

# Breaking Free

**Are the politics of the victors of WW2  
turning youth into countless revolutionaries?**

**Salim Maloof, Ph.D.**

Copyright 2024 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:  
Maloof S., *Breaking Free*, 2024

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof  
**Breaking Free**

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,  
go to:  
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment  
[www.centermpa.com](http://www.centermpa.com)

*In memory of Amin Maalouf*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work  
Special Note to All UN Member States  
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations  
Special Note to All People of Faith  
Definitions

## PREFACE

### **Breaking Free**

- |   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Rendering worse what is already crooked                  | 24 |
| 2. Passing the evil thought that people harbor to offspring | 57 |
| 3. The penalty for choosing unacceptable moral choices      | 97 |

# **Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work**

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, his supervisors told him that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national which also

included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave cost him his right to any claim! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations wants people to stop being tolerant to have any rights and wants them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes can have his or her case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

In the year 2022, the corruption ring of those UN managers who were using the UN as a cover to do their evil work in the name of truth and good and who ganged on this UN staff member because he refused to trade his self-interests for the values included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was exposed and

dismantled. Instead of UN member states requesting that those UN managers be imprisoned with the UN Judges who were protecting them, they were fine with the UN ending the contract of those UN managers while keeping all their wealth, health insurance and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member so he could be remunerated for all the pain that they put this UN staff member through because he refused to take part in their wicked plots, the UN member states believe that if they let this intergovernmental organization keep this case in the garbage basket of history, the United Nations would be preserving their power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personalities at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the

Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, and in this way avoiding that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

# Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form as the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision-making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the

rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between, nations including failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

# Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and are preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15<sup>th</sup> century / early 16<sup>th</sup> century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

# Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior in the aftermath of World War II in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion's share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or put into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or analyzed were solely used because I felt they contained ideas that could aid me in comprehending better if the decision of the victors of World War II (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scriptures counseled is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

# Definitions

*The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties):* This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

*Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council:* This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

*Rich nations (rich countries):* This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

*Nations that won WW2:* This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2 and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2<sup>nd</sup> millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The global policeman of the world role that the United States started playing in 1945 is regarded in this work as a functional role that was delegated to this country by the families/dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

*Banks, large financial institutions, or Corporations:* This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

*Governments:* This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

*Global policemen of the world:* This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties that won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states in the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

*Leading by example:* The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized

nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

*United States:* All references to the word “United States ” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If the American culture is endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to avoid losing the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

# PREFACE

Competition between living organisms occurs naturally in nature. At the end of WW2, The Bible is one of the oldest known surviving books in the world. In the Bible, it is suggested that God created the universe and that of all the living creatures that were granted a faculty, man was fashioned in “God’s image.” No one knows what this scriptural statement means.

Considering that no newborn can observe what God forbade man from doing in the story of the creation to not die, i.e., eat from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil [see Genesis 2:17] or take this cup away so only his will be done [Luke 22:42], the atrocities and massacres that the Bible suggests God ordered to get his ways despite the resistance of others are not in any shape or form less pernicious or complex than the vice that people (mainly kings, chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) have committed to the present day to achieve one of two ends. One motive could be to satisfy God’s inherent animalistic tendencies since man was created in his image according to the Bible, and the other is to rebel against what God forbade man from doing in the story of the creation to not rise to a higher level.

For example, God ordered that every firstborn in Egypt (minus the firstborn of the Israelites) be killed to bend Pharaoh’s will and get him to accept emancipating the enslaved Israelites. [Exodus 4:26] Pharaoh behaved in the same way as God is suggested to have done in the Bible, attempting to kill the Israelite children to prevent them from becoming numerous and, if war broke out, joining his enemies and fighting against him. [Exodus 1:16] King Herod I searched to kill Jesus to prevent him from threatening his own power (authority) over the rest of the Jews and ordered the killing of all the young children in the area where Jesus was born (i.e., Bethlehem). If we fast forward to World War II (WW2), historians suggest that the families/dynasties that were controlling Germany killed non-German children (Jews especially) to avoid that a new generation of people threatened their superiority and killed mothers to bury along the chaos that surviving mothers could create for the loss of their

children. In fact, the old powers (Great Britain, France, Spain, Belgium, Italy, Norway, Sweden, etc.), whom historians regard as the victors of WW2, killed millions of mothers and children during WW2 to prevent the Axis powers from becoming the ones who would tell them what to do. Actually, man was not convinced, following all the killings that our predecessors committed throughout history up to the date when WW2 was regarded as having ended in 1945, that violence is useless and that force is a recourse that does not help the fittest get his way despite the resistance of others, as compared to other coercing methods, and continued on doing what he does best, i.e., killing. From 1958 to 1962, the old powers or their heirs allowed the families/dynasties that controlled China to kill millions of Chinese citizens during the Great Leap Forward campaign. The heirs of the old powers allowed the families/dynasties that control the United States to kill hundreds of thousands in Afghanistan and Iraq between 2001 and 2018. The killing count in the ongoing Russia-Ukraine war that started on February 20, 2022, and is being fought on Ukrainian territory between the Ukrainians and the Russians has not ended yet. The same can be said about the ongoing killing that the heirs of the old powers are allowing the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel to carry out against Palestinian children and mothers following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks.

As the above shows, the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) are refusing to rest from wars and being courageous and bold, as their fathers suggested they be doing in the aftermath of WW2, so people love them and would want to let them have more powers than others. To the contrary, the recent decision of the heirs of the old powers to entangle the Jews in deeper political uncertainties than the one that they are already in with Muslims in the Middle East since the start of the 20th century, and to convert them into predators following the October 7, Hamas attacks, knowing that a good number of people believe that they are divinely ordained and supposedly a beacon of hope for the world, shows that the heirs of the old powers believe they can be successful where others have already failed.

All the societies (governments, kingdoms, empires, etc.) that did not pay attention to any human values whatsoever, massacred children and mothers to satisfy their inherited animistic nature, and relied on the unthinkable (tyranny, mischief, brutality, etc.) to rejuvenate their power instead of the rule of law were later destroyed, or their heirs paid this ultimate price.

This book tries to understand if the decision of the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) to let their critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) not rest from wars in the aftermath of WW2 and extend this tradition of killing children and mothers as our predecessors did is rejuvenating their power, or if it is giving rise (a reason) to their children and the children of their chiefs to be their enemy and source of grief as Moses was for Pharaoh and his chiefs. The main aim is to understand if the great confusion that the heirs of the old powers are letting their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants put up with would harden their hearts and the hearts of their enemies until they destroy them and new families/dynasties start to play their roles, or if they (the heirs of WW2) would be more powerful than Pharaoh, overcome threats, and stay in power. In other words, I want to understand if the only miscalculation in the plan of the heirs of the old powers could be children, as Moses was a miscalculation in the plan of Pharaoh, or if the heirs of the old powers would be able to continue to do the unthinkable and still acquiesce children, thus continuing to write the history books.

# 1

## Rendering Worse what is already crooked

---

The victors of WW2 (the old powers) counselled their heirs (their children) to rest from wars in the aftermath of WW2 and to strive toward ensuring that all newborns (new people) secure the common standards suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR to avoid people withdrawing their readiness to want to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others.

In addition, the old powers (who are also the founding fathers of the United Nations) counselled their heirs to ensure that their critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) teach all newborns (new people) to practice tolerance and to cooperate without “distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, or other status,” to avoid that people have recourse to vices or behaviors that they (the heirs of the old powers) would live to regret. That is to say, it would be naive to suppose that the old powers (the founding fathers of the United Nations) did not know that money defiles life and that if they dismantled their empire and granted the countries that they vanquished during WW2 and the societies that they freed (or granted independence) rights to become totally autonomous states (compete politically and economically against the old powers), they would later not take advantage of them and humiliate them. The old powers (the victors of WW2) granted the societies they vanquished and the societies they freed rights to be “sovereign” vassal states or client states (internal autonomy) and not totally autonomous states.

For example, it would be naive to suppose that the old powers (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) proposed to reward the Muslim warlords who agreed to betray the rulers of the Ottoman Empire during WW1 in the Arabian Peninsula by establishing for them new absolute kingdoms and appointing them kings over those newly created kingdoms, in recognition of their readiness to be traitors. In other words, it would be naive to suppose that the old powers did not know that if they created absolute kingdoms for those Muslim tribes (rebels) that agreed to be traitors to speed the defeat of the Ottoman Empire and started to divide the money (the revenues) that those newly created kingdoms would be confiscating, the rulers of those Muslim kingdoms would one day betray them in the same way their fathers betrayed the Ottoman rulers. That is to say, when the rulers of those Muslim kingdoms start to build skyscrapers like Nimrod (a Biblical figure) was doing and have ideas that go beyond being mere loyal servants.

The old powers partitioned the Arabian peninsula into exclusive absolute kingdoms after defeating the Ottoman Empire in order to make it easy for the Muslim families/dynasties that they ordained kings to be the sole source of political power in these different realms that they were going to be building in them the petrochemical plants that would also be supplying them with energy. In other words, by partitioning the Arabian peninsula into mutually exclusive absolute kingdoms, the old powers ensured that the Muslim warlords who they ordained kings in return for their preparedness to betray the Ottoman rulers would become unconstrained by constitutions, legislatures, or other checks on their authority, thus enabling them (the old powers) to turn them to the right or to the left without any political dissident objecting to the political order legitimized or enforced.

Unfortunately, the old powers or their heirs (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) have failed so far to make the heirs of the Muslim warlords turned kings over absolute monarchs in the Arabian Peninsula use the revenues that the old powers granted them a pass to collect or confiscate from turning to the right or to the left. For example, instead of the heirs of the Muslim warlords turned kings using the money that those distinct realms are collecting or confiscating to teach citizens to cooperate in accordance with the

principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, the rulers of those absolute monarchs are spending the lion share of this money on defense and security and on building skyscrapers. In other words, the heirs of the Muslim warlords turned kings over absolute monarchs in the Arabian Peninsula are not fighting to bypass constitutions, legislatures, or other checks on their authority to use the revenues that they are confiscating from citizens to make citizens love the old powers or their heirs more, but are using this money to teach their citizens to hate the old powers or their heirs faster. That is to say, the heirs of the Muslim warlords and their chiefs are teaching their citizens to compete politically and economically against their masters (the old powers).

In addition, if we factor in how the old powers are now relying on many new forms of energy, and therefore, cannot be ordaining kings or creating absolute monarchies to protect the resources or factories involved, as they did in the Arabian Peninsula in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, we cannot say that if the heirs of the old powers do not dismantle those absolute monarchs to prevent the individuals that control them from continuing to develop their defense and security, or build skyscrapers, they would not betray them (the heirs of the old powers) in the same way the fathers of those actual Muslim rulers betrayed the ottoman rules. Of course, that is if human exploration and technical advances are constant, and human nature does not change.

Then again, the heirs of the old powers do not need to figure out a way to bring down from the tree the families/dynasties that they established for them absolute kingdoms and ordained kings to protect the oil platform and refineries in the Arabian Peninsula, but all the families/dynasties that they created for them in the aftermath of WW2 new republics and appointed them as custodians over newly created sovereign states. This is because no republic from among the newly created states that the old powers established in 1945 or their heirs in later years created is observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR.

In fact, if we factor in that none of the monarchs or republics that existed before WW2 erupted are also observing the work program

suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, it looks as if the heirs of the powers are the only ones on the ground and everyone is in the tree.

That is to say, if the heirs of the old powers continue to let their shepherds (governments) stay in the tree and use constitutions, legislatures, or other checks on their authority to build strongholds (i.e., be devoted to building armies, improving their securities, and investing in offshore companies or countries), instead of using the money that they are collecting from taxes, tariffs, etc. to build ladders to come down to the ground with, it would not be possible for the heirs of the old powers to avoid withering until eventually dying. This is because trees grow, and not all people are capable of easily climbing them when they reach higher heights. And if the shepherds (governments) of the heirs of the old powers are in the tree and not with the flock (the people), then the owner of the flock (the heirs of the old powers) cannot keep the flock from dispersing and each sheep (person) going in its own way.

It looks as if the longer the heirs of the old powers take to return their shepherds (kings, presidents, rulers, judges, officials, etc.) in UN member states back to being real shepherds (even if this requires using force), or dismiss them and appoint in their place bold and courageous leaders who are not afraid to lead by example, it would not be possible for the heirs of the old powers to prevent that their shepherds gang on them in the same way the shepherds that the Ottoman rules were relying on their wisdom in the Arabian Peninsula ganged on those rulers, and betrayed them to increase their happiness.

In addition, if we factor in what is suggested in the Quran about how the people who inhabited the Arabian Peninsula and fed from its water and food were known to be hypocrites before Islam was launched [Surah At-Tawbah 9:97; Surah Al-Fath 48:11], which is a statement that is also true everywhere on earth because the more people are drinking and eating around the world, the more their hypocrisy is rising instead of shrinking since 1945, then the more the heirs of the old powers rely on losers to keep their dominant role, the weaker their capacity to prevent the fate that awaited the Turkish rulers would be getting.

If the constant wars that swept the world as of 1945 are because all the critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) of the heirs of the old powers are “saying with their tongues what is not in their hearts” [Surah Al-Fath 48:11], just as the Muslim rulers are doing since the old powers freed their fathers on count of supposing that they would be of benefit to them, we cannot say that the past and present shortcomings of the heirs of the old powers could turn out the future the way the heirs of the old powers are anticipating. This is because if the political and economic solutions that the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers are implementing do not start to scatter serenity in the hearts of people around the world, they would be called to fight against a large number of people who would be withdrawing their obligations to obey them or wanting to let them have more powers than others. And since the heirs of the old powers can only justify the readiness of people to voluntarily surrender freedom to them through faith, just as the apostle Paul wrote in his letter to the Romans [Romans 5:1] they need to be doing, it would not be possible for the heirs of the old powers to let the disregard, contempt, and corruption that the critical thinkers and servants are perpetrating in the international order exult in tribulations. In his letter to the Romans, the apostle Paul suggests that suffering and tribulations produce perseverance. Perseverance and endurance produce character. And character produces challenges. And challenges produce hope. [Romans 5:3-4] Can the heirs of the old powers make people not harbor evil thoughts in their hearts for them if their critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) continue to ruthlessly fight with one another in order to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest instead of who would be first in leading by example?

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the heirs of the old powers to disregard the counsel of their fathers (the founding fathers of the United Nations) to rest from wars in the aftermath of WW2 and to orient their critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) to disarm but to favor that they be devoted to amassing wealth and power and to go to war to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action of his own will fare well in the end for them (the heirs of the old

powers), or if their defiance would ultimately shame them in the same way Pharaoh was humiliated. What I do know is that when Pharaoh elevated himself and permitted his chiefs, judges, officials, etc., to preoccupy themselves with finding excuses to turn away from the truth, including dividing people in the land into subservient groups, exploiting and persecuting some, and favoring others, he (Pharaoh) did not think that his deeds would later inflict upon him a painful punishment. Can the heirs of the old powers prevent their critical thinkers and servants from humiliating them in the same way Pharaoh was disgraced if they continue to let them hasten falsehood (arrogance and hypocrisy) over truth (i.e., the work program that their fathers suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and counselled them to observe to keep their dominant role)?

In the Bible, it is suggested that after Pharaoh permitted his chiefs, judges, officials, etc. to regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the standards and norms legitimized, none of the precautions or preemptive actions that he and his chiefs, judges, officials, etc. employed to prevent their collapse or the downfall of their kingdom helped. In fact, the Bible suggests that the people whom Pharaoh (and his chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) oppressed the most overpowered him (and his helpers) and became the cause of his destruction and the downfall of his kingdom. The heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are not acting any differently than the way Pharaoh and his chiefs were doing before their collapse. Could the people that the heirs of the old powers and their helpers are oppressing the most overpower them and be the cause of their destruction and the downfall of their kingdom, as the Bible suggests that the Hebrews were capable of inflicting on Pharaoh and his chiefs?

If the fulfillment of what Pharaoh feared happened to him when he divided people into classes and permitted his chiefs, judges, officials, etc. to do whatever they wanted with the affairs of the state, then there is a good chance that the heirs of the old powers will be resized and reverted to a simpler form in the same way if they continue to let their critical thinkers and servants prioritize wealth and power over truth (values).

The massive instability and constant wars that engulfed the world as of 1945 are the result of two scenarios. The first is by design. The second is due to the heirs of the old powers delaying taking action against those critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) who are not observing the universal standards and norms that their fathers legitimized in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Pharaoh was destroyed because he decided to delay dealing with the problems that his chiefs, judges, officials, etc., or the Israelites were creating early on, until, in the course of time and things, the challenges that those two actors (the Israelites and his critical thinkers and servants) were creating got out of control, leading in the end to his destruction.

The wealth and military superiority of the heirs of the old powers exceeded by many folds the superiority that Pharaoh enjoyed. If the wealth and military superiority of the heirs of the old powers are not arriving at repairing the division that ensued among people during WW2 or increasing people's confidence in the international postwar world order that ensued and was laid out in the UN Charter and other international treaties, then one of two scenarios could be propelling the dynamism and constant wars that have engulfed the world since 1945. The first is if the political and economic solutions that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are implementing are not the solutions that their fathers suggested be adopted to calm or end the historical dynamic that has accompanied mankind. The second is whether humans are by nature conflictive.

Hence, not all people harbor evil thoughts, and a good number are capable of controlling their animalistic behavior (lust, temptations, killing, etc.) and to stay calm, we cannot say that the challenges that are preventing people from reaffirming faith in the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR are inherent in humans natural characteristics. Rather, the drawbacks that are preventing people from finding the strength to affirm faith in the universal standards suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR are due to an imbalance in the number of critical thinkers (rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) and servants who are observing those standards, and the number who are defying them.

In the Bible, it is suggested that the apostle Paul reminded the Romans about how humanity was paying for the sin of one man and how Jesus tried with his work to redeem mankind to stop people from passing their sins on to their offspring. [Romans 5:12] Jesus did not propose to put an end to human sin by asking people to stop coping until their rulers and political class share with them outcomes on equal rights, as the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers have been doing since 1945, but asked people to practice tolerance and to surrender freedom to their rulers. Can the heirs of the old powers persuade people to not pass on their sins to their offspring when the critical thinkers and servants that they are depending on their wisdom to rejuvenate their power are not teaching people to store up for themselves treasures in heaven but store up for themselves treasures on earth?

Money and worry often go together. The fear and anxiety that most people are exhibiting as of 1945 to pile up for themselves treasures on earth instead of piling up for themselves treasures in heaven does not support so far that the political and economic solutions that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are legitimizing are making people labor or spin to secure the goals suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, but to increase their own happiness. Can the heirs of the old powers avoid all the disregard that people are demonstrating in their race to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on their own instead of to secure the UN goals from harming them when the individuals (chiefs, rulers, judges, officials, etc.) who are supposed to be teaching people to love them are the same ones who are making people distrust them and to repel away from them?

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers would be able to stop the damage that their critical thinkers and servants are encumbering on the maintenance of their strength and stability for refusing to lead by example. What I do know is that in the Bible, it is suggested that when Pharaoh was telling the Egyptians, “I know of no other god for you but myself,” and with his chiefs began to oppress the Israelites, God became concerned and rescued the Egyptians and the Israelites from Pharaoh. [Exodus 3:7-8]

The existence of God has been a subject of debate since antiquity. I have no way of knowing if God exists (i.e., the cause of all things) or if God does not exist. What I do know is that Pharaoh and his chiefs are not the only rulers who were destroyed when their hearts hardened, believed that their wealth and power (military superiority) could permit them to control others, or regarded themselves as the measure of all things, but all those who acted in the same way faced the same end.

I have no way of knowing if all the kings and rulers that were destroyed subsequent to Pharaoh's fall happened because God dealt with them from a distance and not as the Bible suggests God was personally doing with the Israelites, or if the empowerment of those societies that rebelled against their rulers and kings came directly from them. What I do know is that we live in a world in which man does not appear to have been granted power over the moral obligations suggested in the scriptures, and most religious scriptures say that God is at the center of its source.

Therefore, since it does not matter to know if God continued to be involved with humanity from a distance after the fall of Pharaoh against rulers or kings who acted shrewdly, or if the empowerment of the societies that rebelled against their rulers and kings came directly from them and God had nothing to do with it because the fate that awaited the kings or rulers that defied the moral obligations suggested in religious scriptures was one and the same, we can say that what hasten the fall of rulers or kings was predicated on the balance that those individuals in question made between moral choices and the immoral and the unacceptable ones. The penalty for choosing unacceptable moral choices over moral choices came with paying a big price. In other words, when kings and rulers used their power and wealth to hasten evil over good, as Pharaoh, the Israelites, the Muslim caliph, Napoleon, the old powers, etc. did, they first wandered in their defiance until their power was stripped from them and handed to new people.

Evidently, we have no way of knowing if the old powers dismantled their empires and fabricated all the stories about how they became mindful about human rights and were determined to reduce fear and

want to make people stay with them and to grant them more powers than others were true, or if those pledges were only lies to help them build a world government that they would rule over perpetually. Nonetheless, what we do know is that the constant wars that swept the world as of 1945 are due mainly to the heirs of the old powers refusing to admit that if they continue to do wrong as their fathers (the old powers) were doing before WW2 erupted, they could pay worse than what their fathers paid, in the course of time and things. Put differently, the heirs of the old powers do not believe that their children or successors could be defeated in the same way the son of King Nebuchadnezzar (i.e., King Belshazzar) was defeated when he started to prioritize his convictions over the counsel of his father.

Then again, if it is easy to do wrong and to let the disregard that a person commit not haunt him, the fathers of the heirs of the old powers wouldn't have proposed to dismantle their empires, free the societies that they were dominating (i.e., grant them independence or internal autonomy), demand that everyone rest from wars and observe the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and would have kept the institutions and methods that they were depending on to make people be ready to stay with them (or their heirs) and to let them have more powers than others intact.

If the fathers of the heirs of the old powers favored taking such a friendly approach to keep their dominant role, which in reality can also be regarded as a compromise or a backward step to stay in power knowing that humans cannot fill the same void space and rival for power and control, this means that the fathers of the heirs of the old powers must of have realized that the persecution and exploitation methods that they were depending on to make the societies that they were dominating abandon their tradition, faith, value, etc. and to stay with them were not working out well, or wouldn't have worked out well to help them realize what they were planning for themselves to stay in power in the aftermath of WW2, or for this matter the world. Put differently, the fathers of the old powers concluded that if they dismantled their empires and then invited all the societies that they had vanquished and freed to work from scratch with them to build the international institutions that they needed to depend on to keep their dominant role, they would kill two birds with one stone. First, they

would create a world government, and second, they would dominate the world forever.

Evidently, this plan is not working out well so far. The old powers managed with their heirs to build the international institutions that they needed to depend on to command and control the world, but they are not doing well when it comes to having their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) not regard themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and leading by example so they can stay in power.

In the real world, farmers do not pull their loads by placing carts before horses, but the other way around. That is also to say, in politics, building institutions and making rhetorical speeches do not make the weak surrender freedom to the fittest, but this result is a function of the readiness of the fittest to lead by example. Hence, the constant wars that swept the world as of 1945 are, in principle, due to critical thinkers and servants regarding themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, we cannot underrate the drastic challenges and threats that those servants could be piling up for the heirs of the old powers if they are saying one thing and doing another. This is because if the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers are only with them in tongues but not in their hearts as well, it would not be possible for the heirs of the old powers to stay in power without relying on force, violence, deceit, etc. first.

For example, Pharaoh was punished and defeated at the hands of his own people when he tried to use his mighty hands to get his way despite the resistance of others. That is to say, Pharaoh failed with his critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, judges, officials) to determine correctly if the methods that they are employing to persecute the Israelites (citizens who subscribe to Jewish faith) were making them abandon their traditions (faith, values, etc.) or not. Can the heirs of the old powers avoid having the people they are persecuting or exploiting stay with them and let them have more powers than others when the critical thinkers and servants that they are depending on their wisdom to make people love them are the same people who are not doing what they are saying?

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers could manage to avoid being shamed as Pharaoh was when he refused to pay attention to the hypocrisy and rage that his critical thinkers and servants were stirring in the hearts of the Egyptians and especially the Israelites, whom they were oppressing very bitterly or harshly. On the other hand, if the heirs of the old powers do not appear to be worried about the harm that their critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) could be encumbering on the maintenance of their strength and stability by letting them regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, then one of two scenarios could be persuading them (the heirs of the old powers) to act in the same arrogant way Pharaoh behaved before he repented according to the Bible, but unfortunately, it was too late. The first is if the heirs of the old powers believe that they are invincible. In other words, the heirs of the old powers believe that their wealth and military superiority could protect them, just as Pharaoh regarded that such instruments would be doing to him before he was destroyed, or after he told the Egyptians, "I know of no other god for you but myself." The second is if the heirs of the old powers do not believe that the warnings that are suggested in biblical scriptures and philosophical books about the fate that awaits any person who chooses falsehood over truth are true. In other words, the heirs of the old powers do not believe that their deeds could be the cause of their destruction, just as Pharaoh perceived that his deeds were rejuvenating his power, but later he discovered that they were accelerating his destruction instead. That is to say, Pharaoh did not believe that when he relied with his chiefs, judges, officials, etc. on violence, oppression, corruption, disregard, betrayal, deceit, etc., to rejuvenate their power instead of on values or truth, they would be turning people away from them until the evil that they were spreading swallowed them, in the same way a large fish swallows a small fish or the sea vomits onto dry land (shallow water) a large fish. In the sea, the small fish is usually oblivious to being under threat before a large fish swallows it. The same can be said when the sea vomits onto dry land (shallow water) a large fish.

I have no way of knowing which specific scenario between the aforesaid two could be propelling the heirs of the old powers into believing that it would be possible for them to disregard (stray from)

the counsel of their fathers about resting from wars and ensuring that their critical thinkers and servants observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and still count on people's readiness to stay with them and not turn their back on them, run away, and leave them vulnerable and with no one to protect them. What I do know is that in the Bible it is suggested that Pharaoh did not know that when his daughter found an infant floating in a basket in the river Nile, named him Moses, and he agreed to adopt him in his household, this infant would grow to become his enemy and source of grief. In other words, Pharaoh did not know that the good deed he was doing when he agreed to admit Moses into his household would later be the cause of his distress, culminating in him losing his power, wealth, and status and the fall of Egypt from its glory until today.

If it is possible (i.e., it is not at all totally unusual) that the good (showing kindness, displaying moral virtue, etc.) that a person does turn into a bad (i.e., adverse, terrible, etc.) choice or tend toward zero, then it is possible that the heirs of the old powers (or their successors) endure the same backdrop that Pharaoh confronted from sources that they would expect to pose the least threat to their power, wealth, and status.

Of all the living creatures that exist in the world, the fear of most people being hurt or killed by their own parents or their offspring (direct descendants) is usually the least. Could the children of the heirs of the old powers transform into their enemies and sources of grief, just as Moses turned out to be a source of threat to Pharaoh, which is contrary to common belief? Actually, in the Bible, in the book of Matthew, it is suggested that as people's dependence on violence, corruption, disregard, and deceit grows, people will not be fiercely divided and in constant conflict with each other and with those in authority in particular, but the disregard and contempt that will be rampant will be dividing families as well. According to the apostle Matthew, "children would be rebelling against their parents and having them put to death, or fathers against their children" [Matthew 10:21] when the number of people who would be turning away from the faith (or rule of laws) grows, and the widespread wickedness makes the love of most grow cold. Are the protests and demonstrations that children, in recent years, have increasingly taken part in to oppose

the policies that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants legitimizing signs to invite the heirs of the old powers to stop relying on violence, conflict, and other tragedies to rejuvenate their powers to avoid that their children rebel against them as Moses rebelled against Pharaoh, or as the apostle Matthew suggested would be happening when disregard and contempt between people grows?

I have no way of knowing if the ongoing rejection by the heirs of the old powers of the counsel of their fathers to rest from wars in the aftermath of WW2 or their command to their heirs to be constantly striving to prevent that their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR will fare well with them and prevent the scary accounts that the apostle Matthew suggests Jesus narrated would be happening on earth when wickedness (corruption, deceit, betrayal, etc.) makes the love of most grow cold. What I do know is that across the animal kingdom, cannibalism behavior, or infanticide, has been observed in various species, including humans. If we step inside the mind of any human being, we can find that children are pathologically capable of harming or killing their parents, especially after they reach a certain age, and that there are a variety of explanations as to why children do not do it.

However, even though most modern humans do not eat each other flesh as carnivore animals do or kill their own children or vice versa (children kill their own parents), as observed in the direct behavior of certain animals, people practice an upgraded (improved) version of cannibalism or infanticide than the one animals use.

For example, humans do not kill and then eat the flesh or internal organs of the person that they killed, but they let animals consume the flesh of the person they killed when access to other forms of food is not a challenge.

In addition, humans do not kill their children right after birth, as some animals do, or kill the children of their adversaries when they are little to reduce competition early on, but do it when the child of their adversaries is older (reaches adulthood).

For example, researchers suggest that when a lion encroaches on the territory of a rival pride, he will often kill any existing cubs fathered by other males to avoid having those newborns compete against them when they reach adulthood. By killing the cubs that are fathered by other males when they are young and weak, the fittest lion stays on top of the pack, reproduces with several females, or has access to resources without having to rival to obtain them.

Indeed, the cannibalism and infanticide relativism (social structure, behavioral practice, and reproductive strategies) that people employ to keep their dominant role or remain at the top of the pack surpasses the behavioral and reproductive success that lions and other animals employ but is more complex and challenging. This is because the upgraded cannibalism and infanticide relativism that people use make children bigger threat to their fathers and a source of grief than would have been the case if the infanticide act or behavior happened when the child was young and weak. For example, if the midwife who delivered Moses obeyed Pharaoh and killed Moses after he was born, as Pharaoh instructed midwives to do if the child was a boy, this midwife would have spared the grief that Pharaoh later encountered at the hands of Moses, and mankind would have written a different world history.

I have no way of knowing if humans were to begin to compete and kill all the males in the group so the fittest can reproduce with several females only, as happens among certain species in the animal kingdom, peace and security on earth would be as serene as it appears in the animal kingdom. For example, animals do not spend their lives exploring to freeze social space and build fences, but kill only to stay alive.

Likewise, I have no way of knowing if humans were to stop prioritizing monogamous relationships and begin to favor promiscuity, people's recourse to killing the children of their competition when they become adults (i.e., when they begin to refuse to consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on their own) shrinks. That is to say, if people stop to know if the baby is theirs or not, they would be inclined to kill the children of their competition less.

Accordingly, since people refuse to approach the concept of political authority from a perspective that would be different than the cannibalism and infanticide relativism (social structure, behavioral practice, and reproductive strategies) used, we cannot expect that mankind will be able to come up with counter-strategies to reduce the dynamism and the complicated evolution methods that have accompanied human history until now.

If we cannot expect that people will stop being bound by their personal power and conscience for as long as they refuse to let their understanding of themselves reach the wisdom animals demonstrate, or grow beyond the understanding that animals demonstrate by embracing the wisdom enshrined in the UN Charter or the UDHR, which represent an extract of the moral essence included in most biblical scriptures or philosophical books, then we cannot say that the political community (or civil society) that people build is intended to arrange that all gain security, but a tool to enable the fittest kill the children of their competition, just as animals do. The only difference is that instead of eliminating or killing the children of their competition as animals do when they are young and weak, which is a lot easier to carry, people do it when the children of their competitors are adults, which is a lot harder, as world history shows.

Most importantly, since humans do not kill all the newborns of their competition but kill the children of the people who refuse to consider their opinion before taking action of their own only, this means that it is possible for the children of the individuals who form a group to get their way despite the resistance of others better to gang and to rebel against the fittest in the group if they become disenchanted with the natural and legal rights that the fittest is legitimizing and enforcing to increase their happiness or to guarantee their security.

For example, when a lion encroaches on the territory of a rival pride and takes over, he does not engage in organizing meetings with the male competition that he looked with pity on and spared to determine how to teach them or to teach their children how to explore and hunt but instead embarks, most of the time, in killing the children of those male rivals whom he spared so he can sire his own children only.

Hence, the heirs of the old powers did not think that it was important to ensure that the societies that they were admitting into becoming a UN member during WW2 could carry out the obligations contained in the UN Charter or the UDHR, and instead embarked on a spree creating new UN member states without minding the implications of having a large number of members busy denying each other freedom, instead of observing the political order (i.e., standards and norms) legitimized, this signify that the heirs of old powers have two choices to avoid that the children of their critical thinkers and servants rebel against them in the same way Moses rebelled against Pharaoh, or the love of most continue to grow cold as Jesus suggested would happen when people's wickedness rise.

The first is if the heirs of the old powers kill the children of their critical thinkers and servants in the same way the Bible states God saw wise doing to enable him to finish the journey that he was taking the Israelites out of Egypt into, and killed all the Israelite men of military age who refused to observe the book of commands on the way. [Joshua 5-4:6] The second is if the heirs of the old powers take a backward step and let the societies that they granted rights to be members of the United Nations determine their own political and economic solutions, as their fathers (the founding fathers of the UN) suggested. In other words, when the heirs of the old powers stop teaching people around the world about how to explore and how to develop awareness and knowledge in an effort to attract them to stay with them and not stay with their adversaries, but then when their awareness and knowledge start to pose a threat to their strength and stability and engulf them in civil wars to destroy their human progress or self-destruct, people start going slow. That is to say, when the heirs of the old powers stop teaching people to not cope or to practice tolerance unless outcomes are shared on equal rights, people stop being preoccupied with what their neighbor is doing, and they start having time to focus more on themselves. In general, it is a lot easier to control objects when they are made to go slow as compared to when they are made to spin fast. When people are slowed down to give them a chance to focus on themselves, they cannot help but start to love each other, practice tolerance, abhor what is evil, and cling to what is good. This is because when the heirs of the old powers stop pushing people into believing that they are naked, their eyes will not be

focused on how to strip their neighbors of their clothes to cover themselves but on how to gain wisdom. And since when people gain wisdom, they cannot perceive that they are naked, this means that for as long as the heirs of the old powers reject the counsel of their fathers (the founding fathers of the UN) to let “the eye be the lamp of the body,” the more the eyes of people would be seeing unhealthy things and “making their whole body be full of darkness.” [Matthew 6:22] Are the heirs of old powers capable of making people not worry about tomorrow, so the worries that are troubling them today, stay put and not giving them perspective on what they should be doing tomorrow to prevent them from leashing onto them, when their critical thinkers and servants are not leading by example so people would be ready to let tomorrow worry about itself, but are focusing on how to make people distrust them and rely on their self help to increase their security and happiness instead of on them?

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers would be able to create a universal cultural relativism (i.e., values and beliefs) that would make people accept to stay number 2 or to lease from them (the heirs of the old powers) the material things that they (the people) perceive are necessary to increase their happiness. What I do know is that people freeze social space and transfer the material things that they accumulate on a cumulative basis to designated individuals. Accordingly, I have no way of knowing what “outcomes” the heirs of the old powers expect people to share on equal rights between their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants, when people do not stay together to not have any quarrel between each other but to determine who could strip from the other the social space or the material things that he is denying others access to. In fact, the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers preach about equal rights and social justice, while they are freezing social space and accumulating material things on a cumulative basis.

Hence, it would be naive to suppose that the old powers (the victors of WW2) dismantled their empires in the aftermath of WW2, because they wanted their children to start to cooperate with their servants or the societies that they vanquished during WW2 or freed when WW2 was regarded as having ended based on the principles of equal rights, we cannot say that all the governments and international institutions

that the heirs of the old powers created and tasked with teaching people about equal rights, social justice, while its officials are freezing social space and accumulating material things on a cumulative basis, that those legal entities are joining forces to deliver their enemies into their hands, or that the officials involved swear an oath to them that they would not accept anything belonging to them, as Abram did in antiquity when he said to the king of Sodom that he would not split with him any possession, profit, or good. [Genesis 14:22-24]

In the Bible, it is suggested that when the sin (arrogance, hypocrisy, and idiocy) of the fittest reaches its full measure, the fittest will ultimately be punished and be enslaved and mistreated in his own country. [Genesis 15: 13-16] The Israelites, the Egyptians, the Persians, the Greeks, the Romans, the Muslims, etc., all confronted such a defeat.

I have no way of knowing if the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers or their children will not deal falsely with the heirs of the old powers or their children, or become too powerful for them to control and command. What I do know is that the heirs of the old powers (i.e., the families/dynasties that are controlling the western European monarchies and republics) have been living by the sword since 1945, refusing to rest from wars so people do not grow restless with them and plot to throw their yoke off their necks.

Indeed, the grudges, fury, and wars that have swept the world since 1945 signify one of two things. The first is if the wealth, status, and power of the heirs of the old powers (i.e., the families/dynasties that are controlling the western European monarchs and republics) stopped to be of paramount importance to them, and their naivety (arrogance, hypocrisy, idiocy) is making them perceive that people are not capable of joining forces and humiliating them as the Israelites kings, the Egyptian kings, the Persian kings, the Greek kings, the Roman emperor and kings, the Muslim caliph, etc., were humbled and destroyed. The second is whether the heirs of the old powers do not think that there is anything too hard for them or that the love of others will lose them one day, no matter what they do to prevent that from happening. In other words, the heirs of old powers believe that all the grudges and fury that people around the world are feeling in their

hearts for their refusal to hold their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR will subside, and people will forget about and move on. Could the heirs of the old powers avoid that the children of their critical thinkers and servants view them as a potential threat and an obstacle to increasing their happiness, thus choosing to rebel against them and to revert them to a simpler form, just as Moses (practically Pharaoh's adopted grandson) behaved against Pharaoh in antiquity when his grudges and fury with his behavior deepened?

It looks as if the refusal of the heirs of the old powers to keep a good watch over their critical thinkers and servants is what caused the terrible aggression and killing that swept the world in recent years and the rapid moral degradation that is accompanying this irresponsible behavior. The decision of the heirs of the old powers to deviate away from the work program that their fathers counselled them to follow to command and control the international order and to attempt to cement their power through the intermediary of critical thinkers and servants who depend on lies, manipulation, brutality, torture, and thirst for wealth and power to make people not cross the line has disrupted the balance of power between good and evil instead of making people want to observe the rule of law. Throughout history, most kings or rulers who disrupted the balance of power between good and evil were tried (smeared) by their own people until they were ultimately resized. Can the heirs of the old powers avoid that people put them on trial in the same way most kings and rulers were tried when they refused to stand on the side of truth and perceived that their pre-emptive attitude could protect them from their own vulnerability or mask their egregious plunder? Put differently, can the heirs of the old powers arrive at making their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants abet their arrogance, hypocrisy, idiocy, and crimes when they are not the ones who are fathering all the newborns (new people) that are becoming among the living and have no way of knowing if their wives are being monogamous?

I have no way of knowing if the offspring of the heirs of the old powers and the offspring of the critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) that are protecting them would be a source of grief to them in the future, just as Moses became a source of grief to

Pharaoh and his chiefs instead of a source of joy when he got older and understood the double standards that Pharaoh was depending on to keep his dominant role.

What I do know is that following the unmerciful killing of Palestinians in Gaza by the Israeli government in retaliation to the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack, that killed over a 1,000 Israelis and foreign nationals, the world began to witness a close analogy between the rebellion that Moses instigated against Pharaoh and the antigovernment protests (defiance, insubordination, disorder, etc.) that erupted in the United States and western Europe, and in which the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) were participating in and demanding that their fathers stop looking on the Palestinians down as they have been doing since the start of the 20<sup>th</sup> century and to grant the Palestinian self-determination. In other words, the antigovernment protests (defiance, insubordination, disorder, etc.) that the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, judges, officials) were taking part in to demand that their fathers stop looking on the Palestinians down were not behavioral responses intended to show their support for the victims (the Israelis) but the aggressor (the Palestinians). This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the attack that Hamas carried out on October 7, 2023, against the state of Israel is in response to the refusal of the heirs of the old powers to put pressure on the Israeli government to grant the Palestinians the right to self-determination, thus extending their occupation and persecution. If, in theory, the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) are refusing to observe or carry out the obligations contained in the United Nations Charter in order to hand to their children the good that they are withholding, and their children are participating in antigovernment protests (defiance, insubordination, disorder, etc.) because they do not agree with the immoral way their fathers are rejuvenating their power, then the heirs of the old powers could be entangled in a zero-sum game.

I have no way of knowing if the protests (defiance, insubordination, disorder, etc.) that the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, judges, officials) are taking part in following the unmerciful killing spree that the Israeli

government launched against the Palestinians in relation to the October 7, 2023 attacks are a transient fury or if it is a sign that is in line with the prophecies that are suggested in biblical scriptures about children rising against their parents and parents rising against their children. What I do know is that the old powers, or later, the heirs, do not appear to have read or paid attention in the last 100 years to the story that is mentioned in the Bible about the dream that Pharaoh had and the interpretation that Joseph revealed to him on what it could mean.

Joseph told Pharaoh that what he saw in his dream was a plan in which Egypt would have seven years of great abundance, but then seven years of famine would follow. Joseph then suggested to Pharaoh that he look for wise men to put them in charge of the land to prepare the country for the years before the famine struck Egypt. [Genesis 41:28-36]

I have no way of knowing if the old powers or their heirs are appointing wise men to put them in charge of the land to prepare people to stay with them and to let them be ready to have more powers than others, so when the years in which deceit, evil, and corruption rise in the world over good, people will be ready to stay waiting on them until their sanity returns to them in the same way they waited on King Nebuchadnezzar until his sanity returned to him. What I do know is that the constant wars that have swept the world in the last 100 years do not support at all that the old powers or their heirs are relying on wise men to prepare people so that when deceit, evil, corruption, and wars rise in the world over good, they will not be ready to withdraw their obligation to obey or to stay with them.

For example, in 1917, while World War One was raging between the allied powers and the central powers, the principal allied powers (i.e., Great Britain, France, Italy, and Russia until 1917) announced their support for the establishment of a "national home for the Jewish people in Palestine, then an Ottoman region with a small minority Jewish population." [Balfour Declaration] The principal allied powers, along with other societies associated with them in this global war, such as the United States, began plotting to force the Palestinians out of their homes and their land instead of telling them, plain and simple,

that they did not want them to live on this land anymore and compensating them accordingly. In 1948, during the first Israeli-Palestinian war, the principal allied powers succeeded in expelling the Palestinians from their homes and land, ushering in an exodus of Palestinians into neighboring nations instead of dividing Palestine into two states or having the Israelites and the Palestinians form one state.

Evidently, the reliance of the old powers on men to rejuvenate their powers, who did not think that it was important to compensate the Palestinians for their losses or to let the Israelis form an independent state in Palestine that would strive in accordance with the self-determination of people, created a new exodus of Palestinians from the diaspora back to Palestine (what is now called Israel) to repossess the property (homes, land, etc.) that they left behind when they were forced to flee when the 1948 Israeli-Palestinian war was regarded having ended. Obviously, the influx of Palestinians back to repossess their homes and land in what started to be called Israel since 1948 has been met since this date by a reverse persecution by the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) and their principal policemen, the United States, to prevent the Palestinians from exercising this right of return. Of course, it is the Israeli Jews who are fighting the good fight on behalf of the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) or their heirs, including their close ally the United States, to prevent the Palestinians from returning to their former land or homes to repossess them.

As the above shows, the unpreparedness of the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) or later their heirs to rely on discerning and wise men to resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict in the years of great abundance (i.e., from the start of the 20<sup>th</sup> century to October 7, 2023) appears to have created a major problem for them presently. This is because the participation of their own children and the children of their critical thinkers in the antigovernment protests that are demanding that they stop looking on the Palestinians down as they have been doing since the start of the 20<sup>th</sup> century could mean that they are already in or are entering the years of famine (i.e., the years when children will turn against their fathers and fathers against their children).

Pharaoh managed to keep the Egyptians with him in the seven years of famine because he counted on discerning and wise men. The way forward on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict as of October 7, 2023, does not require anymore discerning and wise men to plan the way forward should the heirs of the old powers and their global policemen (the United States) continue to regard themselves as the measure of all things, as the choices are straightforward. The heirs of the old powers and their global policemen (the United States) need to finish off the Palestinians (i.e., kill them) or stop preaching about human rights, social justice, democracy, freedom, etc. This is to stop the fury of their own children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants against them from dwelling in their hearts and pushing them to join forces and humiliate them.

I have no way of knowing which solution between the aforesaid two the heirs of the old powers will pick to prevent that their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants do not rebel against them in the same way Moses rebelled against Pharaoh when his fury led him to perceive that he was relying on tyranny and force to get his way despite the resistance of others instead of on truth and values. What I do know is that the heirs of the old powers can continue to be against doing the right thing and dividing Palestine into two states (or have the Israelites and the Palestinians form one state) or compensating the Palestinians by giving them money or new land as they have done to the American natives when they expelled from their land and homes and prevented them from returning to repossess them, so their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants against them die out. However, if the heirs of the old powers and their global policemen (the United States) continue to listen to their imagination and attempt to let the Israeli-Palestinian problem go away in the same way their fathers thought they could let the American natives give up their right to claim their land and home, and that was through trying to kill them or through buying time, as they have been doing since 1948 with the Palestinians, there would be one detail that they would need to figure out a plan to handle.

When the western European colonists (the old powers) expelled the American natives from their homes and land, they were not rejuvenating their power by depending on the idea of human rights,

social justice, and equal rights as a tool to make people stay with them and to let them have more powers than others, but they were relying on the idea of domination, subjugation, and exploitation.

As of 1945, the heirs of the old powers are depending on the idea of human rights, social justice, and equal rights to make people stay with them and to let them have more powers than others, and not on the idea of domination, subjugation, and exploitation. Many questions beg answers. For one, can the heirs of the old powers manage with their critical thinkers and servants to make people voluntarily surrender freedom to them so as to write a world history that would be different than the one that their fathers wrote up to 1945 or the one that they started writing as of 1945, when it would be the same people that are now playing the role of their critical thinkers and servants that would be helping them when they would be going to rely on authoritarianism to keep their dominant role?

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers can make people not have itching ears that listen to what suits their passions and listen only to them, as Pharaoh successfully managed to do during the seven years of famine that the Bible state struck Egypt, when they are rejecting their fathers counsel to rest from wars and are trying to secure the UN goals through the intermediary of critical thinkers and servants who are not as discerning as Joseph or Daniel were, but as Judas Iscariot and Barabbas instead were (all four are biblical figures). What I do know is that in the second half of the 20th century, the Shah of Iran rejected calls to cooperate in accordance with the principles of human rights, social justice, and equal rights that he pledged to uphold to make people stay with him and to let him have more powers than others, and decided to place his final trust in the military government that was ruling in his name, and specifically, the generals that he hand-picked to protect him or run the affairs of the state for their loyalty.

Unfortunately, the massive budget that the Shah of Iran was spending on security and on sweetening the hearts of his generals, judges, and officials to stay faithful and not backstab him failed to stop their envy and the envy of his adversaries from plotting against him. In other words, the exorbitant lifestyle that he showered his generals, judges, and officials with was not enough to stay loyal to him and not betray

him. In 1979, the shah (king), the shahanshah (the king of kings), the Aryamehr (the light of the Aryans), the Bozorg Arteshtaran (Grand Army Commander), the center of the universe, and the person who held tens of other similar titles, Mohammad Reza Pahlavi, was overthrown from power by his own people. Mohammad Reza Pahlavi, whom the people of Iran and people around the world called just “shah” because he had so many titles, saw all his dreams shattered in 1979 at the hands of a 79-year-old rebel cleric who was leading the rebellion against him from France and not from Iran.

Accordingly, hence all the wars that swept the world as of 1945 are due to the critical thinkers and servants that the heirs of the old powers are depending on their wisdom to keep their dominant role and ensure lasting peace, prioritizing their own propensity over the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, we cannot say that the humiliation that the Shah tasted at the hands of his most trustworthy generals would not happen to them as well. In other words, just as the Shah was not expecting his destruction because he was perceiving that his generals were keeping the affairs of the state under control, but, in the course of time and things, he discovered that this was not the case, the same circumstances would happen to them.

Then again, history does not repeat itself. In other words, we cannot consider that because the generals that the shah was depending on their wisdom to keep his dominant role betrayed him, the critical thinkers and servants that the heirs of the old powers are depending on their wisdom to keep their dominant role would do the same.

If history does not repeat itself, then only a mightier hand than the hands of the heirs of the old powers could be capable of humiliating them if they continue to reject the appeal of their fathers to rest from wars and to let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. The question that begs answers is: how?

I can think of three ways that could result in the collapse of the heirs of the old powers if they continue to reject the appeal of their fathers to rest from wars and to let their critical thinkers and servants regard

themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR.

The first is if God could get angry with them and decide to humiliate them in the same way he humiliated Pharaoh and the Shah. For example, we have no way of knowing if God became angry with the way the Shah was oppressing certain citizens and favoring others. Accordingly, God decided to humiliate the Shah by empowering a 70-year-old rebellious cleric to lead the rebellion against him from France. and not even in Iran. In other words, God decided to humiliate the Shah by taking action against him from a distance, and not as per the way the Bible suggests God destroyed Pharaoh. In the case of Pharaoh, God empowered Moses and made him turn his anger against Pharaoh and rebel against him in Egypt until he was destroyed.

The second is if the critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) of the heirs of the old powers join forces and plot to humiliate them in the same way the brother of Joseph (a biblical figure) plotted against their brother. For example, we have no way of knowing if the collapse of the Shah was orchestrated by his best allies (the old powers and their global policemen, the United States) at the time to stop his white revolution from making Iran the center of the universe and keeping their cities as the centers of their states.

The third, is if the same circumstances that happened to the Israelites following the death of Jacob and later his son Joseph (both biblical figures) would happen to them. For example, in the Bible, it is suggested that when Jacob and his son Joseph died, all of Pharaoh's officials—the dignitaries of his court and all the dignitaries of Egypt—were present at their burial. [Genesis 50:1-26] However, after Joseph and Pharaoh died and all the officials of Pharaoh's court died and a new generation of Israelites and a new generation of Egyptians was born, the new Pharaoh that came to power regarded that the good relations that his fathers had built with the Israelites before he died meant nothing to him. [Exodus 1:8]

I have no way of knowing which scenario, among the aforementioned three, could contribute to the collapse of the heirs of the old powers if

they continue to reject the appeal of their fathers to rest from wars and to let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. What I do know is that following the collapse of the imperial state of Iran in 1979 or the overthrow of the Shah from power in the same year until now, the Muslim rulers who took over are not cooperating in accordance with the principles suggested in Islam, the UN Charter, or the UDHR. To the contrary, Iran's overarching power in the Middle East appears to be spreading and reestablishing roots among people in this geographical region in the same way the Achaemenid Empire in antiquity managed to span its influence over this region.

Then again, I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) are letting the Muslim regime in Iran (or the Chinese regime, the Russian regime, the Israeli regime, the Turkish regime, the Arabs, the Indian regime, etc.) be a powerful political force and spreading its influence in the Middle East because they believe that if this regime or anyone of those other regimes mentioned spread its power in this geographical region, they would be able to command the people who live in this territory better, or if the influence of the Iranians (or Russians, Chinese, Indians, Turks, Israeli, etc.) in this region is happening against the wishes of the heirs of the old powers and their principal global policemen, the United States. What I do know is that the rebellious cleric who led the Iranian rebellion that overthrew the Shah in 1979 is credited with having said before he passed away in 1989, "Praise be to God who made our enemies fools."

I have no way of knowing what this rebellious Iranian cleric (i.e., Ruhollah Musavi Khomeini), who, pursuant to the fall of the Iranian monarchy, was also appointed as Supreme Leader of Iran, was trying to convey when he made this aforesaid statement. What I do know is that ever since the heirs of the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) decided to reject the counsel of their fathers to rest from wars, and began to entangle their global policemen, the United States, in wars in the aftermath of WW2 that are programmed to seal their dominance instead of in wars that are programmed to end corruption, we find that the heirs of the old powers managed to turn

the societies whose understanding of themselves was emerging, such as Vietnam, Iraq, Libya, Afghanistan, Syria, etc., into rubble in no time. However, the unwillingness of the heirs of the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) to constantly keep in mind the proverb that says that a person reaps what he sows, or to reflect on the stories that are suggested in the biblical scriptures about the slick ways that God uses to punish those who use the truth as a tool to advance their own propensity instead of what they were preaching, appears to be lifting their adversaries instead of lifting them.

For example, despite all the efforts that the heirs of the old powers and their principal global policemen, the United States, spent from 1945 until now to make people around the world be ready to voluntarily surrender freedom to them or to let them have more powers than others, a large number are distrusting them and stopping to fear them.

If people around the world continue to belittle the work that the heirs of the old powers and their principal global policemen, the United States, are exhausting to make people want to stay with them or to let them have more powers than others, and a large number continue to regard the work that they (the heirs of the old powers) are exhausting toward this endeavor to mean nothing to them in the same way the new Pharaoh in Egypt and his chiefs, judges, officials, etc., regarded the good relations that their fathers had enjoyed with Joseph (biblical figure), thus refusing to treat his descendants with respect, then it would not be possible to avoid that the heirs of the old powers and their principal global policemen, the United States, deal shrewdly with the societies that refuse to consider their opinion before taking actions on their own in the same way Pharaoh and the Egyptians treated the successors of Joseph or the Israelites when they became numerous.

The Bible states that Pharaoh and the Egyptians did not lack wealth and military superiority, when they were ridiculing, mocking, and persecuting the Israelites. To the contrary, the Bible states that those whom Pharaoh and his chiefs, judges, officials, etc. mocked were overtaken by those whom they were ridiculing. Can the heirs of the old powers avoid having their adversaries ridicule and overtake them when it is their own arrogance, idiocy, and hypocrisy that is making people distrust them and not fear them, and no one else?

For example, in the aftermath of the Twin Towers, September 11, 2001, attacks, the United States accused Osama Bin Laden of masterminding this attack and demanded that the government of Afghanistan hand over (extradite) Osama Bin Laden or face war. The request of the United States to the government of Afghanistan to hand over Osama Bin Laden is in accordance with the principles of justice and international law, but the threat of facing war if the country does not hand over to them Osama Bin Laden is a warning that takes intent to another level.

First, not all people accept being intimidated or coerced. In many parts of the world, people refuse to be coerced and regard any intimidation act as a matter of honor. Second, even if the entire population in the United States was attacked on September 11, 2001, those who would survive should resort to peaceful means to settle disputes and not use force. This is because the moment any American person threatens to use force against any other person or use force, there is nothing left to trust in the idea of freedom except the futile and empty self-righteousness of those who would be selling this concept. Could Christianity have become immortal if Jesus saved himself when he was persecuted by the authorities?

The Taliban government of Afghanistan refused to hand Osama Bin Laden to the United States without the United States showing evidence that Osama Bin Laden was involved in the attacks. Mullah Omar, the founder of the Taliban, said right after the United States and NATO invaded Afghanistan in 2001, they toppled the Taliban government and began to hunt Osama Bin Laden and the Taliban leaders with the following statement: “God promised us victory and Bush promised us defeat, and we will see which of the two promises is truer.” As of 2018, the world has witnessed that Mullah Omar was right. In other words, the impatience that the rulers and political class in the United States showed following the September 11, 2001, attacks and rush to go to war against Afghanistan showed that the Taliban government was the loser immediately after the start of this war. However, when the Taliban started to resist, they managed, in 2018, or after 17 years, to convince the United States that they gained nothing from staying in this country, propelling them to withdraw. In theory, when all is said

and done, it is the United States who is the real loser in the US-Afghan war so far and not the Afghani people. This is because it is the United States who must wait until a new generation of Afghans realizes that the US-Afghan war means nothing to them before the US could start to daze those newborns (new people) about how it is a peace-loving country that is mindful of democracy, human rights, etc., thus beginning to find listening ears among Afghans who would be ready to intercede for them or betray their leaders so the US could start to easily get its way despite the resistance of others in Afghanistan. The problem is that there is no guarantee that during the time that the United States must wait until a new generation of Afghans begin to believe that the United States cares more about their well-being than their Afghan leaders, which is a prerequisite to begin to betray their Afghan leaders that the United States would succeed or that the adversaries of the United States would not outsmart them in their own game. Put differently, no one knows whether or not the Afghans would be in favor of abandoning Islam in the future to embrace the liberal and self-determination philosophy that the United States depends on to get its way despite the resistance of others, and not communism, socialism, or other philosophical and religious dogmas. If the Afghans refuse to abandon Islam in the future to embrace the liberal and self-determination philosophy that the United States depends on to get its way despite the resistance of others, then the United States could be in big trouble to keep on rejuvenating its power.

If it is not by strength that one prevails but by staying clam and alert, then impatience can be a threat to a person (society, business, country, kingdom) and not necessarily a benefit. For example, the wars of attrition that the United States (the principal global policemen) fought since 1945 to cement its superiority and the superiority of its master (the heirs of the old powers) have supported, so far, that when arrogant and hypocritical rulers use strength and wealth as weapons to get their ways despite the resistance of others, such tools do not make a person (society, business, country, kingdom) skilled enough to deal with any trouble but can be a menace. If strength and speed (military superiority, technology, etc.) do not improve courage or accuracy, and “whoever is slow to anger is better than the mighty,” then the heirs of the old powers cannot renew their power if “he who rules his spirit is better than he who takes a city.” [Proverbs 16:32] In addition, if

“whoever is slow to anger has great understanding, but he who has a hasty temper exalts folly” [Proverbs 14:29], then people around the world could be growing weary of the heirs of the old powers, and their rebuke and despise are persuading them to scatter and shame them [the heirs of the old powers] in the same way religious scholars believe that the literal locust plague suggested in the book of Joel is an allegorical interpretation of the enemies of the Israelites having put them on trial when they refused to “rend their heart instead of their garments” until they were destroyed. [Joel 2:13]

If the suggestion made in the letter that the apostle Paul wrote to the Romans about how “whatever was written in former days was written for our instruction” [Romans 15:4], can the heirs of the old powers avoid that their critical thinkers and servants not disgrace them if they continue to let them regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR in the same way the responses that Pharaoh or King Saul employed cost them their kingdom? Can the heirs of the old powers not avoid that their impatience or haste cost them losing the present generation to tribalism or distinction after their fathers gathered all the people of the world under the auspices of the United Nations for them, but instead of them being devoted with their critical thinkers and servants weighting their actions or relations with people in the same way jewelers weigh gold or a mother hen protects its chicks, they are acting worse than a dog that is off-leash and in heat? Can the heirs of the old powers prevent their day of reckoning from arriving when their critical thinkers and servants are arming the people that they are stumbling on with anger and strength instead of self-control and patience? Most importantly, can the heirs of the old powers ensure that people around the world are not distrustful of them when the majority are measuring change in terms of days and not years?

I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers and their principal global policemen, the United States, are counting on making people stay with them and not lean on their own understanding and self-help to increase their happiness if they lose the present generation to tribalism and distinction. What I do know is that the inherent selfish behavior that is preventing the heirs of the old powers and their principal global policemen, the United States, from keeping their word

so they can warrant respect from people around the world, is their preoccupation with setting their minds on things that make the beginning better than the end. In the animal kingdom, most animals are not spontaneous and take their time, especially when they are hunting. Animals know that once they chase prey and fail to make the attack, the same opportunity will not happen again. How are the heirs of the old powers and their principal global policemen, the United States, concluding that if they continue to set their minds on becoming the laughingstock of people around the world and not on gaining respect as their fathers counselled them to be doing so, people would want to stay with them and would want to let have more powers than others that their haste would not cost them their power?

In the next chapter, I plan to delve deeper into the implications of the heirs of the old powers and their principal global policemen, the United States, letting people disrespect them.

## 2

# Passing the evil thought that people harbor to offspring

---

The heirs of the old powers know better than anyone else that WW2 erupted because of the contradictions and disappointment that people were having with the political and economic solutions or the false hopes that their fathers (the old powers) were depending on to rejuvenate their power. Can the heirs of the old powers prevent that people do not develop the same false expectations when their critical thinkers and servants have been adopting strategies since 1945 that let every member of the human family perceive that the lives of some people are worth more than others? Can the heirs of the old powers continue to let their critical thinkers and servants say one thing (i.e., call for peace) and do another (i.e., wage wars) without people withdrawing their obligations to obey them? Can the heirs of the old powers prevent that people do not become lovers of themselves and lovers of money when their critical thinkers and servants preach about human rights, equal rights, and social justice and justify killing and sanctions when people refuse to observe the selective natural and legal rights that they posit and enforce to keep their dominant role or deny people rights to cooperate in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR? Most importantly, if suffering usually produces endurance, disappointment produces determination, and faith produces steadfastness, can the heirs of the old powers avoid that people rebel from them and from the ideas that they depend on to make people stay with them and to let them have more powers than others, such as the ideas of freedom, democracy, human rights, etc., when superiority is not measured by who happens to build the highest skyscraper but by whoever manages to make people speak the same

language? It looks as if all the wars and the resulting demographic change, displacement, migration, etc. that the heirs of the old powers are depending on to keep their dominant position are not helping them to make people want to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others, but rather to accelerate the dismantling of the institutions that their fathers spent hundreds of years to build.

For example, can transforming certain countries, such as Lebanon, Libya, Mexico, etc., into a large refugee camp or transfer facility for people who flee from the civil wars that are waging in their countries, mainly due to the heirs of the old powers refusing to assume their responsibility, make all the people who are aggregating in those holding countries melt away their distinction, thus abandoning their traditions and abandoning being a threat to the heirs of the old powers in the future, when it is in those holding countries where all those host and home people are mixing and learning to not fear them or surrender freedom to them?

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers would be safer continuing to destroy the human progress of the societies that pose a threat to the maintenance of their strength and security without love and order becoming compromised, or if taking a backward step and starting to enforce the strategies that their fathers suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR are a far better plan to rejuvenate their power. That is to say, let people determine their own political and economic solutions for as long as they observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and refrain from having human development institutions (government and international institutions) use the idea of freedom, human rights, equal rights, social justice, etc. as a pretext to rival the private sector. What I do know is that most people are rivaling against one another and seeking to improve their skills at what they know or do best so they can increase their happiness faster. The problem is that pure transcendence does not allow human emotions. Most people want to attain excellence without sharing outcomes on equal rights but while freezing social space, accumulating material things on a cumulative basis, and later sharing them with designated individuals. This situation converts people's relationships into looking like a cup of sugar instead of a cup of water. In other words, when a person looks at a cup filled with sugar, he

thinks that the sugar particles are united and bound together in the same way water molecules are or cement stays bound, but the truth is they are not.

For example, people do not use the idea of government to abolish with such instruments all forms of human poverty and all forms of human injustice, but rather to use the violence that such apparatus can wield to direct its ills at others. For this reason, people's efforts to reduce poverty and social injustice have not improved throughout history beyond new people making constant statements and pledges about how their mindfulness would create a difference, but instead of creating conditions of stability, they add more tyranny and insecurity.

Ahmed Shawqi was an Egyptian poet who suggested that whenever a society's moral values become superficial and loose track, the interlacing that people form will breakdown along the way, leading to its eventual collapse. I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers plan to make sure that their power does not breakdown in the future when the protestors that are participating in the protests that have swept most prestigious universities in the United States and western Europe to demand that rulers and political class stop the ongoing ethnic cleansing that their predecessors began against the Palestinians in Gaza and the rest of the Palestinians territories and in which the Israeli Jews are nothing but the tool who are carrying the killing are all from white ethnic background but not only white, black ethnic background but not only black, Asian ethnic background but not only Asian, Christian ethnic background but not only Christian, Muslim ethnic background but not only Muslim, Buddhist ethnic background but not only Buddhism, etc. But, if, as Ahmed Shawqi suggested, "Nations are only as their ethics remain," then the decision of the heirs of the old powers to not mind that the ongoing killing of Palestinians by the Israeli government be aired on TV screens for everyone to see and hear about instead of keeping this blunt breach of the international rule-based postwar world order a top secret means one of two things. The first is if the arrogance of the heirs of the old powers has reached a point of no return. The second is if the heirs of the old powers believe that there is little they cannot do or have power over.

I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforesaid two could be persuading the heirs of the old powers into perceiving that they are invincible. What I do know is that in the second letter that the apostle Paul wrote to the Corinthians, he suggests that God's power is made perfect in people's weaknesses. [2 Corinthians 12:9] This is very serious and worrisome.

Put differently, if God's power is made perfect in people's weakness, then the more good the heirs of the old powers lock away, the more the cruelty, pain, and ruse that the heirs of the old powers would be depending on to keep their dominant role would be converting people into a tool to accomplish God's exact goals. Can the heirs of the old powers stop people from having values such as love, friendship, equity, tolerance, hospitality, generosity, equality, etc., so the power that such concepts radiate stops to attract them and make them emphasize their importance to increase their happiness, or threatening through their essence at the same time the wealth, power, and status of the old powers when mankind does not have power over such natural values?

For example, I have no way of knowing if the ongoing efforts that the heirs of the old powers are spearheading to have newborns (new people) abandon the values that our predecessors adopted in order to embrace modern concepts that lets people not factor in their relations truth about specific natural distinction or identities, that tend to differentiate us such as gender, color, shape, behavior, etc., is helping the heirs of the old powers to keep their prosperity and endurance, or if the ongoing culture cancellation that is ongoing to make people discard from their relations certain understanding that is deemed by many to be inherent and unique in every person is a sign of their ongoing decline, as biblical scriptures suggest would be happening before any power ultimately falling. What I do know is that the heirs of the old powers appear to think that it would be possible for them to make people get rid of their natural distinctions and then cement them to create ordered relations through the power of technology. In other words, the heirs of the old powers can make people forget that they were created in their own image and that it would be much easier for them to control and command people than to rely on human ingenuity or religion.

I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers are concluding that modernity without godliness, mutual affection, and love can prevent them from being plagued with the same nearsightedness and blindness that their fathers (the old powers) were troubled with until they recognized that only if they rested from wars could they keep their dominant role and therefore are rejecting their appeal. In his second letter to various churches in Asia Minor, the apostle Peter reminds his audience in this epistle about how people become slaves to whatever has mastered them. [2 Peter 3:19] Can the heirs of the old powers make people buy only the stories that they are telling and make them disbelieve what their own eyes are witnessing and their own ears are hearing when the way they are permitting their critical thinkers and servants to interpret the UN Charter and the UDHR speaks a different truth?

Once again, I have no way of knowing if people could develop the necessary will to despise corruption and to surrender freedom to the political order that the heirs of old powers are constantly legitimizing when the bold and depraved ways that their critical thinkers and servants are employing to rejuvenate their power are living in error and are not practicing what they are preaching.

The apostle Peter reminded the various churches in Asia Minor in his second letter to them about letting false teachers scoff at them with stories about how they are spreading the faith, when in reality they are nothing but “springs without water and mists driven by a storm.” [2Peter1 2:17]

I have no way of knowing if the state of peace and security that has been unfolding around the world since 1945 is making people live by the standards suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, or if the decision of the heirs of the old powers to reject the counsel of their fathers to rest from wars and to not let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR would make of them an example to others, just as Pharaoh was according to the Bible. What I do know is that the political and economic solutions that the heirs of the old powers have been adopting since 1945 to create the ordered

relations that they believe could keep them safe do not appear to demonstrate that the truth they are working with is making people want to voluntarily stay with them or that it is factoring in reflections that take into account how the problems that they are creating today are affecting people's readiness to command their respect the next day. This is very serious and worrisome. In other words, if the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers are not seeing what maybe is obvious in the same way Balaam (biblical figure) was not seeing [Numbers 22: 22-35], then if God does not empower a donkey to speak and send it to the heirs of the old powers to warn them about what could be lying ahead on their path for them as he did when he empowered the donkey that Balaam was riding on to do, then the heirs of the old powers could be on their way to where Balaam was riding to, i.e., their destruction, but are unaware that such danger is lurking ahead.

For example, I have no way of knowing what the old powers were planning for the future of the Palestinians (Muslims, Christians, and people with other nationalities) who were living in Palestine when they decided to expel them from their homes or the land that they were living on its surface and were freely disposing of its natural wealth since thousands of years to establish a national home for the Jewish people over it. In other words, the livable social space in Palestine (present-day Israel) is equivalent to half of the state of Massachusetts in the United States (60% of present-day Israel is a desert). Therefore, this livable social space is not vast, so we could say that if the local populations that were living in this territory were expelled from their homes, they could be dispersed in the remaining livable social space in the country, as happened when the European colonists expelled the American natives from their land in the second half of the second millennium. The shortage of livable social space in this territory, which before 1948 was known as Palestine, and after Israel made the Palestinians that the old powers were expelling from their home and land relocate into neighboring countries such as Jordan, Syria, Lebanon, Egypt, and many others. The decisions of the old powers to show pity on the Palestinians and to permit them to immigrate to neighboring countries rather than killing them (since they did not want them to remain in Israel) or making them live in the Negev area (a desert area in southern Israel), so the harsh social life that they would

be knowing, making them forget or give up their plan to return to recuperate their land or homes, has aggravated the problem. This is because all the Palestinians who began marrying in the diaspora doubled the number of people who started to want to return to Israel to recuperate the homes and land that their fathers and grandfathers owned from the Jews who are confiscating them.

That is to say, if the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen, the United States, had read the Bible before agreeing to expel the Palestinians (Muslims, Christians, and people with other nationalities) from their homes and land, or God sent them a donkey to warn them against looking with pity on the Palestinians and not killing them (since they do not want them to have any rights), they would have spared the political gridlock that they created in the last seventy-five years for them, which is achieving nothing to this day but exposing their bias, arrogance, idiocy, and hypocrisy.

Then again, one could expect that the heirs of the old powers would have been wiser after their fathers transferred to them the control and command of the international order and the money supply of the world, because they are living in a new age and act differently, but this does not appear to be the case so far.

For example, I have no way of knowing what the heirs of the old powers are planning for the future of the Palestinians (Muslims, Christians, and people of other nationalities) who are living in the state of Israel and in the diaspora waiting to return to recuperate their homes and land, when none of the efforts that they have made to end the ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict show that they are seriously looking for a solution to this conflict that factors in the best interests of the Palestinians in their plans. What I do know is that since the date the heirs of the old powers took over from their fathers (the western European monarchs and republics) control and command of the international order and extended this practice of expelling Palestinians from their homes and land as their fathers were doing, their plans have not made the Israelis who they employ to do their dirty work, the Palestinians, and people around the world love them or fear them.

To the contrary, the hesitations that the heirs of the old powers are showing by not killing all the Palestinians (since they do not want them to have any rights) appear to be creating terrible consequences for them. Actually, the bold and arrogant bias that the heirs of the old powers and their global policemen, the United States, are portraying in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, especially as of October 8, 2023, which is the date when Israel formally declared war on Hamas in response to the surprise attack that Hamas launched the day before against Israel for what it saw as past Israeli stacks, occupation, arrest of Hamas leaders, isolation, etc., has taken a different turn.

First, the world witnessed a development unheard of in the Israeli-Palestinian problem. People from around the world, along with a good number of governments, sidelined with the Palestinians rights to self-determination or the right to be compensated for the land and homes that they were expelled from instead of condemning the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack and sidelining with the military offensive that the heirs of the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen, the United States are financing to kill all the Palestinians that are living in Gaza and are using the Israeli defense forces as tools. This turn is unheard of or thought possible. That is to say, to have a force (i.e., composed of the western European monarchs and republics and their servants, the United States, Egypt, Jordan, the Arab Gulf countries, and the Israelis, who are only the tool) be killing and securing for the people that they are killing (i.e., the Palestinians) at the same time the rights that the force is denying them and the reason why the force is killing them.

Second, the world appear following the October 7, 2023 Hamas attack, which according to the Palestinian group that launched this inhumane act was done to call attention to their demand to be granted rights to self-determination, and the arrogant and bias response that the heirs of the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen, the United States employed to punish the perpetrators of this attack which the United Nations Charter grant rights to UN member state to carry under article 51 (readers should keep in mind that the Palestinians do not have a full membership status in the UN), to have sparked the start of a new war that is more complex than the economic war that the United States and China are

waging to determine who would be leading the international order in the third millennium. This new war involves how to stop the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants, or later the children of those children, from bringing about their demise in the same way it is suggested in Greek mythology that Perseus levied on his grandfather King Acrisius.

In Greek mythology, it is suggested that King Acrisius imprisoned his daughter Danaë to prevent a prophecy by the oracle of Delphi that her child would bring about his demise. The legends state that the god Zeus impregnated Danaë and that her child later fulfilled the prophecy and killed his grandfather, King Acrisius.

I have no way of knowing why the oracle of Delphi prophesied to King Acrisius that he would be killed at the hands of his only grandchild, Perseus. In other words, I have no way of knowing what King Acrisius might have done to merit that the gods would want that his only grandchild would kill him, not an adversary or die naturally. What I do know is that in the second letter that the apostle Peter wrote to various churches in Asia Minor to suggest to them to observe the teaching of Jesus, he stated that prophecies have never had their origin in the human will, but prophets, though human, spoke from God as they were carried along by the Holy Spirit. [2 Peter 1 1:21]

I have no way of knowing if the double standards or the political bias that the heirs of the old powers have been taking on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and most other conflicts since 1945 were stances that the gods communicated directly to them because of their potential to ward off danger that can touch them, or if the gods chose to communicate such warnings through prophets, such as their critical thinkers and servants. What I do know is that the constant wars that have swept the world after the heirs of the old powers decided to reject the counsel of their fathers (the founding fathers of the UN) of staying faithful to the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and began to let their critical thinkers and servants teach people not to cope unless their neighbors share with them outcomes on equal rights do not appear to be rescuing them (the heirs of the old powers) from having their children or the children of their children not judge them as Perseus judged his grandfather, King Acrisius.

If the political stances or policies that the heirs of the old powers have been employing since 1945 are not making newborns (new people) want to stay with the heirs of the old powers and to let them have more powers than others, then there is a good chance that the heirs of the old powers will not be capable of deflecting away (turning away) the harm that King Acrisius endured at the hands of his grandchild Perseus when evil influences or misfortune caused this unfavorable change that touched him.

Put differently, there is no difference between the killing that the Nazi regime was effecting in gas chambers against Jews during WW2 and the killing of Palestinian men, women, and children as of October 8, 2023, by the heirs of the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen, the United States. This is because the weapons that the Israeli defense forces are using to kill innocent Palestinian men, women, and children are weapons that the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen, the United States, are giving to them. In other words, the Israeli defense forces are nothing but the furnaces (the tools) that the Nazi regime was using to kill Jews. The real killers are the individuals who approved the killing. In the context of this present work, the real killers of the innocent Palestinian men, women, and children who died on October 8, 2023, or Israeli soldiers are the heirs of the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen, the United States. This is because the Palestinian or Israeli men, women, and children who are dying are all being killed with the weapons that the heirs of the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen, the United States, are handing to both.

I have no way of knowing if the Nazi officers who approved the killing of innocent Jews during WW2, just as the heirs of the old powers and their servants, the United States, Egypt, Jordan, the Arab Gulf countries, and the Israelis, who are only the tool, have been doing since October 8, 2023, killing Palestinian or Israeli men, women, and children, expecting the fate that was lurking ahead in response to the heinous crimes that they were committing.

What I do know is that if the popularity of the heirs of the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen, the United States, continues to shrink and history repeats itself, two scenarios are going to be in store for them if they continue to reject their fathers's appeal to rest from wars and behave like Balaam, King Acrisius, King Saul or Pharaoh (all biblical figures). The first is if a godly hand would remove the heirs of the old powers from their glory in the same way that God Zeus removed King Acrisius from his power, according to Greek mythology. The second is if a mighty hand from here on earth would remove the heirs of the old powers from their glory in the same way the allied powers removed the leaders of the Nazi regime from power during WW2.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if any one of the aforesaid two scenarios will confront the heirs of the old powers in the future if they continue to reject their fathers's appeal to rest from wars and behave like Balaam, King Acrisius, King Saul or Pharaoh (all biblical figures) were all doing. What I do know is that as of October 8, 2023, people from all nationalities and walks of life are questioning the double standards of the heirs of the old powers, and demanding that they improve accountability and democratic legitimacy.

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers would start to enhance transparency in the future or continue on practicing what they found their fathers depending on to keep their dominant role before WW2 erupted. What I do know is that it is suggested that in Greek antiquity, the daughters of Phorcys (a primordial sea god) and Ceto (a primordial goddess), were able to turn anyone who looked at them to stone.

Once again, I have no way of knowing what this power that the three daughters of Phorcys and Ceto possessed to turn anyone who looked at them to stone means. In other words, I do not know if their powers to turn anyone who looked at them to stone were a power to weaken the person who would be transformed into stone or to protect the person who gets converted into stone from any weapon. What I do know is that if the heirs of the old powers continue to reject the counsel of their fathers to rest from wars and to let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested

in the UN Charter and the UDHR, they can expect their defiance to produce two scenarios. The first is that the double standards, arrogance, hypocrisy, and most importantly, the idiocy and inexperience, whatsoever, in political efficacy that they (the heirs of the old powers) are demonstrating since they took over the command and control of the international order from their fathers would be turning people into stones (i.e., making them uninterested in laying their own lives to protect them), just as the three daughters of Phorcys and Ceto were capable of turning anyone who looked at them into becoming, i.e., a stone. The second is if their behavior would give people the power to protect them by turning their loyalty into something as tough as stone.

I have no way of knowing if the political stances that the heirs of the old powers have taken since the date they took command and control of the international order are turning people into loyal or disloyal elements. What I do know is that the increased public pressure that people are putting on their rulers and political class to make them stop prioritizing the agenda of the individuals (corporations) who make the most financial contribution to their political campaign over the agenda that is included in the UN Charter and the UDHR does not appear to support that the heirs of the old powers are factoring in their plans the consequence of people distrusting their ability to change the international order to the better on the maintenance of their strength and stability.

For example, in Greek mythology, certain gods empowered mortals to fly, to fight with magical swords, or to become invisible, thus enabling them to deflect or ward away danger. I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers can transform into invisible beings to avert any threatening danger or calamity. What I do know is that if the heirs of the old powers have not developed the power to transform into being invisible until now and are refusing to use their knowledge to backtrack from letting their emotions determine political stances and only doing the right things, they may not be capable of warding off the cold hearts that would be thriving around them. This is very serious and worrisome.

Put differently, if the political solutions that the heirs of the old powers are enforcing are making people less kind and more arrogant and disobedient, then the longer the heirs of the old reject the counsel of their fathers to rest from wars and to let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, the more the new people that would be taking over from the generation that would be relying on its personal power and conscience already to determine natural and legal rights would be growing as well. Accordingly, the more people (or future generations) reject truth and regard the political order legitimized as not obligatory to observe, the greater the fear that people would be knowing would be shrinking the number of people who are benefiting from the political order that is enforced and turning human relations into becoming "solitary, poor, nasty, brutish, and short." Many questions beg answers. For one, how could we be sure that if people around the world continue to distrust their political rulers and political class, and the number of people who would be against wanting to benefit from the political order enforced, just as our rulers and political class are now refusing to surrender freedom to the UN Charter and the UDHR, that our children or the children of our children would want to let the children of the heirs of the old powers stay in power and have more power than them?

I do not know the answer to this aforesaid question. What I do know is that in the Quran in Surah Al-Isra, it is suggested that if a person (rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) acts rightly, it will be for their own good, but if they do wrong, it will be their own loss. [Isra 17:7].

In the next verse of the same Surah, it is suggested that if a person (rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) acts wrongly (i.e., behaves arrogantly and shamelessly), God may have mercy on those who would do wrong or cause mischief. [Isra 17:8]. This aforesaid suggestion included in the Quran about how God may deal with people who act wrongly is analogous to what the apostle Paul, in his second letter to the various churches in Asia Minor, also suggested. [see 2 Peter 1 3:9]

Then again, in the second part of verse 17:8, it is suggested that when an individual (rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) chooses to

continue to do wrong or to rely on wrong to get his ways despite the resistance of others, God will give his enemies power over him until his enemies disgrace him. That is not all; in the same second part of verse 17:8, it is also suggested that the wrath that the individual (rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) who chooses to do wrong and God decides to punish him does not stop at God giving his enemies power over him, but if the person who is doing wrong does not repent, God would be giving a second, third, or nth time his enemies power over him until he is utterly destroyed and his power is handed to new people.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how God prepares painful punishment for the people (rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) who refuse to be patient, do good, and do what is most upright, including replacing them even with others.

Then again, man was granted the freedom of choice but denied the right to impose outcomes. Could the path that man charts for himself also play a deciding factor in the type of punishment a person could expect?

I have no way of knowing what type of punishment the heirs of the old powers could reap for their refusal to demand from their critical thinkers and servants to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and not be bound by their personal power and conscience. This is because the heirs of the old powers can impose the outcome that they want. In other words, the power of the heirs of the old powers is equivalent to the power of King Nebuchadnezzar (a biblical figure). They (the heirs of the old powers) can put to death, those they wanted be put to death; they can spare, those they wanted be spared; they can promote, those they wanted be promoted; they can humble, those they wanted be humbled. Their (the heirs of the old powers) dominion does not resemble the case that happens whenever a person rolls a dice. When a person rolls dice, the probability of rolling the same number two or three times consecutively usually shrinks when this test is carried out. In the case of the heirs of the old powers, they can roll the same number indeterminately.

Accordingly, the suggestion made in the Quran by the Islamic prophet Muhammad about how God repeatedly punishes or disgraces those who do wrong until utterly destroying them cannot be a burden that events that they can control can motivate, but rather events that they do not have control over. Put differently, the punishment or disgracing of the heirs of the old powers cannot happen in the same way a gambler loses his money incrementally until becoming bankrupt, but the humbling has to happen in the same way the Bible suggests King Nebuchadnezzar (a biblical figure) was humbled (i.e., by having his sanity taken away from him).

For example, before King Nebuchadnezzar was humbled, his greatness had grown until it reached the sky, and his dominion extended the distant parts of the earth. [Daniel 4:22] In other words, no one could displace him or drive him out of his power. That is to say, he was not like a gambler who, for as long as he was winning, was surrounded by friends, but then when he lost his money, everyone left him and stayed away from him. King Nebuchadnezzar could print all the banknotes he wanted. He was never going to run out of money or ideas to buy people or to bury in the basket of history the injustice that his critical thinkers and servants are committing to protect them, just as the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers are doing as of 1945.

If no matter what people say and do, they would not be able to stop the aggression, violence, persecution, and mischief that the heirs of the old powers are depending on to keep their dominant role, as the more their military superiority and wealth advance, the harder their savagery would be getting for their adversaries to destroy them, then only one impotence could put an end to their reign. This impotence can only be due to them continuing to do the opposite of what King Nebuchadnezzar (a biblical figure) was not doing and the reason why he was humbled. This was to renounce his sins by doing what is right and his wickedness by being kind to the oppressed. [Daniel 4:27] That is to say, if the heirs of the old powers do not want to be humbled, they need to renounce continuing to show pity while doing good so they start to do what is right and renounce claiming to be virtuous and mindful about human rights so they stop using such a pretext to be unkind to people. Put differently, if the heirs of the old powers want to

continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others or their prosperity to continue, they need to start doing what comes to any natural species, including human beings, naturally to survive and remain on top of the food chain, and not be constantly, struggling, reckoning as they have been doing since 1945, including showing pity toward their critical thinkers and servants in particular.

For example, when a lion takes over a pride, he usually kills or pushes out of the pride all the cubs that were fathered by his competitors. The lion does not teach, train, or work with the cubs of the male lions that he kept in the pride on how to keep his dominant role. Could the insanity that the heirs of the old powers ill with be letting their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from the work program that their fathers counselled them to ensure that those helpers observe, and to make matters worse, let the children of their critical thinkers and servants do the same instead of killing them, or pushing them out as lions usually do?

I have no way of knowing if the insanity that the heirs of the old powers are ill with is entwined in their unpreparedness to do what comes to lions perhaps naturally or if their inability to stabilize the international order since 1945 is entwined in something else, such as overpopulation, environmental degradation, globalization, etc., as their critical thinkers and servants try to justify all the time. What I do know is that only two drawbacks could be motivating the global challenges that are preventing people from surrendering freedom to the political order that was suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. The first is if the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers are having lurking aspirations that go beyond executing orders (counting on being always the number 2). The second is if the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers are not the right people for the job. Both of those two drawbacks are predicated on whether or not the critical thinkers and servants are regarding themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and on the efforts that they are making to learn on the job.

Accordingly, since for as long as the heirs of the old powers permit their critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR or do

not kill their children as lions do, then the heirs of the old powers cannot prevent their critical thinkers and servants from having lurking aspirations that go beyond executing orders (counting on being always the number 2) or from transferring to their children their power. This also means the longer the heirs of the old powers take to overthrow their critical thinkers and servants from power or kill their children, the faster the demise of the heirs of the old powers would be nearing.

Indeed, the constant wars that have swept the world in recent years are a result of the heirs of the old powers not commanding respect from their critical thinkers and servants. This lack of respect is permitting those helpers (the critical thinkers and servants) to take their arrogance and hypocrisy to extremes, instead of being good shepherds. In fact, instead of the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers serving them with great humility and keeping watch as shepherds do over flock to prevent that wolves come in and attack, the unpreparedness of the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers to be a good shepherd has motivated their own children to protest against the way they are distorting the truth since 1945. Could the heirs of the old powers keep watch and prevent that defeat that King Acrisius endured at the hands of his only grandson Perseus from happening to them?

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers will finish the race and hand to their children their power, wealth, and status. What I do know is that in the literature, it is suggested that in a competition between two male lions, black mane lions have a higher level of testosterone and are usually a more powerful beast than a blonde mane male. I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers have a higher level of testosterone than their critical thinkers and servants or if the other way is the case. What I do know is that the heirs of the old powers are not putting their critical thinkers and servants since 1945 in their place in the same way the dominant lion in charge in a coalition of lions usually does. That is to say, the heirs of the old powers do not appear to be making people around the world inclined to want to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others after they let in their critical thinkers and servants in their business.

For example, instead of the old powers initially or their heirs afterwards, acting as of 1945 responsively or in the same way a dominating lions in a pride or a coalition of lions do it, and putting the Israeli Jews and the Palestinians in place (despite the rights of the Palestinians for self-defense in accordance with article 51 of the UN Charter because they are the ones who were expelled from their land and homes), the heirs of the old people perceive that if they convert the Israeli-conflict into a cat and mouse game, or a table tennis game whereby each rivaling society takes turns at desecrating the UN Charter or the UDHR that such disregard will not have any bearing or long-lasting consequences on the maintenance of their strength and stability.

Table tennis is “a sport where players take alternating turns hitting a lightweight ball back and forth across a table.” Indeed, the manner in which the heirs of the old powers are supporting the Israeli Jews (i.e., the Jewish settlers who immigrated to Palestine known as Israel as of 1948) and letting them and the Palestinians take alternating turns disregarding the UN Charter or the UDHR is both entertaining and keeping all the people who make their living from this on-going conflict or follow it wanting to know who will defeat the other first. Of course, each rivaling society is resorting to lawlessness for a different reason.

The Israeli Jews are killing Palestinians to deny the Palestinians rights to return and repossess the land and homes they were expelled from following the decision of the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) to let the Jews use Palestine to build a Jewish homeland. The Palestinians are killing Israeli Jews because the Israeli Jews are denying them the right to self-determination in their own homeland after the old powers used their power and superiority to expel them (the Palestinians) from their homes, denied them the rights to freely dispose of its natural wealth and resources, and changed the name of their homeland from Palestine to Israel.

Accordingly, if the old powers initially, or their heirs afterwards, really wanted the Israeli-conflict to end, they would have used their power and superiority to make territorial adjustments in Palestine (called Israel as of 1948), so the Palestinians would form a sovereign state

within this geographical area in accordance with their wishes. Alternatively, grant the Palestinians the right to integrate into the political order of the state of Israel on equal rights. Those two suggested measures would have avoided all the killing that ensued since the start of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, culminating in the ongoing Israeli-Hamas (Palestinian) war that erupted following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack. Most importantly, they (the old powers initially or their heirs later) would have given people a reason to start to believe that the allegations suggested in the biblical scriptures about the Jews being arrogant, defiant, and insidious were not true. Additionally, the old powers initially or their heirs later would be sticking it to those who profit from wanting that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict stay unresolved to squeeze every piece of profit that they can out of it, and in this way, they would support how the war aims of the old powers (the victors of WW2) are not possible to secure.

Indeed, the ongoing Israeli-Hamas (Palestinian) war that erupted following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack is just another epic in this ongoing dramatic play (conflict) between the Israelis and the Palestinians that began officially in 1948.

This present epic shows the level of violence that such an ongoing game of alternating turns of disregard for the UN Charter and the UDHR by the players (i.e., the Israelis and the Palestinians), and the promoters (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) can take and the consequences that this contempt can have on all the spectators (i.e., the human family).

Competition between natural species such as animals or humans is not uncommon, and therefore, the need of the Israeli to resort to violence to deny the Palestinians right to self-determination is not something out of the ordinary, nor is the need of the Palestinians to resort to such recourse unjustified, particularly since the Israeli-Jews are denying them right to self-determination in defiance of the wishes of all members of the human family as of 1945 to end domination and to recognize the inherent dignity and equal and inalienable rights of every individual to freedom, justice, and peace.

Accordingly, the question that begs answers cannot be about knowing if the Israelis will defeat the Palestinians in this ongoing table tennis game or if the other way would be the case (i.e., if the Palestinians will defeat the Israelis). Rather, how are the heirs of the old powers concluding that letting this savagery that those two players are demonstrating in their fending to determine who could defeat the other first would not have dire consequences on the maintenance of their strength and stability if it continues to be dividing spectators (i.e., the human family), as per the way its scenes, acts, or epics appear to be increasingly doing?

For example, sports games usually keep many fans on edge. On a number of occasions, competitors or fans become emotional, and the slightest verbal exchange can escalate into a fight or a violent brawl between players or fans (or players and fans) that is usually divided and charged. How are the heirs of old powers concluding that if they continue to adopt political and economic policies that divide people as they have been doing since 1945, the same confrontations that happen in sporting events between players or fans would not also happen on the outside, thus its drawback could have drastic consequences on the maintenance of their strength and stability? This is because the brawls that people are having on the outside of sporting complexes are not about who is playing by the rule and who is not, but rather about who is ready to accept being the number 2 and never the number 1 and who is not.

If the heirs of the old powers believe that they can defend their power, wealth, and fame better if they rely on divide and rule instead of the work program that their fathers suggested to them in the UN Charter and the UDHR, this means that the heirs of the old powers believe that they can make people not stand their ground and transform their motion into a form of energy that resembles the power that is packed in waves but without this power carrying any weight. That is to say, the heirs of the old powers believe they can transform the energy that people pack into behaving or resembling the way that happens when spectators stand and sit (without leaving their respective seats) in a continuous order in a sports stadium so as to make a wave, as seen from a distance, but without the energy that is created in this moving up and down motion having any weight.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers are concluding that it would be possible to transform the power or the energy that people create or pack into becoming weightless, when humans appear to find the will to behave in this way only when truth is prioritized over falsehood. Every other time (i.e., when people prioritize falsehood), they appear to pack power and transmit energy in the same way the energy in water waves behaves and travels (i.e., without water actually traveling) and even the way motion energy behaves. In fact, it would not be erroneous to say that all forms of potential and kinetic energy pile up in people when they feel betrayed (derided) or when they start to rely on their personal power and conscience to get their way despite the resistance of others.

People who own beachfront property know that the energy that water waves store is destructive to property and human lives. In like manner, no ruler or king managed to build fortified strongholds that are capable of preventing the energy that people pack in their hearts when they felt betrayed (derided) or when they started to rely on their personal power and conscience to get their ways despite the resistance of others from going through such defenses and to devastate them. Can the heirs of the old powers build fortified walls around every area of their lives when their critical thinkers and servants are roaming, teaching people why they should stop coping unless their neighbors share with them outcomes on equal rights, and they (the heirs of the old powers) are roaming, looking for the tiniest breach that destabilizes their strength and stability to patch it?

I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers are learning the importance of guarding their fortified strongholds secure when instead of resting from wars as of 1945 and enhancing transparency and democratic legitimacy so all members of the human family could recognize their commitment to secure the better standards of life in larger freedom that they said they are uniting all people in the aftermath of WW2 to achieve and be ready to wanting to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others, they decided to let their emotions chart their future. For example, instead of being bold and courageous in the aftermath of the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks and killing all the Palestinians since they have been against granting

them any rights since 1948 or redrawing the map of the Middle East so they give them (the Palestinians) a homeland, they (the heirs of the old powers) decided to prove to the whole world that they are not an important force for peace and human development but rather a total ineffective, biased, and corrupt force. As of October 7, 2023, they (the heirs of the old powers) decided with their global policemen, the United States, to take sides and to encourage the Israeli Jews to deal shrewdly with the Palestinians that carried out this attack, knowing that the whole world except them knows that it is because of their arrogance that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict has not been resolved until now.

In the Bible, it is suggested that God was meddling in the affairs of people in the early days. He (God) was taking sides and looking favorably on some people more than others. The Bible states that God heard the groaning of the Israelites and saw the way the Egyptians were enslaving them. Accordingly, He (God) decided to sideline with the Israelites and to bring them out of the yoke of the Egyptians. However, the Bible states that God remained invisible the whole time he was helping the Israelites defeat Pharaoh. He (God) remained invisible when he was helping the Israelites defeat the societies that were slowing them down and putting obstacles ahead of them to prevent them from reaching the spacious land that the Bible states he had taken them out of Egypt to inhabit quickly.

As of 1948, none of the appeals that the Palestinians made initially to the old powers or later to their heirs to demand that the Israeli-Jews stop oppressing them and to let them either return to their homes and land to repossess it or to compensate them for it have remained unanswered until today. Could the old powers and their heirs be upholding toward the Palestinians the same hardened heart that Pharaoh was demonstrating when he was refusing to treat the Israelites humanely.

All the kings who built their kingdoms on earth and not in heaven, were humiliated and destroyed when they acted toward others in the same way Pharaoh and his chiefs were looking at and treating the Israelites. Are the heirs of the old powers sure that it would be possible for them to go on treating the Palestinians (and others) with

the same bias and arrogance that they have been showing since 1945 and still avoid having people around the world gang on them and humiliate them in the same way they humiliated the kings who acted in this way, knowing that their kingdoms were built on earth and not in heaven? Can the heirs of the old powers avoid the plunders or setbacks that Pharaoh confronted if they continue to let this “table tennis” game between the Israeli Jews and Palestinians (Ukrainians and Russians, etc.) go on and influence the emotions of people around the world, including dividing them, instead of ending this charade once and for all so people can move on to better and more important things? Is it not long overdue for the heirs of the old powers to kill the Palestinians once and for all (or grant rights to the Israeli Jews to kill all of them) since they do not want them to have access to the self-determination rights that they granted the rest of the people around the world, including the Israelites?

I have no way of knowing why the heirs of the old powers are not ordering the liquidation (killing) of all the Palestinians following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks against the people of Israel. This act will stop the Palestinians from continuing to conspire against them (the heirs of the old powers) and the people of Israel. The aforesaid action by the heirs of the old powers will also stop the Palestinians from being their enemy and source of grief, as Moses was for Pharaoh, particularly since the heirs of the old powers have been, as was the case with their fathers, against giving the Palestinians any rights to self-determination in accordance with international laws since the end of World War I.

Then again, the unwillingness of the heirs of the old powers to kill all the Palestinians, preferring instead to make them live bitterly as Pharaoh was doing with the Israelites, could be a curse on them just as Moses was for Pharaoh instead of a bliss. If the Palestinians are a curse for the heirs of the old powers in the same way Moses was for Pharaoh, how can we be sure that the defeat that Pharaoh experienced at the hands of Moses would not also be a fate that would await the heirs of the old powers if they continue to oppress the Palestinians (and others) and refuse to instruct the Israeli Jews to grant them rights to self-determination in the same way all members of the human family are enjoying?

I do not know the answer to the aforementioned question. What I do know is that when Moses was transgressing and rebelling against Pharaoh, the Bible suggests that God told him that he would be with him [Exodus 3:12] and that he would be telling him what to say (Exodus 4:11). That is to say, God was empowering and assisting Moses to defeat Pharaoh and his critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, judges, officers, etc.).

As of 1948, no person claimed that God appeared to him and inspired (empowered) him to rise and to humiliate and defeat the heirs of the old powers on account of their refusal to grant the Palestinians (or others) the right to self-determination or to treat the Palestinians (or others) on the basis of the same standards that the rest of the human family members are enjoying.

Then again, even if no person has admitted that God had spoken to him and empowered him to humiliate and defeat the heirs of the old powers by selectively applying the provisions of the UN Charter or the UDHR instead of universally applying them on the basis of equality, this sign cannot be a condition. This is because all the kingdoms that collapsed after the destruction of Pharaoh or the arrival of the Israelites in the promised land happened without God meddling directly in them. However, even though God did not have a direct hand in the events that led to the collapse of the kingdoms that perished, God is known to be a force that works in mysterious ways, which no one has managed to reckon with so far.

For example, the Bible states that the king of Jericho was living in this land, that God had commissioned Joshua after the death of Moses to lead the Israelites into it, and that the king of Jericho was not ready to give this land up to the Israelites. The Bible states that God intervened and planted fear and confusion in the hearts of the king of Jericho and his army, making them give up this land without putting up a fight.

If it is possible for fear and confusion to humiliate a person, then there is a good chance that the heirs of the old powers endure the same humiliation and defeat that the king of Jericho and his armies tasted at the hands of Joshua and the Israelites. Pharaoh and his chiefs tasted the

same disgrace when Pharaoh refused to treat the Israelites on the basis of the same standards that the Egyptians were enjoying, but via God using different types of wonders. If the heirs of the old powers continue to be with their critical thinkers and servants, unprepared to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and events do repeat, there is a good chance that they could face the same disgracing acts that the Bible states the King of Jericho and Pharaoh dealt with. The question that begs answers is: how? In other words, if, for example, God decides to exalt the Palestinians (or others) above the heirs of the old powers for their decision to make their lives bitter, in the same way he exalted the Israelites when Pharaoh was persecuting and oppressing the Israelites, how are the heirs of the old powers concluding that they could circumvent God if they do not do the right thing and kill the Palestinians (and others) to prevent them from joining their enemies if a war breaks out, instead of granting them the right to self-determination, or compensating them for the homes and land that they were expelled from so they can move on with their lives?

Once again, I do not know the answer to the aforementioned question. What I do know is that all the kingdoms that collapsed after God stopped to meddle directly in the affairs of humans, as he was doing with the Israelites in antiquity, happened in two ways. The first happened after each time citizens refused to obey their rulers or the political order, either for motives of distrust or loss of motivation. The second was caused by a ruler's own self-destruction. In other words, rulers perceived that the outcome that their deeds were generating was proper to rejuvenate their power, and their false conviction was preventing them from recognizing that those outcomes were deviating them further from the right way, and leading them toward their destruction.

In my opinion, the likelihood of God hindering the power, wealth, and status of the heirs of the old powers and making their deeds appeal to them is highly unlikely. This is because after man (i.e., Adam and Eve) disobeyed God (i.e., ate from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil), and his eyes became opened, and he became like God, knowing good and evil [Genesis 3:4], man (mankind) stopped being

unsuccessful in most of what he planned, and his reliance on his reason or human ingenuity began to improve his fortune consistently.

However, if, despite how much the eyes of man became opened and mankind managed to be like God, knowing good and evil, no kingdom managed to prevent its “death” after its rulers and chiefs, judges, officials, etc., began to deviate away from the counsel of God (or their own constitutions) on what they needed to be doing to be successful (i.e., not relying on their reason to distinguish between what is right and what is wrong but relying on God’s laws only), then this unfortunate fate that happened to those kingdoms that perished could happen to the heirs of the old powers. This is because the heirs of the old powers have been doing since 1945 exactly what the rulers and kings of the kingdom that collapsed were doing before they were resized. This was using their awareness and knowledge to achieve nothing but how to make the weak consider their opinion before taking action on their own, instead of being careful and doing everything written in the laws they legitimize, so the weak do not join their enemies if a war breaks out. In addition, if we factor in how history appears to repeat itself whenever a person prioritizes falsehood over truth, then the likelihood of the heirs of the old powers becoming resized becomes a matter of time (i.e., when the patience of people with them would run out) instead of a matter of probability or possibility (i.e., whether such an event could happen or not).

Put differently, hence the longer the heirs of the old powers consider themselves as the measure of all things and plan for the present instead of the future, the further their own deeds would be deviating them from the right way and pushing people reciprocally to distrust them, then the more the heirs of the old powers rely on force to get their ways despite the resistance of others, the harder things should be getting for them (the heirs of the old powers) to make people want to stay with them and to let them have more power than others. And since the longer the heirs of the old powers do not appoint or elect the most qualified person to govern but rather use the idea of democracy and social justice to appoint or elect the most acceptable, this means that the more the heirs of the old powers appoint the wrong critical thinkers and servants (presidents, kings, chiefs, judges, and officials) to help them rejuvenate their power widens, the greater people’s conviction of

betraying them to increase their happiness farther should be growing. This is because people's commitments to increase their happiness are not an option but a decision. To date, few rulers or kings managed to prevail over the will, especially when this aptitude made up its mind or took a decision.

In addition, hence, of all the events that kings fear happening to them the most, the thought of losing their firstborn or children usually ranks among their worse fears, the heirs of the old powers may not be able to avoid that their enemies kill their firstborn or children, as happened to all the kings who converted the enforcement of the laws (standards and norms) that they were depending on to keep their dominant role into an unending process instead of a full transformative way of living.

Indeed, a large part of the circumstances that are motivating the insecurity that swept the world as of 1945 are tangled in the means and ways that the heirs of the old powers are depending on to lock good away from people or to transfer the material things that they freeze to their children. The remaining part could be due to people's inherent animalistic nature. People cannot be wicked by nature because the majority know to share their wealth, power, and prestige with the person that they want to mate with. Likewise, people know that when they get old and weak, their competitors could try to strip them of their glory and, therefore, put so much emphasis on the importance of children showing gratitude toward parents to prevent that from happening. What could be persuading the heirs of the old powers to reject the counsel of their fathers to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR is tangled mainly in their unpreparedness to admit that mankind was not created to exploit nature and to delegate his role to tools, but for man to water the land with the sweat of his brow, as suggested in the Bible [Genesis 3:19].

Accordingly, since for as long as the heirs of the old reject the counsel of their fathers to let people determine their own political and economic solutions and favor having chiefs and rulers promise balloters to distance them from having to eat food which they sweat to plant if they elect them into a public office, then we cannot expect that people stop to plant thorns and thistles in each other path to prevent the weakest from telling the fittest what to do. And since there is

nothing that hurts a king or a ruler more than to see his firstborn killed, thus preventing through such a distressing act a king or a ruler from transferring his power, wealth, and status to his own kinship, the chances of the enemies of the heirs of the old powers or God punishing them with killing their children or having their children be of no benefit to them is not something that could be underestimated.

For example, when God decided to rescue the Israelites from the Egyptians, the Bible states that God made Moses revolt against Pharaoh, whom he adopted into his household, then killed Pharaoh's firstborn to the firstborn of his slaves. In Greek mythology, Zeus, the god of the sky and thunder, empowered Perseus to kill his grandfather, King Acrisius. As of 1945, the heirs of the old powers have been rejecting the counsel of their fathers to have their critical thinkers and servants not regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and are applying the provisions (laws) suggested in those two standards to people (societies) unequally. Could the heirs of the old powers protect their children and avoid that God or their enemies not harm their firstborn when history has been in its entirety about nothing but different people killing the firstborn of their competition?

Then again, coins have two faces. Pharaoh and King Acrisius are the perpetrators of their own self-destruction. That is to say, God or Zeus, may have had little to do with their destructions. If Pharaoh did not spare the life of Moses and adopted him into his household after his daughter found him, which was in violation of what he had commanded all midwives in Egypt to do during childbirth (i.e., to kill any Hebrew newborn that would be a boy and let the newborn live if it was a girl), then he would not have given God such an upper hand over him. In other words, Moses would not have been able to become Pharaoh's enemy and his source of grief instead of joy. Likewise, if King Acrisius killed his daughter instead of imprisoning her or later putting her and his grandchild, after she gave birth, in a chest and throwing them into the sea, he would have prevented the prophecy of the Oracle of Delphi from happening.

Hence, the reason that appears to have led to the collapse of Pharaoh and his chiefs (and other kings) was not due to God taking any preemptive strike against him to prevent him from continuing to stay

in power but rather to Pharaoh's own unpreparedness to give the best of himself and uphold the laws that he legitimized, then if the heirs of the old powers continue to be doing what is not right as they have been doing since 1945, their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants cannot be growing up ready to labor and toil as the Bible suggests Noah was ready to do to help his parents [Genesis 5:28], but will continue to prioritize disregard and contempt.

In the Bible, it is suggested that when people's wickedness increased, God's heart was troubled, and he put an end to all people, with the exception of Noah and his family. [Genesis 7:17-24] In addition, the Bible states that God pledged not to curse the ground or destroy all living creatures, as he did because of humans. [Genesis 8:21] However, the Bible suggests that God would be demanding an accounting from people who refuse to be upright. [Genesis 9:5]

The heirs of the old powers have not been acting justly since 1945, and therefore, we could expect that God would demand an accounting from them if we factor in that half the world population believes in the idea of the existence of a creator for this world and who is keeping a watch over everything. The question that begs answers is: how? In other words, how could God punish the heirs of the old powers for rejecting the counsel of their fathers to rest from wars in the aftermath of WW2 or observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR when God stopped stretching his hands and striking with wonders those who have not obeyed his laws (or the UN Charter and the UDHR) for a long time already? That is to say, if God decides to stay indifferent about the exploitation, killing, and corruption that the heirs of the old powers have allowed since 1945 and chooses to not stretch his hands and strike the heirs of the old powers with an act of judgment as he did with Pharaoh by stepping up wonders until killing his firstborn, how could such defeat be brought on them? Likewise, if God does not plant fear and confusion in the hearts of the heirs of the old powers as he did in the hearts of the king of Jericho and his chiefs when Joshua and the Israelites were about to take possession of a land he (God) promised to give them, how could such defeat be brought on them? Similarly, if God decides to let the acts of self-destruction that the heirs of the old powers would be bringing upon themselves take their toll until exacting the necessary justice, how could such defeat be

brought on them? Most importantly, if God decides to have the hearts of the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants become hardened and be of no benefit to their fathers until their separation or division would make them not see eye to eye, how could such a process happen?

I have no way of knowing how such disgrace could fall upon the heirs of the old powers if they continue to let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and not judge them equitably. What I do know is that in the Bible, God appears to have employed two methods to overwhelm and humiliate those who try to set themselves above others and refuse to obey his instructions. God was either delegating tasks or executing tasks directly. For example, when Pharaoh refused to change his way (behavior) toward the Israelites or to let them leave Egypt, God worked through the intermediary of Moses (and his brother Aaron). He (God) recruited Moses to lead the Israelites out of Egypt and then sent him to Pharaoh to demand that he free the Israelites from bondage or face defeat and destruction. In addition, the Bible states that when Pharaoh's arrogance started to make things difficult for Moses to accomplish what God had commissioned him to do, God compelled Pharaoh to let the Israelites go out of Egypt by empowering Moses to perform signs that only God or the Gods were perceived to be capable of doing. For example, the Bible suggests that God had empowered Moses to a supernatural level that made the wonders that he was performing in front of Pharaoh to get him to accept letting the Israelites leave Egypt start to make Pharaoh see him as a God [Exodus 7:1]. In fact, the Bible suggests that the signs and wonders that Moses was performing to make Pharaoh and his officials listen and accept letting the Israelites go were setting him apart from what the Egyptian magicians were capable of doing with their secret arts. Moses was commanding a mightier hand. On the other hand, after Moses died and God commissioned Joshua to lead the Israelites to the promised land, the Bible suggests God employed a different method to disgrace or defeat the people who were not observing his instructions or setting themselves above others. For example, God did not make Joshua or the Israelites perform miracles or wonders in front of the king of Jericho or his critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, judges, officials,

magicians, etc.) to make them disposed to let them take possession of the promised land, but carried out this humiliation or defeat of the kingdom of Jericho directly himself. As I said before, the Bible suggests that God made the heart of the King of Jericho and his chief melt with fear to the point of no longer having the courage to face the Israelites. [Joshua 5:1]

If God's mighty hand is capable of rolling away reproach from the hands of people (i.e., Joshua's example) or commissioning people to do his will instead (i.e., Moses's example), then if God decides to meddle and disgrace the heirs of the old powers for refusing to stay faithful to the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, He could be doing it either way. Since 1945 (i.e., the date when the latest international rule-based postwar world order was launched), no person from among those who claimed to have been commissioned by God to stop those who turn to the right or to the left from the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR has carried out acts of judgment against the heirs of the old powers that support the idea that God really recruited them to disgrace or punish them for refusing to obey the provisions (laws) suggested in those two standards.

Accordingly, if God decided to overwhelm and humiliate the heirs of the old powers for their ongoing unequal treatment of people in the aftermath of WW2 and is taking matters into his own hands in the same way he dealt with the king of Jericho, then the sign and wonder that he must be doing to warn or disgrace the heirs of the old powers for refusing to treat all people equally and not apply the laws selectively must be non-physical acts and not physical as he was having Moses do.

For example, the Bible suggests that when God killed the firstborn son of Pharaoh, who sits on the throne, to the firstborn son of the female slave in Egypt, his spirit passed through Egypt and carried out this judgment [Exodus 12:12], and this killing was not done as per how people kill each other, either with their own hands or weapons. Similarly, when God arranged that confusion and fear spread in the hearts of the King of Jericho and his army, this act did not happen by way of God organizing events that spread disorder.

In cooking, yeast is a leavening agent that is used in doughs to cause a rising or foaming action. When God arranged that his spirit pass and kill all the firstborn in Egypt, or spread confusion in the hearts of people in Jericho, he must have done this sign or wonder in the same way a leavening agent works.

Accordingly, if God is disgracing or punishing the heirs of the old powers for refusing to take a rest from wars and focusing on improving accountability and democratic legitimacy, he has to be demeaning the heirs of the old powers in the same way leavening agents such as yeast or sodium bicarbonate work. The only difference is that instead of deeds that the heirs of the old powers leavening their power, wealth, and status, their result is doing the opposite. That is to say, unleavening or shrinking their power, wealth, and status until the control and command of the international order are stripped from them altogether and handed to new people.

I have no way of knowing from where to start to rank actions that have the potential to be humbling or disgracing to the heirs of the old powers for refusing to take a rest from wars and granting rights to their critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter. What I do know is that following the September 11, 2001, Twin Towers attack, George W. Bush, the 43<sup>rd</sup> President of the United States, claimed that “he was on a mission from God” to end injustice and tyranny around the world. He accused Afghanistan and Iraq of threatening the world’s ongoing efforts to secure the UN goals and launched a total war against those two countries in what became known around the world as “the United Nations war on terror,” due to the large number of UN member states that the United States and the western European nations managed to ally with to participate in those two military interventions. In fact, in the same way that Moses died before he managed to bring the Israelites to the promised land and God designated Joshua to replace him, the new president that replaced George W. Bush when his presidential term expired (i.e., Barack Hussein Obama II) continued with this war on terror to end injustice and tyranny around the world that his predecessor started.

Then again, the humiliating way in which the United States and the rest of the UN member states who allied with George W. Bush and later his successor Barack Hussein Obama II, the 44<sup>th</sup> President of the United States) were kicked out of Iraq and Afghanistan following two decades of butchering of Iraqi and Afghani men, women, and children after accusing them of posing a serious threat to global security does not support that God has commissioned George W. Bush (and later his successor Barack Hussein Obama II) and the rest of the UN member states who joined those two US leaders to commit all the killing and destruction that they carried out. Most importantly, the United States and the rest of the UN member states that allied with this country and began killing Iraqi and Afghani men, women, and children during almost two decades unjustly do not appear to have leavened (augmented) the wealth, power, and status of the heirs of the old powers but shrank it. That is to say, build people's confidence in the universal standards and norms that the heirs of the old powers depend on to keep their dominant role (i.e., the international rule-based postwar world order to which all people began to adhere).

In fact, the blindness that struck the Afghani and Iraqi people after the United States and its allies freed the people of Iraq and the people of Afghanistan from living under the tyranny of their former rulers does not support that God wanted them to be freed or that the heirs of the old powers succeed. This is because if God wanted that the people of Iraq and the people of Afghanistan to be freed, or if the people of Iraq and the people of Afghanistan were with any vision whatsoever, they would be cooperating in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR after the UN member states freed them, and would not have gone and started to live as was the case during the dark ages.

If we cannot say that God commissioned George W. Bush and later his successor Barack Hussein Obama II, to end tyranny, or meddle in Afghanistan and Iraq, but to the contrary the military interventions that those two leaders launched harmed the United States and the rest of the UN member states that allied with them, and if we cannot say that the people of Iraq or Afghanistan benefited whatsoever from the military intervention that the United States and member states that joined forces with it to make the Iraqi and the Afghani people stop

living in yesterday, then there is a good chance that the reason why the heirs of the old powers are not observing that a large number of people are voluntarily surrendering freedom to the political order they are legitimizing since 1945 to be due to all the corruption and pride that became rampant at all level of the social order by now unleavening their power instead of puffing it up.

Pharaoh was thinking that his wealth and military superiority were capable of protecting him against Moses and the Israelites, but his own convictions proved to be wrong later, according to the Bible. In the last three decades, none of the political or economic solutions that the heirs of the old powers have employed appear to have puffed up their wealth, power, and status.

For example, the heirs of the old powers do not believe that their failure to strengthen their grip over the international order following the fall of the Soviet Union is perhaps a sign that their power is shrinking instead of widening. Likewise, the heirs of the old powers do not believe that their failure to have people around the world ally with them and condemn the invasion of Ukraine by Russia, as they were successful in doing when they convinced the whole world that the people of Iran and Afghanistan pose a threat to world peace, which was later proven to be wrong, is perhaps another sign that their power is shrinking instead of widening. Most importantly, the heirs of the old powers do not believe that the ongoing protests that their own children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are participating in to demand that they stop denying the Palestinians rights to self-determination could be perhaps another sign that their power is shrinking instead of widening.

In the Bible, it is suggested that God asked Moses to go tell Pharaoh, “Let my people go, or I will send the full force of my plagues against you and against your officials and your people. [Exodus 9:13-14] Are the heirs of the old powers sure that their wealth and military superiority could save them from God, or the wickedness of man, if they continue to not yield or accept that their critical thinkers and servants stop regarding themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and while people acts of judgment against them start to multiply until striking them with a wonder that

would strip them from their power altogether and hand it to new people, just as happened to Pharaoh and his chiefs?

I have no way of knowing if God is watching over people and seeing the way the heirs of the old powers are not focused on securing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and are instead focused on using force to get their ways despite the resistance, and therefore could be preparing for them a sign or a wonder that would be worse than having their own children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants participate in protests to demand that they stop relying on their imagination to keep their dominant role and to rely instead on truth. What I do know is that if the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are participating in protests that go against the change (transformations) that their fathers are creating, this means that the changes (transformations) that such actions are perpetrating must be persuading them to feel fear and confusion.

In the Bible, it is suggested that when God was confusing the king of Jericho and his army and spreading fear and confusion in their hearts, he had his “army of soldiers” [Joshua 5:14] carry out this wonder. In other words, God sent down troops that no one could see. [Surah At-Tawbah 9:26] The way the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are participating in protests that go against the change (transformations) that their fathers are creating and which are not of benefit to them can be perceived as being organized in the form of an army that is fighting with words, noise, and slogans instead of weapons. Could God have given his army a rest and decided to conscript the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants to have them disgrace and defeat the heirs of the old powers for the irrational and ruthless behavior that they have been demonstrating since 1945 in their efforts to keep their dominant role? In other words, could God be enlisting the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants so they roll from under their feet the red carpet that usually walks over in their fortified strongholds to give to some other people? That is to say, their own children would be the soldiers who would be opening the gates that lead to the fortified

strongholds where their fathers are staying and delivering them into the hands of their enemies.

Once again, I have no way of knowing why the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are turning away from the political and economic solutions that their fathers are employing, specifically to enable them (their children) to get their way despite the resistance of others because God is conscripting their children in his army and spreading fear and confusion in their hearts so they stop to be of benefit to their fathers, or if their reaction is due to them doing something that their fathers are not capable of doing. This is to prioritize the importance of truth over desires. Actually, Socrates believed that as people's understanding of themselves grows, their ability to accept falsehood over truth reciprocally shrinks.

Accordingly, since the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants were born in a different age, which makes their understanding of themselves inferior, the ruthless behavior that the heirs of the old powers are demonstrating could be because they are besieged by their false convictions. In addition, since the only reason why the heirs of the old powers are rejecting the counsel of their fathers to rest from wars is mainly due to them believing that all the stories that are suggested in Biblical scriptures are myths and that they are more powerful than their fathers, then the longer the heirs of the old powers reject the signs or refuse to humble themselves, the more their deeds would be appealing to them. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the more the heirs of the old powers disregard what their fathers counselled them of being devoted to doing in the aftermath of WW2, the more the various ways that they would be depending on to rejuvenate their powers would be blinding them and making them disbelieve signs that its impact could be impacting very negatively on their strength and stability.

For example, in the second epistle to Timothy, the apostle Paul suggests that "a time will come when people will be unprepared to put up with sound doctrine and that they will gather around a great number of teachers who will be teaching them what their itching ears want to hear. [2 Timothy 4:3] In other words, people would start to believe the

promises that others would be making to them about how, when they agree to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others, they would be capable of increasing their happiness better. In other words, people would be doing what the heirs of the old powers are doing to make people stay with them and to let them have more powers than others. This is to suggest to people that it is possible to create ordered relations, knowing that they are not practicing what they are preaching, so people could be against wanting to fill the void space that their neighbor is already occupying. Could the heirs of the old powers keep their heads in all situations to prevent that their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants do not be dazed by false teachers that the apostle Paul warned Timothy about for their power to besiege children and to make them torment their fathers with the same disobedience that their children are presently showing towards the policies that they are enforcing?

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers are keeping their heads in all situations to ensure that their children grow to be of benefit to them and to themselves in the same way lionesses keep the heads of their children on what they know better to avoid that they grow up to be useless as the children of the politicians who ruled Lebanon from 1945 to 1975 (the date when the Lebanese civil war erupted) reaped or cultivated. Put differently, the Lebanese politicians turned warlords in 1975 (i.e., the year when the Lebanese civil war broke out) did not mind Lebanon converting from 1945 to 1975 into a theater open to all possibilities of destruction to ensure that their political power passes to their own children instead of a place where people from around the world visit to learn how to comply with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, as this country was known throughout history to have served as a platform for higher education, especially in laws. Unfortunately, the arrogance of the Lebanese politicians turned warlords in 1975, followed by the idiocy of their successors (i.e., their children), transformed Lebanon from being a prosperous and successful society in 1945 that its populace knew easily how to stick together into a society that is divided into numerous tribal cantons that its members cannot see eye to eye and where everyone is involved in a class struggle and inter-rivalry to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on his own. Only two scenarios could

put an end to the hypocrisy and idiocy that struck the people of Lebanon. The first is if all the politicians and their families in Lebanon are killed in the same way the Bible suggests that God killed all the Hebrews who had left Egypt with Moses and disobeyed God (the Ten Commandments), thus new families that are more balanced can take over. The second is if this country is recolonized until a new generation is raised that is not as hypocritical and arrogant as the present one.

Hence the constant wars that swept the world as of 1945 are mainly due to the heirs of the old powers having favored letting their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from obeying the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR instead of holding them accountable as their fathers (the old powers) duly suggested, we cannot say based on the patterns of disregard and contempt that swept the world so far that the future successors of the heirs of the old powers (i.e., their children) would be converting the world into a context that would be looking any different than the political context that exists in Lebanon presently. In other words, the political and economic order that exists in Lebanon can be viewed as a small scale model of the political and economic order that is unfolding worldwide.

If we consider Lebanon as a small-scale sample of the wider (or larger) scale system that is unfolding worldwide, we can say that this disobedient culture that the political changes (transformations) that the heirs of the old powers have nurtured since 1945 in the hope of its members turning out to be of benefit to them or to their children later may not be an outcome that they could say would materialize with certainty in accordance with their planning. To the contrary, we can say that if the heirs of the old powers were to continue to let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, the number of people who would be wanting to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others would be shrinking. Accordingly, the more people prioritize falsehood over truth, the more the international order would be converted into the same chaotic state that exists in Lebanon.

All the families that are controlling the political order in Lebanon since this country obtained its independence were either appointed in their role by the old powers, or rose to power following the enemies of the heirs of the old powers having gained during the electoral process by making voters hear what their itching ears want to hear. Evidently, this message that those competitors used centered on how their ideas were better included in the UN Charter and the UDHR and how they were more qualified to hold power and govern than any of the servants of the heirs of the old powers.

In the Bible, it is suggested that before God destroyed Sodom and Gomorrah, Abraham pleaded with God to spare those two cities because he could be sweeping away the righteous with the wicked. [Genesis 18:23] The Bible then states that God told Abraham that if he could find ten people who were righteous in those two cities, he would spare those two places for their sake. [Genesis 18:32] Many questions beg answers. For one, can the heirs of the old powers find ten people in Lebanon, or in France, or in Great Britain, or in Norway, etc. that are surrendering freedom to the political order suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR after more than seventy-five years have elapsed on their critical thinkers and servants teaching people around the world to do it so we could say that those helpers (shepherds) were of any good to them? Most importantly, can the heirs of the old powers find one king or ruler among the 193 world leaders that they are sitting with on the round table at the United Nations observing or carrying out the obligations suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR so the proverb that says “beware him whom you have been charitable to” would not apply to them?

Then again, the societies that the old powers vanquished during WW2 (i.e., Germany, Japan, etc.) and the societies that the old powers freed during WW2 (i.e., Lebanon, Egypt, Turkey, South Korea, Brazil, etc.) cannot be held responsible if the majority of men in them are consuming their time and money in idleness, gaming, and women instead of using their time to study and observe the common standards suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, so the bad end that happened to the people of Sodom and Gomorrah would not happen to them when they went along with the choices of their chiefs, judges, and officials to prioritize desires over reason.

Similarly, we cannot blame the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that the heirs of the old powers are depending on their wisdom to rejuvenate their power for the insecurity that is confronting the international order, because those helpers would not be committing the endless injustices that they are perpetrating if the heirs of the old powers did not grant them the right to regard themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Therefore, it is the heirs of the old powers who want it to be this way. In other words, it is the heirs of the old powers who do not want to be the only authority who has a direct line to God and legitimacy, but want that their critical thinkers and servants enjoy this privilege as well. Likewise, it is the heirs of the old powers who believe that if they let their critical thinkers and servants use the human agencies that people depend on to create ordered relations as a tool to prioritize disregard, contempt, corruption, betrayal, etc., as is the case in all UN member states, instead of instruments to prioritize integrity or accountability, such a tactic can make people want to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others.

In the next chapter, I plan to investigate if the decision of the heirs of the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) to have many critical thinkers and servants (kings, presidents, rulers, chiefs, judges, etc.) enjoying a direct line to God is helping them to rejuvenate their power, or turning them and their children into countless revolutionaries.

### 3

## The penalty for choosing unacceptable moral choices

---

Gangrene is “death of body tissue when the supply of blood to an area in the human body is interrupted or there is a serious bacterial infection.” Gangrene is dangerous and fatal if the tissues that are dying are not treated before it is too late. Alternatively, it can be removed by amputation or bypassed.

I have no way of knowing if the corruption and disregard that swept certain nations more than others in recent years is by design or if the refusal of the rulers and political class in the societies that are more dynamic than others is tangled in the subjects involved not having reaped enough experience on how to be preoccupied with the well-being of their neighbor without such meddling be a cost that the theirs of the old powers could end up paying for. What I do know is that the arrogance or hypocrisy that swept the world in recent years is mainly due to the theirs of the old powers incapacity to make their critical thinkers and servants not upset the international rule-based postwar world order that their fathers dismantled their empires in order to embrace, including stopping them to trim policies to enrich themselves instead of benefiting the common good. As a consequence, we have societies in the international order where the arrogance or hypocrisy of their rulers and political class has made it so that morality has died in them already (such as the case in Lebanon) and others where morality is in the process of dying (such as the case in the United States).

It is important to note that morality did not exit in the western European monarchies and republics before WW2 erupted. Therefore, it

would be useless to suggest that morality died in western Europe in the aftermath of WW2 or is in the process of dying in this region since it did not exist before to begin with. The western European monarchs and republics transformed from being petty monarchs to great powers by building their power in the same way the Muslim rulers (minus the Islamic prophet Muhammad and his four wise Caliphs), the Roman rulers, the Greek rulers, etc., did it before those old powers were destroyed. This was by projecting themselves to be the deputy of God, but at the same time governing without any respect to any of the values they were legitimizing or they claimed that God commanded or later his messengers (i.e., Buddha, Moses, Confucius, Jesus, Muhammad, etc.) related. In fact, the heirs of the old powers are governing as of 1945 in the same way their fathers were doing before 1945, with only one difference so far. This difference is that instead of letting people see with their own eyes that they are the bad apple in the group, they shifted this truth away from them by appointing the United States as the global policemen of the world. Thus when enmity between people rises and most people around the world withdraw their obligation to obey this country, it would be the United States who would take the fall and not them.

Of course, the implications of the fall of the United States for mankind are unquantifiable. This is because when the United States falls, the ideas of republicanism and democracy will be falling along. When republicanism and democracy fall, the wealth, power, and status of the heirs of the old powers or their critical thinkers and servants will not be affected, but it would be the common people who would have to pay the price until they bolster their position and take by force or treachery power from their jailors.

Accordingly, if the fall of kingdoms happens in the same way gangrene damage body tissue until the spread of the common signs becomes fatal, then the longer the heirs of the old powers take to wipe out Lebanon from the map (i.e., kill all the Lebanese or recolonize this country) or pause rulers and political class in the United States from relying on material forces to maintain friendly relations instead of moral forces, it would not be possible for them to stop the idea of freedom (liberal interventionism and self-determination) which their fathers dismantled in order to start to depend on from continuing to

shrink, so people start living under dictatorship or authoritarianism. Can the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants avoid upsetting people when they start to trim policies to deal with circumstances, thus avoiding that the vice and dupe that reside in people's hearts do not make them (the heirs of the old powers) fall out of favor or push people to be not in favor of staying with them and to let them have more powers than others? In other words, can the heirs of the old powers avoid all the injustices that their fathers propelled before WW2 erupted or when they were relying on dictatorship or authoritarianism to not lead them to the same dead end that their fathers had to depend on to enable them to switch direction (i.e., a global war)? Many questions beg answers. For one, are the heirs of the old powers sure that people (societies) around the world would be prepared to assign them to play the deputy of God role as they did in the aftermath of WW2, when it is their arrogance, hypocrisy, and idiocy that caused the challenges that they would be knowing?

To date, no kingdom has confronted a situation in which its subjects were ready to accept living as per the way their fathers lived and not rival for wealth, power, and status. Likewise, to date, no kingdom that its subjects were accustomed to living under disregard, corruption, betrayal, etc., managed to preserve its power or prevent its subjects from being quick at usurping against the fittest and to destroy and replace them. The same can be said about the kingdom: its subjects tolerated living under the conditions that the fittest were imposing on them. Can the heirs of the old powers avoid running into the same trouble or challenges that awaited the kingdoms that used their power to circumvent policies (standards and norms) instead of looking down on those who are far below to make them want to stay with them, when all that their critical thinkers and servants have been doing since 1945 is going out of their way to make people around the world hate them? In other words, instead of the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers teaching people to be tolerant and to forget the past, they are teaching them why they need to embrace change and not be ready to cope unless the rich (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) share with them outcomes on equal rights.

I have no way of knowing if, in the future, the decline in values and morality that the world is knowing would make it impossible for people not to quarrel over the silliest thing, as has been the case in

Lebanon in recent years. What I do know is that if the heirs of the old powers continue to be against picking a copy of the Book of the Law and to hand it to their critical thinkers and servants so they would keep it “on their lips and to meditate on it day and night” [Joshua 1:8], as Joshua counselled the Israelites to be doing for the rest of their lives after they arrive at the promised land so their children can be of benefit to them, the heirs of the old powers may reap the same pattern or culture of cantons that exists in Lebanon (one of the smallest and poorest countries around the world) or the United States (one of the richest and wealthiest countries around the world) at the international level.

The Israelites discovered after they refused to keep the Book of the Law always on their lips and to meditate on it day and night as Joshua suggested to them, and began to focus on how to be divided into cantons so things would be much easier for the fittest to make the weakest consider his opinion before taking action of his own, to be not worth this journey that they put up from the day when they left Egypt until after they settled in the promised land.

The heirs of the old powers have been dividing people into cantons since 1945, just as the Israelites were doing in antiquity, and are not orienting their critical thinkers and servants to teach people to be accustomed to living under the principles suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR, but are teaching them the values that they themselves believe in and are practicing. Those values are that one’s own happiness begins when the happiness of his neighbor stops. As the above shows, the insecurity, inflation, unemployment, etc. that swept the world are not due to God meddling on earth but rather to the heirs of the old powers refusing to stop with the financialization of the world or refusing to wait on progress until people knowledge of themselves improve, particularly since progress is like time capable of hurrying or slowing everything and therefore can easily make things worse or better. [See book *Social Improvement and Human Security: Collateral Damage*, Maloof 2005] Can the heirs of the old powers make it worth their while after they dismantled their empires and started to rely on critical thinkers and servants who are legitimizing and enforcing laws while buying and selling services and goods at the same time to not reap the same defeat that the Israelites reaped after

they left Egypt and were relying on chiefs, judges, and officials who were using the legal institutions that they were representing to plunder and expropriate money instead of preventing those who were stepping out of line from threatening the percepts that were keeping them all bound together?

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers will stumble on the same hardship that the Israelites put up with after they left Egypt, and until today, they are discovering that it was not worth it, mainly because they do not believe in the truth included in the Book of Laws.

Fruits can look perfect on the outside but be rotten on the inside. Evidently, it is not possible to expect that the critical thinkers and servants that are the heirs of the old powers are relying on their wisdom to keep their dominant role to objectively report or quantify the extent of the damages that those shepherds (critical thinkers and servants) are encumbering on the maintenance of the strength and stability of their masters (the heirs of the powers). This is because the decision of the heirs of the old powers to constantly expand the role of those shepherds (critical thinkers and servants) to include regarding themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, knowing that they are providing social programs and legitimizing laws, and at the same time rendering the concept of checks and balances to zero. In addition, if we factor in how most people deflect responsibility away from them and put the blame on their neighbor, circumstances, chance, or God to stay in the hierarchical order that they are occupying, this means that the heirs of the old powers have no way of knowing how their power is changing. That is to say, their power is changing in the same way the edible part inside a watermelon or an orange changes. A person has no way of knowing how the edible part inside a watermelon is changing or what it looks like. The only indicator that the heirs of the old powers could rely on to know whether their power is shrinking or not depends on how fast or quick they are able to react to stop their competitors in this game of Jenga, or hammering or pounding from destabilizing them and toppling their power, or popping up faster than they are capable of pounding them until they are weakened and destroyed.

Surely, the difference between what Joshua counselled the Israelites to be doing all the time to keep their dominant role (i.e., keep the Book of the Law on their lips and to meditate on it day and night) and what the heirs of the old powers are having their critical thinkers and servants keep on their lips and to meditate on it day and night as of 1945 (i.e., everyone has a right to own a Mercedes Benz or be the King or Queen of Great Britain) is two worlds apart. Joshua appears to have understood that time drives everything, and that if the families/dynasties that are playing a leading role among the Israelites start to let their servants (i.e., chiefs, judges, and officials) provide social programs instead of just meditating all day long on the book of law (i.e., judging between people only), it would not be possible for those competitors to not grow powerful or to stop their ambitions later. In other words, Joshua knew that letting the families/dynasties that are playing a leading role among the Israelites and their servants (i.e., chiefs, judges, and officials) be all working in the same business (i.e., selling false hopes) would create a bottleneck that would be no different than the bottleneck that the heirs of the old powers are crossing after they let their critical thinkers and servants in on their business. In addition, Joshua appears to have understood as well that if the families/dynasties that are playing a leading role among the Israelites start to let their servants (i.e., chiefs, judges, and officials) distrust them or go along with the wrong that they are doing, such appeasing would hasten their self-destruction, until they would not be able in the end to avoid their collapse.

Indeed, the preoccupation of Joshua with having the Israelites prioritize how to become real statesmen, instead of prioritizing how to use the idea of government to control the violence that such apparatus can wield to direct its hostility at everyone else, appears to support the idea that he was also aware of the information that was revealed in the Quran in Surah At-Tawbah about how there would always be chiefs, judges, officials, etc. who would be making a vow to their king or to God about how they would be executing their responsibilities righteously, but when they tasted power, they would turn away [At-Tawbah 9:75-76], before this verse was revealed to the Islamic prophet Muhammad.

Actually, the fathers of the heirs of the old powers appear to have understood far better than their children the implications that are suggested in this aforementioned verse in Surah At-Tawba in the Quran in the same way Joshua appears to have thought about it before. This could also explain why the world appeared to have been relatively much safer by the start of the 20th century, if we are to exclude the constant wars or disputes that the western European monarchs and republics were having among one another to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action of their own and how they were forcing the people they were dominating to take sides. For example, the Americans, the Chinese, the Indians, the Africans, the Arabs, etc., were not by the start of the 20th century unwilling to do what the old powers would instruct them, unless they gave them a seat at the round table, as the case is now. In fact, the fathers of the heirs of the old powers engulfed the world in two back-to-back world wars that killed millions of innocent people in the process and still managed to make the Americans, the Chinese, the Indians, the Africans, the Arabs, etc., love them and want to stay with them, including letting them have more powers than others.

Indeed, the fathers of the heirs of the old powers were much wiser than their children despite all the evil that they were perpetrating in order to stay on top of the hierarchical order and perhaps form a world government that they would rule over perpetually, based on their decision to free the societies that they vanquished during WW2, and later the societies that they were dominating, and then invite them back to cooperate under the auspices of an international intergovernmental organization that they created and named the United Nations. The fathers of the heirs of the old powers understood that it is not possible to dominate and exploit people while having them prioritize how to compete to determine who could build the tallest skyscrapers, the longest bridge, the biggest administrative city, the fastest car, etc., at the same time. Most importantly, the fathers of the heirs of the old powers understood that only if they kept the people that they were dominating and exploiting not entangled in internal wars, and fought only among themselves to determine who would have greater influence, it would be possible for them to keep their subdued subjects bound by moral virtue and principles of good governance.

Clearly, it appears as if Joshua and the fathers of the heirs of the old powers understood better the implications of having all people compete to determine who could build the tallest skyscrapers, the longest bridge, the biggest administrative city, the fastest car, etc., at the same time, and the bottleneck that such a strategy creates, but their children (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) have not. The insecurity that has swept the world in recent years is a result of the heirs of the old powers not knowing any more which society they must destabilize or pound on its head first due to the number of people (societies) who are telling them what to do or challenging them at the same time. This aforesaid situation is persuading the heirs of the old powers to opt to rely on fear to make people (societies) accept wanting to stay with them and to let them have more powers, which aggravates matters more than help because fear is an unpleasant emotion that makes people believe that someone or something is out there to harm them or to threaten them. Most importantly, fear persuades people to adopt a fight-or-flight response. And since most people divide the actions (the change) that they make into evil and good, and all the actions that they do not like or believe are increasing their happiness, wealth, and power, they call evil, then the heirs of the old powers could be piling up bigger challenges to resolve if people's fear gets out of control. This is because people do not explore or create change to live and let live, but to deny their neighbor's rights to do the things that they are enjoying. If the other way around were the case, people would be living without having to have legal entities (the government) control their relations. For example, people do not think twice when their children or the person that they want to mate with ask them for something.

Hence, people use the idea of good or evil to prevent their neighbor from having access to moral or material interests that can give others power, then the more people's fear rises, the more people's unpreparedness to observe the political order reciprocally rises. Throughout history, whenever people became bound by their personal power and conscience, they were not able to give up (control) their natural freedom and not to rely on their self-help to get their ways despite the resistance of others. For example, the way the Lebanese are structured, distributed, interact with each other and with their

ecosystem, and count on their personal power and conscience instead of the universal standards that their critical thinkers and servants are constantly legitimizing to keep their dominant role depicts a sample of the culture that the heirs of the old powers could be breeding around the world. In other words, most Lebanese speak three to four languages, walk with two cellular phones in their pockets, have access to the internet, and can read any news in more than one language, but at the same time have an IQ (intelligence quotient) that is less than most animals.

Of course, the unpreparedness of the Lebanese to apply reason consciously is an opportunity for the rest of the people around the world. But at the same time, opportunity cost is “the loss of potential gain from other alternatives when one alternative is chosen.” Can the heirs of the old powers save the international rule-based postwar world order in which their fathers dismantled their empires in order to rely on its exemplary idealism to stay in power when the shepherds (i.e., governments and international institutions) that they are depending on to keep their dominant role are all false teachers, and their hypocrisy and lies produced the disgraceful cultures that exist around the world, starting with the culture that exists in the United States? This is because American values are the yoghurt culture that was supposed to be mixed in all the societies in the aftermath of WW2 around the world to produce the understanding that the Americans gained of themselves by 1945. Yet, instead of the families/dynasties that control and command the United States (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) using the rulers and political class in this country since 1945 to blend American ideas into their relations in the same way their predecessors managed to make immigrants into this country abandon their traditions and embrace American values, they have been since the fall of the Soviet Union in the 1990s converting them into becoming the laughing stock of people around the world.

God could not prevent the enemies of his chosen people (i.e., the Israelite chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) from outsmarting and defeating them when they started to rely on lies and corruption to stay in power instead of on the book of laws, as Joshua suggested. Could the heirs of the old powers prevent that their critical thinkers and servants not befool them as the Israelites chiefs, judges, officials, etc. befoiled

God, when all their shepherds (i.e., critical thinkers and servants) are not staying with them (the heirs of the old powers) to do for the people what Moses or the men he appointed to listen to people dispute and decide between them were doing (i.e., inform them of God's decrees and instructions), but are using their roles to enrich themselves from the misery of people and increase their dishonest gains? Most importantly, could the heirs of the old powers stop this culture of cantons that emerged in countries around the world from continuing to develop traction and continuing on deepening the class struggle and inter-rivalry that its outcome generates, until it gets out of control and the ensuing instability would make it too late for the heirs of the old powers to prevent their collapse, when their critical thinkers and servants are not teaching newborns (new people) how to live and how to behave, but how to be "lovers of themselves, lovers of money, boastful, proud, abusive, disobedient to their parents, and first and foremost, ungrateful, and unholy"?

Surely, the heirs of the powers are not comprehending the price of getting it wrong in politics. In other words, the heirs of the powers are not fully grasping the implications of letting their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and are widening the gap between how things should be running if those shepherds were observing the provisions suggested in those two documents and how things actually are. That is to say, the odds of people looking favorably on the heirs of the old powers and wanting that they stay in power and on top when the number of people who would be lovers of themselves, lovers of money, boastful, proud, abusive, disobedient to their parents, ungrateful, and unholy. People would not be treating them (the heirs of the old powers) in the same way when, according to the Bible, God made the Egyptians look favorably on the Israelites when they were leaving Egypt and gave them articles of silver and gold and clothing to put on their sons and daughters [Exodus 3:21-22], but when the people saw that Moses was so long in coming down from the mountain, they gathered and made for themselves a God. [Exodus 32:1]

If this prevailing political order that swept the world subsequent to the fall of the Soviet Union in the 1990s, which resembles a situation in which every available person is telling his neighbor what he should do

but without himself leading by example, grows, the number of people who would be easily corrupt would reciprocally grow. When the number of people who become easily corrupt grows, the number of people who become quick to turn away from observing the political order also grows. When the number of people who would become quick to turn away from observing the political order grows, the need for the heirs of the old powers to convert the international political order into a dictatorship to preserve the maintenance of their stability and security will reciprocally grow. When a society becomes a dictatorship, its military superiority grows. When the military superiority of a society grows, the likelihood of other societies immediately forming an alliance against it to halt its progress or influence grows reciprocally. As such, if the international order continues to develop as the case appear to be developing between the world's greatest power the United States, China, Russia, and Great Britain, in recent years, whereby none of their chiefs, judges, officials, etc. appear to be sitting from morning till evening informing people of God's decrees and instructions as Moses was doing for the Israelites [Exodus 18:16] but are spending their days from morning till evening pursuing their own self-interest, building skyscrapers, and monitoring to see if the obstacle or plot that they devised to weaken the other would produce the result they expect or not, we cannot anticipate that the relations between people to improve in the future but to continue to take a turn for the worse. Additionally, we can say that the speculations that many political analysts suggest about how the world can be engulfed in an all-out war if, whenever the human progress of any society advances more than others, the other societies immediately form an alliance against it to halt its progress are highly likely.

In the Quran, it is suggested that when kings, rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc., forget what their responsibilities are all about and begin to do everything possible to bring about the downfall of their peers, "God showers them with everything they desire. But just as they became proud of what they were given, we seized them by surprise, and then they instantly fell into despair." [Surah Al'Anam 6:44] This depiction suggested in this verse of Surah Al'Anam has been repeating or recurring throughout history. The last time this apparent truth appeared to have occurred was in 1990, when the families/dynasties that controlled the Soviet Union fell into despair and lost a lot of their

overwhelming power and wealth to their adversaries. Can the heirs of the old powers prevent the people from weakening them, as happened to the families/dynasties that controlled the Soviet Union, if they continue to let their critical thinkers and servants run wild and rally people around them to preserve their power, wealth, and status instead of leading by example, so people would have no reason to rely on their self-help to increase their happiness?

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers have a clear vision of how to stabilize the international order so that the fate that awaited the families/dynasties that controlled the Soviet Union when their servants (chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) and their enemies ganged on them would not happen to them. What I do know is that if the heirs of the old powers continue to be incapable of making any kind of bold decision that would make their critical thinkers and servants go back to implementing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UNDHR and not the one that has to do with how to ensure that they do not lose any power or be a victim of circumstances, the result could not be what the heirs of the old powers expect if people continue to rely on their awareness, knowledge, wit, and charm to get their ways despite the resistance of others instead of to observe the political order.

This also means that the longer the heirs of the old powers take to start to take circumstances by the scruff of the neck so their critical thinkers and servants stop to be devoted to fanning the flame of transgression as the Lebanese politicians have been stuck doing for them since 1975 in Lebanon, the faster their ability to dissuade or to properly assess situations, make the right calculations, then act decisively in whatever way would bring the desired result, would be shrinking. Additionally, the more the ability of the heirs of the old powers to dissuade people shrinks, the more the self-contained, self-styled, and even self-sufficient social class that exists in Lebanon presently will grow (spread worldwide), and become a way of life.

In fact, the united Islamic front that developed in the Middle East in recent years, and following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack began to challenge the old powers decision to appropriate to the descendants of the people that according to the Bible God bequeathed land here on earth that is also where his home is located but then kicked out from it

because they refused to build relations in accordance with the Book of the laws (i.e., the Israelites), represent the extent and health of this self-styled security measure that the members of this front are depending on to improve their well-being, which bypasses also the institutions that the heirs of the old powers dismantled their empire in order to build and depend on to keep their dominant role. Accordingly, the more this united front in all its categories practices its own dogma and gives no importance to the dogmas that the heirs of the old powers enshrined in the UN Charter or the UDHR carry on, the more the people who subscribe to this front or similar religious and philosophical fronts would be posing a great threat to the ideas that the heirs of the powers are depending on to keep their dominant role and selling to people on count of their ideas being far better to increase the happiness of the people who embrace them than their competitors offers. And since the heirs of the old powers are not practicing what they are preaching nor are the people who are competing against their ideas are also doing it, the heirs of the old powers may have a real problem with managing to keep their dominant role intact in the future if they continue to rely on leaders, warlords, or dictators who do not know how to sell their ideas, such as King Charles of Great Britain, President Joe Biden of the United States, President Emmanuel Macron of France, etc., as compared to relying on leaders, warlords, or dictators who sells their competing ideas better, such as secretary-general of Hezbollah Hassan Nasrallah, President Vladimir Putin of Russia, and President Xi Jinping of China, etc.

In addition, if we consider that none of the critical thinkers and servants that the heirs of the old powers are depending on their wisdom to keep their dominant role are acting within the moral codes (i.e., standards and norms) that they are relying on to hold on to their power or are killing enough people when they decide to take the laws into their own hands and act outside of any moral codes to reduce enough desires, the heirs of the old powers could be disrupting the coercive power that they need to keep always in balance to avoid that the alliances that people are constantly forming do not produce an upset as frequently happens in electoral politics or sports.

For example, in the animal kingdom, lions do not wait until their cubs are adults to start teaching them to hunt and kill, but they start teaching their cubs about what they need to be doing to avoid that other animals

forgo their security at a very young age. Are the heirs of the old powers certain that the self-styled political and economic solutions that they are letting their critical thinkers and servants practice are sufficient enough to avoid that the children of their competition do not create an upset and seize them or kill their children in the same way most male lions do when they raid a pride and kill the children of their competitors? Most importantly, how are the heirs of the old powers concluding that their children would be good hunters and defeat their adversaries, thus preempting what could happen to them if they fail when they (the heirs of the old powers) spent the last 70 years teaching them to hunt with tools instead of their brains as lions do, including training them on being rash instead of patient as spiders are?

I have no way of knowing if lionesses teach their cubs about the many options available to catch a prey besides running after it or surprising it, just as humans learned many ways to cut the chase and achieve the same end. For example, humans do not kill in a random manner as lions do, but they organize peace congresses whose goals are to have the attendees sign promises that the organizers know the participants cannot keep but then use them later against them to either kill them or lock them up in jail.

In the literature, it is suggested that lionesses teach their cubs how to be fixated on their prey and to predict its next move, thus enabling the attacking lions to stay on target and to catch it without any miss. Any other time, lionesses and their cubs would be resting. In other words, the lionesses or the cubs would not be exploring and snooping around to see what the other lions or animals were doing—going, eating, talking with whom, etc.

Once again, I have no way of knowing why lions and all the rest of the animals do not explore and snoop on each other in the same way humans do, and focus on one and only one thing during their entire lives. This is to do what they know how to do best (i.e., mainly kill, eat, or mate). What I do know is that we (i.e., our generation) appear to have figured out every little detail that is needed to know about what is required for any person to dominate, minus the one detail that most animals do not leave for chance. This is to teach their children how to be courageous and strong.

I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers are concluding that their children would have it in their blood and gene to be courageous and strong, thus becoming capable of taming and unifying their competitors, when it is not them who can decide the character that their children would uphold or enjoy? How are the heirs of the old powers concluding that their children would be more courageous and strong than their critical thinkers and servants, and therefore undermining the threat that such a drawback can create if the opposite were the case, thus permitting critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR? Most importantly, how are the heirs of the old powers concluding that their children would be able to tame and unify their competitors, when their children do not have more than one chance to make people around the world be devoted to pleasing them, and when all their soldiers (governments and international institutions) are not counting how many people have converted and started to cooperate based on the principle of equal rights, but rather, are counting how much property they now own and how much profit their investments are earning?

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers are concluding that they can codify the personalities of people and the role of fortune in this process, thus enabling them to mesh people together and ultimately create the canvas that they are quilling when the only way to achieve this tapestry is if their critical thinkers and servants morph into being one with them and not stay hanging up as they have been doing since 1945 and helping them with how to make one step forward and two steps backward instead.

Indeed, the insignificant political achievements that the critical thinkers and servants of the heirs of the old powers have achieved for their masters since 1945 show that the principles that those shepherds enforced were not in line with the political leadership or values that were suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR.

Evidently, the heirs of the old powers can continue to let their critical thinkers and servants not do what they ought to be doing (i.e., lead by

example) so people do not distrust their masters (the heirs of the old powers) and withdraw their obligation to obey the political order proposed in the UN Charter and the UDHR, but this attitude or choice is something that would hurt the heirs of the old powers only. This is because what is at stake is the wealth, power, and status of the heirs of the old powers. If the heirs of the old powers continue to be against letting their critical thinkers and servants stop at nothing to grasp power or wealth, it would be their necks (i.e., command and control) that would be on the line.

Then again, the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants can continue to use the idea of good as a tool to get their ways despite the resistance of others instead of going ahead outright and killing their political opponent, including targeting and imprisoning the people who demand that they cooperate with them in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, but they would have to always factor in one small detail.

In the Quran, it is suggested that it is God who causes the actions that people carry out and perform and that people imagine that they are in control of the actions they produce. Accordingly, it is suggested in the Quran in Surah Al-Anfal that when a person kills another person with his or her bare hands or with a weapon (i.e., knife, sword), it is not the person who did the killing who carried out the actual killing but God. [Surah Al-Anfal 8:17]

I have no way of knowing to what extent this aforesaid suggestion that the Islamic prophet Muhammad made in the Quran about how God is the one who is causing the actions that take place between people is true or not. In other words, I have no way of knowing if all the insecurity that swept the world is something that God is causing, as this verse in the Quran in Surah Al-Anfal suggests, or if the unwillingness of the heirs of the old powers to let their critical thinkers and servants preoccupy themselves with how to be just referees that ensure fair play instead of economic operators is what is manipulating those shepherds and making them pursue their selfish goals at will and without a thought for moral or ethical codes. What I do know is that before WW2 erupted, the old powers were not leading dialogues that were programmed to bring about greater economic equity between

people as their heirs stated doing in the aftermath of WW2, but a dialogue on how to keep people stay divided based on distinction, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, or other status, so they could keep their dominant role. In addition, hence, the heirs of the old powers decided not to rest from wars as their fathers suggested to them to be doing in the aftermath of WW2, and preferred to let their critical thinkers and servants be indulging and teaching people why they need to prioritize distinctions such as race, colour, etc., thus stopping to be the only authority who is profiting from such doing as was the case before WW2 started, then we cannot say that it would be possible to avoid that people not turn on each other as happened before WW1 or WW2 erupted. If we cannot discard the likelihood of the world becoming engulfed in a new global war if the heirs of the old powers do not increase killing or take a backward step and go back to implementing the work program that their fathers designed initially only (i.e., share outcomes based on the principles of equal rights and not on equal rights as the United Nations are pursuing), then it may not be possible to avoid that all the corruption, and all the double standards that their critical thinkers and servants are carrying and depending on to keep their dominant role from drawing the heirs of the old powers into a dark alleyway that they would not be able to walk out from except when their wealth and power is stripped from them and handed to new families/dynasties.

To fight the good fight and finish the race, it would be important that the heirs of the old powers create a balance between their mindfulness of human rights and their insatiable thrust for power. In 1945, the old powers dismantled their empire and appointed the United States to be the global policemen of the world, an act unheard of in the history of the world. The United States is a melting pot of culture and not a stabilizer of personal habits and personal character, which rulers and the political class usually depend on to make people want to stay with them and to let them have more power than others. Only two scenarios could have persuaded the old powers to dismantle their empire or abdicate their power to the United States. The first is because the United States was the seat of their power base. The second is if the old powers believe that they can make people love them in the same way God is capable of doing it from a distance.

I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforesaid two persuaded the old powers to dismantle their empire (i.e., abdicate their power to the United States) and to suppose that it would be possible for them to hold on to their power, wealth, and status as God has been successfully doing from a distance without first doing the leg work that He did as the Bible narrates. Surely, God did right by right by actually being there himself with people so he could see with his own eyes when things go wrong, as compared to staying far away or delegating his role to prophets, angels, etc. as he started doing later, and finding out about when things would go wrong when it is too late, as the heirs of the old powers are finding out now is the case. The heirs of the old powers appointed the United States to be the global policemen of the world, and the United Nations, an international intergovernmental organization, which they created and tasked initially with coordinating the work of UN member states, but then expanded its role to be a government in wait or perhaps in exile, just as the Palestinian authorities are. In other words, the United Nations is missing only a signal from the families/dynasties that control this organization to declare its sovereignty over its territory and to start to compete against existing UN member states for the control and command of the world.

Then again, the political divisions that struck the United States, especially after the collapse of the Soviet Union in the 1990s, the wars that this country fought, the nationalist slogans such as America First that the Republican Party in the United States started publicizing, and the double standards that the Democratic Party began to adopt cannot all be a product of chance but of design.

I have no way of knowing why the heirs of the old powers are permitting that the United States be a laughingstock in recent years instead of preserving this status that it duly earned of being a city on the hill. What I do know is that all the political and economic mishaps that the heirs of the old powers are permitting the United States to stumble on could be regarded as precursors that are programmed to produce two scenarios or results if no stop is put to them. The first is the dismantling (or self-destruction) of the United States, so the global policeman role that this country is playing to protect the heirs of the

old powers starts to be assumed by the United Nations. The second is the gradual collapse of the power, wealth, and status of the western European monarchs and republics (and that of their global policemen, the United States) in the same way the wealth, power, and status of the Roman Empire gradually collapsed, until new families/dynasties altogether start handling their command and control role or deputy of God role.

In my opinion, the question that begs study is not about how we can expect the international order to evolve in the future in as much as the methods that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are using to preserve their powers and, as I just said, would ultimately lead to two scenarios only if no stop is put to the way the heirs of the old powers have been rejuvenating their powers since 1945. That is also to say, throw away the efforts that mankind spent already close to a millennium to bring the understanding of people about the idea of freedom to the level that it is at if the heirs of the old powers fail to transition the United Nations into becoming a single political authority with jurisdiction over all of Earth and humanity, and their wealth, power, and status collapse.

Put differently, objective circumstances is an expression that is made of two words that are used together, but each word carries a different meaning. For example, an objective is defined as something that a person plans to do or achieve. Objectives are also based on real facts and are not influenced by personal beliefs or feelings. Circumstances are defined as a fact or event that makes a situation the way it is. In other words, circumstances are events that change once in life, over which a person has no control.

To enable the heirs of the old powers to achieve whatever goal they plan or prevent an adverse event from derailing their plan, “objective” and “circumstances” must intersect or overlap. Thus enabling them to constantly make the necessary adjustments.

Throughout history, people relied on distinction, values, etc. as a tool to make “objective” and “circumstances” intersect or overlap, thus enabling them to carry out the necessary adjustments to stay on track.

For example, when Jesus determined that it would be possible for him to get his way despite the resistance of others, he proclaimed that loving the Lord and loving a neighbor as one loves himself are the greatest commandments. [Matthew 22:35-40]. From then on, Jesus began with his disciples to make the circumstances intersect or overlap with what he set out to see happen to keep his dominant role. Likewise, when the old powers determined that it would be possible for them to preserve their dominant role if they dismantled their empire and invited all the people of the world to collectively secure the universal standards suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, they used the idea of freedom as a destination (objective) and set the compass (circumstances) in this direction.

As the Bible suggests, Jesus failed to make the circumstances intersect or overlap with his objective, and his plan to dominate the world (or create ordered relations) by making people not turn from the Laws of Moses to the right or to the left, or from loving their neighbor, ended in disaster.

Since 1945, the progress that the old powers and their heirs made to make people not turn from the UN Charter and the UDHR to the right or to the left has not resulted in their collapse yet, even though all the surveys indicate that it would not be possible for them to avoid this ultimate fate if they continued to not rely on the standards that can help them make the necessary adjustments to stay on course.

The adjustments that the heirs of the old powers need to constantly be making to avoid stumbling on the same fate that Jesus stumbled upon when he began his mission to become the undisputed king of the world center on creating a balance between distinction and values.

Strangely, the old powers and now their heirs appear to be not at all worried about letting the balance between distinction and values become destabilized. For example, the heirs of the old powers do not seem to be alarmed by the level of abnormal rise in tribalism or national identity that is totally harmful to securing the universal goal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Likewise, the heirs of the old powers do not seem to be alarmed by the ongoing drop in values or

religiosity and are even allowing that churches (temples, mosques, etc.) be transformed into bars or prostitution quarters.

If the heirs of the old powers are not worried about a drop in values and a rise in distinctions such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, or other status getting out of control and preventing people from speaking the same language on the maintenance of their power, wealth, and status, then one of two scenarios could be prompting the heirs of the old powers to behave in this defiant or self-assured way. The first is if the heirs of the old powers do not believe that it is necessary to rely on ideology (distinction) to keep their dominant role. The second is if God or the Devil is making their deeds appeal to them until either one “gradually draws them (the heirs of the old powers) to destruction in ways they cannot comprehend.” [Surah al Qalam 68:44]

I do not know which scenario between the aforesaid two could be persuading the heirs of the old powers into believing that it is not important that ideology (i.e., distinction or values) stays under control to keep their dominant role. Evidently, if the heirs of the old powers believe that they have a better way to keep people divided and unable to speak the same language, or even stay with them and let them have more powers than others than ideology (i.e., distinction or values), this means that the new method or the new instruments that the heirs of the old powers switched into are better to keep their dominant role.

Once again, I have no way of knowing what new methods or new instruments the heirs of the old powers are testing with to preserve their power, thus persuading them to perceive that they are more effective to keep people divided and unable to speak the same language, or even stay with them, and to let them have more powers than others than ideology (i.e., distinction or values). In other words, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers are concluding that if they permit the international order to tilt in the same chaotic way that exists in Lebanon (i.e., a culture of bandits since 1975) or the inhumane way that exists in Egypt following the ongoing racial cleansing of Palestinians by the Israeli government in Gaza (i.e., a culture of cold people), such a course could preserve their power. What I do know is that as of 1945, the strategies that the heirs of the

old powers are adopting to preserve their wealth and keep their military superiority do not appear to be making their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants be in favor of reaffirming faith in domination, exploitation, and subjugation, thus continuing to follow what they are finding their fathers practicing, and the majority appear to be conscious of the need to secure the goals proclaimed in the UN Charter and the UDHR. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, if the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are mindful of the need to create “conditions of stability and well-being based on respect for the principles of equal rights and self-determination of all peoples and of universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion,” this then means that those children are not recognizing the passionate yearning of their fathers to keep people around the world dominated, subjugated, and exploited.

If the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are not recognizing the decisive role their fathers are playing to lock good or to keep people around the world dominated, subjugated, and exploited, this means that all the toils that their fathers are exerting in order to transfer to them their power, wealth, and status could ultimately be in vain.

Accordingly, if it is possible that the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants to be a serious threat to their fathers in the same way Moses, whom Pharaoh adopted, was a threat to him, then it is not possible to exclude that the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) be resized and new families/dynasties start to play their role altogether if they continue to reject the counsel of their fathers to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and their children continue to be disinterested in the good that they are locking away.

I have no way of knowing if the children of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) and the children of their critical thinkers and servants would

overpower them in the future in the same way Moses overpowered Pharaoh and his chiefs and stripped them of their power when they turned their backs on the truth. What I do know is that in the Bible it is suggested that Jesus asked a woman who was drawing water from a well to give him to drink. He then tells her that his mission is to give people “living water” and that every person who will drink from this water will not be thirsty again. [John 4:7-14]

I have no way of knowing what the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are giving their children to drink so they do not become thirsty like them for mischief, exploitation, and tyranny, as the protests that their children participated in to demand that they grant self-determination to the Palestinians support. What I do know is that since the start of the digital age, there has been a growing number of newborns (new people) who are rejecting the political and economic strategies that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are depending on to secure the UN Charter and the UDHR despite all their achievements for humanity, and are demanding that they observe the human rights values that they preach to make people be ready to voluntarily stay with them and not just say one thing and do another.

Once again, I have no way of knowing why the children of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) and the children of their critical thinkers and servants that were born at the start of the digital age are not able to recognize that all the good that their fathers are locking away is for their own good. That is to say, to preserve their superiority or hierarchy. What I do know is that if the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are not able to recognize that competition is natural or appreciate the good that their fathers are locking away so they could keep their dominant role, then there must be a force whose power is overpowering their children. In other words, there must be a rule that is preventing the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants from distinguishing between all the achievements that their fathers are securing for them to help keep their dominant role, and its drawback or glitch is making them manifest the objection that they are demonstrating.

I have no way of knowing what could be overpowering the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants to not recognize the importance of the mischief, subjugation, and exploitation that their fathers are carrying out to ensure that they inherit their power, wealth, and status. In my opinion, two sources could be propelling this psychological fear or rejection of the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants of the erratic behavior and abuse that their fathers are depending on to keep their dominant role. The first is if knowledge makes people confused, as many philosophical and religious scriptures suggest. [See also book *Social Improvement and Human Security: Collateral Damage*, Maloof 2005] In other words, knowledge is a dagger with two edges. Knowledge raises mutual envy and builds awareness. The second is if humans, by default, are not programmed to let the inherent human values that human beings are stamped with reach the low level that other living creatures, such as animals, show.

Indeed, the reaction of the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants that were born after the start of the digital age manifesting toward the policies of mischief, abuse, and disregard that their fathers are effecting to keep their superiority has been different than the reaction (conduct) of their children that were born before the start of the digital age. For example, before the start of the digital age, many children of rulers and political class in the United states participated in protests, demonstrations, and movements for cvil rights, racial discrimination, entry of the United States in war, support of the United States to apartheid, climate change, etc., but according to historians and commentators the country did not observe this level of unprecedented rejection that their children who were born after the start of the digital age manifested, especially following the decision of their fathers to grant right to the Israeli jews to racially cleanse Palestinians in Gaza following the October 7, 2023, attacks, instead of meeting the demand of the Palestinians and granting them rights to self-determination.

I have no way of knowing what the impact of the protests, demonstrations, etc. that the children of the heirs of the old powers and

the children of their critical thinkers and servants are taking part in worldwide in recent years to express their disenchantment and rejection of the policies that their fathers are enforcing or laws that they are bending to hand over later their power, wealth, and status to them be. What I do know is that this rejection that the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants began to manifest around the world against the policies that their fathers are taking shows that their children are confused, and are not understanding what their fathers are doing. That is also to say, I have no way of knowing if the disenchantment of their children will be a source of grief for the heirs of the old powers and critical thinkers and servants if their children convert into becoming their enemies as Moses became for Pharaoh, or if the confusion that their children are knowing will pass on as they mature and understand that competition is natural.

Then again, those children who are protesting and demonstrating against the policies of their fathers toward the Palestinians plight for self-determination in the United States and around the world as of October 8, 2023, are the individuals whose fathers are preparing to inherit their power in the future (i.e., be future leaders). If those children are not appreciating the institutions that their fathers developed to ultimately transfer to them so they keep their dominant role, this rejection could be due to two motives. The first is that technology (science) confuses people and makes them dumb, as I suggested before, and many philosophical and religious books also support this. This is because the heirs of the old powers decided to stop relying on the human mind to command and control people and decided to hand this task to technology. The second is if God became angry with the way the heirs of the old powers were transgressing and defying the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and therefore decided to reduce them to simpler form by making their children rise against them in the same way the Bible suggests Moses rebelled against Pharaoh for his arrogance and hypocrisy. For example, when Pharaoh was transgressing and telling his dependent people that he did not know of another God for them but of himself, he perceived that his strongholds would put him out of the reach of his adversaries, but little did he know that his disgrace and defeat would come from places he never expected.

I have no way of knowing which scenario could be making the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants forget themselves and forget that all the powers and wealth that their fathers are amassing are for their sake and that they would be handing it to them. What I do know is that the deception and malice that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants have been depending on since 1945 to rejuvenate their power do not appear to show so far that the political and economic solutions that they say they are implementing are improving social cohesion and reducing intolerance, corruption, division, disregard, betrayal, etc.

Accordingly, if the political and economic solutions that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants say are making people want to be united and not have their hearts divided, not producing the outcomes that they claim, and their children continue to give value to truth, then the global actions that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are effecting to keep people divided and confused may fire back negatively on them in ways that they cannot control. This is because, as of the start of the digital age, the newborns (new people) that are opening their eyes with a computer in their hands are demonstrating an awareness or behavior contrary to what television was programmed to achieve when it was made accessible to all people. The goal of making television accessible to all people was to have the largest number of people frozen in time and not rivaling. This is because when people are sitting watching television, they cannot compete, and the field would be less congested for those who are competing to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on their own. If the more people satiate their thirst from the knowledge and awareness that computers facilitate, their thirst is not quenched, then unless the heirs of the old powers return people to living as was the case before the digital age started to be used to make people unprepared to cope, it would not be possible for the heirs of the old powers to avoid that their power, wealth, and status continue to gradually shrink until they are ultimately destroyed or resized.

In this context, since it would be naive to suppose that the mission statement of the heirs of the old powers in the aftermath of WW2 was making technology accessible to all people so newborns (new people) around the world could become wise and begin to interfere in things that threatens their power, wealth or status, but rather the real intention was to keep people dumb, and ready to stay with them and to consider their opinion before taking action of their own, the choices ahead of the heirs of the old powers are limited if they continue to be in favor of letting their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR. The heirs of the old powers can increase death rates or start to crank out policies that would make newborns (new people) go back to standing up in front of mirrors to understand themselves or the world instead of computer screens.

Then again, there is no guarantee that could prevent their gradual destruction if they implement either one of the aforesaid ideas while keeping their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR. This is because the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers have collected the world's entire scientific and cultural heritage, published over centuries in books and journals, digitized it, locked up the scientific work that has the potential to threaten their power, wealth, and status, so only a handful of people can have access to it, and kept the work related to humanities, social sciences, literature, languages, arts, music communication, writing skills, speaking skills, civilization studies, historical studies, international studies, natural science, behavioral philosophy, physical education, etc. available in digital form or accessible to most people.

I have no way of knowing if people learn and develop critical thinking, formal thinking, ethical thinking, quantitative and applied thinking, skills, ways of thinking, ways of behaving, ways of doing inquiry, ways of interpreting, ways of perceiving, exploring differences and interacting with diverse cultures and identities, etc., from scientific analyses (math) and knowledge, such as reading Einstein's theory of special relativity, or from the humanities. What I do know is that our

predecessors, before they learned to develop an atomic bomb, learned how objects move and behave in space and time. In addition, historians suggest that throughout history, the fittest forbid people from having access to or reading the Bible and other dogmatic and historical books, and not necessarily books on how to produce knives, swords, or guns. For example, following the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia in 1917, “the Bible was not published in this country until 1995, and its circulation was very limited until the collapse of the Soviet Union in the 1990s.”

It looks as if the only reason why the old powers managed to keep people from around the world stay with them and to let them have more powers than others is because their children were, until the start of the digital age, learning how to develop critical thinking and quantitative and applied thinking in ways that were far different and better than what children around the world were learning. Could the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants prevent the children of their adversaries from outsmarting their kids after they began to freely graduate leaders who could control and command the world far better than their own children could do it?

In addition, if throughout most of world history it was not the technically intelligent and capable individuals who dominated the international order, but rather the individuals who knew how to pretend or to scam, can the heirs of the old powers prevent that their power, wealth, and status do not get stripped from them and handed to their adversaries when their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are learning how to surf the internet, and the way to dominate the world is based on who learns how to surf the streets in person?

Once Jesus said, “What good will it be for someone to gain the whole world yet forfeit their soul?” [Matthew 16:26] Perhaps a pertinent related question can be as follows: What good will the heirs of the old powers recoup from controlling and commanding the world if their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants grow up developing knowledge and awareness in specific fields, and forgetting the importance of developing awareness in the only area or skillset required to keep their dominant role? This skillset is to continue

following in the footsteps of what their fathers know much better than anyone else and have been practicing since 1945. This skillset, which comes naturally to all human beings, is to kill, exploit, betray, etc. to stand the ground to stay on top of the food chain (top of the hierarchy) and avoid having this place handed to another human creature.

Then again, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers plan to make their children strong or courageous or plan to educate with their critical thinkers and servants a generation of children to observe the standards and norms that they are legitimizing and enforcing to make newborns (new people) surrender freedom voluntarily to them when the majority does not only understand one field but is also capable of understanding the big picture.

Khalil Gibran was a Lebanese-American poet, writer, and philosopher. He is best known as the author of the book *The Prophet*, which he published in 1923. According to Khalil Gibran, it is possible “for a person to give his children only his love but not his thoughts.” Khalil Gibran also suggests that it is possible for parents “to house the bodies of their children but not their souls. For their souls dwell in the house of tomorrow, which parents cannot visit, not even in their dreams.” And so, if, as Khalil Gibran suggests, it is not possible to strive to make children be like their parents because parents are only living arrows that parents [i.e., the archer] send forth, can the heirs of the old powers make their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants practice domination, subjugation, and exploitation, so that the efforts that they are exhausting in this endeavor could be something that they could cash later?

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are concluding that it would be possible for them to make their children follow in their footsteps and, in this way, go far with keeping their dominant role after they (the heirs of the old powers and their helpers) did not prepare for them and for the children that they expect that would be staying with them and letting them have more powers the right framework and environment within which their children can achieve this.

Similarly, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are concluding that it would be possible for them to make their children develop interests in practicing what they were themselves prioritizing as of 1945 (i.e., domination, subjugation, and exploitation), thus enabling them to keep their superiority (dominant role) after they persuaded newborns (new people) around the world to abandon prioritizing traditions and to focus on prioritizing equal outcomes. In other words, can the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants make people want to stay with their children and to let them have more powers than others after they chose to raise (educate and train) their children and those that their own children are supposed to dominate into thinking that they can both take on the responsibility of leading others, or regarding themselves as the center of leadership, including thinking that they could become whatever they want, knowing that competition is natural? That is also to say, knowing that people increase their happiness by forgoing the happiness of others and that if all the newborns (new people) are trained and educated in subjects that build their conviction, personalities, and knowledge of major issues, there is a good chance that not all of them would be in favor of voluntary letting the children of the heirs of the old powers have more power than others and not rival them.

Indeed, all the threads (ideas) that I followed and explored to understand how the heirs of the old powers are concluding that they can stand against the schemes of people have led me nowhere. That is also to say, it is not clear how the heirs of the old powers plan to make newborns (new people) around the world build convictions, personalities, and knowledge of major issues and be in favor of letting their children keep their superiority and not forgo it, when people do not appear to have been granted power to override the way the natural world (or God) wanted that humans provide for themselves without any reckoning or punishment. In fact, when everything is said and done, the unpreparedness of the heirs of the old powers or their critical thinkers and servants to factor or worry about the danger of having their children and those that their children are supposed to be dominating and exploiting be exposed to active learning in the aftermath of WW2 instead of keeping the newborns (new people) that their children learning would be dominating and exploiting be exposed

to passive learning as their fathers were doing until 1945 means one of two things. The first is if the heirs of the old powers are not living among us on earth, or second, if they have no access to books so they can read about what happened to the rulers who did not know how to tell them apart, or how to employ them.

Passive learning usually “involves listening to a lecture or reading a book, followed by tests to measure comprehension. Communication is usually one-way, with most communication flowing from the teacher to the learner.” Active learning “gets the learner involved in the instructional process in various ways, such as through discussions, projects, and smaller activities. It can also simply involve practicing a concept or applying an idea to a real-world scenario.”

To give an example, the word “train” could be “a noun that describes a locomotive that transports passengers and/or freight, but as a verb that describes the process of learning skills through instruction and practice. I believe that the heirs of the old powers preserve their power, wealth, and status better when the awareness and knowledge of newborns (new people) are transported onboard the train that they are piloting instead of being involved in the planning of the trip with them.

Surely, one of two scenarios could be giving rise to the constant wars and confusion that have swept the world in recent years. The first is if newborns (new people) are doing something beyond just learning and taking note (i.e., practicing passive learning) in the aftermath of WW2 and are incorporating what they are learning in their daily lives or comprehension process (i.e., practicing active learning), contrary to how they were thought to behave before WW2 erupted. The second is if God (or the Devil) became angry with the heirs of the old powers because they decided to deviate from the work program that their fathers (the old powers) suggested they observe and stay faithful to its principles to keep their dominant role and ensure lasting peace, which is causing hypocrisy to plague their hearts until they are destroyed.

For example, in the UN Charter and the UDHR, it is not suggested that the old powers (the victors of WW2) counselled their children to teach newborns (new people) in the aftermath of WW2 active learning but passive learning. In other words, the founding fathers of the UN (the

victors of WW2) did not council their children (their heirs) to expand the role of non-state actors and international institutions such as the United Nations, World Bank, IMF, Red Cross, etc. and to have such legal entities set up operations in UN member state and to let the individual involved teach newborn (new people) to stop coping (i.e., teach them about freedom, social justice, poverty eradication, etc.) unless their rulers and political class share with them outcome on equal rights but proposed that newborns (new people) determine and develop their political, economic, social and cultural development on their own, for as long as it is tending toward becoming in accordance with the provisions suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR.

Indeed, the demonstrations and protests that swept the western world as of October 8, 2023, in order to demand that Israel stop its racial cleansing of Palestinians was a great occasion for all the newborns (new people) that the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants (national government and international institutions) spent the last 75 years teaching them in it about active learning and how to apply this method in real-world scenarios, instead of teaching them to keep for themselves the knowledge or information that they comprehend and assimilate (i.e., passive learning). Many questions beg answers. For one, can the heirs of their old powers and their critical thinkers and servants (national governments and international institutions) suppress their children and make them sit in the passenger seat where they should belong so they can be sitting in the conductor seat in the future all alone?

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers and their principal critical thinkers and servants would be able to suppress people so they would accept to stay in the passenger seat so their own children could only stay in the conductor seat. What I do know is that Pharaoh and all the kings and chiefs that ruled the world failed to keep their dominant role when they tried to prevent the people that were staying with them and letting them have more powers than others from learning one thing and practicing another thing. In other words, when those rulers tried teaching people about values, truth, human rights, social justice, freedom, etc., while they regarded themselves exempt from the appeal that they were making (teaching).

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers and their principal critical thinkers and servants would be far superior in might to the kings and chiefs that were defeated and destroyed before them and, therefore, prevent their collapse. What I do know is that politics is usually determined in two places: boardrooms and the street. The only difference is that in the politics that gets legitimized in boardrooms, few people determine the standards or norms posited. Whereas the politics that the street imposes is a process that usually all people are involved in and is what ends up being legitimized.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers are controlling and commanding the international order as of 1945 or are determining when they should go to the right or to the left. I also have no way of knowing if the laws (standards and norms) that the heirs of the old powers are constantly cranking are what make the international order turn to the right or to the left, or if the events (changes) that the common people are effecting in the street are what are doing the turning, and the heirs of the old powers are in it for the ride until their time is up. In other words, the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants are not the ones who are in the driver seat, but the societies that the old powers are dominating.

On the other hand, man does not appear to control and command great things in this world so far, despite all the explorations that have been made or the technological breakthroughs achieved. That is to say, the heirs of the old powers may be supposing that because they can use their power to make people observe the standards and norms, they legitimize that they are controlling and commanding the human body of the people that are voluntarily surrendering freedom to them, but in reality, they have control over nothing. This is because most kings were killed by their servants.

Then again, if for as long as people perceive that the human body is at the top of the universe in the same way that number 1 is at the top of the counting numbers but refuse to factor in that despite all the privileges that number 1 enjoys, this number is equally the most vulnerable. This is because the ability of number 1 to stay on top depends on the readiness of the rest of the counting numbers to be braced into a strong bond to create a platform.

At the same time, when we examine how concrete develops stiffness or how the human body grows or develops, we find that the food that people consume distributes the energy in the same way in the human body, including making the shape of the human body expand or shrink proportionally as well, and not benefiting one body part at the expense of the other.

If in order for the heirs of the old powers to stay on top, it is important that the rulers and kings (chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) that they are appointing to protect them be observing the rules that they are legitimizing in the same way concrete observes the natural rule that makes it stiff after it solidifies, or the human body distributes energy and grows, and not showing partiality in the same way the Bible suggests the statue that King Nebuchadnezzar dreamt was built and Daniel interpreted for him, then it is important that their power be of one type of material or fabric.

In the dream that Daniel interpreted for King Nebuchadnezzar, the statue had a “head that was made of pure gold, its chest and arms of silver, its belly and thighs of bronze, its legs of iron, and its feet partly of iron and partly of baked clay.” [Daniel 2:32-34].

In addition, since we cannot expect the chaos that swept the international order as a result of the heirs of the old powers disobeying the counsel of their fathers to rest from wars in the aftermath of WW2 and are appointing rulers, kings, chiefs, judges, officials, etc. to protect them that are regarding themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter from gradually shrinking their power until they are ultimately destroyed or resized, we cannot expect the division or corruption that would be ensuing in the future because of their idiocy and arrogance from not resulting in their power be composed in the same way the statue that King Nebuchadnezzar dreamt was built according to Daniel, which is very fragile, and can easily lead to their own defeat and destruction.

On the other hand, when we take the statue that King Nebuchadnezzar dreamt had its “head made of pure gold, its chest and arms of silver, its belly and thighs of bronze, its legs of iron, its feet partly of iron and partly of baked clay,” and then while he was watching, a rock was cut

out, but not by human hands, and struck it (the statue) on its feet of iron and clay and smashed them, the blow happened on the feet of the statue and not on the head, chest, arm, belly, or legs.

Most importantly, in the Bible, it is suggested that after the rock was cut out, but not by human hands, and struck (the statue) on its feet of iron and clay and smashed them, the part of the statue that was made of “bronze, the silver, and the gold were all broken to pieces and became like chaff on a threshing floor in the summer.” [Daniel 2:35]

When a person smashes a piece of bronze, silver, or gold that falls to the ground, such chemical elements do not usually break into “pieces and become like chaff on a threshing floor in the summer.”

For example, when the heirs of the old powers used their global policemen, the United States, NATO, or both, to dismantle Korea, Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, Syria, Yemen, and now Ukraine and Israel, we did not find that the chaos that ensued in those destroyed countries or around the world resulted in creating any threats that signaled that the wealth, status, and power of the heirs of the old powers were in danger.

If we cannot expect that the chaos, wars, division, and disregard that the heirs of the old powers are sponsoring in UN member states to threaten their wealth, status, and power or strip them from their dominant role as the wars that they waged so far around the world since 1945 does not show to have had any affect on the maintenance of their strength and stability (i.e., bronze, silver, and gold do not break easily or into pieces when they fall to the ground), then the collapse of the heirs of the old powers must be an impasse or rule that would break them down and render (converts) them into becoming invaluable in their fortified strongholds, just as happens when a carbonated water becomes flat, or as Jesus is referenced in the epistle of Mathew having said when “salt loses its saltiness (i.e., loses its flavor),” meaning its chemical composition breaks down. [See Matthew 5:13].

When we take the events that occurred in the world in the last 75 years, we find that the intolerance, wars, corruption, betrayal, division,

etc. that swept the world after the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) dismantled their empires and invited the people who they were dominating to service the international institutions that they started to depend on its wisdom to determine when they should go to the right or to the left that their impasse is not one that is due to an imbalance that the heirs of the old powers are having with the legs or the feet (i.e., governments in UN member states or their dependent people), but a problem in the head (i.e., United Nations, NATO, IMF, G7, etc.).

Put differently, when we take some of the most important events that occurred in the world since 1945 such as the granting of independence to colonial countries and peoples, the right that was granted to black Americans in the United States and around the world, the rights that were granted to women, the right that was granted to LGBT, the fall of Soviet Union, the rise of China, the rise of the Muslim arabs, the legalization of Marijuana, the waging of the war on Afghanistan, the refusal to grant the Palestinians right to self-termination, and so forth, we cannot say that those were decisions that the Lebanese, the Sri Lankan, Ethiopians, or the Bangladeshi, etc., were taking or deciding upon but the heirs of the old powers (Great Britain, France, Norway, Spain, the Netherland and the rest of the western European monarchs and republics) in consultation sometimes with their global policemen the United States.

In the Bible, it is suggested that when the Israelites were not choosing wise, understanding, and respected rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc. to rule over them or to hear the disputes between people and judge fairly, but were appointing chiefs, rulers, judges, officials, etc., who were showing partiality, freeing people, favoring people over other people, legalizing prostitution, LGBT, etc., just as the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) of the heirs of the old powers have been doing since 1945, they became a burden to each other, lost their saltness, and their adversaries managed to trodden them under their feet in the course of time and things.

Put differently, when the Israelites were agreeing with the partiality and corruption that their rulers, chiefs, judges, officials, etc., were showing, and the laws they were relaxing but without practicing what

they were preaching, just as the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) of the heirs of the old powers have been doing since 1945, they thought that all the happiness and gains that they were enjoying would not fade away, and the lawlessness that they are depending on to establish relations would not be of any harm to them, or that it would stray them until their power was stripped from them altogether and handed to their adversaries. Can the heirs of the old powers avoid that the same cover that was placed on the sight of the Israelites not block their sight and seal their hearts, leading to their collapse after they allowed their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to mock the work program that their fathers suggested to them to keep their dominant role?

When a lion tries to not be himself (i.e., strong and courageous), the rest of the animals will rip him to pieces in no time. The constant laws (standards and norms) that the heirs of the old powers and servants are constantly adding, relaxing, or removing without practicing what they are preaching does not appear to support that all people are near the heirs of the old powers or with them, but everyone appears to be distressed, just as the Israelites were in antiquity.

If all the indifference that the heirs of the old powers have shown since 1945 or the stubbornness that their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) have shown since 1945 does not show that things may go well for them in the future, then we cannot say that no matter how hard they try to dissipate the threat that is piling, they would succeed in preventing their collapse if the individuals who are supposed to be leading by example (i.e., be their ears and eyes) so people do not turn away from them are the ones who are propelling this phenomenon (their destruction).

When Moses asked the Israelites to not turn away from the laws so their children and their enemies would not become a snare for them, the Bible suggests that the Lord told Moses that they would not be allowed to eliminate their adversaries all at once, or the wild animals would multiply around them. [Deuteronomy 7:22] The Bible also suggests that the Israelites would be allowed to drive out their adversaries (people, nations, etc.), little by little. Accordingly, if the

heirs of the old powers continue to be in favor of letting their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) use force to protect them or to violate the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and to regard themselves exempt from this appeal, then we cannot exclude that they would be multiplying the number of people that would be fast in wanting to repay them by destructing them.

To put it differently, no one knows if humans were created by God or if the understanding that humans gained of themselves over thousands or millions of years distinguished them from the rest of the animals. In the animal kingdom, no lion can prevent a pathogen from entering his body, bypassing natural barriers such as skin, mucus, cilia, and stomach acid, reproducing and killing him, never mind many non-microscopic pathogens ganging on him and attacking him. If it is possible for any natural creature to get past the natural and imaginable defenses of the strongest and most courageous living creatures and to make him not be himself, how can we be sure that it is the heirs of the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) who are in the driver seat of the international order and not the rest of the animals that they are cohabiting with and depending on their imaginative capacity to protect them? This is because it could very well appear to the heirs of the old powers that the institutions that they are depending on to preserve their power, along with technology, are helping them to keep their dominant role, or that all the laws that they are relaxing are not weakening their power, wealth, and status. That is to say, those critical thinkers and servants could very well be doing the opposite for the heirs of the old powers. The heirs of the old powers could be thinking that the privileges that they are granting their critical thinkers and servants are good for them, but in reality, the more they (the heirs of the old powers) become prideful and forget the command of their fathers (to rest from wars and to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR), the more their arrogance would be bundling up people and converting them into becoming a strong form of energy (or a rock) that would remove them from their power altogether and replace them with others.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers would be able to transfer to their own children their powers in the

same way their fathers transferred to them their powers. What I do know is that many newborns (new people) are not arriving in recent years to profit from the equality of opportunity ideal, which was available to any American born in the United States or naturalized through hard work, determination, and initiative, such as owning a home, a car, a motorcycle, etc. In recent years, the closest most newborns (new people) in the United States are managing to fulfill from the American dream is leasing a home, a car, a motorcycle, etc. instead of owning such utilities, due to the ongoing financialization of the economy of this country, including high interest rates and high inflation.

The American Dream is not only “the national ethos of the United States,” but this dream represents the ethos of every member of the human family, especially since 1945. Every member of the human family has become conscious of the need to attain a better life and “to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom and opportunity.”

I have no way of knowing if newborns (new people) around the world would be ready to not mind in the future if they become incapable of achieving the American dream no matter how hard they try, or regardless of where they were born or what class they were born into, after the heirs of the old powers financialized the world and converted government and international institutions from being legal entities programmed to preserve law and order to becoming economic operators. What I do know is that in computing, when several computations are executed concurrently (i.e., concurrent computing), the system can come to a situation in which a deadlock or stalemate occurs.

In concurrent computing, deadlock is “any situation in which no member of some group of entities can proceed because each waits for another member, including itself, to take action, such as sending a message or, more commonly, releasing a lock. In other words, during overlapping time periods involving deadlocks, it is not possible to make members in the group accept to behave sequentially (one before the other) without using a lock to arbitrate shared resources and implement process synchronization.

I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers and their principal critical thinkers and servants plan to resolve the political, and economic deadlock that they placed the world in after they decided to deviate away from the work program that their fathers counselled them to observe to keep their dominant role and ensure lasting peace. What I do know is that there are two choices that the heirs of the old powers and their principal critical thinkers and servants could adopt to resolve the political and economic deadlock that they are in. The first is to increase death rates. The second is stopping governments and international institutions (critical thinkers and servants) from regarding themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and returning non-state actors and international institutions such as the United Nations, IMF, World Bank, etc., to playing the role that the founding fathers of the UN designed for them initially only.

I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforementioned two are the heirs of the old powers planning to depend on in the future to keep their dominant role. What I do know is that usually it is the trivial that, over time, converts into a weight (the mass). In fact, in the dream that is suggested in the book of Daniel and in which Daniel interprets to King Nebuchadnezzar his dream and tells him in it about how a rock would be striking a statue (different kingdoms) after his death and smashing it to pieces, the rock (i.e., people) that Daniel was depicting started out made up of a few grains of sand, silt, dead plants, etc., or a few people.

Many questions beg answers. For one, can the heirs of the old powers avoid having their children and the children of their critical thinkers and servants not depose them so other people can start to play their role when they do not appear to be using their knowledge to discern wisely since 1945? In other words, are the heirs of the old powers capable of making newborns (new people) in the future continue to stay divided and to kill each other to reduce the political and economic deadlock that they created when they refused to observe the counsel of their fathers when people were not granted the right to know what lies in darkness or reveal what is hidden? Alternatively, can the heirs of the old powers make their critical thinkers and servants (national

governments and international institutions) observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR after they allowed those helpers to regard themselves exempt from this appeal and led people around the world to distrust them or believe that they are really working to make everyone have a better life?

I have no way of knowing if the series of anti-government protests, uprisings, and rebellions that newborns (new people) are taking part in to express their disenchantment with the way the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are not helping them to secure a better and fuller life with opportunity for each according to ability or achievement will fade away as the Arab spring wave that spread across much of the Arab world in the early 2010s faded after the authorities met those protests and demonstrations with violent responses. Alternatively, the growing support by people to end political corruption and to prioritize truth will result in the power of the heirs of the old powers being stripped from them altogether and other people replacing them.

The Bible does not suggest that whenever the Israelites began to violate the law of Moses, the children of the Israelites' chiefs, judges, officials, etc., began protesting and demonstrating against the policies that their fathers were taking, or if the reason why a generation of Israelites wandered and died before reaching the promised land is because those chiefs, judges, officials, etc. were not listening to what their children were telling them to do.

Following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack and the racial cleansing of Palestinians that the Israeli government launched in retaliation for the Hamas attack, the children of the heirs of the old powers and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) began to protest and demonstrate to demand that their fathers observe the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and grant the Palestinians the right to self-determination, thus ending the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. However, instead of the heirs of the old powers and critical thinkers and servants listening to what their children were telling them to do, they decided to employ force and quell the protests and demonstrations in the same way the authorities

(Muslim rulers) dismissed the protests and demonstrations that were organized during the Arab Spring.

Then again, in the Bible, it is suggested that God warned the Israelites against putting the laws of Moses to the test or to have their children build relations with people that they defeated or spared out of pity, because when they expose their children to the convictions or perceptions of their adversaries, they will invite them over, and their children will be obliged to take part in their sacrifices (i.e., participate in them, learn about them, adopt them, etc.). The Bible also suggests that God warned the Israelites against giving their daughters as wives to the sons of the people they went to war against or spared because they looked with pity on them, or giving their sons as husbands to the daughters of those adversaries. [Exodus 7:3-4] This is because when their children marry the children of the people they defeated or spared because they looked with pity on them, they will not be able to avoid that their sons or daughters prostitute themselves to their gods, and therefore, turn them away from observing the ten commandments, which is a prerequisite to avoiding that their adversaries defeat them. [Exodus 34-12-16]

Indeed, the large number of protests and demonstrations that the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are taking part in to demand that their fathers change their policies and go back to practicing what the victors of WW2 (the old powers) taught was right in their eyes to ensure lasting peace is a result of the heirs of the old powers marrying their sons and daughters in the aftermath of WW2 to those who they defeated or spared because they looked with pity on them and ensuring exposure to their traditions or God.

The protests that the children of the heirs of the old powers and the children of their critical thinkers and servants are taking part in against the policies that their fathers are adopting and the violent response that their fathers are permitting the authorities to meet them up could be part of the great confusion that would be sweeping the world before the collapse of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics and their power, wealth, and status handed to new people. Alternatively, the confusion that swept the world could be because the heirs of the old powers and their critical

thinkers and servants are making their children vomit out the traditions (customs) that they picked up from the relations that they build with the people who live in the land, thus doing what God has suggested in the Bible for Moses to do to avoid happening to the Israelites so newborns (new people) do not abhor their fathers and the confusion that they would all be knowing would give them into the hands of their enemy. [Leviticus 20-22]

Then again, the Bible narrates that the children of the Israelites rulers (chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) drew a world history that was totally different from the one the Bible suggests God chose them for (or picked them to play) when their fathers began to not mind that they prostituted the laws of Moses or supposed that they could give their children their thoughts and still make them like them. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the way the heirs of the old powers are prostituting the work program that their fathers suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR may present a future for their successors that would be completely different than the one they are anticipating for them if they continue to let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing faithfully and strictly the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR.

In conclusion, I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers would be able to prevent the transformations that are constantly taking place from drawing a future that would be completely different from the fate that the Israelites and all the kingdoms that succeeded them confronted when their rulers (chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) taught that they were more powerful than their predecessors. That is to say, the rulers (chiefs, judges, officials, etc.) of the kingdoms that collapsed thought that the disregard and contempt that they were committing would go unpunished and that their children would not be held responsible or later defeated and destroyed. What I do know is that in the Bible, in the book of Leviticus, it is suggested in Chapter 26 that God told the Israelites in advance about the punishment that they could confront if they treated with disrespect the laws of Moses. In this chapter, the Bible lists six types of punishment that the Israelites could expect if they did not obey or carry out those commands, with the severity of each punishment getting harsher than the punishment that was before.

I do not know if the confusion, censorship, mass surveillance, wars, corruption, etc. that swept the world in recent years is helping the heirs of the old powers to make progress on the totalitarian socialism project that they appear to be in the process of mounting since 1945 as compared to building the free world that their fathers counselled them to mount. Alternatively, if this dystopian world or uncertainty that appears to be forming is because mankind is in the process of passing through the six levels of punishment that the Bible suggested rulers could pass through to humble them and defeat them when they let their arrogance dominate their actions. What I do know is that the heirs of the powers would not be around when the people would rebel against their children in the same way newborns (new people) rebelled against their kings in antiquity, because people have only one life to live. The only tip that the heirs of the old powers could depend on to predict if the policies that they are adopting today can make newborns (new people) want to stay with their children and to let them have more powers than others in the future is to reflect on past events to determine if the kings (societies) who took the wrong path ended up in the end on a dangerous path or not.

According to Heraclitus, a pre-Socratic philosopher, no man ever steps in the same river twice, for it is not the same river and he is not the same man. This means that history is not useful to give perspective on the problems of the present or predict the future. The only way that the heirs of the old powers have to ensure that the actions that they are taking today do not produce the same results that previous kings who were destroyed encountered is to ensure that the critical thinkers and servants (national government and international institutions) who they are depending on to carve out for them the way do not lose the thread. This is because losing the thread has always been a tell-tall sign of the beginning of the retreat in the power of any leading powers. That is to say, if the heirs of the old powers lose the readiness of this generation to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others, can their children make the generation that succeeds them be ready to surrender freedom to them and be in favor of staying with them when they are busy determining how they should put out the fire instead of putting the fire out?

Once again, I do not know the answer to the aforesaid question. What I do know is that after God created the world, he created an army of angels to help him govern the world. That is to say, despite the power of God to create the world, he realized that it would not be possible for him to get his way despite the resistance of others if angels were not helping him to make his wish come true. I do not know if the critical thinkers and servants (government and international institutions) that the heirs of the old powers are depending on their wisdom to make newborns (new people) want to stay with their children and to let them have more powers than others are doing it in the same way newborns (new people) like to stay with their parents or not. What I do know is that the UN chiefs, who the heirs of the old powers are depending on their wisdom to rejuvenate their power and secure the UN goals, were in 2015 using the UN bank account to launder money in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. The UN staff member who refused to prioritize his self-interests as his supervisors were doing, paid a big price when he opposed his supervisors. They (his supervisors) ganged on him, accused him of being a threat to the United Nations, and ended his contract. In 2022, the supervisors who denied this former UN staff member any rights were caught and dismissed from the UN instead of being put in jail; hence, UN officials are granted privileges and immunities. Following this scandal that overthrew those UN supervisors in 2022, this former UN staff wrote to their successors in order to request to reopen his case and to review it. For mysterious reasons, the new supervisors who were appointed in the positions that became vacant refused to reopen the case of this former UN staff and to review it. This former UN staff member contacted the UN judicial system, which dismissed his application, and this office refused to reopen the case as well.

Finally, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers are concluding that if they continue to depend on monsters at the United Nations to protect them, they would avoid that existing people and newborns (new people) hate them. What I do know is that the most dangerous animal in the world is man. In addition, it was not the weak who were responsible for the breakdown of all the kingdoms that collapsed, but the kings who gave permission to their helpers to regard themselves exempt from observing the principles that they were preaching, which caused their collapse. Sooner or later, time will tell!