarchs and republics to kill all the Palestinians (i.e., look on them with pity) or to compensate them by giving them money or another piece of land to live on appears to have created for the Palestinians the same problem that they resolved for the Jews in 1948. That is to say, as of 1948, it is now the Palestinians who are repelling the aggression of the Israeli Jews by their own means. And so the question that beg answer is can the western European monarchs and republics and their servants (the Jews around the world, and governments of UN member states starting with the United States, international organizations, etc.) defeat and destroy the Palestinians before the aggression or confusion that they are committing in the international order repels them and their servants from powers, particularly since they (the victors of WW2) decided to not rely on the mechanisms that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) suggested in the UN Charter and the

UDHR to keep their dominant role and end ensure lasting peace, and preferred to continue to rely on the same methods that their fathers (the old powers) employed until 1945 (i.e., aggression, corruption, disregard, wars, etc.)?

I have no way of knowing if the confusion that the victors of WW2 are creating in the world is because they are upholding pity in their hearts towards the Palestinians instead of killing them, as God was doing in antiquity to prevent the aggression of the enemies of the Jews from repelling them from their wealth, status, and power. What I do know is that if the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) do not humble themselves or make the Palestinians give up their claim to repossess their land just as the American natives gave up their rights to repossess the land that the European colonists evicted them from in the 18th century and moved on, there is a good chance that the international order will continue to behave (change) in the same dynamical or chaotic way that appears to be happening since 1945. This transformation appears to behave in the same way water flow pushes a pipe to behave like a snake or a ship that has lost control, and the wind and waves are tossing it in all directions to sink.

To put it differently, if the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) continue to let the Israeli Jews regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, thus letting people see with their own eyes their (the victors of WW2) arrogance and hypocrisy (i.e., preaching about inclusiveness or social cohesion and practicing the contrary), people disenchantment may persuade them to enjoin (coalesce) into a human army and to destroy them in the same way how the Bible narrate God was passing judgments on the Jews or their enemies in antiquity. The Bible suggests that God was going ahead of the Jews in antiquity like a devouring fire, destroying their enemies, and subduing them so they could drive and annihilate them quickly. [Deuteronomy 9:3]. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, the Bible suggests that God was not helping the Jews (Israelites) for their righteousness, but “on account of the wickedness of these nations,” the Lord was driving them out before them so they could reach their destination. [Deuteronomy 9:4] If the chaos and

dynamism that have swept the world as of 1945 are because God is going ahead of the Palestinians and the rest of the people of the world, not because of their righteousness or their integrity but on account of the wickedness of the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) and their servants (the Israeli government in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian crisis, governments of UN member states starting with the United States, international organizations, etc.), then mankind could be doomed. This is because the devouring fire that God would be relying on to repel the aggression of the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) and their servants (the Israeli government in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian crisis, governments of UN member states starting with the United States, international organizations, etc.) would be through strengthening aggression, corruption, wars, disregard, etc. between people. When aggression, corruption, wars, disregard, etc. rise, mankind will be turning away from the most precious concept that mankind came up with after he invented the idea of God. This concept is the idea of freedom, which the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) blended into the US Constitution and depended on to rejuvenate their power with until 1945, but since 1945 has unfortunately begun to dismantle this idea and its only custodian (i.e., the United States) in order to let the digital age and the United Nations dominate, subjugate, and exploit mankind.

Then again, people use history as a tool to give them perspectives on the problems of the present. If history is the narrative that best explains the present, then it would be naive to suppose that the future can resolve the problems of the present if people do not resolve the causes to avoid the effects.

To put it differently, even if the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) have the Israeli Jews kill all the Palestinians, or vice versa, if the victor in this tag of war refuses to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to have people fear them. Likewise, if the victors of WW2 do not stop to pervert justice and show partiality, it would not be possible to have people accept wanting to stay with them voluntarily, except the ones who are like them, prioritizing corruption and disregard. And so if the

only solution that the victors of WW2 have to keep their dominant role boils down to two. The first is to put pressure on the Israeli Jews to accept letting the Palestinians integrate into the full life of the political order of this state (i.e., form a single democratic state with equal rights). The second is to compensate the Palestinians with money if they (the victors of WW2) do not want to put pressure on the state of Israel and its neighbors (Jordan, Egypt, and Syria) so each country can give the Palestinians an equal share of social space to let them form an independent state as per their wish and what the international rule-based postwar world order dictates (i.e., territorial adjustments). Can the victors of WW2 make people around the world want to stay with them and let them have more powers than others when they permitted that more than 75 years of events passed in which they were showing partiality to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and refusing to do what is right for their own good?

In the Bible it is suggested that Moses asked the Jews (Israelites) to circumcise their hearts to stop them from being stiff or doing wrong. [Deuteronomy 10:16] Moses also asked the Israelites to remember that their children were not the same people whom Pharaoh overwhelmed and the ones who saw the lasting ruin God brought on them. [Deuteronomy 11:3-5]

For example, the US government abolished slavery in the US in 1865, but it was not until the campaign for racial justice during the civil rights movements in the 1960s that racial segregation and discrimination against blacks began to change. The children of the baby boomer generation (1946-1964) in the United States and beyond do not have any idea about how blacks were dominated, exploited, and subjugated in the United States, in the Southern United States in particular. As of the 1960s, the refusal of the families/dynasties that control the United States to prioritize the UN Charter and the UDHR sparked a power-sharing race between all the racial classes in this country, whereby its transformations do not show so far that the traditional families/dynasties that control the United States are able to put up with to keep their dominant role. For instance, if the blacks in America continue to demonstrate the same wisdom that they have been displaying since the whites freed them in the 19th century but without practicing what they were preaching to them, it would be difficult to

avoid the constant webs that the blacks are forming in the US government due mainly to the actual rulers and political class refusing to lead in accordance with the US constitution or the UN Charter displacing the whites from power and the United States becoming ruled by blacks, thus repeating the same circular conundrum.

In the first epistle to the Corinthians, the apostle Paul reminded the Corinthians about the importance of not becoming attached to or engrossed “in the things of the world. For this world in its present form is passing away.” [1 Corinthians 7:31]

I have no way of knowing what the apostle Paul was trying to communicate to the Corinthians when he reminded them that the present world in its present form is passing away. What I do know is that the victors of WW2 do not appear to be dealing as sparingly as possible with the things the world is thrusting on them since 1945. To the contrary, the victors of WW2 have been prostituting the idea of freedom (or the United States) since 1945 in the same way the Israelites forsake and prostituted the commandments and judgments of Moses (and God).

The Bible suggests that when the Israelites refused to stay faithful to the commandment of Moses and God, “terror reigned in their homes, and they became envious by those who are not a people, and angry by nation that has no understanding.” [Deuteronomy 32:21, 25]

Then again, if the story that is narrated in the Bible about the exodus of the Israelites from Egypt happens to have transpired in antiquity, one could accept that the Israelites were “a nation without sense and that there was no discernment in them” [Deuteronomy 32:28] because since 1945, no UN member state starting with the United States (the only custodian of the idea of freedom), has been observing the UN Charter and the UDHR, and therefore, the foolish and unwise way that the Israelites behaved in antiquity cannot surprise anyone.

What is strange, however, is why the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) who started to dominate the world since the start of the second millennium are letting their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) do

as they see fit as of 1945, when they know very well that if people distrust them, they will turn their faces away from them and will not be ready to lay their own lives for them or to protect them?

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) are concluding that if they let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, such a tactic could rejuvenate their power instead of disenchanting people and making them withdraw their obligation to obey or stay with them. What I do know is that in the Bible it is suggested that before Moses passed away, he gathered the Israelites and highlighted to them how their relations can be a transformation to live a long life and to increase in prosperity versus being short and dealing with destruction.

The victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) have not done much to stop their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) from regarding themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, as they pledged to do when WW2 was regarded as having ended in 1945. In this context, there is no need to search through all the explorations or transformations that people have carried since 1945 for what might be creating all the confusion and rebuke in everything that the victors of WW2 or their critical thinkers and servants put their hands to secure the UN goals; it suffice to take one crisis and determine if the way they are settling could cause their defeat or prosperity.

The victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) have refused since 1948 to act according to the decisions or resolutions adopted at the UN Security Council on the Israeli-Conflict so as to end this conflict. In other words, the victors of WW2 do not think that it is important to do everything the UN Security Council is instructing them to do, and they think that if they turn aside and show contempt, this arrogant and irresponsible conduct can help them to keep their fortune and make people have compassion on them, as people in antiquity had compassion on King Nebuchadnezzar when he refused to consider Daniel's advice and renounce his sins and wickedness, so he starts to do what is right or be kind to the oppressed. [Daniel 4:27]

According to the Bible, the Babylonians did not withdraw to obey King Nebuchadnezzar when he was driven away from people until he acknowledged that the Most High is sovereign over all kingdoms on earth and is the one who gives (distributes) force to whomever it wishes and on its own terms and conditions. [Daniel 4:25] Hence, the victors of WW2 believe that they stand to gain from keeping the Israeli–Palestinian conflict unresolved (i.e., they refuse to kill or let the Israeli Jews kill all the Palestinians so this conflict ends, nor are they willing to put pressure on the Israeli Jews and their neighboring Arab nations to make some territorial adjustment to create social space so the Palestinians can form an independent state), then one of two scenarios could be thrusting the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 (the victors of WW2) to be acting in this arrogant and irresponsible way on this conflict. The first is if the victors of WW2 are using this conflict to keep the cultural divide between Jews and Muslims on the burner. In other words, the victors of WW2 do not believe that they have to do as King Nebuchadnezzar did in antiquity when he repented and started to do what is right. That is to say, the victors of WW2 believe that they can make people stay with them, including keeping on having more powers irrespective. The second is if the victors of WW2 are wagering on the power of time, driving the Palestinians to abort their aspiration to repossess the property they themselves or their forebears left behind or were forced to leave in what is now Israel in the same way the American colonizers managed to make the native Americans abort their right to the land that their fathers or their forebears left behind. In other words, the victors of WW2 believe that they are invincible and that their prosperity will not be affected if they continue to be against doing what is right or being kind to the oppressed.

I have no way of knowing which scenarios between the aforementioned two could be propelling the victors of WW2 to be against resolving the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, so the world could finally move on from this crisis, especially after technology already supported that mankind does not need to rely on distinctions such as race, religion, etc., to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action of his own. However, if the victors of WW2 want to continue to be doing as their fathers were before WW2 erupted, having one foot on the side of domination,

exploitation, and subjugation, as the constant financialization of the world, wars, and social injustice that swept the world in recent years support they are doing, and the other foot on the side of the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), this then means that the victors of WW2 believe that upholding such double standards preserves and rejuvenates their prosperity and their power, instead of weakening and shrinking it till it tends to zero.

Until now, no kingdom has managed to maintain its splendor when its rulers or kings refused to do what was right and just (i.e., circumcise their hearts). As of 1945, the victors of WW2 have been refusing to humble themselves and to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR. They are preferring to walk in pride, just as all the kings of the world who refused to observe the political or religious work program they depended on to let people accept to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others did, but later either paid dearly for their disregard or their successors paid this price. Can the victors of WW2 prevent that new families/dynasties strip them, in the course of time and things, of their mighty power and glory and revert them with their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to a simpler form if they continue to pay no attention to the laws (standards and norms) that they are legitimizing to keep their dominant role, or change their behavior as King Nebuchadnezzar did so they keep their dominant role?

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 could avoid having other families/dynasties displace them from their glory and resize them, and new families/dynasties start to play their role if they continue to refuse to be more responsible in their God-given role, including stopping granting rights to their critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR. The constant wars that have engulfed the world since 1945 can support the idea that humanity has taken a negative trajectory in the last couple of decades and that peace and security are, little by little, getting worse. In this book, I plan to investigate if the suggestion made in the Bible about how “corruption, bribes, deceit blinds the eyes of the wise and twists the words of the innocent,” to determine if the decision of the

families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics, and the rest of the UN member states) to let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the (UDHR) can help them to preserve their dominant role, or if they play their godly-given role and have them follow justice and justice alone can make them preserve their power and continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others. But before I do this, I will attempt to conceptualize how the state of the political order could look like in the future. Next, based on the construct that I would obtain, I will attempt to investigate if the victors of WW2 are better off continuing to deviate away from the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR or if they would be better off, for example, ending the Israeli-Palestinian conflict to preserve their power, wealth, and status.

2

Problem

After birth and, specifically, right when a newborn (new human) recognizes that he/she is programmed with human reason (i.e., a human soul) that distinguishes him/her from the rest of the living creatures (i.e., animals), and till the day when this new human being passes away, there is nothing that the newborn (new human) adds or removes from what exists external or internal to his own body mass except monitor how everything is constantly changing, including accelerating this change. All that newborns (new people) do is explore (i.e., change) the things that the human body comes in contact with or the things that human reason instructs the human body to act upon after this former aptitude processes a signal that was transmitted via the senses. People who believe that a force created the universe maintain that the things that the human body explores are motivated by a force that some people call God. However, human reason does possess the power to explore and change anything that exists in the universe virtually through its imaginary and ingenious aptitude.

The infinite capability of the human reason (i.e., human soul) to process or imagine and the opposing reality that this programmed aptitude is obliged to contend with or to yield to (i.e., death) make people stay focused and in search, from one posterity to the next, of how to overcome the powerlessness or limitations of the human body to effect changes that can permit the human reason (human ingenuity) to extend the longevity of the human body so this weightless property (i.e., human soul) can live forever.

This preoccupation and occupation that human reason, through human ingenuity, devotes itself to pursuing (i.e., living forever) throughout a person's existence among the living is not a task that human ingenuity

is capable of figuring out how to secure on its own. Human reason (or human imagination) does not find history useful to help it make progress on its aspiration of becoming immortal as well. Therefore, this programmed aptitude (human reason or human imagination) spends its entire time enlisting other people (or human ingenuities) to militate after this goal and relies on science or religion to organize people so it can make headway.

The challenges that human reason, human imagination, and human ingenuity confront during their on-going exploration (search) to extend their longevity or inquest to organize people to help them make headway on their aspiration to live forever are many. For one, human reason, human imagination, and human ingenuity have no power over the synthesis that the natural world is continually undergoing on the outside of the human body or the one that occurs internally on the inside of the human body. Those two systems are, from the start of time until now, controlled by a force that some people call God, which maintains a regimented (disciplined) approach when it comes to the permanent bonding or breaking of atoms or elements involved to create or form what we call matter, such as water, food, air, and other materials of terrestrial origin. This challenge pushes human imagination, human reason, and human ingenuity to devote themselves to organizing the change that people are constantly effecting in the natural world in the hope of any takeaway helping human ingenuity push its capability to the limit during its ongoing search of figuring out how to extend longevity (i.e., prevent the human body from aging) or to figuring out how food breaks up; hence, it is food that makes us grow and what makes us age.

Human imagination, human reason, and human ingenuity encounter great difficulties in organizing people because people were not by nature programmed to be organized. This idea of organizing people is a human creation. The biggest challenge that those three aptitudes confront during their exploration to establish effective authority-relationships among selected works and persons (i.e., division of labor), is how to make other people agree to consent, either explicitly or tacitly, to the course of action planned to secure the ideas posited and return a value in the same way a function in mathematics is capable of doing.

Two challenges that are constantly weighing down on human imagination, human reason, and human ingenuity in their attempt to organize (arrange) people in an authority-relationship that could fetch value (establish a set of planned objectives) include the following limitations: The first limitation is how to stop the changes that human ingenuity is constantly affecting in reality (i.e., matter) on behalf of human imagination and human reason from changing the nature of the problem that those three aptitudes are trying to solve. Put differently, in order for human imagination, human reason, and human ingenuity to organize people in a set of effective authority-relationships that enable them to secure the goals that they are after, those three aptitudes must reach their set of planned objectives through creating physical changes instead of chemical changes. This is because chemical changes accelerate the transformation of matter. Plus, people were not granted rights to reverse transformations of matter, and the ones that people are capable of reversing are very costly. For example, people do not know how to burn a piece of wood and then turn the ashes back into wood. The second limitation is how to regulate reproduction. This is because reproduction is the parameter that controls the rate at which change (transformation) occurs in reality. In other words, if reproduction is slowed down (i.e., controlled and regulated), this reduces the amount (number) of human ingenuity that human reason or human imagination could depend on to enable this aptitude to achieve its ultimate goal, which is figuring out how to live forever. Likewise, if reproduction is increased, this increases the number of human ingenuities that are using their human reason or human imagination to create change and, therefore, accelerates the number of people who are creating change, thus making it much harder to enable human ingenuity to make progress on the goal of human reason and human imagination to live forever.

Since the date people discovered that human ingenuity can service human imagination, human reason has refused to slow down the transformation that human ingenuity effects in matter (i.e., explorations to produce tools or ideas) or to slow down reproduction. This is because human reason knows that if it (i.e., human reason) slows down reproduction, this act would be reducing the number of human ingenuities that human imagination could depend on to enable

this aptitude to create tools or ideas and henceforth, achieve their goal of figuring out to live forever.

It is important that readers keep in mind that it is human ingenuity that makes human progress etch closer toward the endeavors of human reason (i.e., human imagination) of extending its longevity forever, and not the tools that the human body produces on behalf of human ingenuity and the human body uses to secure this aforementioned end. Therefore, when the number of human ingenuities is slowed down, this action slows mankind's on-going search to find out how to live forever equally.

The challenge that human imagination is constantly bogged down with due to its unpreparedness to slow down transformation (i.e., explorations to produce tools or ideas) or reproduction is that people are not objects that can stay floating in space, as some objects are capable of doing. The requirements of people to always be moving on the surface of the earth and always depending on food and water to sustain survival and earthly desires make it necessary that people occupy specific social spaces (territory) because the environment (landscape) is not homogeneous. Here too, human imagination has not been at all helpful in resolving this problem of how to manage the social space requirements that ensue. The unwillingness of human imagination to accept that humans are not favoured (exalted) over the rest of the objects or animals with whom this aptitude co-shares the world, and even when the case appears to be that there is a force that exalts some above others in this world, makes the job of human ingenuity and human reason to resolve the question of how to manage social space impossible.

In addition, hence most people (starting with the people who claim that they believe in the existence of a creator to this world) believe that the commandments, rules and judgments included in biblical scriptures (or other religious scriptures) are mere tales, this makes people disbelieve that power, skill, wealth, sustenance, etc., are distributed by God, or the force that programmed people) to whomever this authority wants, and that it is not the rise in the awareness or knowledge of an individual or the act of organizing people in an authority relationship (i.e., division of work, discipline, unity of demand, unity of direction,

subordination of individual interest to the general interests, remuneration, centralization, scalar chain, order, and equity) can produce the planned objectives. The entanglement of people in conflicting views regarding this aforementioned truth has prevented people from connecting with or conforming to each other. This is because the more people have different ideas, the more people have different opinions. The more people let their opinions misguide them, the more human imagination becomes unwilling to accept that people are exalted over each other by design or naturally and not because they divide (i.e., organize) themselves into good-doers or evil-doers to secure their goals instead of submitting completely to God's goals. Accordingly, this denial makes human imagination, via human reason, devoted to coming up with new ways of thinking to discredit this truth. In so doing, human imagination confuses people, fools them, and turns them aside.

For example, atoms are permanently binding and breaking up to form new synthesis by gaining or losing electrons, and till now, no atomic element appears to resist integrating in this natural process, and all of them appear to float in orbits with ease. Additionally, when two free hydrogen atoms near a free oxygen atom combine to form a new synthesis, the oxygen atom does not resist and says, I refuse to bind with those two specific hydrogen atoms in question to form a water molecule (or with one and not the other), but all those three elements connect voluntarily. Likewise, when it comes to animals, lions do not call dogs, fish, or other animal breeds and invite them to work together to improve human rights, social justice, democracy, etc., but each breed stays in a specific group based on shared physical or social qualities. Yet despite all animals possessing power based on an ascending order, beginning with the weakest (or smallest), such as bacteria that are microscopic living creatures, they were programmed with equal power to the fittest (lions, elephants, etc.), thus reminding him about the importance of using the things of the world for general interests and not self-interests.

Humans refuse to accept binding and breaking as per the way inanimate objects or animals do it. Most importantly, humans refuse to accept that the right to change how the natural system works was withheld from them. Likewise, people refuse to accept the counsel of

their predecessors to not undermine the power of the invisible hand of God (or the natural world) in always creating its own balance and against the will of human ingenuity, human reason, and human imagination. Humans believe, as I said before, that if they accelerate the transformations that human ingenuity effects in matter (i.e., explorations to produce tools and ideas) and stay entangled in bonding or breaking up over how social space is managed and distributed, such strategy could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action of their own.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the fittest do not explore to produce tools and ideas to extend longevity or to become immortal in order to start doing what is right, but to deny the weak rights. If the other way was the case, the fittest would have been doing what is right since our ancestors discovered the wheel, and people would not be dominating, subjugating, exploiting, or oppressing each other. That is also to say that people would not be letting their human imagination lead them into believing that the tools that they are constantly creating and updating make them far superior in power than their predecessors, and henceforth, enabling the fittest into believing that the human grouping bonding or breakup he is constantly creating would allow him to organize people in an authority-relationship that permits him to play the deputy role of God for life.

I have no way of knowing if scientists will manage to find a way to extend longevity in the future. The scope of this work is to understand if the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (the victors of WW2) would manage to keep on transforming the conditions and outcomes that matter to them in the near future when “what we think we know, what we hold to be true is, impossible to ever prove.” In other words, the scope of the work is to understand if the victors of WW2 would manage to create ordered relations that have the potential to rejuvenate their power while they are refusing to play their God-given role justly, while they are granting rights to their critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR, or if their decision to rely on their imagination and arrogance is sinking them into a large mire.

Indeed, the way the victors of WW2 are letting their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the UN Charter and the UDHR as of 1945 makes it very difficult to conceive how the victors of WW2 would eventually manage to create ordered relations (stabilize the international order) while their helpers (governments and international institutions) are regarding themselves just like them – the deputy of God on earth. What I do know is that the decision of the victors of WW2 to let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR since 1945, appears to have sank the victors of WW2 in a “muck of uncertainty and unknowingness, with no solid ground to stand on.”

For example, it is possible in mathematics to write sets such as $6 = 6$, or $1+2+3 = 1+2+3$, or $6 = 1+2+3$, or $6 = 2+3+1$, or $6 = 3+3$. etc. In those aforementioned forms in which the number 6 can establish relations with other numbers, each set in the above relations accepts to maintain its independent or unique relationship with the other and does not seek to break it. One could think that the victors of WW2 would be using their God-given role to direct their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) in the aftermath of WW2 to establish ordered relations with them in the same way numbers, atoms, and stars do it, thus giving the common people no reason to disobey; but strangely, this is not the case. The families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (the victors of WW2) want the individuals who produced the most violence and death throughout history (i.e., governments and international institutions), protect them, and make people stay with them despite all the false teaching that they are advocating.

It is not possible to make $1=2$. One (1) can only be equal to one (1) or $1 = 1$; and $2 = 2$, and so forth. Similarly, it is not possible to write the natural arithmetic order as 1,2,5,9... but this order can only be written 1,2,3,4,... Similarly, humans were not granted power to make the number 6, for example, establish balance with the number 7 so as to write $6 = 7$, or $1+2+3 = 1+2+4$, or $6 = 1+2+4$. Those aforementioned erroneous equalities or ordered relations are crooked and arithmetically unequal. Any person who peddle that he can form them

would be like a person who peddle that he is capable to make an elephant pass through the eye of a needle. Yet this is exactly what the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants started peddling in the aftermath of WW2. Put differently, instead of the victors of WW2 staying faithful to the work program that the founding fathers of the UN suggested to keep their dominant role and ensure lasting peace, they decided to let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) peddle to people that they can arrange that outcomes can be shared on equal rights (end poverty, social injustice, etc.), including fixing dates to attain this goal, without factoring questions that answers “what do we know” and “how we do know” in the conclusions that they are adopting. By not factoring such criterion, none of the political and economic policies that the victors of WW2 legitimized has reduced insecurity since 1945. To the contrary, the more the victors of WW2 let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) implement political and economic policies that differs from the work program included in the UN Charter and the UDHR, which we already know is the only work program that reduces fear and want, the more this strategy would be sinking the victors of WW2 deeper in mire (confusion or chaos). This is because the victors of WW2 become a hostage of their own arrogance and hypocrisy. That is to say, the more the victors of WW2 let their critical thinkers and servants try new political and economic strategies, the more the outcomes that they would be obtaining would be coming with new criterion that would be plunging them (the victors of WW2) in a conundrum that they would not be able to walk out from without their resizing or defeat as happened during WW2. For example, the dismantling of the empires of the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) is the consequence of the criterions that the individuals involved were taking.

I have no way of knowing why the victors of WW2 decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN (their fathers) counselled them to stay faithful to its principles to keep their dominant role and ensure lasting peace, preferring instead to let their critical thinkers and servants peddle to people that they are working toward enabling people to share outcomes on equal rights, including fixing dates to reach this goal (a new date, the year 2030), when they know very well that two people cannot fill the same void space. In

addition, to make matters worse, the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants want people to arrive at creating balance or establishing ordered relations, while themselves they are refusing to observe the truth suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and are regarding themselves exempt from this appeal. Most importantly, the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants are crookedly twisting the truth just as previous rulers and kings were devoted to doing before WW2 erupted. The victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants are distracting people from the right track. They are convincing people to accept their self-styled truth instead of taking the right path. The unpreparedness of the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) of the victors of WW2 to observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR makes it a lot easier for people to become aware of their double standards (hypocrisy). In addition, the unwillingness of the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) of the victors of WW2 to have limits gives rise to strife or violence, which leads easily to people withdrawing their obligation to obey, holding them accountable, and stopping to voluntarily surrender freedom to them.

Indeed, the crooked equalities or ordered relations (i.e., political and economic policies) that the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants have been peddling since 1945 and claiming that they are capable of building (securing that outcomes are shared on equal rights while they are pursuing the full development of their personally and freezing social space and accumulating material things on a cumulative basis) has been obliging them to constantly defend “what we know,” and henceforth, sinking them further in a mire of complex confusion and uncertainty. This uncertainty has been sinking along all members of the human family. Additionally, hence, the only way for the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants to dislodge themselves from the quagmire (the mud) that they have sunk humanity and human progress in pursuance by their refusal to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR is by keeping the weak unprepared to surrender freedom to the person who is ahead of them in the hierarchy, this conduct creates a new problem. This action of making the weak refuse to surrender freedom to the person who is ahead in the hierarchy by preaching about the importance of improving

social justice, equal rights, democracy, freedom, etc., makes the poor unprepared to cope unless outcomes are shared on equal rights. In a world where the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants are teaching their members to be unprepared to practice tolerance unless outcomes are being shared on equal rights and one in which every member of the human family is fulfilling the full development of their personalty (freezing social space, accumulating material things on a cumulative basis, and handing to designated individuals), the only choice that every individual has to get out of the desperation that they are in to keep their dominant role is to rely on force or deception.

The choices the victors of WW2 have to keep their dominant role in the future are clear. The victors of WW2 need to continue to let might make right. In other words, the victors of WW2 need to continue to do as their predecessors were doing, namely, let their wealth and military superiority make people tacitly or explicitly surrender some of their freedom to them, to be able to preserve, in so doing, their remaining rights and maintain the social order. Alternatively, the victors of WW2 need to continue to make people believe in the same deceptive tools (dogmas, ideas, concepts, conjectures, convictions, etc.) that they are using (and their predecessors used before them) to trip and trap people in a circular reasoning that we know that its outcome would be creating an infinite destructive regression.

Those deceptive methods are the axiomatic statements or principles that Socrates conjectured and later his student Plato, and even Plato's student Aristotle accepted and advocated. Socrates axiomatic statements or principles suggest that men are capable of triumphing over the weakness of their will to be perfect (i.e., keep the commandments) or "have riches in heaven" if they attempt to establish an "ethical system based on human reason rather than theological doctrine."

Since 500 BC, man has been practicing the guiding axioms (assumptions) that Socrates and his two pupils Plato and Aristotle, accepted (i.e., Aristotelian science). Socrates believed that mankind could triumph over the weakness of the will by mastering what he called "the art of measurement," which he said can help a person gain

the necessary understanding of himself to arrive at political and ethical truths (i.e., share outcomes on equal rights). “The art of measurement” consists of “correcting the distortions that skew one’s analyses of benefit and cost.” In other words, Socrates and his two pupils Plato and Aristotle, believed that it is possible for a person to stop doing wrong when the individual genuinely knows what is right. That is to say, when the awareness and knowledge of an individual rise.

In the book “Social Improvement and Human Security: Collateral Damage,” which I published in 2005, I begged to disagree with Socrates and his two pupils Plato and Aristotle, on how practicing the art of measurement (or Aristotelian sciences) can correct the distortions that skew one’s analyses of benefit and cost. In the book, I try to demonstrate that man can never manage to triumph over the weakness of the will and that all his attempts would be futile. In other words, man cannot emphasize the importance of the mind over the relative unimportance of the human body to prevent the perceived benefits from outweighing the costs. This is because humans are always using their human ingenuity to distinguish between good and evil. In addition, since humans are always coming up with solutions that motivate a person to make choices that are impelled by the desire to increase happiness, it would never be possible to master “the art of measurement” that Socrates proposed because human ingenuity (or human imagination) and nature are always changing and deceiving the senses.

In my opinion, the only way for people to arrive at political and ethical truths is if humans go back and practice the guiding ideology that is reported in the Bible in the book of Genesis under verse 2:17, which calls on humans to not distinguish between good and evil (i.e., slow down science). Alternatively, people rely on the axioms or principles suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR. This is because those two axiomatic assumptions are capable of slowing change, and in particular, science. Only when people slow down change (science) can a society’s view of right and wrong stop being motivated by the desire for happiness, and it becomes motivated to practice tolerance, which reciprocally is a prerequisite to improving connectedness. This also explains why people who live in villages are usually more morally correct than people who live in modern societies. This also means that

if people want to live together in peace with one another as good neighbors, they need to get rid of the world of ideas (or slow it down) and set up polities to control and regulate the world of the senses so mankind can become capable of managing to achieve practical results for the greater well-being of society. This also means that people would need to establish an ethical system based on theological doctrine rather than human reason. In other words, stop letting Aristotelian science become the source for religious and moral guidance.

People can never accept slowing down the world of ideas so they can live with one another as good neighbors when the individuals who are playing the role of deputy of God are not fulfilling their God-given role. For example, in the Quran, it is suggested in Surah Ash-Shuraa that people “did not split into sects out of mutual envy until knowledge came to them.” [Ash-Shuraa 42:14] Therefore, since for as long as the victors of WW2 refuse to observe their God-given role, our successors would continue to be divided in the future into groups that would repel the aggression of some people by means of others [Surah Al-Hajj 22:40], just as we are increasingly doing as of 1945, this means that our successors would be wandering in what remains of this second life cycle, which began at the end of the story of the flood, until they agree to tap on the same will or courage that Moses and the Israelites demonstrated to finish their trip from Egypt to the promised land. According to the Bible, Moses and the Israelites wandered for forty years, and only when they recognized that it was important for them to establish relations based on the principles suggested in the commandments were they capable of maintaining peace and security between themselves and with others, thus enabling them to finish their journey. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because if our successors do not slow down the world of ideas and continue to be irrational or insane as our turn is now to be doing (i.e., hopping from war to peace and back), they would be wandering and doing the same thing over and over again, until this existing life cycle ends, and a new third life cycle that its members would be ready to establish an ethical system based on theological doctrine rather than human reason would start.

If for as long as the victors of WW2 preserve their wealth, power, and status via Aristotelian science, through the financialization of the world, or in the same crooked way that their fathers and others were doing to rejuvenate their power until 1945, this means that the victors of WW2 would not be able to establish relations that build and preserve political and ethical truths between people, no matter what common standards or norms they posit or legitimize. To the contrary, the likelihood of newborns (new people) finding the will (resolve) to observe the measures (standards and norms) that critical thinkers and servants regularly legitimize and enforce will continue to shrink and not improve at all. Newborns (new people) will continue to be bound by their personal power and conscience, and everyone will be feeling unfulfilled and relying on their self-help to reduce their fear and want instead of on the political order.

Accordingly, because reproduction will continue to go on as is without any human being foretelling his birth in advance, existing people will continue to do what they do when a new person opens their eyes to the world. This is to catalog the newborn using criteria such as race, color, sex, language, and religion. Then, because the existing people have carved the land into zones of influence in which the fittest exercise a certain polity over its resources, the newborn is assigned a nationality and forced to pay taxes or levies to the fittest for his or her right to be among the living. Next, the newborn (new people) will spend his lifetime rewriting the ten commandments that Moses dictated to the Israelites differently. Said differently, legitimizing standards and norms to preserve the rights of a person to protect the material interests resulting from any scientific, literary, or artistic production of which he is the author instead of protecting global human security because exploration and change are constant.

As the above shows, we can say that if the victors of WW2 do not slow down the world of ideas or slow down reproduction, including stopping existing people (the fittest) from assigning to newborns (new people) race, sex, color, language, religion, political, social origin, and other status, but then use them as a tool to make the weak stay with the fittest and to grant him more powers than others, the victors of WW2 would not be able to create any ordered relations or prevent that their power, wealth, and status be stripped from them when a large number

of people start to become not afraid of dying and going to heaven or hell. In addition, we can say that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict is not going anywhere anytime soon, for as long as the Israeli Jews do not kill all the Palestinians and vice versa, or the victors of WW2 kill both and erase any reference to them from the history of the world. Most importantly, we can say that until any one of those two aforementioned scenarios happens, the victors of WW2 must ensure that the Israeli Jews and the Palestinians stay disputing with one another; hence, this is the only way that could prevent the people who, after birth, accept to be stamped as Israeli or Palestinian from developing wealth or military superiority, thus stripping the victors of WW2 of their mighty power and glory in order to start to play their role themselves.

To put it differently, time is constantly flowing. Problems, wars, conflicts, crises, etc. start big and, over time, shrink. In other words, their permanence is temporary. To stop time from shrinking problems, wars, conflicts, crises, etc., the victors of WW2 need to stop time from shrinking the problems, wars, conflicts, crises, etc. that people are disputing over. This situation happens only when the parties that are disputing stop to let time weaken the issues that they are disputing over last for a short period of time only, and the parties that are disputing stay in their fixed positions, attacking each other after being attacked.

In Buddhism, the three marks of existence are “three characteristics of all existence and beings, namely *aniccā* (impermanence), *dukkhā* (commonly translated as "suffering," "unsatisfactory," "unease"), and *anattā* (without a lasting essence).

If the victors of WW2 want to keep their dominant role, they need to ensure that people around the world stay deluded about the three characteristics of all existence. In other words, the victors of WW2 need to ensure that all people convert impermanence into permanence, and in this way, the *dukkhā* (the "suffering," "unsatisfactory," "unease") lasts forever. That is to say, the victors of WW2 need to ensure that newborns (new people) stay divided, relying on distinctions such as race, sex, color, language, religion, political, social origin, and other status to keep them divided and, in this way, dominated, exploited, and subjugated forever.

To give an example about how the victors of WW2 delude newborns (new people) and achieve the permanence of suffering, let us take the political position that the victors of WW2 use (depend on) to let the Palestinians live in the past by keeping vivid in their minds their right to return to the property they themselves or their forebears left behind or were forced to leave in what is now Israel, and the Israeli Jews locked up and unable to walk away from the challenges that such conviction create, and compare it to the religious position that the Church uses to this day to peddle that the Jews motivated the crucifixion of Jesus. When we take those two accounts (narratives), we find that the two ideas produce the same unease and unsatisfactory effect in the minds of newborns (new people). Those two views (ideas) have converted an event from being an impermanence to a permanence. Most importantly, this form of delusion keeps people living in the past. In other words, for as long as there are people who believe the stories that the church peddles about the Jews motivating the crucifixion of Jesus or that Jesus will return to end the social injustice that exists on earth and to deliver people, the more such fettered and limiting teaching would make it a lot easier for the church (i.e., the families/dynasties who make their living from the teaching of Jesus) to convert Jesus into becoming a sacred personality. The more people keep the story of Jesus binding, the easier the job of the church would be getting to make people ready to surrender voluntarily freedom to its body. The more people surrender voluntarily their freedom to the church, the easier it will be for the families/dynasties that depend on the teachings of Jesus to get their ways despite the resistance of others would be getting. Likewise, the more Palestinians believe the stories that the victors of WW2 or their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) peddle about their right to return to the land that the Israeli Jews forced them to leave behind as a sacred aspiration, the easier the job of the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants to control the Palestinians and keep their dominant role over them would be getting. Likewise, the more the Palestinians keep the Israeli Jews living insecurely, the more moral authority they will lose would be isolating them and making them depend on the victors of WW2 for protection.

Hence, the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) stand to gain if the newborns (new people) that are tagged as Palestinians upon birth are pushed to live in the past instead of the present, and in as far as the Israeli Jews are concerned (i.e., the new people who are tagged as Jews) the victors of WW2 and their two helpers (critical thinkers and servants) stand to gain as well if those Jewish newborns (new people) are pushed to not think about the future but instead to be devoted to solve the problems of the present, then we can say that if the victors of WW2 do not stop newborns (new people) from using the events of the past as a pretext or a way to prevent people from increasing their happiness in the present, it would not be possible for them (the victors of WW2) to prevent their resizing or collapse in the future.

To put it differently, people could depend on only two processes to establish dominant relations. The first is if one stays in a fixed position in space and time and the other stays in motion. The other is if both stay in motion or both are doing it from a standing-still position.

Hence, the victors of WW2 want to keep their dominant role a perpetual affair; this means that the victors of WW2 want that their power stay fixed and the power of others to be transient. If so, this means that it is not sufficient that people are kept transient, but their ideas as well. This is because if an idea becomes an unending project, then it becomes permanent. When an idea becomes permanent or an unending project, then the victors of WW2 and the people who are working on the idea become permanent. When the number of people who are defending ideas grows, such as the Palestinians with defeating the Israelis or vice versa, the Ukrainians with the Russians or vice versa, the Taiwanese with the Chinese or vice versa, etc., then there is a good chance that the victors of WW2 will be resized if those disputes are not resolved in favor of a winner. This is because everyone of those individuals who are involved in those aforementioned disputes is disputing with one another to determine who could strip the weakest or the fittest of his power, wealth, and status.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) can make historical events not give perspective on the problems of the

present when they are refusing to cooperate in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, or are prepared to relate without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, or other status, so people can start to respect the obligations legitimized in treaties and other sources of international law. What I do know is that for as long as the victors of WW2 let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) make the survival requirement of newborns (new people) a stipulation of (a function of) the historical events instead of the other way around (i.e., survival security stipulating how history should be written), it would not be possible to create conditions of stability and well-being, and everyone would be living in the past. When people live in the past, it is not possible to solve the problems of the present except in the same way our predecessors solved them in the past. This signify that if the victors of WW2 do not stop their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) from regarding themselves exempt from observing the work program stipulated in the UN Charter and the UDHR and do start to hold them accountable if they do not accept their obligations to do it under the UN Charter, then it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to continue to depend on liberal internationalism and self-determination to keep their dominant role, but on authoritarianism and militarism. Can the victors of WW2 prevent that their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) not convert the international order into becoming authoritatively controlled when they (the victors of WW2) have been refusing since 1945 to lay down very firmly to those helpers what they can and cannot do under the international rule-based postwar world order which they (the victors of WW2) laid out and counted on those helpers to observe to help them build and gave them broad powers to that effect? Can the victors of WW2 manage to keep their dominant role intact when authoritarianism and militarism rise around the world above and beyond their actual levels? Can the victors of WW2 depend on the wisdom of their critical thinkers and servants to keep their dominant role when those helpers have been since 1945 taking advantage of the international order and throwing fires on the flames (i.e., refusing to lead by example) instead of helping the victors of WW2 to strengthen their command and control? In other words, the critical thinkers and

servants of the victors of WW2 are, as of 1945, competing against them and using the international rule-based postwar world order to carry out their plans and strip them of their power, status, and wealth?

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 can manage to rejuvenate their power when the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that they need to depend on their wisdom to keep rejuvenating their power and keep their command and control of the international order have been since 1945 inflaming tensions, bigotry, hate, plundering, advancing tribal agendas, and devouring what is left of freedom. What I do know is that the social space that a person at birth or death occupies and the one he freezes in between birth and death can be said to be analogous to what a cone looks like. A cone is a geometric shape that tapers from a flat circular base to a point called the apex or vertex. Let the social space that a person requires at birth or death be the apex. Let the lateral surface of the cone refer to two areas: interior and exterior. Let the interior area be a fixed volume, but the exterior area be infinite. If two people are placed within the interior area of a cone (i.e., a fixed volume, or geographical area) and are both depending on liberal internationalism to establish relations, and each one is collaborating in the economic field to shrink (expand into) the social space of the other above and beyond the social space that he is already freezing, then either law and political order create crises and conflicts or the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that the victors of WW2 are depending on their wisdom to keep their dominant role intact and ensure lasting peace are propelling this abnormal rise in mischief and misguidance that have swept the world in recent years. That is to say, the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) of the victors of WW2 are preoccupying themselves with what their neighbor is doing more than with observing law and order (standards and norms). And since all those critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that the victors of WW2 are depending on their wisdom to keep their dominant role and ensure lasting peace are using history to give them perspective on the problems of the present, including giving them awareness and knowledge of what they need to be changing in order to not be trapped in it, this means that mankind is doomed. This is because liberal interventionism is a forward-looking plan and

increasing happiness is a backward-looking process or arrangement. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the longer the victors of WW2 let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from observing the work program stipulated in the UN Charter and the UDHR, the more people would be returning to living under pre-1945 political order or colonialism to create peace and security, instead of securing this end through the liberal internationalism philosophy and self-determination.

This also means that if the victors of WW2 do not push their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to create conditions that would stop the journey from birth to death of any newborn to depend on history to provide prospective on the problems of the present, the rich (the fittest) and the weak (new people) would not be able to stop letting the events of the past destabilize the present in their ongoing search to meet their survival needs and earthly desires requirements. Likewise, the more people satisfy their survival needs and earthly desires requirements without observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, the harder it would be to get ahead of the victors of WW2 to create conditions of stability and well-being if reproduction is left uncontrolled and people are collaborating in the economic field on the basis of liberal internationalism.

Additionally, this reality about what history could and cannot do for men enables us to say that for as long as people continue to make peace and security a function of history instead of the future, any human society that is growing in number and its members do not have social space to accommodate its expansion because the political history and general history from which its people build awareness constrain its aspirations is highly likely to face the same problem that the Israeli and Palestinian people have been dealing with since 1945.

In 1949, George Orwell, an English novelist, published a dystopian novel and cautionary tale titled *Nineteen Eighty-Four*. Orwell described in this dystopian novel an authoritarian world in which much of the earth is in perpetual war and governments would be relying on mass

surveillance and repressive regimentation of people to keep their dominant role.

In my opinion, the political situation that has existed in Israel since 1948 shows a snap shot of what George Orwell depicted in 1949 would befall on the world in his novel Nineteen Eight-Four if the victors of WW2 continue to refuse to lay down very firmly for their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) what they can and cannot do.

Indeed, the grave moral evil that the victors of WW2 are allowing the Israelis t Jews to burden the Palestinians with since 1948 is exactly how Pharaoh, King Belshazzar, the son of King Nebuchadnezzar, and the families who control the Persian Empire, Islamic Empire, Greek Empire, Roman Empire, etc. were all allowing their critical thinkers and servants to treat the weak during their times. However, when those powerful people were dancing joyfully around the blood of the people they were spilling, they were all not paying attention to the covenant that God made with Noah after the story of the flood. In the bible, it is suggested that God told Noah that “Whoever sheds the blood of man, by man shall his blood be shed, for God made man in his own image.” [Genesis 9:6]

I have no way of knowing if the reason why the victors of WW2 are allowing the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel to disregard the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR is because they are arrogant or because the families/dynasties that control Israel control them. What I do know is that when God sent his only son to earth, Jesus maintained the Old Testament view of blood guilt. In the book of Matthew, Jesus said that all those who draw the sword will die by the sword. [Matthew 26:52] He then added, “Do you think I cannot call on my Father, and he will at once put at my disposal more than twelve legions of angels? But how then would the Scriptures be fulfilled that say it must happen in this way?” [Matthew 26:53-54]

I have no way of knowing what Jesus was trying to convey when he said that he could ask his father to help him when he was arrested and that his father would send him twelve legions of angels. What I do

know is that in the Quran, in Surah Al-Ma'idah it is suggested that God has decreed that whoever kills a soul unless for a soul or for corruption [done] in the land - it is as if he had slain mankind entirely. [Al-Ma'idah 5:32].

Once again, I have no way of knowing what the Islamic prophet Muhammad was trying to say when "one person kills another; he is the killer of not only one person but of all human beings, because he contravenes the law of respect for human life upon which the lives of all human beings depend." What I do know is that if as Jesus answered that he did not want to be saved so the Scriptures would be fulfilled, then it is highly likely that if the victors of WW2 continue to refuse to humble themselves, the mischief that would spread around the world would ultimately lead to them becoming overthrown from their power and glory, just as happened to kings before them.

In conclusion, on October 7, 2023, the Palestinians who live in the Gaza Strip attacked the Israelis due to all the repressive social measures that they were imposing on them, including mass surveillance. The Israeli government announced that 1,200 people were killed during the assault. Israel responded with air strikes on Gaza that have killed more than 50,000 people so far and wounded over 150,000. The destruction of human progress that Israel caused is estimated at hundreds of billions.

It is important to mention that a police investigation into the attack found that an Israeli military helicopter opened fire on the assailants and killed the majority of the 1,200 Israelis who were killed in the October 7 attack. Then again, this is not important because it is suggested that a person who kills another person is the killer of not only one person but of all human beings.

In the Quran, it is suggested that when arrogant rulers and kings disobey the truth, "their ears, eyes, and skin will testify against what they used to do." [Fussilat 41:20] The next verse states that those rulers and kings would be asking their ears, eyes, and skin, "Why have you testified against us?" It will say, "We have been made to speak by Allah, who causes all things to speak. [Fussilat 41:21] Then God says, "You did not bother to hide yourselves from your ears, eyes, and skin

to prevent them from testifying against you. Rather, you assumed that Allah did not know much of what you used to do.”

I have no way of knowing what the Islamic Prophet Muhammad was trying to convey when he depicted this metaphoric idea about how, on the day of judgment, rulers or kings who refuse to be faithful to their God-given role will be judged. What I do know is that as of October 7, 2023, the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) did not only allow their arrogance, pride, and hardened hearts to control them to the point of “sealing their hearts, hearing, and sight, so they could neither see the guidance included in the UN Charter and the UDHR nor hear, comprehend, or understand” it, but they also allowed their ears, eyes, and skin to testify against them by letting people from the comfort of their own TV screens know about their true commitment to secure the UN Goals.

Many questions beg answers. In the Quran in Surah At-Tawbah, it is suggested that “Allah (God) has purchased from the believers their lives and wealth in exchange for paradise. They fight in the cause of Allah and kill or are killed. This is a true promise binding on Him in the Torah, the Gospel, and the Quran. And whose promise is truer than Allah’s? So rejoice in the exchange you have made with Him. That is ‘truly’ the ultimate triumph.” [Surah At-Tawbah 9:111] I have no way of knowing if the blindness and deafness of the victors of WW2 since 1945 is making them forget that letting their ears, eyes, and skin testify against them (i.e., bringing their enmity, arrogance, and hypocrisy to people’s living rooms in opposition to the truth included in the UN Charter and the UDHR) would make people want to cash in their lives and wealth in exchange for paradise, but what I do know is that no ruler or king who tried to despair and frustrate people managed to prevent his fall and collapse. Can the victors of WW2 avoid that the scriptures be fulfilled if they do not kill all the people of the world first after their arrogance, pride, and hardened hearts got them to the point of not bothering to hide the barbarous acts they are committing or worrying about letting a barrier rise between them and people, when this is what their fathers (the old powers) counselled them to avoid doing to avoid that people throughout the land gang on them and make them taste the same humiliating punishment that previous kings before

them tasted after they taught that people were not watching what they were doing? Once again, we just have to wait to learn if the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) will ultimately be the ones who “come down from the tree” or if the scriptures are false!