

Crown and Continuity

**The western European monarchs
dilemma to preserve their power in the
3rd millennium**

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2023 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloof S., Crown and Continuity, 2023

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
Crown and Continuity

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment
www.centermpa.com

In memory of Hacob Yoghourjian

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Definitions

PREFACE

Crown and Continuity

1. Analysis

23

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, his supervisors told him that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national which also

included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave cost him his right to any claim! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations wants people to stop being tolerant to have any rights and wants them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes can have his or her case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

In the year 2022, the corruption ring of those UN managers who were using the UN as a cover to do their evil work in the name of truth and good and who ganged on this UN staff member because he refused to trade his self-interests for the values included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was exposed and

dismantled. Instead of UN member states requesting that those UN managers be imprisoned with the UN Judges who were protecting them, they were fine with the UN ending the contract of those UN managers while keeping all their wealth, health insurance and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member so he could be remunerated for all the pain that they put this UN staff member through because he refused to take part in their wicked plots, the UN member states believe that if they let this intergovernmental organization keep this case in the garbage basket of history, the United Nations would be preserving their power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personalities at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the

Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, and in this way avoiding that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form as the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision-making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the

rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between, nations including failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and are preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century / early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior in the aftermath of World War II in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion's share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or put into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or analyzed were solely used because I felt they contained ideas that could aid me in comprehending better if the decision of the victors of World War II (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scriptures counseled is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

Nations that won WW2: This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2 and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2nd millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The global policeman of the world role that the United States started playing in 1945 is regarded in this work as a functional role that was delegated to this country by the families/dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

Banks, large financial institutions, or Corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties that won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states in the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized

nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

United States: All references to the word “United States ” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If the American culture is endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to avoid losing the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

PREFACE

Words are tools that do not require that the person be born with any physical strength or creative mind to use to get his way despite the resistance of others. In the last two thousand years, and specifically in the 1st millennium, mankind relied on the truth and good suggested in religious and philosophical books to dominate and kill each other. The most notable transformation in mankind's ongoing search during this 1st interval to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on his own happened when, in the middle of the 1st millennium, the companions of the Islamic Prophet Muhammad managed to build the most successful political religious community to date. In the 2nd millennium, mankind switched and began to rely on the truth and good included in constitutions to dominate and kill each other. The most notable transformation in mankind's ongoing search during this 2nd interval to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest happened when, in the middle of the 2nd millennium, people penned that all human beings are created equal and built the most successful political-free community to date (the United States).

This book tries to show that the decline in peace and security that has been crystallizing since the start of the 3rd millennium could be due to the decision of the families/dynasties who control the nations that won WW2 (i.e., western European monarchs and republics) letting their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) deviate away from the work program that their fathers (the old powers) suggested they observe in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) to keep their dominant role in favor of letting those subordinates become at par with them, just as the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire / Christianity and the families/dynasties who were controlling the Islamic Empire did in the first millennium culminating in their decline (decay) and collapse. The paper tries to support that if the western European monarchs and republics (the principal victors of WW2) do not stop their critical thinkers and servants from denying people right to cooperate in accordance with the UN Charter and the UDHR so people do not continue to generate the same outcomes that

colonialism produces (direct occupation or people movement to produce settler colonialism), it would not be possible to stop mankind from continuing to get caught in the dogmatic and tribal demagogue that its toll would deteriorate the international order until people start to establish relations in the same way people were doing it before Christianity emerged. This was also exactly the way people have done it since the birth of Jesus, excluding the period when the Rashidun Caliphs ruled from 632 to 661 and the rulers of the United States from 1776 to 1941. This also means that if the principal victors of WW2 (i.e., western European monarchs and republics) and their new global policemen as of 1945 (i.e., the United States) do not wise up and stop to adopt colonial political assumptions to get their ways despite the resistance of others, it would not be possible for both to avoid their decline (decay) and new societies to start to use the universal rules they depend on to keep their dominant role to dominate the international order if the United Nations (the organization and UN member states) continue to be a den of thieves. That is, of course, if human nature has not changed, progress or evolution is relative, and history repeats itself.

1

Analysis

The power of the mind - for both good and bad - has been the subject of keen interest by people throughout history. The words people speak have received great attention in particular. This is because it is very easy for people to reproduce them or to use them for good or bad.

Words are also like tools, instruments that people depend on to stay in groups and to let their potential help the fittest make the weakest consider his opinion before taking action on his own. That is to say, words are the tool that helps people translate their fixated lust or fixated conviction to compete for power, wealth, and status after their needs for food and mates are met. Words are also the tool that leads people to perceive that their work program is the only correct conjecture and that the conjecture of everyone else is false. In fact, the apostle who wrote the Gospel of John began this book with the following words: “In the beginning was the Word...”, thus showing the power of this tool to help a person get what he wants.

Initially, i.e., before the people began to rely on the power of the word as we do today to create all that exists, people relied on natural dexterity to make the weak consider the opinion of the fittest. This practice has been reduced over time because it is exhaustive and requires that people be born with a special physical form (or genes) that not all people possess. In addition, dependence on natural dexterity requires that the person stay in good physical shape, just as people who rely on combative sports or martial arts to this day do so to get their way despite the resistance of others.

When people discovered that tools could help them get their way despite the resistance of others without the need to be naturally

bequeathed with physical strength, they began to use the tools they created to dominate, subjugate, or exploit each other. However, because not all people were bequeathed with a creative mind, people discovered that there is another method that all people, without any exception, could use to dominate, subjugate, or exploit each other, and that is to only depend on the potential of the words that people speak to help every person get his way despite the resistance of others.

The advantage of relying on rhetoric (the words that people speak) to help any individual get his way despite the resistance of others is that this method does not require the person in question to be born with any physical strength or creative mind, but rather the ability to reproduce the words that other people speak. This is not a task that people have difficulty learning after birth. Evidently, this also means that because all people are able to reproduce the words that other people speak, the number of people who are depending on rhetoric to get their way despite the resistance of others is a lot higher than the number of people who depend on creative minds or physical strengths. Of course, this also signifies that the people who rely on the potential of physical strength to get their way despite the resistance of others are far greater than the people who depend on their creative minds, and the ones who depend on the potential of their creative minds to get their ways despite the resistance of others are far greater than the people who depend on rhetoric and so forth. This is because a person cannot kill another person with a word (physically speaking). Likewise, there is no guarantee that a person would die from a bullet wound or a knife piercing. However, a person cannot survive if another stronger person than him chocks him or hits him with a deadly punch on special weak spots known to exist in the human body.

In this context, the discovery by people of different ways in which words could be used to help them get their way despite the resistance of others made it possible, with fear, to widen the means that people could depend on to dominate, subjugate, or exploit each other. At the same time, people fixated on lust for power, wealth, and status made the number of people who were busy searching for ways to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest large.

Accordingly, in the same way people legitimized the right to protect the material interests that result from exploration and to come up with instruments that would make it difficult for a person to reproduce or sell any material interests that a person is claiming right to without permission or payment to their creators, people began to protect the words they speak in the same way. That is to say, in the same way people are today vocal about ensuring that the tools they develop are protected and always revitalized or renewed, thus enabling them to continue to use their potential to dominate, subjugate, and exploit others, people use the words they speak to achieve the same controlling end.

In addition, in the same way that the people who get their ways despite the resistance of others by relying on the creativity of their minds are always influencing existing legal entities and creating new regulatory bodies to ensure that the tools that they are constantly developing do not present danger to the public, go wrong, get in the wrong hands, be misused, turbocharge corruption, fraud, make misinformation more convincing, etc., to make it difficult for others to dominate them, the people who get their ways despite the resistance of others by relying on rhetoric are also doing the same thing.

This constant innovation and safeguard that people began to engage in after they discovered that they could get their way despite the resistance of others (create ordered hierarchical relations) if they continuously told each other things that were not true has not helped any person from among all those who allowed their minds to assume that they could get their way despite the resistance of others based on such methods, because everything in the world appears to have its own law. Since antiquity, all the working around and quick fixes that people have done to change this truth have achieved nothing.

In 1945, the families/dynasties that controlled the nations that won WW2 (the elites) decided to change the way people established relations instead of leaving them the way they were. The elites proposed a work program that included common standards, which they (the elites) said were capable of reducing fear and want. The victors of WW2 proposed that instead of people continuing to deal with the immediate problems (i.e., who should make the weakest consider the

opinion of the fittest first) in a manner that will carry things forward, they (the victors of WW2) proposed that people commit to a cause that makes everyone's infatuation with determining who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest be organized based on rational (ethical and moral) assumptions rather than on dogmatic or animalistic assumptions, as was the case till 1945. In addition, in order to help people determine how to act, or, let's say, help those who always want more than others to act humanely, the victors of WW2 proposed principles that they said could help us make the right choices (i.e., rank given what we understand about facts and the likely consequences of our actions). In this work, this program refers to the provisions suggested in the UN Charter or Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR).

People are not observing the work program that the elites suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR to improve morality and reduce fear and want. This is not the first time people refuse to observe the principles that the fittest claim are capable of reducing dynamism, chaos, or extremes.

For example, when Moses proposed the ten commandments as common standards to reduce fear and want among the people he dominated or had control over, the people who were staying with him and agreeing to let him have more power than others refused to stay faithful to the principles he suggested. The same happened when Buddha, Confucius, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, Zeno of Elea, Heraclitus, Euclid, Jesus, Muhammad, etc. proposed similar principles to help mankind reduce fear and want.

If people are, all the time, as I said before, coming up with ideas that they say would ultimately reduce fear and want but then refuse to be patient until the result they are all expecting materializes either because people are impatient by nature or because people know right from wrong (i.e., people can tell when their rulers tell the truth or not), then there is a good chance that those who want to have virtuous status over others or be princes of peace such as the elites be stripped from their wealth, power, status, and new families/dynasties start to play their role if people continue to tell each other words that they are ready to affirm its truthfulness but then refuse to adhere to what they believe

can guide to to create the change they anticipate. In other words, if people continue to be unable to put forth the work programs suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR to dominate each other and continue to be dedicated to servicing extreme wealth and corporate power, it would not be possible to avoid that the elites prevent their collapse if everyone is dedicated to servicing the same business.

Humans were not granted right to predict the future. No one can tell if the things that people are continuously promising to each other will materialize or not. People also do not know if the changes that the international order is knowing as of 1945 are weakening the power, wealth, and status of the elites or if they are propelling the opposite (i.e., strengthening them). People present history “as a journey from an oppressive and benighted past to a glorious present,” yet each generation lives in a political, economic, and social order that would be worse than its predecessor. That is to say, those who lived or are living in a golden age they are doing it on the backs of others who are living miserably.

It is important that readers keep in mind that all the UN member states preach freedom, human rights, secular nationalism, etc. but practice the opposite. For example, if Spain, Great Britain, Norway, Morocco, Jordan, etc., prioritize democracy and equal rights, the families/dynasties that control those kingdoms would not be able to prevent people from moving toward making territorial adjustments that challenge their constitutional monarchy or constitutional governance narratives. Likewise, if the United States, France, Italy, Greece, etc., practiced personal freedom and scientific progress, those countries would not be able to justify their military superiority. Therefore, since all UN member states are, as of 1945, ignoring failed theories and are rivaling using the same commodity to determine who could pull the rug out from under the other (i.e., playing a game of Jenga), it would not be possible for any political authority “to get an 'ought' from an 'is'” or not to hit a dead end.

Irrespective of how the international order is changing and along with it, changing the power, wealth, and status of the elites, what we do know is that if the elites do not want their power to collapse, as happened to families/dynasties that were as powerful before, they must

make sure that they do not make the same mistakes that the kingdoms that collapsed made. In other words, the elites must not forget that they are a function of the natural world, assume that they can be the “measure of all things,” as Protagoras, a pre-Socratic philosopher, perceived that rulers were doing during his time, and most importantly, ensure that their modern colonial methods (i.e., direct occupation or people movement to produce settler colonialism) to move the world from an oppressive benighted past to a glorious future do not end up helping them to reap wind, as happened to the families/dynasties who tested with such methods to keep their dominance could attest. For example, when the Israelites refused to be faithful to the principles that Moses suggested in the Ten Commandments, they failed to conserve their power after they left Egypt, and in the course of time, and things were resized. Likewise, when Pharaoh weakened his stance and allowed Moses and the Israelites to get their ways and leave Egypt, he failed to conserve his power, and in the course of time and things were also resized. It is worthwhile mentioning Khosrow II, a Persian king, and Nero, a Roman Emperor, who also taught that they were established, but then all their wealth, power, and influence availed them nothing when they tried to be the measure of all things.

If the elites do not want that their wealth, power, and status be stripped from them and new families/dynasties start to play their role, they will need to make sure that people observe the work program they are preaching and hold on to their beliefs no matter what the circumstances or devastation that they encounter push them to choose differently. This also means that the elites must constantly be reviving or renewing people’s faith in how virtuous they are or how their ideas is reducing fear and want so that people do not distrust them, and their disenchantment pushes them to withdraw their obligation to obey. In other words, all the wars, deceit, cheating, disregard, killing, assassination, spying, espionage, eavesdropping, etc. that the elites would be propelling supposedly to march the world toward the promised land should not produce any distrust in liberal democracy and constitutional monarchy. This is because law and political order are not natural but human creations. That is to say, after the fittest dropped God’s metanarrative as a means to dominate the weak, the fittest began to depend on liberal democracy and constitutional monarchy to make the weak surrender freedom.

As of 1945, people have not been able to use the work program that the elites suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR to create ordered relations that let them live in peace with one another. People are also not seeing constitutional governments rise or personal freedom improve in the nations following the skillful propaganda that the critical thinkers (mathematicians, physicists, philosophers, etc.) and servants (governments, non-state actors, international institutions, etc.) of the elites put together at the start of the 21st century, especially to justify that the regime in Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, Syria, Yemen, etc. was threatening the truth and the good included in the UN Charter or the UDHR, and dispatched NATO (i.e., their new global policemen as of the start of the 21st century) to overthrow and to wreck the human progress that exists. The torment of the kingdoms that were resized did not occur when their rulers were transgressing and wrecking the human progress of others, but when they were trying to rebuild what their own hands had wrecked. In other words, the hearing, sight, or intellect (scientific progress) of those rulers were not of any benefit to them whatsoever when they adopted the assumptions of their predecessors.

Earlier, I said that the elites believe that the common standards suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR are capable of preserving their power; hence, they chose to translate, through their intermediaries (i.e., through their principles), their explicit and implicit war aims. If people around the world are not observing the principles suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR, this means that the elites are not guarding the UN Charter or the UDHR against threats that have the potential to make people ready to withdraw their obligation to stay with them. In other words, the elites are not taking serious steps to stop their critical thinkers and servants from regarding themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR. When an open water body flows, the water body displaces the air (or gas), and the air does not dissolve in the water as happens in carbonated water. Yet when a bottle of carbonated water is opened, the water displaces the dissolved air until it returns to its naturally balanced state.

Not all the people are righteous. People stay together in groups, despite each person having different thoughts and opinions. In addition, people can flee from each other, except from death. I have no way of knowing if the political solutions that the elites have been implementing through the intermediary of their critical thinkers and servants since 1945 are making people oppose violence and be ready to observe the common standards suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR voluntarily instead of recognizing themselves with them as equal. Likewise, I have no way of knowing if the elites are keeping their hearts hardened as the heart of Pharaoh was when he refused to let Moses leave Egypt, including curbing or punishing those who refuse to observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR, thus making it possible to make reforms with less difficulty. What I do know is that if the elites are focusing more on economic reforms than on political (administrative) reforms, it would not be possible to expect that their critical thinkers and servants would be trustworthy and loyal. When the elites rely on corrupt critical thinkers and servants to spread philosophical beliefs (i.e., practicing what they are not preaching) instead of on honest and trustworthy officials, it becomes very difficult to avoid confusion, uprisings, conspiracies, and plots, and most importantly, embolden a large number of people into thinking that they are equal to them or that they can do their job better.

I have no way of knowing if the elites are dealing harshly and swiftly with the critical thinkers and servants who undermine the social and political program that the old powers (i.e., their fathers) counselled in the UDHR to ensure that every member of the human family should constantly keep in mind and to strive to observe to avoid stumbling on the same fate that awaited Pharaoh or later the families/dynasties that were controlling the Israelites after they refused to be faithful to the principles that Moses proposed. In other words, they (the elites) are avoiding that their critical thinkers and servants injure with impunity, thus making it very difficult for them (the elites) to strip away from their critical thinkers and servants their power when one or both resent each other. What I do know is that if the elites do not want to be called King of Kings as the Sasanian Kings who ruled the Sasanian Empire (224-651 AD) were known due to how many feudal kings existed under them in the empire and who were rivaling them to be the only king that ruled over the entire empire, they (the elites) would need to

be continuously coming up with ideas that would not make people wander and withdraw their obligation to obey, as happened to Moses and his critical thinker (i.e., God) in antiquity when both failed to make the Israelites want to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others. The same can be said about the importance of the elites staying firm and not relying on critical thinkers and servants who are not leading by example (i.e., who regard themselves exempt from observing the principles suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR), thus becoming unable afterwards to avoid that the servant (Moses) become master and the master (Pharaoh) become servant. [Matthew 20:16]

Indeed, the constant wars, disregard, division, etc. that the world is knowing as of 1945 does not signify that the elites are really relying on ideas that integrate the welfare of people as a parameter in what they need to keep stable to keep their dominant role. This is because if the welfare of people was really on the elites radar, people should have been able to recognize the difference that the social and economic reforms, including many palaces, infrastructures, and cities that they are founding or rebuilding, are making in their lives and henceforth, start to voluntarily surrender freedom to them. However, since the elites want the welfare of people to be like the artificial lure or carrot that usually travels ahead of greyhounds and the latter chase until they cross the finish line (i.e., a person will die before reaching the happiness or benefit he is being promised), this means that the elites must believe that if they frustrate people, they can continue to be readily prepared to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others.

History has shown that not all people want to live like greyhound dogs or be obedient when their awareness and knowledge rise, and a large number become deviant and defiant. The elites can spend their lives in wars and struggling against usurpers, but history shows that truth never sides with wrong. For example, historians suggest that when the Islamic prophet Muhammad sent a letter to Khosrow II to embrace Islam, “Khosrow tore up Muhammad’s letter, saying: A pitiful slave among my subjects dares write his name before mine.” If a pitiful slave (pbuh) managed to have power to rule over all that Khosrow II ruled over, then if their relationship with the poor and the weak

continues to strain and their critical thinkers and servants continue to thwart their plan, then there is a good chance that the elites may not be able to achieve their implicit and explicit WW2 goals.

On the other hand, I have no way of knowing if people view the elite's lofty goals and competence with hostility or respect. What I do know is that the shrewdness of the elites will determine for how long they could go on doing the opposite of what they are supposed to be continuously avoiding, but without the changes that they are creating, producing results that would be different than what they expect.

In addition, because human nature has not changed from before and after 1945 (i.e., the date when people agreed to collaborate together in the economic and political field to reduce fear and want at a global scale), and people are relying on wars, deceit, cheating, disregard, killing, assassination, spying, espionage, eavesdropping, etc. to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest today just as the Greeks were doing it in antiquity when they were collaborating in the economic and political field to reduce fear and want at their local scale, this means that if the elites are not staying prepared and people are voluntarily forming orbits around them in the same way electrons form orbits around protons to protect them, it may not be possible for them (the elites) to avoid their collapse.

Furthermore, the constant wars, disregard, division, etc. that the world is knowing as of 1945 signify that the elites are not able to keep track of all things to simplify complexity. In addition, since everything in the world appears to have its own law (i.e., birth to death), it should be possible to take the past human history and identify patterns such that the problems of the present can be superimposed over its events to establish perspectives. In other words, if every political authority that rose to power from the start of time until 1945 managed to enjoy luxuries and riches only for a while, then its members failed to turn away from practicing the same disregard that their predecessors were practicing before their power was stripped from them and collapsed, then it should be possible to take the past human history and identify patterns that could help us to establish if the power, wealth, and status of the elites are declining (decaying) or if the political and economic policies (solutions) they are adopting are renewing people's

determination to want to stay in groups with them and to want that they have more powers than others.

Time is one of the most misunderstood and unresolved questions in science. People do not know if time is moving forward, backward, upward, downward, etc. Most people believe that time has one direction and that it is irreversible. In addition, people agreed to let the continuous full rotation that the earth makes on its axis with respect to the sun be a change, action, turn, etc., that can be used to systematize, quantify, structure, and calculate time (i.e., let time become a unit). People call this rotation day.

This act that human ingenuity performed helped people to use this continuous or unchanging rotation that the earth makes to solve many mathematical problems, including figuring out a way to systematically classify the events that take place in this full rotation that the earth makes on its axis with respect to the sun to be events that happened on that day. After people learned how to count, they referred to the time it takes for the earth to make 30 full rotations as “month”. Then, people got together and agreed to let 12 months refer to “one year”.

People divide the names of years into groups. A decade refers to 10 years; a century to 100 years; and a millennium to 1000 years. In the year 525 AD (or CE), people got together and established the Julian and Gregorian calendars. The birth of Jesus Christ 2000 years ago was used as a starting point to talk about large sections of time easily. For example, all people are ready to accept that we live in the 21st century or that we started the third millennium two decades ago.

As I said before, human nature has not changed. Since the start of time, people have been exploring, intolerant, persistent, killing, deceiving, betraying, denying each other rights, wanting to obtain what's beyond their grasp, eavesdropping, etc., and therefore, there is no need to go look for past events to determine if their patterns can give us perspectives on the problems of the present beyond the birth of Jesus or the start of the first millennium.

In the last two thousand years, billions of people lived and billions of different kinds of events happened on earth. Every person classifies

the events that happened in the world in the last two thousand years according to the similarities and differences that he sees from his own perspective or convictions. In my case, I strongly believe that three shared characteristics have categorized the events that have occurred in the world in the last two thousand years. The first is the rise of Christianity at the start of the first millennium as a religious movement and its subsequent integration into the Roman Empire, up to the political fall of both from glamour in the middle of the first millennium. The church and the eastern authority of the Roman Empire (i.e., the Byzantine Empire) stayed in power from the second half of the first millennium until the end of the first half of the second millennium, but with clipped wings. In other words, the changes that the Byzantine Empire was carrying were not changing the course of history in the same way the unified Roman Empire was capable of doing. For example, the political glamour of western European kingdoms and republics such as Belgium, the Netherlands, Spain, Great Britain, and France before and after WW2 is not the same, even though those societies still exist. The second is the rise of Islam in the middle of the second half of the first millennium as a religious movement, up to its fall in the first quarter of the second millennium as a political movement. The third is the rise of the western European kingdoms in the first quarter of the second millennium as a political movement up to today.

In addition, when we examine world history during the last two thousand years, we find that the families/dynasties that exercised political power throughout the first millennium were prioritizing the truth that they claimed God was its principal author or motivator to rejuvenate their power. In other words, the families/dynasties that were exercising the most political power during this interval were killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc., to revive and secure the truth and good that religious scripture suggests that most people should be devoted to constantly seeking. From the rise of the western European kingdoms in the first quarter of the second millennium until today, the families/dynasties that control the western European kingdoms (i.e., presently the elites) have been rejuvenating their power in the same way (wars, deceit, cheating, disregard, killing, assassination, spying, espionage, eavesdropping, etc.). The only difference is that instead of all the killing, deceiving,

cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc. being oriented to revive the truth or good that is suggested in religious scripture, this evil is being done to secure the truth or the good that is included in constitutions.

I have no way of knowing if this switch that occurred in the world whereby the fittest stopped to rely on the idea of God to make the weak surrender freedom, as was the case in the first millennium, to the fittest starting to rely on Aristotelian science, which became the source for religious and moral guidance since the start of the 14th century, is a transformation that people rise in awareness and knowledge imposed or not. In other words, it was done by design. What I do know is that even though each one of those two intervals (i.e., the first millennium and the second millennium) included a switch in the way the fittest started to kill, deceive, cheat, assassinate, spy, torture, etc., supposedly to revive or secure the truth or good that is suggested in religious scripture (first millennium) and the truth or good that is suggested in constitutions (second millennium), we find that each interval witnessed the rise of a phenomenon that its events or the changes its delineated could permit us to establish connectivity or build a relation (i.e., pattern). The first phenomenon is the decision of God to send an angel in the middle of the first millennium to dictate to the Islamic prophet Muhammad common standards to promote the development of friendly relations following the catastrophic result that he reaped when he sent his son at the start of the first millennium to compel people to do the same thing. The second phenomenon is the rise in the second millennium of a concept that its proponents suggested in it: that all people are created equal, and therefore, it is essential to work together to promote the development of friendly relations. This idea, which people in the second millennium pushed about how all people are created equal to create an “ummah” (community or commonwealth), has been crystallized in the rise of the United States.

Indeed, the political trends (patterns) that transpired in the last two thousand years on earth to invite people to command righteousness, practice patience, forgive, be generous, be grateful, respect others, accept, and be at peace with the self make it possible to build relations. For example, we can say that this chapter in the history of the world, which began in the first millennium with God sending a son, and then

when his movement failed to stabilize the political order, he sent a prophet in the middle of the first millennium, whose followers failed later to stabilize the political authority, ended with the fall of Islam as a political order in the 12th-13th century. However, as far as this new chapter, which began in the second millennium when the western European kingdoms stopped to revive their power on the truth or good that was included in religious scriptures and began to secure it by prioritizing the truth or good included in the constitution, including the rise of this idea, which its proponents suggested in it that all people are created equal, and I said it was later crystallized in the rise of the United States, its accounts are still open.

Additionally, since time is continuous, we can say that this constant rise of a new political order and then decay could be ongoing. That is to say, this new initiative that the elites proposed in 1945, in which they demanded that all people collectively work under the auspices of the United Nations to secure the goals suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, could be a change (step) that extends this trend or pattern. The only thing that a lot of us do not know is if this invitation to create an “ummah” (community or commonwealth) is a plan that the awareness and knowledge of people imposed or if this plan was a design.

Put differently, the families/dynasties that are controlling the international order in the aftermath of WW2 (the elites) are the same families/dynasties that were controlling the international order before WW2 erupted or since the start of the second millennium. If this continuous cycle that has been accompanying mankind and its series of events consists first of people becoming mindful about the need to hasten truth or good; next, this consciousness becomes crystallized in a new political authority that its members would take advantage of the decline (decay) in the existing political order to formulate legal thought that result in the collapse of the families/dynasties who are in power, then there is a good chance that the power, wealth, and status of the elites will be resized, as happened to the families/dynasties who were controlling the international order in the first millennium.

In other words, the constant wars, disregard, division, etc. that the world is knowing as of 1945 could be due to the international order

undergoing the same drastic transformations that challenged the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire / Christianity in the first half of the first millennium and the families/dynasties who were controlling Islam in the second half of the first millennium. That is to say, the constant wars, disregard, division, etc. that the world is knowing as of 1945 could be due to this new initiative that the elites proposed in 1945, in which they demanded that all people collectively work under the auspices of the United Nations to secure the goals suggested in the UN Charter, and the UDHR is in the process of closing this chapter, whose account was opened by the western European monarchs in the second millennium. Put differently, the challenges that the families/dynasties who control the western European monarchs to keep their power, wealth and status and who I said they began doing it as of the start of the second millennium when they began to depend on the idea of constitution to secure the truth or good that exist in such documents, and the challenges that the families/dynasties who control the United States and who I said earlier are playing the role of the custodian of the idea that pen that all people are created equal, could be winding down to give rise to a new political authority just as happened when the Roman Empire fell in the middle of the first century, the Muslim Empire fell at the end of the first millennium. This is because the families/dynasties that controlled the Roman Empire / Christianity in the first half of the first millennium and the families/dynasties that controlled Islam in the second half of the first millennium both collapsed because they were practicing the same universal ideas that the families/dynasties that controlled the western European monarchs and republics and the families/dynasties that control the United States are practicing today. Those universal ideas are human rights, democratic control, freedom, social justice, etc.

In addition, in the same way the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire / Christianity and the families/dynasties who were controlling Islam during the first millennium were not practicing what they were preaching, the families/dynasties who started to control the international order as of the start of the second millennium (i.e., the western European monarchs) and the families/dynasties who started to pen that all people are created equal (i.e., who I said their movement crystallized in the creation of the United States) as of the 18th century are also not practicing what they

are preaching. That is to say, the families/dynasties who are controlling the western European monarchs as of the start of the 12th century and the families/dynasties who are relying on the idea that postulate that all people are created equal as of the start of the 18th century are using or reviving the truth or good that is included in constitutions or Aristotelian science (i.e., freedom, democracy, social justice renewed) in the same way the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire / Christianity in the first half of the first millennium and the families/dynasties who were controlling Islam in the second half of the first millennium were using or reviving the truth or good that is included in the religious scriptures (i.e., freedom, democracy, social justice renewed) to get out such ideas. This is to create hierarchical order and not equal (egalitarian) order.

History has shown that when people rely on truth included in religious scriptures or constitutions to create ordered relations instead of equal (egalitarian) order, the individuals who try to preserve their power, wealth, and status based on such assumptions have failed to keep later their power, wealth, and status preserved and were reverted to a simpler form in the course of time.

In 1945, the old powers (i.e., the founding fathers of the UN) counselled their heirs (i.e., the elites) to develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and not to take appropriate measures to arrange that all people collaborate economically to share outcomes on equal rights. In other words, the founding fathers of the UN wanted the elites to use the principle of equal rights (which creates a hierarchical order and not an egalitarian order) so that when people establish this relationship, i.e., be tolerant, learn how to forgive, be generous, be grateful, respect others, accept, and be at peace with the self, this understanding that people would gain could then help the individuals involved to start to think about building equal relations. In addition, since this development theory is built based on factoring considerations, which include the continual strive of the critical thinkers and servants of the elites to be honest and trustworthy, and not as the case is as of 1945, where those helpers are regarding themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, this means that the elites would not be able to preserve their power in peace but in constant wars. No king

managed to preserve his kingdom when he allowed his reign to be marked by constant wars.

To put it differently, in antiquity, kings preserved their power by adopting a carrot and stick approach that kept the nobles and the common people at equal distance. When the nobles did not do what the Crown wanted, the king's critical thinkers would make the common people withdraw their obligation to obey the nobles and thus prompt their replacement with new people. Likewise, when the common people refused to observe the political order, the King would use the nobles to oblige citizens to submit to his authority. The same principle is in use today. The only change is that instead of having the social order composed of nobles and common people, the social order is composed in contemporary history of regimes (governments) and common people.

In 1945, the old powers (i.e., the founding fathers of the UN) counselled their heirs (i.e., the elites) to keep governments and international institutions (i.e., critical thinkers and servants) and the common people at equal distance. In other words, the old powers (i.e., the founding fathers of the UN) counselled their heirs (i.e., the elites) to have their critical thinkers and servants and the common people observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR so they could stay at equal distance from both. However, instead of the elites acting on the advice of the old powers (their fathers), they decided to let their critical thinkers and servants establish an egalitarian order with them. In other words, the elites allowed their critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Most importantly, the elites allowed their critical thinkers and servants to teach people that the purpose of the coming of all the people together in the aftermath of WW2 to collaborate in the economic field was to create equal outcomes between people and not create ordered relations. In particular, since the critical thinkers and servants of the elites are common people, and the elites allowed those critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, thus possessing equal privileges and rights to them, this means that today we have the elites, the critical thinkers and servants of the elites, and the common people

all establishing an egalitarian order with each other. In other words, all those individuals in question are working to create an equal order (i.e., share outcomes on equal rights) instead of a hierarchical order to reduce fear and want first.

In the last two thousand years, whenever the fittest allowed that his servants establish an egalitarian level with him (i.e., grant his servants privileges and immunities that are equal to his own), the power, wealth, and status of both collapsed. For example, when the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire / Christianity in the first half of the first millennium allowed their critical thinkers and servants to use the truth that was included in the teaching of Jesus to skillfully create ordered relations instead of equal relations, which is what this truth can only crank, the power, wealth, and status of both collapsed. Likewise, when the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire allowed their critical thinkers and servants to use the truth that was included in the teachings of Muhammad or the Quran to skillfully create ordered relations instead of equal relations, which is what this truth can only crank, the power, wealth, and status of both collapsed.

The elites are not working to create hierarchical ordered relations as the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to be doing to preserve their power and ensure lasting peace in 1945, but are allowing their critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, thus possessing equal privileges and rights, just as the critical thinkers and servants of the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire / Christianity and Islam in the first millennium, but this assumption led to their collapse.

This means that if God does not send at this start of the new millennium to the elites a new son or at least a prophet to help them out as he did at the start of the first millennium or later on toward the middle of this millennium so the majority of the people who are depending on the Aristotelian Science as a source for religious and moral guidance (i.e., constitutions) so people become preoccupied with prioritizing virtue instead of how to strip them from their power, or if they (the elites) do not come up with new ideas to revive people's

determination to secure the goals enshrined in the UN charter or the UDHR, then it would not be possible to avoid that people around the world return to relying on distinction such as religion, race, language, etc. to increase their happiness as was the case before God sent his first son.

I have no way of knowing if people were deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, and relying on distinction to increase their happiness before God sent his only son to earth in the first year of the first millennium in the same way people are doing as of 1945 to warn the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire to throw away the assumptions that their predecessors were using to keep their dominant role intact, or if God is by nature nosy. What I do know is that if the relationships (patterns) that were built in this work so far to support how mindfulness about hastening truth or good in the last two millenniums has, all the time, crystallized the rise of a new political movement that its members took advantage of the decline (decay) in the existing political authority to formulate new legal thought against the doctrinal backdrop that was prevailing, this means that the constant wars, disregard, contempt, betrayal, assassination, etc. that the world is knowing as of 1945 could be due to the international order witnessing the same phenomenon. In other words, the critical thinkers (mathematicians, physicists, philosophers, etc.) and servants (governments, non-state actors, international institution, etc.) of the elites are as of 1945 declining (decaying) the power, wealth, and status of those powerful families/dynasties (the elites) in anticipation of the rise of a new political authority just as the critical thinkers and servants of the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire / Christianity in the first half of the first millennium saw happening to their power following stopping to rejuvenate their power from the legitimized Roman political and social contract and switching to rejuvenate their power from the truth that is included in the teaching of Jesus but without observing what they were preaching.

And so hence we already know how the social order was organized like before God sent his son at the start of the first millennium (i.e., people were relying on distinction to increase their happiness and keep their dominant role), and we also know how after the critical thinkers and servants in the Roman empire began using Christianity as a

commodity to buy and sell how such practice resulted in the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire salvaging part of their wealth, status and power after they were resized and their unified empire collapse, then if the elites do not want that the international order veer toward becoming organized in the same way how people were establishing relations before God sent his only son to earth in the first year of the first millennium (i.e., based on distinction such as deceit, cheating, assassination, spying, torture, wars, etc.), which also mean that their (the elites') odds to guarantee their power, wealth and status intact would be slim hence it would be at the mercy of people just as happened during the French Revolution to the King of France and the Aristocrat when citizens were being bound by their natural power and conscience. Similarly, if the elites do not want that the international order veer toward becoming organized in the same way how the political power became after the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire / Christianity and their critical thinkers and servants converted the teaching of Jesus into a commodity, which resulted in their power starting to shrink and dying out after God sent a prophet to take advantage of the political decline (decay) and to crystallize a new political movement, then the elites must ensure that all the rhetoric that they are depending on to make people be ready to want to stay with them voluntarily or to let them have more power than others does not destabilize or shrink their trust in them (the elites), their ideas or their critical thinkers and servants under any circumstances. This is because if people lose trust in the elites and their ideas (i.e., liberal democracy, constitutional monarchy, freedom, human rights, equal rights, etc.), then it would not be possible to avoid having the international order veer into any one of the aforementioned scenarios.

In other words, the elites and their critical thinkers and servants must keep people around the world constantly believing that the political and economic policies that they are implementing are increasing their happiness and improving social justice, freedom, equal rights, etc., in order to avoid any slacking that does not push people to distrust them or to withdraw their obligation to obey. Most importantly, the elites and their critical thinkers and servants must ensure that all the killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping,

etc., that they are sponsoring is to emphasize the rise of constitutional government, personal freedom, and scientific progress.

In my opinion, mankind managed twice in the last two thousand years to implement political and economic policies that prompted people to voluntarily surrender freedom without the laws (natural and legal rights) that the fittest was imposing on the weak, or the killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc. that the fittest was propelling, pushing the weak to withdraw his obligation to obey. The first interval happened when Islam was dominating the international order from the 7th century to the 12th century. The second interval happened from the date when the United States was established in 1776 to 1945.

The families/dynasties that were controlling those two polities managed, under each distinct interval, to revive their power ingeniously. Those two families/dynasties relied on war, deceit, cheating, disregard, killing, assassination, spying, espionage, eavesdropping, etc., to rejuvenate their power, but without the political grit that they were employing, leading people to doubt that all the rules that they were breaking were finally putting an end to the historical dynamic that mankind had known since the start of time. In other words, those two polities were capable of convincing their citizens that their enemies hate them and why they must fight to stay strong. That is to say, they managed to convince their citizens that their enemies hate their form of government, their belief in human rights, dignity, and freedom, their unity as a people, and their reliance on Providence. However, because those two polities were preserving freedom and liberty for those who they decided should get liberty and justice, the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire collapsed in the 12th century, and the families/dynasties that were controlling the United States managed to prevent their double standards from getting to their shores, but they failed in the year 2021 to avoid having their citizens find out.

Additionally, when we study the journey of those two aforementioned political intervals, we find some overlapping similarities. For example, the Umayyad clan, which initially dominated the Quraysh trade network with the Levant (eastern Mediterranean region of west Asia),

just as the western European monarchs dominated a trade route with the Americas, converted the Levant into their capital in the year 661 and made the Levant command a role in the new order, just as the United States began to command a role in the new order as of the 18th century. Likewise, just as the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic world from their new capital in Damascus were using this city as a land bridge between Africa and Eurasia, the United States began to play the same land bridge between the east and the west. Most importantly, just as the Umayyads (i.e., the families/dynasties who were controlling the Islamic world from their new capital in Damascus) were not using the revenues and spoils effectively as Moses was doing in antiquity following his conquests and were getting them distributed among designated individuals only, thus eliciting discontent, accusations, and antipathy, which its drawback led to their collapse and the rise of new families/dynasties who started to play their role (i.e., the Abbasid Caliphate) and who behaved worse until they were overthrown, we find that the journey of the United States is taking the same pattern. For example, after the families/dynasties that were controlling the United States from 1776 to 1939 refused to distribute the revenues and spoils they were earning among the different societies they were dominating effectively, just as Moses was doing in antiquity, or after this country started to play a major role in the new order, they disputed among each other (i.e., WW2), and the victor began to dominate the new order.

When the Abbasid caliph came to power, they initiated a wave of conquest that brought them bounteous revenue and lands, minus the love of the people who were conquering. In addition, their decision to adopt the same assumptions of the Umayyad Caliph and to appoint regional governors, who ruled with considerable autonomy, including distributing the revenues and spoils among designated individuals instead of doing as Moses was doing in antiquity following conquests, led to their eventual collapse.

As of 1945, the families/dynasties that are controlling the new order began a wave of conquest that brought them bounteous revenue and lands, minus the love of the people who were conquering. Those families/dynasties that are controlling the new order as of 1945 appointed regional governors, who are ruling with considerable

autonomy, instead of in accordance with the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Those families/dynasties that are controlling the new order as of 1945 are distributing the revenues and spoils among designated individuals and designated financial institutions instead of doing as Moses was doing in antiquity following conquests.

The Bible is believed to be the first book to be written down. All the rulers or kings that were mentioned to the rulers or kings that ruled the world until the end of WW2, claimed that cooperation, partnership, democracy, institutions, fighting corruption, etc. were the keys to progress. Can the families/dynasties that are controlling the new order as of 1945 manage to use the truth and good that are included in constitutions to keep their dominant role when their critical thinkers and servants are like them (the elites) regarding themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and are considering that the baseline for global leadership is to ensure that the common people observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and not their critical thinkers and servants?

I have no way of knowing if the technical progress that was made between the time when God started to suggest that cooperation, partnership, democracy, institutions, fighting corruption, etc. and our temporary history can help the families/dynasties that are controlling the new world order as of 1945 differently. What I do know is that the families/dynasties that are controlling the new world order as of 1945 are going to be judged by how capable they will be at managing to revive the life of the ideas (i.e., freedom, human rights, social justice) that they are depending on to make people trust them. This is because when people stop to trust them, they stop to fear them. When people stop to fear each other, they begin to rely on their personal power and their conscience to get their way despite the resistance of others. Can the elites live up to the promises that they make to themselves and their children when people stop to love them, love their ideas, and most importantly, fear them, and consider that they can do their job better than them?

To put it differently, the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic world failed to manage to come up with political and

economic policies that revived the life of Islam when they began to conquer and murder in the name of truth and good in other societies without later practicing what they were preaching, thus leading to their collapse in the course of time and things. As of 1945, the elites are using the United States as their tool to conquer and murder in the name of truth and good (i.e., to revive their power, wealth, and status). The United States is not an idea that is like God being invisible. The United States is a legal name that the people who live in this country came up with. Those people who also wanted that people called them Americans managed from 1776 to 1939 to show understanding that was only experienced once in the last thousand years. This understanding occurred from 630 AD to 661 AD, when the Islamic Rashidun Caliphs (wise rulers) were ruling. The Rashidun Caliphs were killing and murdering to improve the truth and good included in the Quran, not to improve the truth and good that their personal power and conscience were forbidding or legalizing. In other words, their understanding was the culmination of world history up to this date. That is to say, those Rashidun Caliphs managed to make people forget their distinction and create an ummah (community), but this ummah was not freed because it was based on religion. The families/dynasties that controlled the United States managed to keep citizens prepared to surrender freedom to them (i.e., forget their distinction), because their knowledge was a result of all the understanding they gained up to this date.

The only society that, from the 13th century (when the Islamic Empire collapsed) to 1945, managed to let the understanding of its people with themselves make them ready to surrender freedom to the political order was the United States. The UN Charter and the UDHR are an enlarged version (blue print) of the principles included in the US Constitution. This means that whether any person likes or hates the American people as of 1945, this does not affect the importance of the American people in improving peace and security around the world. That is to say, the people of this country are the culture that mankind needs to depend on to preserve the ideas of freedom, social justice, democracy, and human rights (i.e., the milestones that were secured in the aftermath of WW2) in the same way people mix a culture of yogurt to convert milk into yogurt after incubation. In other words, if mankind loses the understanding that the American people have

gained (i.e., the American culture), humanity would be throwing away mankind's chance to improve human rights, liberty, freedom, etc.

It is important that readers keep in mind that from the 13th century (when the Islamic Empire collapsed) to 1945, the societies that were living in present-day Great Britain, China, Australia, Brazil, Japan, South Africa, etc. were all depending on the same ideas that the American people were depending on to enable them to create ordered relations (i.e., cooperation, partnership, democracy, institutions, fighting corruption, etc. are the keys to progress). No society managed to achieve the happiness the American people achieved from 1776 to 1945, despite all the internal problems those people knew.

Once again, I have no way of knowing for how long the elites are going to get away with letting their critical thinkers and servants tell people that they are improving accountability and democratic legitimacy when all that those helpers are doing is just building roads and ports to prevent, with such improved transportation and communication methods, those who recognize that they only have one life to live to rely on their self-help to live a dignified life or in accordance with the truth suggested in constitutions or religious scriptures. As I said before, despite all the skillful propaganda that the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire, Islam, etc. made to justify that they were working to increase the happiness of their dependent people, none succeeded in reviving the life of the ideas that they were depending on to rejuvenate their power, such as freedom, human rights, social justice, etc., without practicing what they were preaching. In other words, of all the projects that those families/dynasties were implementing or the wars they were waging to improve spoils and revenues, none were sufficient to get rid of their discontent, accusations, antipathy, resentment of citizens, or to make them voluntarily surrender their freedom to them.

I have no way of knowing if the drastic fate that awaited the families/dynasties that were controlling Islam in the second interval of the first millennium until the end of the first quarter of the second millennium would replay itself and injure the families/dynasties that control the United States in the future. What I do know is that if the families/dynasties who control the United States want to avoid the

state of decline (decay) that the families/dynasties who were controlling Islam do not replay themselves and injure them, they need to avoid that the internal political struggle that they would be knowing would break their unity or divide them to a point where they would never be able to recover from it, as happened to the families/dynasties who were controlling Christianity / the Roman Empire in the 5th century, or the families/dynasties who were controlling western Europe in the middle of the 20th century. In other words, the families/dynasties that control the United States need to triumph over their differences in the same way the families/dynasties that were controlling Islam managed to rise above their disagreements or emotions, thus becoming capable of transforming this religion into a great power, including forming a large “ummah” (community). Of course, the difference is that the families/dynasties that were controlling Islam were doing it at a regional level, whereas the elites are doing it all over the world.

In addition, I also believe that if the families/dynasties who control the United States and the rest of the UN member states (i.e., the elites) want to avoid the state of decline (decay) that the families/dynasties who were controlling Islam endured replay themselves and revert them to a simpler form in the course of time and things, they need to be continuously reviving the values enshrined in the UN Charter or the UDHR in the same way the families/dynasties who were controlling Islamic values (the Muslim empire) were doing through reviving the values enshrined in the Quran until the 12th century. In other words, no matter what type of difficulty confronts the elites or the world, those powerful families/dynasties (i.e., the elites) must employ skillful propaganda and reviving methods that make it impossible that when they pull the rug from under any critical thinkers or servants, the others become weary of them. This is because when the critical thinkers and servants that the elites are depending on to keep their dominant role and are privileging with wealth, power, status, and impunity become weary of them (i.e., the elites), they will begin to gang and to plot against them.

On the other hand, when we analyze the different political methods or assumptions that the fittest depended on to rejuvenate his power under the guise of the truth or good included in religious scriptures or

constitutions, we find that this mindfulness passed through a series of processes or updates, but without each update helping the fittest to secure the more prosperous or equitable world that he claims concerns everyone or prevents the challenges that he would be grappling with from resizing him. For example, the fittest relied initially on aristocrats whose job description or role involved the collection of taxes and the mobilization of people to fight in wars in the realm (a loose horizontal task). Next, the fittest replaced the people who played the role of aristocrats in the realm with a legal entity called government (a compact vertical task). Evidently, the fittest had to divide his power with such a constitutional body. In other words, the constitutional body was the revolving authority, and the authority of the fittest stayed fixed. Presently, the fittest is in the process of getting rid of this constitutional body that is called government and is delegating the administrative role that this instrument was fulfilling with real humans to technology (i.e., wealth control, military superiority, e-government, etc.).

The plan of the fittest to use technology (i.e., wealth control, military superiority, e-government, etc.) as a tool to pull the rug from under critical thinkers and servants who threaten his stability is an ingenious idea and a game changer to get rid of adversaries or end disputes. If technology existed when Pharaoh, Alexander the Great, Khosrow II, etc. were alive, such a tool could have made the lives of those people a lot easier to get their ways despite the resistance of others, because there would have been no need for them to build institutions or to make them inclusive. In fact, controlling people through technology eliminates the role the UN Security Council has been playing since 1945 in seeking pacific settlements for disputes between people or nations. Actually, technology converts this council from being a platform that leaders go to in order to settle disputes to a dispenser of instructions, which they could even do virtually from the comfort of their palaces. Indeed, there is no difference between having a real person deny another person the right to an equal outcome, to opportunity, to cheat, kill, etc., or to let a machine do it.

In mathematics, the term method of elimination refers to an operation that helps to convert complex equations to simple ones. Can the new update that the fittest is making in contemporary history (i.e., delegate

a big chunk of the role real humans were programmed to play to technology) help him (the fittest) to achieve what he was not capable of doing with the help of the human mind? That is to say, can technology (i.e., robots, artificial intelligence, etc.) help the fittest to create sustainable ordered relations, or will people continue to seek to achieve equal relations, thus preventing the fittest from benefiting from his mindfulness?

Scientists suggest that nature always tries to achieve balance. I have no way of knowing if one day the properties that scientists say stay oscillating or jumping, such as electrons, the sea, planets, etc., will converge to a fixed value or not. Likewise, I have no way of knowing if properties stay bounded or run off to infinity. For example, we do not have on earth a balanced seasonal system, temperature, etc., but seasons stay within a fixed range.

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the elites to invite or bring machines to strengthen rules and harness policies will make people uphold the laws better or if such an assumption will make people plot or create networks to challenge the elites more. In other words, I have no way of knowing if technology (i.e., robots, artificial intelligence, etc.) will help mankind to live as a happy family as ants or bees do. Surely, if technology (i.e., robots, artificial intelligence, etc.) can help the fittest to get rid from the mind of the weak thoughts about how he (the weak) can be better qualified to govern and thus help the fittest and the weak to live, as is the case in most Scandinavian countries where citizens have access to every right minus the right to become a king or queen, then technology would have really helped mankind to finally get rid of the historical dynamic that has accompanied mankind since antiquity.

Indeed, the modern caste system that the people who live in Scandinavian countries are organized under is not any different than the caste system that the people who live in India are still unofficially organized or living under today. The same can be said about the caste system that exists in China, Iran, Afghanistan, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and all the rest of the UN member states, minus the people who live in societies or countries that are stateless, such as Lebanon, Iraq, Libya, Syria, etc. Therefore, we can say that if the elites want to keep their

dominant role intact, they need the truth to stop or slow it down. In other words, the elites will need to ensure that the webs or alliances that their critical thinkers and servants are always creating, supposedly to deliver concrete progress on everything, do not produce the result that they say they are working to secure, because if they do, this means that the power, wealth, and status of the elites are shrinking. The elites cannot sustain their power, wealth, and status when people are securing the full development of their personalities. That is to say, the elites need to keep the world dynamic, just as it has been since antiquity. In other words, the elites need that all people be continually struggling and committed to improving human rights or social justice, just as the United Nations staff (world bank staff, etc.) are doing as of 1945, preaching about equal rights, poverty reduction, etc., while pursuing the full development of their personalities at the same time, thus deepening the systemic corruption, bias, and discrimination that the world is confronting further. Therefore, the more the elites elicit the imagination of people about the need to struggle to uphold equal and inalienable rights, the more they convert the social order into a dog race while they are playing the role of spectators, as the church has been doing since the start of the second millennium.

As I said before, the families/dynasties who were in the first half of the first millennium relying on the teaching of Jesus (i.e., human rights and poverty eradication) to create political structures, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements, and contracts to help them preserve their power better failed with their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) in the 6th century to have all the false teachers who were with them preaching one thing and doing another to stop the truth or to slow it down. Can the elites manage with technology to avoid this fate in modern history when technology is not helping to shrink the number of people who are becoming energized to stand together to attain the common hopes that bind all humanity together but swell it.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the elites and their critical thinkers and servants are concluding that technology can help to make people observe the standards and norms that they legitimize and enforce, so we could say that technology is going to help them (the elites) to not let the past dictate the future, when the problem that

people are having with one another as of 1945 is not about having a shortage of people who are prepared to stand together to attain the common hopes that bind all humanity but excess. That is to say, we do not have, as of 1945, only one person or one government who is preaching or leading in the critical job of binding all humanity to observe the UN Charter and the UDHR, but we have 8 billion people doing it, but without anyone being ready to give us his order to the person before him. Most importantly, we have a situation in which the elites are always inviting people to stand up in solidarity to strengthen democratic institutions, root out corruption, and reject political violence, but when the values that make people stay together are violated, the elites retreat and refuse to meet the challenges. For example, after people in Egypt in 2011 revolted to secure their right to cooperate in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, the elites reinstalled another military government in this country. In fact, since 2011, the number of democratically elected governments that have been toppled has increased, yet the elites continue to underestimate this flagrant violation of the UN Charter and the UDHR and limit their mindfulness to expressing rhetoric about how they are engaged and committed to supporting constitutional rule. In other words, the elites are practicing, as of 1945, the same method the church started practicing to end evil and wickedness at the start of the second millennium. The pastors pray to God rather than to have the courage to stand up to the social injustice that they are taking part in.

Propositions are “central concepts in the philosophy of language, semantics, logic, and related fields, often characterized as the primary bearers of truth or falsity.” In other words, propositions are a function of belief and propositional attitudes. For instance, if the elites believe that technology can link all the people together (i.e., the foe and the friends) and make them live in the same way the people who make their living from religion preach how people in heaven lives, when people do not look for common ground to improve progress equitably but to find out who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action of his own, this then signify that the elites believe that technology can help them to continue to get their ways despite the resistance while their critical thinkers and servants are like them (the elites) regarding themselves exempt from observing the

appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and people are not accessing opportunities based on the principles of equal rights but based on distinction such as religion, race, language, color, nationality, etc.

I have no way of knowing how the elites expect to find common ground that would make eight billion people agree to be tolerant after they invited an equal number of guests (i.e., robots, computers, cameras, etc.) to help them upgrade and strengthen the institutions that they depend on to help them get their ways despite the resistance of others or to tackle modern-day imperatives, but without either one practicing what he is preaching. What I do know is that in arithmetic and number theory, it is possible to illustrate simple set relationships that show logical relations between a finite collection of different sets. I have no way of knowing what set of propaganda or renewal the families/dynasties who are controlling the United States and other UN member states need to be propelling to find a common denominator or a union that would make it possible for all people to intersect (i.e., tolerate that the families/dynasties who control the United States, for example, be granted privileges to be granted more power than others and without having to observe what they preach) because the number of ways is unlimited. For this reason, I propose to discuss only what, in my opinion, the families/dynasties who were controlling Islam were doing to revive the life of Islam, especially since they managed to build the most successful and largest empire in the last two thousand years, before greed caught up with the families/dynasties who were controlling this empire and reverted them and their assumptions to a simpler form. In addition, I suggest sharing what, in my opinion, the families/dynasties who are controlling the United States and the rest of the UN member states (the elites) need to be avoiding to not put at risk their power, thus permitting them to reflect on whether or not the political solutions that their critical thinkers and servants are legitimizing and enforcing are rejuvenating their power or accelerating its regression. I believe that the consequence of those events is what led to the fall of the Islamic Empire and other empires.

Once again, I have no way of knowing what type of skillful propaganda or reviving that the families/dynasties that are controlling the United States need to be doing so that while they are continually

killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc., and at the same time creating political structures, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements, and contracts to seek the truth and good that is included in the UN charter and the UDHR, people's preparedness to obey would not diminish. In other words, the number of people who are on the sidelines like them (the elites) watching how the war in Ukraine unfolds would not grow. What I do know is that the families/dynasties that were controlling Islam in the 6th to the 12th century were emphasizing membership in an ummah (community) of believers to defend the values that they were depending on to rejuvenate their power rather than Arab nationality, just as the families/dynasties who control the United States (i.e., the elites) started doing as of 1945. In addition, the Islamic prophet Muhammad and his companions were not standing on podiums in their palaces and lecturing about Islam (i.e., human rights, freedom, etc.) when they were mobilizing people to conquest to convert Islam from a religion to a political authority but were leading their soldiers on the battlefield. It was the successors of the Islamic prophet Muhammad and his companions who were mobilizing people to conquest to defend Islam (i.e., human rights, freedom, etc.) from podiums and their palaces, and therefore, in the course of time and things found themselves defending the values they depend on to stay in power in the same way the elites are trying to stop Russia from annexing Ukraine. The elites managed to bring 141 countries to condemn Russia's invasion of Ukraine, but without any country ready to lay the lives of its own people to defend them (the elites or their values).

If the elites (the western European monarchs and republics) believe that they can preserve their power or monarchs from podiums and palaces as the successors of the Islamic prophet Muhammad and his companions did in antiquity, then either they believe they are invincible or their wealth, power, and status stopped to be of paramount importance to them.

I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforementioned two motivated the elites (the western European monarchs and republics) to deviate away from the work program that their fathers (the old powers) suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR to

preserve their power and ensure lasting peace. What I do know is that instead of the elites (or their heirs) extending the way they were controlling the international order before WW2 erupted, that is, through dominating, subjugating, and exploiting people directly themselves (i.e., with their own people) and via mercenaries when special needs arise, they introduced an update in the way they wanted the international order to be dominated forward. The elites appointed or delegated to the United States the role of global policemen in the aftermath of WW2. Next, they (the elites) granted all the societies they (the western European monarchs and republics) were dominating independence and invited their people back to join an international organization that they formed to emphasize membership in an ummah (community) of believers to secure the goals included in the UN charter and the UDHR, so might not continue to make right in the aftermath of WW2. However, instead of of the elites acting on the counsel of their fathers (the founding fathers of the UN) and having UN member states freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development, as the founding fathers of the UN suggested, the elites decided to transform the role of the international institutions they created at the end of WW2 from being responsible to coordinate the work of UN member states to being economic operators that started to ruthlessly fight in order to get in control of this violence-wielding apparatus (i.e., the idea of truth or good that is included in the UN Charter or the UDHR) just as governments were doing before WW2 erupted singly. In other words, as of 1945, we have three opposing forces that are simultaneously censoring or eliminating each other. The first tug of war is between governments to determine which political authority can control violence (i.e., the truth or good included in constitutions) to its benefit. The second tug of war is between non-state actors and international institutions to determine which human development legal entity can control violence (i.e., the truth or good included in the UN Charter and the UDHR) to its benefit. The third tug of war is between the different political authorities (i.e., governments) and non-state actors and international institutions (i.e., human development legal entities) to determine which faction controls violence (i.e., the international order) to its benefit.

It is important that readers keep in mind that those three opposing forces existed during the interval in time when the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire, the Islamic Empire, etc. The only difference is that those wars stayed localized or regional. In other words, when the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire in the 7th century were killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc., under the pretext of seeking the truth and good that is included in the Quran or creating political structures, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements, and contracts to secure the same end, the people who were living in the Americas had no idea about the methods that the people who were living in the Levant or the Arabian Peninsula were depending on to dominate each other, and vice versa. Therefore, truth or dishonesty were not phenomena that were amazing and terrifying to people, as the case has been in contemporary history. After technology entered every home, the elites want the common people to believe that their critical thinkers and servants are making a difference in people's lives when the gap between the rich and the poor speaks a different truth.

I have no way of knowing if this on-going tug of war that the elites propelled after they decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to stay faithful to its principles to keep their dominant role is doubling down on violence, thus making it much easier for people to cave in, and in this way, helping them (the elites) to reach faster their ultimate goal of creating a world government that they would preside over indeterminately. In the meantime, when we analyze how the elites decided to secure their full political and economic potential as of 1945, we find that its narrative does not differ a lot from the course that the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire followed, but then its outcomes yielded disappointment.

For example, after the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire began their conquest and began to build their authorities in the territories they conquered, the next task on their to-do list was how to gain not any new territory but the territory that would offer them the largest number of converts to Islam. First, they moved their capital to Damascus (Umayyad Caliphate), then to Baghdad (Abbasid Caliphate). However, after the families/dynasties that

controlled the Islamic Empire invaded Persia, it was natural for those families/dynasties to move a big chunk of their production to this territory to keep the Persians and the people who live in Transoxania happy, just as the elites moved a big chunk of production from the west to the east post-1945. Of course, pious Muslims provided the support that the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire needed to keep the embryonic Islamic law alive so that all the converts profess to base their rule on the religion of Islam.

Indeed, the political and economic events that played out by the elites from 1945 until now in their on-going search to keep their dominant role intact resemble a lot the assumptions that the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire adopted to keep their dominant role in antiquity. In 1945, the elites relied on pious scholars to provide the support they needed to keep the societies in the east, where they transferred a big chunk of production because of cheap labor, hooked on the importance of defending the values enshrined in the UN Charter and the UDHR to ensure that they did not break ties with them or revolt against them.

The political and economic shocks or instability that western European monarchs and republics and their principal allies, such as the United States, have known in recent years have been contentious at times, and their outcome did not show that people got what they were asking for. Can the pious people (i.e., the critical thinkers and servants) who the elites are depending on to keep people stay with them (the elites) make people live together in peace with one another as good neighbours so the embryonic ideas that are suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR (i.e., the idea of freedom) and on which they (the elites) are depending to rejuvenate their power live on when their critical thinkers and servants have been professing since 1945 to base their rule on the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR but since this date they refuse to have the same courage that the Rashidun Caliph, or the founding fathers of the United States possessed to transform ideas from an embryonic size to a giant size?

Then again, when we compare the embryonic ideas or principles that are included in the UN Charter and the UDHR to ensure a luminous future for human society to the ideas that are included in the

constitution of the United States, Islam, and lots of other religions such as Judaism, Buddhism, Hinduism, Taoism, Confucianism, Christianity, etc., we find that the virtues included in all of them are very close, and all of them seek to transform mankind to the same excellence. Most importantly, when we compare how the people who embraced Islam or the people who let their disenchantment with the existing political order crystallize into a movement to promote the values enshrined in the US constitution showed resolve and agreed to surrender freedom to the idea that each faction was preserving and to each other, to the resolve that people showed after the elites invited all people in the aftermath of WW2 to surrender freedom to the political order that was included in the UN Charter and the UDHR, we find a totally different response or change manifest.

To put it differently, the elites are not doing anything different as of 1945 than the families/dynasties that were rejuvenating their power from Islam from the 7th century to the 12th century, or the families/dynasties that were controlling Christianity, Buddhism, Judaism, etc. before. That is to say, the elites are as of 1945 killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc., to topple the progress made to seek the truth and good included in the UN charter and the UDHR, and at the same time, are facilitating the creation of political structures, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements and contracts to forbid the aforementioned ill conduct or to accelerate the attainment of the truth and good that is included in the UN charter and the UDHR just as the families/dynasties who were rejuvenating their power from Islam were doing from the 7th century to the 12th century but while seeking the truth and good that is included in the Quran instead. In fact, this is what all the families/dynasties that came before the families/dynasties that were controlling Islam or after them did until 1945. For example, the families/dynasties that were controlling the people that Moses led from Egypt 5000 years ago were preserving their power in the same way. The families/dynasties that were controlling the United States from 1776 onward were also doing it in the same way, and so forth.

However, when we zero in on what historians suggest about how the families/dynasties who were controlling Islam were basically illiterate (starting with the Islamic prophet Muhammad), and how they managed

from the time when they embraced their embryonic ideas until the date when they stopped to want to rule as good Muslims or want to be suspicious of worldly power as called on good Muslims few hundred years later to transform their ideas from being visions into becoming the most successful social order the world has known in the last two years (in terms of readiness of people of different race, color and religion to create an Ummah or a community), to the results that the elites (i.e., western European monarchs and republics) have achieved since they began to pursue the same endeavor in 1945 knowing that they started dominating the world as of the start of the second millennium already, there must be a rule that is preventing the elites from stabilizing the international order or to have such a golden era after winning WW2. In fact, most people today believe that the world was safer before WW2 than it is currently.

I have no way of knowing what the elites are doing as of 1945 that the families/dynasties that were controlling Islam from the 7th century to the 12th century were not doing in antiquity to enable them to bring unity and, most importantly, build human characters. What I do know is that most historians suggest that the prestige and power of the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire from the 7th century to the 12th century collapsed after those powerful families/dynasties converted the truth and good that is included in Islam into commodities, just as the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire and Christianity were doing with their critical thinkers and servants, leading to their equal collapse. Could the reason for the failure of the elites to manage to control the international order in the aftermath of WW2 be due to them choosing to use the idea of freedom, liberty, democracy, social justice, equal rights, etc. as a commodity just as the families/dynasties who were controlling Islam did in antiquity until, in the course of time and things, their prestige and power were stripped from them and handed to new families/dynasties?

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the constant wars or insecurity that engulfed the world as of 1945 were due to the elites granting rights to their critical thinkers and servants to convert the truth or good that is included in the idea of freedom, social justice, equal rights, and democracy into a commodity, just as the

families/dynasties that controlled Islam, the Roman Empire / Christianity did before they were resized or not. The elites appear to have decided to build on the success that the American people managed to show was possible when they agreed to let the US Constitution alone guide their lives and invited the people of the world to adopt the same strategy to elevate the inherent values of mankind. As of 1945, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights acted as the blueprint to guide humanity towards truth, justice, rationality, spiritually and providence, just as the Mosaic laws, the Vedas, the Quran, and others acted as work programs to guide towards improved human dignity.

I have no way of knowing if the elites decided to invite people from around the world to prioritize human rights, freedom, social justice, etc. in the aftermath of WW2 because they were working to create a single political authority for all humanity that they could rule over indeterminately, or if this mindfulness resulted from the understanding they gained of themselves as the American people showed was possible from 1776 to 1945. What I do know is that instead of the heirs of the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 (i.e., the elites) acting on the advice of their fathers and implementing the work program that their fathers said could bring unity and laid out its provisions for them in the UN Charter and the UDHR, they (the heirs) decided to let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) in UN member states associate other political and economic work programs besides the one their fathers counselled them to stay faithful to its principles to keep their dominant role.

The constant wars that the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire endured when they deemed that their political order alone should not guide the lives of citizens in the first millennium and allowed Christianity to have a role led to their gradual decline (decay) till they were reversed to a simpler form in the course of time or things. This is how the families/dynasties that were controlling the Islamic Empire collapsed in the 12th century. In fact, the decline of the power of the families/dynasties that were controlling the Americas in the 18th century, leading to the rise of the people who referred to themselves as Americans, was a result of the families/dynasties that were controlling the Americas allowing their servants in this territory

to get their ways in the same way Pharaoh did with Moses in antiquity. Last but not least, the prestige and power of the families/dynasties that were controlling the international order before WW2 erupted were lost after this war only because those powerful families/dynasties disputed with each other over whether they should continue to dominate, exploit, and subjugate people. And so if throughout history, the only time that any political authority managed to reach the promised land happened when the Israelites abandoned all the political structures, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements and contracts that they were depending on to forbid each other from living as good neighbors with one another and went back to establishing relations based on 10 words, or rulers, or laws only (i.e., the ten commandments), could the elites avoid the deplorable insecurity that the international order is knowing from driving their power, wealth and status to the same abyss that the power, wealth and status of Pharaoh, Khosrow II, Nero, etc, after they decided to deviate way from the work program that their fathers counselled them to stay faithful to its provisions to keep their dominant role, and began wandering? Said differently, if all what those political and religious authorities that collapsed or their power declined in the last two thousand years have had in common is that the families/dynasties who were controlling them thought that the truth or good that is included in the political order that they were relying on to preserve their power, wealth was not enough to guide the lives of citizens and began to fabricate other assumptions, how are the elites concluding that if they let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal which the founding fathers of the UN suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR that they (the elites) stay faithful to its principles to preserve their power, wealth and status and ensure lasting peace, that they (the elites) would be able to prevent such drastic fate from touching them?

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the elites are concluding that they are more powerful than the people who ruled over kingdoms before but then collapsed after the individuals involved thought that they could adopt the assumptions of their fathers or predecessors and obtain a different outcome. In other words, those rulers, and critical thinkers, and servants thought they could outsmart the truth or good that is included in the religious scriptures or constitutions and get away

with it. That is to say, those rulers and critical thinkers and servants thought that if they do as the elites and their critical thinkers and servants are, as of 1945, doing and that is to kill, deceive, cheat, assassinate, spy, torture, eavesdrop, etc., to prevent citizens from cooperating with them in accordance with the truth and good included in the UN charter and the UDHR, and at the same time, facilitate the creation of political structures, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements and contracts to mask the crime against humanity that they are propelling that people would not be weary of them and would not crystallize a movement to get rid of them just as happened to Pharaoh, Khosrow II, Nero, etc, Said differently, the elites believe that their wealth and military superiority could help them, with the support of their critical thinkers and servants (and technology), to divide and rule, but without the rise or formation of a unified front that would begin to ironically and clandestinely work with them until at the right moment in time and place, sting them (the elites).

It is important that readers keep in mind that the threat that confronts the world if the elites are resized is very critical, because their collapse will signify the return of mankind to adopting pre-1945 political orders. To give an example, all the killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc., that the elites employ their critical thinkers and servants such as the United States, NATO, etc. to do on their behalf from toppling the progress of societies to preventing them from seeking the truth and good included in the UN charter and the UDHR, and at the same time, in the other hand, later facilitate the creation of political structures, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements and contracts to forbid the aforementioned ill conduct from preventing mankind from attaining the truth and good included in the UN charter and the UDHR or destabilizing them (the elites) is an operation that the elites carry out while allowing people to be free. If the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who the elites are depending on their wisdom to keep this aforementioned creative chaos operation alive begin to disenchant people to the point of pushing them (people) to form a front and to strip them from their power, there is no guarantee that the new families/dynasties who would be starting to play their role will rely on the same chaotic process to preserve their power. In other words, let this operation of killing on one hand and

creating political structures to seek the truth and good included in the UN Charter and the UDHR on the other be done while people are free. For example, the families/dynasties who are in China (socialist/communist regime or dictatorship), Russia (civilian dictatorship or regime), Iran (religious dictatorship or regime), Egypt (military dictatorship or regime), Saudi Arabia (absolute monarchy or regime), France (socialist dictatorship or regime), and Great Britain (constitutional monarchy or regime) are killing on one hand, and on the other hand, creating political structures to seek the truth and good included in the UN charter and the UDHR, constitutional documents, or religious scriptures are all doing it without letting their citizens be free.

In the Bible, it is suggested that people who violate the truth or the good included in such books will never be able to preserve their power or to rise back to power after being defeated until the end of time. The efforts of the families/dynasties that use the Jewish faith as a tool to dominate others have failed to produce any tangible results since antiquity. The efforts of the families/dynasties that use the Christian faith as a tool to dominate others failed to produce any tangible results right from the date when the apostles were working to build the Christian church. In other words, the Christian movement stayed characterized and riven by disputes and schisms since it started. Likewise, in the Quran, in Surah Al-Anbya, it is suggested that it is impossible for a society that violates the truth included in the Quran or divides itself into sects to rise again until the end of time [Surah Al-Anbya 21:95-96]. Indeed, the incapability of the families/dynasties that use Islam, Judaism, Buddhism, Confucianism, Christianity, etc. to lift themselves away from the torment of living in disgrace after those political powers fell from prestige and power until today supports the idea that this curse that exists in religious scriptures could be true.

In fact, since the end of the Islamic Golden Age in the 12th - 13th centuries until today, no ruler has managed to be elevated to any place where the righteous could stand, for the exception of the founding fathers of the United States and American rulers from 1776 to December 1941. This is because those American rulers managed to prioritize the common good over the self during this aforementioned period, despite all the ill-doing that they were doing. In 1945, the

founding fathers of the UN (i.e., the victors of WW2) succeeded in showing the same courage the founding fathers of Islam managed to show during the Rashidun Caliphate or the founding fathers of the United States and American rulers showed from 1776 to 1941. However, their successors (i.e., the heirs of the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2) and their critical thinkers and servants (starting with the American rulers and the British rulers) have been “thinking that they are awake, but they are asleep,” as the Quran suggests in Surah Al-Kahf 18-18 happens to people who think that they can make the past not dictate the future. In other words, the elites suppose that the assumptions that they would be implementing with their critical thinkers and servants (including technology) would make people find the courage to tolerate living as number two while critical thinkers and servants are not leading by example. In other words, those critical thinkers and servants are not finding the courage that George Washington showed when he refused to appoint himself king for life or violate truth or the good that exist in the ideas of freedom, self-determination, liberal interventionism, equal rights, social justice, etc. to satisfy his own propensity.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the elites are concluding that if they let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal that the founding fathers of the UN suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR to preserve their power, wealth, and status and ensure lasting peace, they would be able to avoid that their power be gradually drawn to destruction in ways that they cannot understand, just as happened to the families/dynasties that collapsed before them, thus destroying what their fathers worked over a millennium to help build along. What I do know is that no one knows if regimes kill dogmas or the other way around. For example, after the Iranian Buyids and the Seljuk Turks overthrew the Abbasids from power in the 12th - 13th century and the fall of the Ottoman Empire during WW1, all the kingdoms (empires) that dominated Mesopotamia and the Arabian Peninsula converted the Islamic world from being in the 10th - 12th century the center of science, culture, and invention, as the United States is today, to a world in which its members were herding camels until oil was discovered in the Arabian peninsula.

Then again, instead of the European monarchs and republics who defeated the Ottoman Empire during WW1, taking the Muslim societies that were living in Mesopotamia and the Arabian Peninsula and teaching them to be suspicious of worldly power as called on good Muslims, they decided to extend the same political and societal decline that their client, the Ottoman Empire, was imposing on the Muslim world in Mesopotamia and the Arabian Peninsula. In other words, instead of the European monarchs and republics who defeated the Ottoman Empire during WW1 thrusting the Muslim societies that they started dominating in Mesopotamia and the Arabian Peninsula about the importance of reviving (ihya) religious sciences as the Quran and Hadith (i.e., the “corpus of the sayings or traditions of the Prophet Muhammad, revered by Muslims as a major source of religious law and moral guidance) call on every Muslim to be doing, the European monarchs and republics deemed that if they let those societies revive (ihya) modernity instead, they can control them better. In addition, in the aftermath of WW2, instead of the elites (the western European monarchs and republics) thrusting the Muslim societies who live in Mesopotamia and the Arabian Peninsula to observe the work program included in the UN Charter and the UDHR, the elites decided to build for their gas station attendants futuristic cities.

I have no way of knowing how effective the decision of the European monarchs and republics to let the people who are living in Mesopotamia and the Arabian Peninsula revive (ihya) modernity in the aftermath of WW1 instead of restoring faith in Islam has been so far in helping them (the European monarchs and republics) to keep their grip on the international order under control. What I do know is that in the literature, it is suggested that the Al-Azhar mosque was built in 970 AD. The custodian of the Al-Azhar Mosque in contemporary history is Al-Azhar Al-Sharif. Al-Azhar Al-Sharif is an Islamic scientific body and the largest religious institution in Egypt and perhaps around the world. The Al-Azhar institution is tasked with the preservation and study of Islamic heritage. The Grand Imam of the al-Azhar mosque is the head of the al-Azhar institution. The Grand Imam of the al-Azhar mosque is considered by many Sunni Muslims to be the highest authority in Sunni Islamic thought and Islamic jurisprudence.

During a conference that the Al-Azhar institution organized in 2020, the Grand Imam of the Al-Azhar mosque suggested that of all the Islamic values and practices that exist in Islam, most Sunni newborns (new people born to Sunni families or converts to Islam) appear to be prioritizing the revival of the right to be polygamous (i.e., restricting the number of wives to four at a time as permitted in Islam) and the jurisprudence on how inheritance should be distributed above and beyond any Islamic values that exist in the Quran.

The specific Islamic values that the Grand Imam of the Al-Azhar mosque suggested that new people born to Sunni families or converts to Islam are reviving (ihya) the most today out of all the Islamic teachings that exist in the Quran signify that there was a reordering of the values included in Islam from the date when Islamic rulers, scholars, and citizens were renewing this faith in the 12th - 13th century and today.

For example, historians suggest that during the Islamic Golden Age (9th to 12th centuries), Islamic scholars such as Al-Ghazali (1058 - 1111), a Persian polymath, Ahmad ibn Hanbal (780 - 855), a theologian and ascetic who lived in Basra (Iraq), Al-Shafi'i (767 - 820), a theologian born in Palestine, Malik ibn Anas (711 - 795), a theologian born in Medina (Saudi Arabia), and many others safeguarded or preserved Islam by demonstrating how Islamic concepts and practices could lead to the pious Muslim's path to Islam, including how this religion could be used to form reflective religious life, thereby attaining the higher stages of Sufism or mysticism.

In other words, Al-Ghazali, Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Al-Shafi'i, Malik ibn Anas, and others were not reviving the life of Islam by making citizens compete to find out who could build four houses so he could have sex four times in a day, but how to make people practice tolerance as suggested in Al-Ghazali's magnum opus book titled *Iḥyā' 'Ulūm al-Dīn* (The Revival of the Religious Sciences), which he published in the 12th century. Most importantly, Al-Ghazali and others were not adopting policies that made parents or children have to spend an enormous amount of money between fuel and tolls, thus making them think twice about whether they could afford to see each other. But Al-Ghazali and others understood that if they did not protect this idea that

we call family, it would not be possible for them to reaffirm faith in the dignity and worth of the human person, which is a prerequisite to enable them to keep their power.

In fact, Al-Ghazali, in his other magnum opus titled *Tahāfut al-Falāsifa* ("Incoherence of the Philosophers"), criticized the Avicennian school of early Islamic philosophy or the Aristotelian science, which became the source for religious and moral guidance in Europe since the start of the 14th century, and we are reaping its fruits today. Indeed, the paradigm shift that the families/dynasties that started to control the international order after the fall of Islam (i.e., the western European monarchs) took by prioritizing Aristotelian science over continuing to extend the same philosophical principles that Al-Ghazali and others were adopting to rejuvenate the power of the families/dynasties that were controlling Islam shows that the world is at a junction point, and the way forward will entail the emergence of two global landscapes if the elites continue to let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. The first is for humanity to go little by little back on the same trajectory from which everything started initially. That is to say, the return to prioritizing political orders, as was the case during the first millennium, based on religion, race, color, etc. The second is to continue to let the international order decay while catering to ambitious false teachers until the elimination method that mankind will know creates a new balance.

We will never get to know the logic that the families/dynasties that started to control the international order after the fall of Islam (i.e., the western European monarchs) used to conclude that the teaching that Al-Ghazali and other Muslim thinkers who lived during the Islamic Golden Era adopted to preserve the power, wealth, and status of the families/dynasties that were controlling Islam should not get the attention it merits and deserves, and that the Aristotelian science that became the source for religious and moral guidance in Europe and the rest of the world since the start of the 14th century was better to help them (the western European monarchs) to keep their dominant role intact. In other words, it is true that Al-Ghazali and others were teaching truth as a tool to arrive at mysticism, which differs completely from the rational that the western European monarchs

wanted to depend on to keep their dominant role and which is based on using truth to arrive at mercantilism, capitalism, feudalism, etc. The question that begs an answer is: how did the western European monarchs conclude that Aristotelian science is better than teaching religion or spiritual development to preserve their power? Most importantly, how did the western European monarchs conclude that Al-Ghazali was not teaching spiritual development as a means to preserve his power and not necessarily to promote Islamic values?

To put it differently, how are the elites concluding that preaching liberal democracies or illeberal dogmas, or both, is better for them to preserve their power when none of their critical thinkers or servants is observing the principles included in the UN Charter and the UDHR and are all, on the one hand, killing and on the other, creating political structures to defend freedom?

If the more mankind was relying on Aristotelian science for religious and moral guidance, the more modernity was transforming people relations from prioritizing the good which Al-Ghazali, Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Al-Shafi'i, Malik ibn Anas and others were prioritizing in the Islamic Golden Era to people who are prioritizing the good that comes from polygamy and wealth in contemporary history, then the more the elites and their critical thinkers and servants distance people from tradition and religiosity to make them embrace secularization, liberalization, modernization, and post industrial life, the more the rise in awareness and knowledge that people would be knowing would be pushing them to be in favor of wanting to resize the elites to play their role. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because if awareness and knowledge are continuous and cannot be curtailed or stopped, the aforementioned means that the number of people who would be in the future plotting to resize the elites would be constantly growing. Put differently, wars and financial sanctions do not stop people from plotting or being a threat. For example, the Palestinians, the Lebanese, the Iraqis, the Afghans, the Syrians, and the Yemenis are all societies that the elites wrecked their political, economic, and social order in the last 75 years. Despite the political, economic, and social turmoil that those societies live in, they are determined today to resize the elites more than they were yesterday. In other words, if the elites cannot help the people who live in the state of Israel to live in peace if they do not

annihilate all the Palestinians and supporters from the face of the earth as God was doing to the people who were against his plan to lead the Israelites to the promised land, can the elites lead mankind to form a world government with critical thinkers and servants who are not killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc., to make them stay in power, but to strip their power from them? This is because if the critical thinkers and servants of the elites really had the best interests of their masters at heart, they would be observing the provisions suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR after they let them in on their business in the same way the disciples of Jesus were doing when he let them in on his business.

Indeed, the broad opposition, bias, anti-sentiment, or hostility that people have manifested in recent years cannot be due to modernity, globalization, individualism, capitalism, urbanization, or the possibilities of technological progress because human history is about people and those practices. In other words, people were not killing, deceiving, cheating, assassinating, spying, torturing, eavesdropping, etc., before WW2 erupted, and after WW2 they started doing it. Since antiquity, people have been violating the truth and good that are included in religious scriptures and constitutions. All the coordination, conciliation, normalization, arrangements, compromise, adjustments, and settlement that people make are not programmed to equalize relations but to make it a lot easier for the fittest to impose his will (dominance) on the weak. This practice existed before WW2 and exists after. Therefore, all the arguments and discourses that post-modernist scholars make about how optimism and belief in constant progress could be brewing naive realism and/or the discourses that post-colonialist scholars make about the lingering dominance of Anglo-American dominance over previously colonized countries to justify this rise in political, economic, and social issues as of 1945 are all perceptions or reactions that result from the unsolved reality problem.

In my opinion, the reality problems that exist in the world today center on knowing why the western European monarchs, who, generally speaking, wrote the history of the second millennium and who till the end of WW2 showed that they had the international order under control, are, as of 1945, not capable of upholding the same control or

prestige, and their power appears to be declining or dwindling if the control of the international did not transfer from one hand to another in the aftermath of WW2 but stayed with them? Most importantly, how could we be sure that this dwindling power is temporary and would not lead to divisions and rivalries that would make it afterwards very difficult to control or to keep unity, thus propelling their critical thinkers and servants to use their wisdom to benefit themselves and not to use their hearts, eyes, and ears to lay their lives and protect their masters (the elites)?

To put it differently, race, colour, sex, language, religion, political opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, or other status are all distinctions or quagmires that people (the fittest or the weak) depend on to keep the social order going in a circular quandary. Accordingly, the reliance of people on distinction to increase their happiness makes the social order stay in constant chaos or self-destruction. If those distinctions could be of any good to rulers, then the people of the world would have been all today Egyptians or Israelites. If distinction was never of any help to any kingdom, there must be a rule that is propelling people to prioritize distinction, corruption, and disregard over peace and security, as I said before.

Said differently, historians speculate on reasons to justify why certain kingdoms, empires, religions, etc. collapsed. For example, historians suggest that the Islamic Empire collapsed because the rulers of this empire introduced Turkish mercenary forces and non-Muslim Berbers and Slavs in senior public and military positions and tried to homogenize them in the structure according to legal principles. Although these individuals converted to Islam and considered themselves part of the Islamic world, “the base of imperial unity through religion was gone.” Hence, the rulers of the Islamic Empire were not practicing what they were preaching; their critical thinkers and servants quickly learned the ropes. Jesus once suggested that “everyone who is fully trained will be like their teacher.” [Luke 6:40]. Jesus also suggested that a blind man cannot lead another man who is blind, because they would both fall into a pit.” [Luke 6:39]. This is exactly what happened. The families/dynasties that were controlling the Muslim Empire were overpowered by their servants (i.e., the Seljuqs).

Earlier, I said that the heirs of the elites did not act on the counsel of their fathers and prevented their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) in UN member states from associating other political and economic work programs besides the one laid out in the UN Charter and the UDHR to avoid that their wealth, status, and power do not get destabilized. Could the reason for the failure of the elites to stabilize the international order in the aftermath of WW2 be due to their critical thinkers and servants “becoming like their teachers” blind? In other words, their critical thinkers and servants are adopting the same wrong assumptions that they have been adopting since 1945. This assumption involves putting their trust in adopting the same strategies that their fathers in antiquity adopted and expecting that they would not fall with their critical thinkers and servants in the same pit that Jesus suggests could happen when two blind men lead each other.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the state of political backwardness and stagnation that exist in the world today is by design or if it is due to the elites practicing the wrong assumptions that their fathers were making. What I do know is that in 2015, when a United Nations staff refused to accept DFIDs (the British government) request to violate the UN financial rules and regulations in Sudan, his senior managers devised a plot to accuse him of being a threat to the interests of the United Nations. Then, in the same way the United States detains people on the ground of being a threat to its interests and then frees them at its convenience, the United Nations placed this UN staff on administrative leave on the ground of being a threat to its interests. Next, the United Nations cancelled the investigation without telling this UN staff why he was placed on administrative leave and who filed a complaint against him. To show the ill will of the United Nations, while on administrative leave, the United Nations informed this former UN staff that it was restructuring and ended his contract. When this UN staff filed an application in the UN courts, the organization offered to regulate his pension and health insurance only if he agreed to drop the case he filed. His biggest surprise came when his senior managers and the UN judges ganged on him and came up with a technical penalty to dismiss his case and avoid making the corruption that the United Nations was carrying out known to the world. Evil does not

thrive forever. In 2022, the supervisors of this UN staff who were injuring with impunity were finally caught. Among the supervisors who were implicated were the former Minister of Defense and Justice of Norway, who also served as Minister of Justice of Defense and Minister of International Development.

I have no way of knowing if the individual (or society) that the whole world would have expected to have been the most noble among all the people (societies) of the world, given how Norway ranks every year since 1945 in the top 5 nations in all human development aspects, and the organization that the elites tasked with helping them to secure their implicit and explicit goals could prevent their collapse after this organization became a den of thieves. What I do know is that if history repeats itself, the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world (i.e., the elites) are going to be trampled on by their critical thinkers and servants in the same way those helpers trampled on this UN staff when he prioritized the truth and the good that is included in the UN charter and the UDHR over his best interests. This is because if the elites (the western European monarchs and republics) are standing up for the truth and the good that is included in the UN Charter and the UDHR, their critical thinkers and servants will eventually trample on them because they are not observing the truth and good that they are preaching but their evil assumptions. Likewise, if the elites (the western European monarchs and republics) are not standing up for the truth and the good that is included in the UN Charter and the UDHR, they would still face the same end, because when two blinds lead each other, they cannot avoid falling into a pit.

The good news is that no one needs to be concerned if the heirs of the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) continue to be unprepared to stand up for truth in words and in action, because everyone gains when those powerful families/dynasties continue to let their critical thinkers and servants guide them astray and away from the truth. In other words, if distinction is a threat to both dogmas and the regime, it would be the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) who would be losing the most, and the ones who would be risking having their power,

wealth, and status taken away from them and handed to new families/dynasties to start playing their role.

In conclusion, it looks as if the longer the elites (families/dynasties who control the western European monarchs and republics) allow their critical thinkers and servants to threaten the idea of freedom, the more people are going to gradually seize from them (the powerful families/dynasties) their power until one day, all of a sudden, they find themselves plunged into destruction. In other words, if humans know the difference between right and wrong but do not know how to pick right over wrong all the time and the elites (i.e., the families/dynasties who control the western European monarchs and republics) want that the role of government and international organization in public life deepen knowing that all personhood (i.e., legal entities) have worked against the interests of the individuals who relied on such instruments to rejuvenate their power, then one of two scenarios could be propelling those powerful families to believe that they are invincible. The first is if the powerful families/dynasties believe that if they continue to disillusion people with how short-term pain could bring long-term prosperity, it will prolong what they are in. The second is that they believe they can topple any efforts that people make to crystallize movements that could compete with them.

Once again, I do not know which scenario between the aforementioned two could be leading the heirs of the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) into believing that they could be more powerful or far superior in might than their predecessors, but later their deeds became void. What I do know is that when those predecessors encouraged what was evil, forbade what was good, and withheld what was in their hands, the hearts of their citizens became hardened like rocks when they lost faith in them and did not rest till the plot they were devising reversed them to a simpler form. And so if discord, persecution, schism, trial, or test are far worse than killing, can the heirs of the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) pit people against each other without such assumptions, break the will of people with regard to staying with them, or motivate them to establish rival movements that work together as a front?

Finally, in the Quran, Muslims are warned against taking parents and siblings as trusted allies if they choose disbelief over belief. [Surah At-Tawbah 9:23] I have no way of knowing if the decision of the heirs of the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) to appoint critical thinkers and servants who are not practicing what they are preaching would leave them to wander blindly in their defiance as the families/dynasties who controlled the international order or money supply of the world before learned the hard way, or if their defiance will help them to be more powerful since we have no way of knowing if history repeats or not. Strangely, in life, there are certain things for which it is possible to predict how their transformations change over time quickly, and in others, this process is slow. Only time will tell if the critical thinkers and servants who are the heirs of the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) are depending on their wisdom to keep their dominant role, will prostrate to them as religious scriptures suggest angels do to God, or if those servants will trample on them in the same way the United Nations trampled on this UN staff when he refused to prioritize the best interests of this organization over theirs.