

From Victory to Vulnerability

**Can conflicts expose the fragility
of the global security strategies
of the victors of WW2?**

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2023 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloo S., From Victory to Vulnerability, 2023

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
From Victory to Vulnerability

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment
www.centermpa.com

In memory of Chibli Abou Haidar

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Definitions

PREFACE

From Victory to Vulnerability

1. Analysis

23

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, his supervisors told him that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national which also

included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave cost him his right to any claim! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations wants people to stop being tolerant to have any rights and wants them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes can have his or her case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

In the year 2022, the corruption ring of those UN managers who were using the UN as a cover to do their evil work in the name of truth and good and who ganged on this UN staff member because he refused to trade his self-interests for the values included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was exposed and

dismantled. Instead of UN member states requesting that those UN managers be imprisoned with the UN Judges who were protecting them, they were fine with the UN ending the contract of those UN managers while keeping all their wealth, health insurance and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member so he could be remunerated for all the pain that they put this UN staff member through because he refused to take part in their wicked plots, the UN member states believe that if they let this intergovernmental organization keep this case in the garbage basket of history, the United Nations would be preserving their power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personalities at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the

Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, and in this way avoiding that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form as the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision-making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the

rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between, nations including failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and are preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century / early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior in the aftermath of World War II in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion's share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or put into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or analyzed were solely used because I felt they contained ideas that could aid me in comprehending better if the decision of the victors of World War II (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scriptures counseled is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

Nations that won WW2: This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2 and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2nd millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The global policeman of the world role that the United States started playing in 1945 is regarded in this work as a functional role that was delegated to this country by the families/dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

Banks, large financial institutions, or Corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties that won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states in the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized

nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

United States: All references to the word “United States ” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If the American culture is endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to avoid losing the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

PREFACE

The founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their heirs (their children) to let the societies that they freed and vanquished during WW2 determine their own political status and freely pursue their economic, social, and cultural development in the aftermath of WW2. In other words, the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) counselled their heirs to ensure that, irrespective of the type of political order UN member states adopt, the relations people establish in each UN member state are done in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR.

In the aftermath of WW2, the heirs (the children) of the old powers had a different plan to rejuvenate their powers. The heirs of the old powers conjectured that if they let the United States, which they tasked with playing the role of global policemen in the aftermath of WW2, provide curriculum coursework in political and security (military) related fields to the people they freed in the aftermath of WW2 or vanquished during WW2, just as King Nebuchadnezzar was doing in antiquity, such a strategy would kill two birds with one stone. The first is that this strategy would enable them to make their enemies (adversaries, rivals) or allies come to them to provide the intelligence they need from them to keep their dominant role, instead of them going to those freed and vanquished people to collect it. The second is that this strategy allows the heirs of the old powers to recruit informants.

Since October 7, 2023, it is impossible to avoid noticing a flux of military analysts, governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, and magistrates who proud themselves of having received their training in the United States and western Europe providing updates on various media platforms on how the war between the Israelis and the Palestinians in Gaza is progressing, including formulating assessments and presenting scenarios that the Palestinians could utilize to defeat the Israelis and vice versa.

This book attempts to support the idea that if the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) do not make a detour and

go back to practicing the work program that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) laid out in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, including returning the United Nations back to fulfilling the role initially envisioned for this organization, they would not be able to avoid the same fate that the families/dynasties that controlled the Babylonian kingdom faced.

1

Analysis

Before World War II (WW2) erupted, it was generally accepted that the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) were controlling the international order. In other words, the old powers legitimized and enforced the political and economic policies (rules) that dominated world affairs. That is to also say, the old powers were administering the arrangements that rank people (societies) one above the other according to wealth, power, status, or authority. In addition, the western European monarchs and republics controlled all the lines of transportation and communications needed by societies to sustain survival needs and earthly desires. This grip included maintaining control of the scientific knowledge, industry, commerce, technology, weapons, money, etc. that people explore or produce.

Some people suggest that the United States was a member of this political and economic great power league (club) that the western European monarchs and republics belonged to before WW2 or World War I (WW1) erupted. I do not subscribe to the school of thought that postulates that the United States was a great power before WW2 erupted. Nor do I subscribe to the school of thought that postulate that the political and economic power of the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) weakened during WW2 and the command and control of the international order and the money supply of the world transferred to the United States.

I believe that the United States served the western European monarchs and republics before WW2 or WW1 erupted the role that China started playing for the world in the aftermath of WW2 (i.e., the world's factory). That is to say, the political and economic reach of the United States before WW2 or WW1 erupted on the world stage was not any

different than the political and economic reach of China in the aftermath of WW2 so far. In other words, the United States did not set the rules.

In addition, I also believe that WW2 was fought between the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on his own. At the end of WW2, the victors of this global war dismantled their empires and transferred the operational command and control of the international order to the United States and the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, but not the global security order. In other words, the western European monarchs and republics transferred the operational command and control of the political and economic order within the international order to the United States and the United Nations, but not the control and command of the world military complex (the world nuclear arsenal). The same can be said about the command and control of the money supply of the world (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs transferred the operational control of this component only). I believe that the global security order (military complex) is still under the command and control of the western European monarchs and republics (i.e., the principal victors of WW2).

Actually, I also believe that a number of reasons propelled the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) to dismantle their empires and to transfer the operational command and control of the international order (political and economic) to the United States and the United Nations. First, the old powers gave themselves a hierarchical promotion. Second, the old powers were aware of the power of the digital order. In other words, the old powers knew that the digital order could overpower the human mind (human ingenuity, human reason, human imagination) and human body, and therefore, there was no need for them to be directly involved in the day-to-day command and control of the international order since this mass or form that we call human beings would not have the power to override the authority of the digital order.

Accordingly, I believe that the western European monarchs and republics temporarily transferred the role of global policemen that they were playing to the United States in appreciation of the capacity of its citizens to let the understanding that they were gaining of themselves help them to stay faithful to the US constitutions, thus prioritizing the common good over self-interest. That is to say, until the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) finish grooming the United Nations to ultimately transfer to its systems (structures and organs) the universal governance of the whole world.

In fact, I believe that before the western European monarchs and republics promoted the United States to play, in the aftermath of WW2, temporarily the role of global policeman, this country was playing for the old powers in the western hemisphere the same role that the state of Israel started playing for the western European monarchs and republics in the Middle East or North Africa over the Arab or Muslim nations. Since 1948, the state of Israel (population less than 10 million) has managed to successfully control and command the Arab nations (population greater than 500 million). In other words, just as the state of Israel proved in the last seventy-five years that it was the fittest among the Middle Eastern and North African nations, the United States before WW2 or WW1 erupted proved to the old powers that it was the fittest in the western hemisphere.

Indeed, the decision of the western European monarchs and republics to let the United States and the United Nations play the global policemen role until they finish interconnecting the world to the digital order makes lots of sense. If the international (political or economic) order collapsed before the digital order and the United Nations became the dominant force, it would be the United States which the old powers promoted in 1945 to make this country play the “tough kid on the block” (i.e., the global policemen), who would take the fall and not them.

It is important that readers keep in mind that all people preserve their dominant role (ranks) one above the other according to wealth, power, status, or authority by trading in invisible (virtual) social instruments such as freedom, democracy, equal rights, law, etc., just as investors

trade in real (tangible) financial instruments or assets such as stocks, bonds, certificates of deposits, cash, etc., to increase their wealth and rank one above the other.

In addition, just as people further the growth and development of their wealth, power, and status in affairs by adopting legitimate and illegitimate methods to rank one above the other, people adopt the same methods to grow and advance their wealth, power, status, or authority while trading in invisible (virtual) social instruments such as freedom, democracy, equal rights, law, etc.

For example, in 1945, the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) decided to promote the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy that the United States was adopting from 1776 to 1945 and had successfully managed to build, based on the principles enshrined in such political, social, economic, and cultural ideas, the happiest society by 1945.

In fact, I strongly believe that the political, social, economic, and cultural successes that the United States managed to achieve from 1776 to 1945 are what compelled the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) to dismantle their empires and to attempt to transform the rest of the world in the same way in the aftermath of WW2. Actually, I strongly believe that the successes that the rulers and political class in the United States achieved from 1776 to 1945 to make citizens ready to voluntarily surrender freedom to the US constitution are what made the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) promote the United States to take on the new responsibilities of global policemen until they finish interconnecting the world to the digital order.

In the letter the apostle Paul wrote to the people of Ephesus, the apostle Paul suggested to them the importance of “living a life worthy of the calling” they have received [Ephesians 4:1] to avoid “living as the gentiles do in the futility of their thinking.” [Ephesians 4:17] The apostle Paul suggests that that the gentiles were “darkened in their understanding and separated from the life of God because of the ignorance that is in them due to the hardening of their hearts. Having lost all sensitivity, they have given themselves over to sensuality so as

to indulge in every kind of impurity, and they are full of greed.”
[Ephesians 4:18-19]

In this respect, instead of the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) adopting the same activities (rules, roles, and responsibilities) that the United States rulers and political class used from 1776 to 1945 to reproduce the political, social, economic, and cultural transformations that the United States achieved, the victors of WW2 decided to prioritize the same transformations that the apostle Paul suggested to the people of Ephesus the gentiles were counting on to create ordered relations in antiquity (i.e., rank one above the other according to wealth, power, status, or authority).

For example, the critical thinkers (mathematicians, physicists, philosophers, military officers, etc.) of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) suggested to the children (their heirs) of those powerful families/dynasties at the end of WW2 that all the societies that they freed freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social, and cultural development for as long as they observe the common standards suggested in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UHDR). In addition, the critical thinkers of the victors of WW2 suggested to the families/dynasties that control those monarchs and republics that they let the international intergovernmental organization that they created and named the United Nations coordinate the work of UN members, not, as the case is right now, where the United Nations and international organizations are providing political, economic, social, and cultural development that is not any different than the one that governments provide. In other words, we have two authorities as of 1945 that are accelerating corruption, disregard, and contempt among UN members, as the constant wars and insecurity that have swept the world can also support. Those two entities are governments and international institutions, such as the United Nations. The officials of those two entities regard themselves exempt from observing the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and are prioritizing the same political, social, economic, and cultural development that the gentiles were prioritizing in antiquity.

I do not believe it is important to support how all the UN member states are not prioritizing the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR as of 1945, because the constant wars (corruption, disobedience, disregard, etc.) that have swept the world in the last seventy-five years allow every person to determine on his own if the world was safer before WW1 and WW2 erupted or after. All people need to do is ask their fathers or grandfathers if corruption was as high before WW2 or not. I believe it is important to just mention that the societies that were dominated before WW2 erupted and the societies that were vanquished during WW2 pledged to observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR after the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) freed them. In other words, the victors of WW2 did not free the societies that they were dominating before WW2 erupted and the ones they vanquished when WW2 was regarded as having ended, so the citizens of those societies begin to preoccupy themselves in the aftermath of WW2 with writing the international rules or whether or not the victors of WW2 are observing the principles suggested in the UN Charter, the UDHR, and international rules, but the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics freed them because those societies pledged to be preoccupying themselves with just observing those principles. Put differently, the societies that the old powers were dominating before WW2 erupted and the ones the victors of WW2 vanquished when WW2 was regarded as having ended pledged to change their inner selves, as the Quran suggested in Surah Ar-Ra'd verse 11, so they could later change their conditions and not be devoted to writing international rule and to changing how the victors of WW2 are commanding and controlling the international order.

As far as the manner in which international institutions such as the United Nations are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) to control and command the international order, the damage that they are causing is unquantifiable. This is because those international legal entities are not living a life worthy of the calling they claim they have received, but are using their role to drive people to despise the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) instead of to love them or to want to stay with them and to grant them more powers than others.

For example, in 2013-2015, a UN staff member was working in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) for UNOPS (a UN agency), and when he refused to go along with the decision of his senior managers using the UN bank account as a means to launder money, his senior managers asked him to pick between two choices: the first is to transfer temporarily to Sudan to give them time to investigate and take action, or wait in the DRC until his contract expires to go home permanently. In Sudan, this UN staff member committed the same mistake. He refused to prioritize the business interests of the United Nations (as his senior managers were using this legal entity to achieve) over the collective interests of humanity. He refused to accept the request of a DFID (the British government) official in Sudan to violate the UN financial rules and regulations on a project that Dfid was financing, and he was negotiating with this agency because this action could destabilize the credibility and security of Great Britain and, in particular, the British Crown.

The courage that this former UN staff member demonstrated cost him dearly. When he refused to trade the values enshrined in the UN Charter and the UDHR to stay in his job, his senior managers contrived a plot and accused him of being a threat to the United Nations, including using their power to end his contract and to trample on his dignity, values, and everything he stands for. Next, the UN senior manager, UN lawyers, and UN judges ganged on him and dismissed the application he filed in the UN courts in 2017 on technical grounds.

It is important that readers note that the UN senior managers who abused their authority and trampled on the career, dignity, and values of this former UN staff member continued on using their power to serve their own propensity at this global council until the year 2022, when they reached the end of the line. In the year 2022, the UN senior managers who were using the United Nations to run their own businesses were finally caught (refer to the 2022 UNOPS scandal, which Mukesh Kapila exposed by visiting www.mukeshkapila.org). The UNOPS scandal permitted that the entire world mock the United Nations and mock the countries that the UN officials who were using this organization to do their business came from.

The UN staff who the United Nations trampled on his livelihood, his dignity, and even the UN Charter, the UDHR, Great Britain, the US, and all the UN member states when this organization cancelled his application in the UN judicial system on technical grounds wrote to the UNOPS new executive director and his senior managers to request that they (the United Nations) reopen and review his case, and the answer he got was that this case is closed.

Indeed, the irresponsible and irrational answer that the United Nations provided to this former UN staff demonstrates that it is not possible to secure the UN goals through the intermediary of individuals who preach about human rights, freedom, law and order, equal rights, etc., while they are pursuing the realization of the full development of their personalities at the same time.

All the wars that mankind knew occurred due to the fittest (rulers, kings, judges, officials) refusing to demonstrate the same maturity that the founding fathers of the United States and the rulers and political class that ruled this country from 1776 to 1945 exercised.

For example, instead of the victors of WW2 fulfilling their godly role in the aftermath of WW2 and requiring that all rulers and political class be using their role to lead by example, thus not giving people reasons to disobey the UN Charter and the UDHR, they converted the role of governments into becoming instruments to service extreme wealth and corporate power.

If we take the United States, which managed from 1776 to 1945 to be the city on the hill, the impotence of this country to keep this status post-1945 (or to keep citizens convinced that it is operating a functioning democracy, particularly as of the fall of the Soviet Union) has been steadily falling.

That is to say, the United States did not begin to confront a contempt for institutions as of 1945 only, but a contempt for political credibility that has been tarnishing elected officials especially. This contempt of political credibility by US elected officials, which has plagued the internal distribution of power within this country, especially as of the start of the 21st century, has demonstrated so far that Lady Liberty is a

lady who opens her doors to everyone, but at the same time, a lady who loves no one except her people (i.e., the people who look like her or resemble her). In other words, Lady Liberty is not a protectionist of elected representatives who are servants to private or corporate power, but servants to the idea of freedom (the rule of law).

I have no way of knowing if this contempt by US officials for the idea of freedom or the idea of the free world, especially as of the start of the 21st century, is weakening the United States worldwide or not. What I do know is that the political credibility of the United States as the custodian of the idea of freedom or the free world appears to be signaling the end of the American era. In other words, this unique political credibility that the United States managed to build by 1945 about being “the land of the free and the home of the brave” is fading away.

“Rise like a Phoenix” is an expression that means to emerge from a catastrophe stronger, smarter, and more powerful. I have no way of knowing if the rulers and political class in the United States would manage to clear the political confusion, stagnation, or decline that appear to have swept this country after elected officials decided to let this great country be blest with defeat and anarchy instead of victory and peace. In other words, if the small group of powerful, wealthy people that the rulers and political class in the United States appear to be servicing instead of servicing the UN Charter, the UDHR and the US constitution would be able to work with the different counterparts elsewhere who agree with them and with whom they form a close web of allies and alliances to make people want to stay with them and to grant them more powers than others.

It is very important that readers keep in mind that it is not the small group of wealthy people that appear to command and control the rulers and political class in the United States including the different counterparts who are servicing them and agreeing with them who have the final say on whether or not people would want to stay with them and to grant them more powers than others, but the final say depend on what Lady Liberty, their foes and even the servants (elected officials in UN member states and in international institutions such as the UN) who those powerful people depend on to make the weak voluntarily

surrender freedom to them and to accept to be dominated or governed by them have a say as well.

Many people regard soap bubbles as a symbol of the transience of life. In other words, soap bubbles suggest how “frivolous and short our time on earth is. Life, like fragile bubbles, is fleeting.” If the victors of WW2 do not want that their power, wealth, and status do not flee from them, reach the end of the line, and new families/dynasties start to play their role, they need to make sure that the ingredients (political and economic policies) that they would be depending on to make soap bubbles make good, healthy bubbles that do not pop or burst quickly. This is because there are lots of recipes to make soap bubbles. For example, one of the most basic bubble solutions involves mixing sugar in hot water and then adding this sugar-water mixture to a solution that has some liquid or dishwashing soap diluted in some ambient water. The purpose of the sugar is to act as a binding agent in the final solution, or mixture.

Since antiquity, the “sugar” (i.e., the binding agent) that the fittest depend on to make people want to stay in groups to form a bubble with them has been the idea of good (i.e., democracy, freedom, equal rights, etc.). The problem is that no political entity has managed in the last millennium to find the right solution with the right ingredients to make a giant bubble that fits everyone inside except the United States. However, instead of the victors of WW2 being in favor of letting the texture (sugar solution) that the United States managed to build by 1945 be used to extend it so as to create one giant bubble, as the founding fathers of the UN counselled the victors of WW2, the victors of WW2 decided to form 193 UN member states (i.e., bubble) that have been behaving and some popping in the same way soap bubbles pop.

A bubble is “just air wrapped in a soap fill. The fill is made of soap and water. The outside and inside surfaces of a bubble consist of soap molecules. A thin layer of water lies between the two layers of soap molecules, sort of like “a water sandwich, with soap molecules being the bread.” They all work together to hold air inside.” A soap bubble pops when the thin water layer that lies between the two layers of soap molecules evaporates.

In principle, the thicker the water layer that is sandwiched between two soap molecules, the longer it would take for the soap bubble to pop. If we let the water layer that forms in a soap bubble refer to the principles suggested in the UN Charter, the UDHR, the religious scriptures, or constitutions, we can say that the reason why certain societies are breaking down since 1945 before others is mainly a function of the number of people who are observing the principles in each bubble (society). In the societies that collapsed, their citizens were not observing the UN Charter and the UDHR, and in the societies that did not collapse yet, their citizens are observing a certain level of law and order (standards or norms).

In addition, we can say that societies that are maintaining a certain degree of law and order, such as the western European monarchs and republics and their close allies, such as the United States, Japan, Russia, and China, could be politically collapsing in the same way that happens when a soap bubble is poked on its top surface, but without the families/dynasties that control those nations being aware that their power, wealth, and status are eroding.

Physicists suggest that “when a hole is poked on the top surface of the bubble, that hole takes some time to grow larger. In that time, the bubble sinks and shrinks until it is a flat disc with a whole in the middle.”

Accordingly, if the western European monarchs and republics and their close allies, such as the United States, Japan, Russia, and China are not observing the UN Charter, the UDHR, or their constitutions as they pledged to be doing in the aftermath of WW2, then it is highly likely that this rise in dynamism that has swept the world in recent years is because the hole in the international order is getting bigger.

For example, if we take the United States, we can easily argue that a political hole was poked in the political order of this country in the aftermath of the collapse of the Soviet Union toward the end of the second half of the 20th century and that since this date this hole is getting bigger and bigger. In recent years, the struggle over the distribution of power in the US political order and the race to always

be at the center of affairs without showing true leadership (political direction and control) appear to be growing, just as happens before a bubble sinks until it becomes a flat disc with a whole in the middle.

Indeed, there is a lot that the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) can learn from the science of bubbles to avoid their power, wealth and status collapsing.

Then again, I have no way of knowing if this abrupt rise in insecurity that has wept the world is because the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) are pocking holes in the political order of UN member states to accelerate their collapse before theirs, or if this pocking is due to all people being totally absorbed in pocking a hole in the political, social, and economic status of their neighbor.

What I do know is that people appear to be enjoying pocking holes in each other's bubbles (i.e., the world each person constructs in his mind) in the same way children enjoy pocking air bubbles. I have no way of knowing why bubbles and bubble pocking mesmerize everyone, especially children. This reason could be due to the fragile nature of bubbles or the fact that it is possible for people to tilt the truth in order to create their own version in the same way professional bubble artists are able to create different forms of art using soap bubbles.

I do not know why people like to poke holes in each other's wealth, power, status, etc. What I do know is that if the physics of how bubbles form, behave, or pop is analogous to the way societies, businesses, nations, and kingdoms rise and fall, then the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) would not be able to prevent their collapse if power collapsed in the same way a bubble collapsed. For example, scientists have suggested in recent years that what makes a pocked bubble collapse could be gravity. In other words, because the hole does not grow as fast as the bubble was falling, the bubble is not able to avoid sinking and shrinks until it caves in.

To put it differently, if the victors of WW2 want to delay (avoid) their collapse, they need to be pocking holes in the political, economic, and social order of others so that the damages they create make them collapse much faster than the hole that their rivals are pocking in their hole to cause their fall. Alternatively, the victors of WW2 need to be counting on their rivals pocking their own holes with their own hands, thus causing their own resizing or collapse.

For example, before the ongoing Russia-Ukraine war, which has been waging since February 2022, Russia demanded from Ukraine not to join NATO (a military alliance) and criticized NATO's enlargement plans in Asia. NATO is a military alliance that promotes the interests of western European monarchs and republics and the rest of its members around the world, such as the United States. The families/dynasties that command and control Ukraine think that their best interests are better protected if they serve the agenda of western European monarchs and republics and their client (puppet) states, such as the United States instead of Russia. The hole (destruction, casualties, economic loss, etc.) that the families/dynasties that control Ukraine have opened after almost two years of being extorted and lied to by NATO, the western European monarchs and republics, the United States, and others about how they want their best interests and how they will always be there for them to protect them if they build closer ties with them instead of with Russia has so far left them needing three to four generations at least to rebuild what was destroyed. This is because the human progress that was built and destroyed took four to five generations to build.

However, it appears as if the occupation of the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and the rest of the UN member states with determining who could poke a bigger hole in the human progress of the other as of 1945, instead of being devoted to stitching the holes that are opened (i.e., observing the UN Charter and the UDHR) as the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to be only devoted to doing, and even gave them the tools to achieve this goal, has opened a substantial hole, in the international order as of October 7, 2023 that may not be able to stitch without the victors of WW2 making lots of compromises or the world becoming entangled in a new global to create a new reset.

For example, the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) helped the Israelis to displace the Palestinians that were living in this land that before 1948 was known as Palestine in order to let them build a “Jewish home” over it. Throughout history, whenever the fittest acted in the same way that the victors of WW2 employed to remove people from their land, he killed all the people who were claiming rights to land or compensated them with a different plot or money. The victors of WW2 taught differently. The western European monarchs and republics thought that the Palestinians would give up claiming rights to return to the land that the western European monarchs and republics (and the Israelis) kicked them from, but this has not been the case till now.

Since 1948, the Israelis and the Palestinians have been entangled in a constant war. The victors of WW2 refuse to intervene and kill all the Palestinians, thus putting this conflict to rest once and for all or put pressure on the Israeli government to integrate the Palestinians into the political order of the state on equal rights.

On October 7, 2023, Hamas attacked Israel (poked a hole in the world that the families/dynasties that control Israel have been building since 1948) in an attack that was not any different from the attacks that Hamas (the Palestinians) carried out since 1948.

As it has been usually the custom, instead of the victors of WW2 slamming their hands on a tabletop and demanding that Israel stop to breach the UN Charter and the UDHR so the Palestinians do not have any reason to breach the peace, the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) sidelined automatically with the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel and granted the Israeli government a green light to kill all the individuals that claimed responsibility for the October 7, 2023 attacks.

In the animal kingdoms, animals are always fighting, and usually the victor is the one who is either the fittest or the one who managed to have other animals gang with him to defeat the fittest. The victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) have refused since October 7, 2023, to defeat the Palestinians who claimed

responsibility for the October 7 attacks (throw a nuclear bomb and kill them with one blow) and have refused to join in the fight on the side of the Israeli government to defeat the Palestinians who claimed responsibility for the attack. Since October 7, 2023, the victors of WW2 have been following the same strategy that they adopted when they asked the Ukrainians to fight the Russians demand that they not join NATO. This strategy is to send the Ukrainian weapons.

Regrettably, this strategy that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) adopted in Ukraine already as of early 2022 and are now adopting in Palestine (Israel as of 1948) presently, and which involves converting themselves into spectators in disputes instead of actual players as they did in Iraq, Libya, and Afghanistan, does not seem to be satisfying the perceptions of “Lady Liberty,” their foes, and most importantly, people (the weak).

In other words, “Lady Liberty,” their foes, and most importantly, people (the weak) are not finding that the type of entanglement that they have adopted in Iraq, Libya, and Afghanistan, and now Ukraine and Palestine (Israel as of 1948) is improving freedom, democratic control, equal rights, etc., but rather supporting that the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics) are still adopting the same political and economic strategies that their fathers were adopting before WW2 erupted.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics) are the fittest and can choose to rejuvenate their power (command and control the international order) based on the methods that they see fit. But if the international order behaves in the same way that happens when bubbles are poked, then if “Lady Liberty,” their foes, and most importantly, people (the weak) become dissatisfied with the way they are violating the universal truth included in the UN Charter, the UDHR, constitutions, and religious scriptures, they may not be able to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others, in the same way they have been doing since 1945. This is done by pursuing people into believing that they are the custodians of the idea of freedom. This is because “Lady Liberty” appears to be in full agreement with what Jesus suggested about how

“there is nothing hidden that will not be disclosed, and nothing concealed that will not be known or brought out into the open,” in particular. [Luke 8:17] In addition, if “Lady Liberty” happens to also be in full agreement with what Jesus suggested about how “whoever has will be given more; whoever does not have, even what they think they have will be taken from them,” [Luke 8:18] then there is a good chance that if they continue to say one thing and do another, that what they have may be taken from them and given to others. In other words, the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics would not be able to make their monarchs or the territories of the republics that they control, such as the United States, be regarded as “the land of the free and the home of the brave,” while the other territory is “the land of the dominated and the home of the coward.”

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 could continue to make people around the world want to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others after they demonstrated as of October 7, 2023, that they do not rule over “the land of the free and the home of the brave,” but over the land that is barren from any form of freedom (social freedom, political freedom, freedom of belief, freedom of thought, freedom of opinion), and most importantly, a place that is barren from debates. What I do know is that there is an old Irish phrase that says, “Don’t change your horses in midstream.” This proverb means that “it is better to go ahead and finish a job using the method you first decided to employ versus switching to a completely different approach.”

In this context, I have no way of knowing why the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics decided to not “hold their horses” following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks and decided to let their global policemen (the United States) carelessly violate the UN Charter, the UDHR, and all the international laws (standards and norms) that this country has claimed to be its custodian since 1776. What I do know is that there is a Scottish proverb that says, “if wishes were horses, beggars would ride.” This proverb suggests that “if wishing could make things happen, then even the most destitute people would have everything they wanted.”

I have no way of knowing what this aforementioned Scottish proverb suggests. What I do know is that if the constant wars that have swept the world as of 1945 are due to the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics being against that beggars ride on horses, they need to be avoiding premature and careless actions, including avoiding precipitating careless action.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the decision of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics to grant rights to TV news agencies to air to people in their own living rooms the barbarity that they granted rights to the Israeli government to compel (i.e., killing close to 15,000 innocent Palestinian men, women, children, and babies and having 2 million innocent Palestinians displaced from their homes since the October 7 attacks) is helping them to keep their dominant role or not. What I do know is that the victors of WW2 are not doing anything that King Nebuchadnezzar was doing when he reigned in antiquity. King Nebuchadnezzar used to take beggars (or prisoner of wars) and bring them into his service. He used to teach those beggars (or prisoner of wars) the language and literature of the Babylonians, and then, when he was done training them, he used to bring them into his service.

Indeed, the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics have been adopting the same strategy that King Nebuchadnezzar employed during his reign since 1945. The only difference is that instead of the victors of WW2 training the presidents and kings (chiefs, judges, ministers, officials, and military officers), they are appointing and bringing them to Europe or the United States for further training on the importance of observing the UN Charter and the UDHR so they can serve them with loyalty; they bring them to Europe or the United States and defile them with royal food and wine, in the same way some of the beggars (or prisoner of wars) that King Nebuchadnezzar was appointing into his service were doing.

Indeed, the constant wars that have swept the world since 1945 do not support that the presidents and kings (chiefs, judges, ministers, officials, and military officers), the victors of WW2 are training to be at their service are being faithful to them in the same way the Bible

cites by name certain beggars (or prisoner of wars) that King Nebuchadnezzar brought into his service were.

Then again, in the Bible, it is suggested that when King Nebuchadnezzar refused to renounce his sins by doing what was right and his wickedness by being kind to the oppressed. [Daniel 4:27], he lost his sanity. His royal authority was taken away from him until he “acknowledged that the Most High is sovereign over all kingdoms on earth and gives them to anyone he wishes.” [Daniel 4:32]

In addition, in the Bible, it is suggested that it was only when King Nebuchadnezzar acknowledged that the dominion of the Most High is an eternal dominion and that his kingdom endures from generation to generation that his sanity returned to him. [Daniel 4:34] His advisers and nobles sought him out. He was restored to his throne and “became even greater than before” as well. [Daniel 4:36].

I have no way of knowing how King Nebuchadnezzar (and his critical thinkers) managed to keep all the nations and peoples of every language that he was dominating accepting to practice tolerance and to want to stay in groups with him when he became insane and did not revolt against his authority, glory, and sovereign power. In my opinion, two scenarios could have motivated this situation. The first is if the people who lived under the dominion of King Nebuchadnezzar had unshakable faith in his wisdom and therefore did not mind submitting to him. The second is if the people that lived in all the nations that he dominated were living in a state of anarchy, and therefore, it did not matter whether he stayed in power or not.

I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforementioned two made the people in all the nations that King Nebuchadnezzar dominated accept to stay in groups with him when he became insane and did not revolt against his authority, glory, and sovereign power. What I do know is that the victors of WW2 did not yet defeat the political ideas that are competing with the international rule-based post-war world order, which they laid out its principles in the UN Charter and the UDHR and counted on to keep their dominant role intact. That is to say, if the victors of WW2 fail to lead by example (i.e., make governments and international institutions lead in

accordance with the UN Charter and the UDHR), it would not be possible to avoid that the international order reverse to pre-1945 political orders. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, the decision of the victors of WW2 to give the Israeli government a blank check to kill Palestinian civilians in Gaza and, most importantly, the decision of the victors of WW2 to give mass media organizations another blank check to bring to the living room of people the barbaric behavior of the Israeli government (which is not any different than the barbaric behavior of Russia in Ukraine, the United States in Afghanistan and Iraq, Saudi Arabia in Yemen, NATO in Libya, etc.) conforms to one of two scenarios. The first is if the victors of WW2 believe they are invincible. The second is if the idea of freedom, which has been on trial since 1945 collapsed.

I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforementioned two could be leading the victors of WW2 into believing that they are more powerful than King Nebuchadnezzar was in antiquity. What I do know is that pursuant to the collapse of communism and socialism already, and as of October 7, 2023, democracy, freedom, liberal interventionism, and self-determination, it would not be possible to avoid that the world reverse to a state of despotism, which would pose a mortal danger to the victors of WW2 if they fail to steer events in their favor.

When despotism rise, it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to preserve their power, wealth, and status without relying on force or seeking to accomplish all their affairs or matters by keeping them classified. This is required to avoid people starting to regard any conflict or dispute as a fight between good and evil.

For example, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are preventing that people around the world do not perceive that the ongoing Israeli-Hamas war is not a fight between good and evil after they (the victors of WW2) allowed mass media organizations to transmit to people's living rooms the barbaric acts that are happening and have refused to detonate an atomic bomb over Gaza and kill the Palestinians, thus ending this war once and for all since they do not

want them to have any rights or to live in Israel (known as Palestine before 1948).

If more people start to perceive that this on-going war between the Israelis and the Palestinians in Gaza is a fight between good and evil, it would not be possible to avoid that people begin to want to lend God a hand to defend his kingdom and choose martyrdom to prevent evil (i.e., the victors of WW2 or their ideas) from gaining ground.

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 believe that their authority over people is more powerful than the authority of God (or the force that programmed people). What I do know is that in the Bible, it is suggested in the book of Daniel that King Darius issued an edict preventing people from praying to any God or human being during thirty days, except to him [Daniel 6:7], and that whoever would disobey his royal decree would be thrown into the lions' den.

The Bible suggests next that Daniel (a former captive promoted to a very high position in the kingdom) violated King Darius' edict. The Bible states that King Darius was very disappointed that he was throwing Daniel in the lions' den because Daniel was one of his very close advisors, and he had plans to appoint him over the Satraps in the entire kingdom. [Daniel 6:3]

In the Bible, in the book of Daniel, it is suggested that the hungry lions did not eat or devour Daniel and kept their mouths shut the whole time he was in the den with them. [Daniel 6:21]

I have no way of knowing why the hungry lions did not attack Daniel. In my opinion, two motives could have created this condition. The first is if Daniel had experience taming lions in the same way lion trainers can. The second is if human beings are an animal species, but they do not want to admit that.

Once again, I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforementioned two enabled Daniel to prevent the lions from attacking him when King Darius threw him in the lion's den. What I do know is that since the date the victors of WW2 gave the Israeli government green light to kill Palestinian civilians in Gaza and TV channels to air

the atrocities being committed, more and more Palestinians are becoming defiant and are believing that there is no death for those who die in the path of God, or who die so the kingdom of God on earth triumph over the evil of men. In addition, if, as I said before, the international order collapsed as of October 7, 2023, then religious fanaticism and tribal differences should start to rise reciprocally in the near future. And since people are divided into two groups, one group uses religion (the idea of God) as a tool to get his way despite the resistance of others (in other words, this group does not practice the ideas its members preach but depends on those ideas to rejuvenate their powers), and the other group stays neutral apropos religion (either does not believe in the existence of God or is just secular), then there is a good chance that the world could be entangled in a world revolution whose outcome may not be in accordance with what the victors of WW2 anticipate if people continue to encourage evil, permit evil, and disbelieve that a creator exists.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 plan to “guard themselves from every kind of greed” [Luke 12:15], if the “desire for possessions and worldly arrogance” by people continue to rise. [1 John 2:16] What I do know is that it is suggested in the Book of Ecclesiastes that “whoever loves money will never have enough money.” [Ecclesiastes 5:15]

If the love of money and luxury can make people “wander away from the faith” (political, economic, and religious ideas) and lead to people “piercing themselves with many pains [1Timothy 6:10], then there is a good chance that the victors of WW2 plunge into destruction and ruin if they are letting their pride and greed prevent them from fulfilling their godly role of ruling justly between people. This is because when individualism or self-help rises, it is not possible to make people stay in groups together. In addition, when people fail to agree on common universal standards, it becomes impossible to agree on natural and legal rights. When people fail to agree on natural and legal rights, it becomes impossible to come up with a political order. When the political order becomes weak, it becomes very difficult to avoid the fact that people become bound by their personal power and conscience. When the number of people who are bound by their personal power and conscience rises, then the number of people who

will withdraw their obligation to obey will reciprocally rise. When people start to withdraw their obligation to obey, the victors of WW2 will not be able to make people submit to governments or the rule of law. When people refuse to submit to governments or the rule of law, it becomes very difficult to command and control people to prevent them from returning to their natural state.

Furthermore, when people start to consider it their duty to ensure that the kingdom of God triumphs over the kingdom of men on earth and regard death in the path of Allah (God) as an opportunity to be granted a free pass to paradise, then the victors of WW2 could be up against an undefeatable enemy if they continue to use law and order to benefit the individuals involved instead of as a means to distribute outcomes on equal rights. This is because death becomes a liberation from having to live under the domination, subjugation, and exploitation of the fittest, so God's kingdom (justice) could ultimately reign on earth.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are going about ensuring that God does not get his ways on earth and recruit losers like the Palestinian men who demonstrated as of the October 7 Hamas attacks that dying for the cause of Allah (God) is a grace, instead of being devoted to prioritizing corruption, deceit, fraud, betrayal, power, wealth, and status, just as most people around the world are doing, starting with the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world so they can get their ways despite the resistance of others like everyone else. What I do know is that if the victors of WW2 want to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them, they need to be continually using their wealth and military superiority to accuse others of being a threat to world peace and, most importantly, find enough people that would be ready to buy into their claims. Actually, this is how the Babylonians found ways to accuse Daniel of being a threat, so King Darius threw him into the lion's pit in antiquity. This is how the Romans did when they accused Jesus two thousand years ago of being a threat leading to his crucifixion, and this is exactly how the international intergovernmental organization trampled on the dignity of this UN staff member who refused in 2013-2015 to prioritize his self-interests as his senior managers were doing over the work program of the victors of WW2.

However, if we compare the infraction that Daniel committed, on which he was thrown into the lion's den, and the infraction that this former UN staff member committed, on which he was trampled on his career, dignity, and everything he stands for, we find that in the case of Daniel, Daniel was not observing the edict of King Darius, whereas in the case of this UN staff member, he was observing the edict of the victors of WW2. This is very serious and worrisome.

If the person who was not observing the edict (i.e., Daniel) and the person who was observing the edict (i.e., the former UN staff member) faced the same end when one (i.e., Daniel) was accused rightly and the other (the former UN staff) was accused wrongly, then law and order cannot be regarded as a parameter that the victors of WW2 could depend on to preserve their power, wealth, and status, but rather the measurable factor (or the scale) would be based on the number of people who dread and fear them, just as Daniel demonstrated to the God that he believed in and this UN staff demonstrated toward the victors of WW2.

At the same time, if we compare what this God that Daniel pledged his allegiance to was teaching and the principles that this UN staff was observing, we find that they were the same. In other words, the principles that are included in the religious scriptures and the principles that are included in the UN Charter and the UDHR cannot be a threat to the victors of WW2, but they are both concepts that contribute to their greatness and splendor; otherwise, those principles would not have survived.

In the religious books, it is prohibited to kill (see the Moses Commandments). The founding fathers of the UN prohibited the same in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Hence, if the victors of WW2 have refused as of 1945 to play their godly role and are refusing to honor the principles suggested in religious scriptures or the UN Charter, then we cannot say that their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are fearing or dreading them, based on the constant wars that have swept the world.

Hence the anarchy that wept the world is in theory due to their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) thinking that they are free to do whatever they wish and without impunity, this signify that if the victors of WW2 do not engulf the world in a new global war to create a new reset, or manage to make those critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) fear and dread them, it may not be possible to avoid that their power, wealth, and status be stripped from them and handed to new families/dynasties, if the individuals who they are depending on their wisdom to rejuvenate their power are regarding themselves exempt from observing the principles suggested in the UN Charter and religious scriptures.

I have no way of knowing how the end of the families/dynasties that control the international order of the money supply of the world will come. Most kingdoms collapsed when their rulers made drastic reforms to benefit the lower and middle class or when their rulers failed to make critical thinkers and servants (chiefs, officials, judges, etc.) consider their opinions before taking action on their own.

As of 1945, the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) control all the UN member states, including the United States, China, and Russia. This means that there is no authority (body) above them or an authority that is mightier than them, so we could say that its power could defeat them. In other words, the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics became dictators for life in 1945, just as Julius Caesar, the Roman dictator, appointed himself dictator for life during the Roman Republic. That is to say, even if the British monarch, which is believed to be the mightiest among the western European monarchs and republics, collapses, this does not mean that the power, wealth, and status of the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world would collapse. This is because the international order is controlled by those powerful families/dynasties in the same way businesses or organizations exercise control over operations. When a bank branch office becomes unprofitable, the bank owners do not close all the bank branches, but close the branch that is not making money only, or replace its management.

For example, when Julius Caesar, the Roman dictator, was assassinated while giving a speech at the senate, thus perpetrating the collapse of the Roman Republic and the rise of the Roman Empire, the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Republic and the Roman Empire were not stripped of their power, and new families/dynasties began to play their roles but stayed the same. This is because the individuals who assassinated Julius Caesar were members of his inner circle. In other words, the individuals who assassinated Julius Caesar were working members of the ruling families/dynasties that were supporting him in his many state and national duties. Later, the same individuals who assassinated Julius Caesar were the ones who dismantled the Roman Republic and named it the Roman Republic. Similarly, when the United Nations executives who trampled on the career and dignity of this UN staff member whose story was mentioned earlier and denied him rights were finally caught in 2022, the victors of WW2 did not reform the United Nations and returned this organization to functioning as per the way the founding fathers of the UN suggested, but ended the employment of the UN executives who were caught in this latest UN scandal and kept this organization buying and selling political, social, and economic solutions as it is doing.

In the two aforementioned examples, the change that occurred did not result in the collapse of the power, wealth, and status of all the families/dynasties that were involved, as happened when the control and command of Mesopotamia changed hands from the Babylonians to the Persians. Accordingly, since, as I said before, there is no body whose authority is above the authority of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics, we could say that their collapse could occur from the bottom up and not from the top down. In other words, the collapse of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics will happen at the hands of a destitute or poor people.

For example, in the middle of the first millennium, the control and command of Mesopotamia changed from being in the hands of the Roman Empire (and Christians) into becoming in the hands of an illiterate orphan who grew up in the desert. In fact, this illiterate

individual who was working till the age of forty as a merchant (i.e., the Islamic prophet Muhammad) managed, with the help of a few companions (i.e., the Rashidun Caliph), to crush the Roman Empire (or Christians) and build the most successful empire the world has known to date.

If an illiterate individual can change professions and, with the help of a handful of companions (i.e., the Rashidun Caliph), build, in the middle of the first millennium, one of the most successful empires the world has known to date, then the conclusion that Daniel and King Nebuchadnezzar reached about how the “Most High is sovereign over all kingdoms on earth and gives them to anyone he wishes and sets over them the lowliest of people.” [Daniel 4:17] could be true.

If the Most High has the power to make “the lowliest of people” be given “authority, glory, and sovereign power; all nations and peoples of every language worship them [Daniel 7:14], then if the victors of WW2 do not fulfill their godly role and start “by doing what is right and by being kind to the oppressed, then there is a good chance that “their greatness, glory, splendor, and prosperity” [Daniel 7:14, 5:18] be stripped from them, and the lowliest of people defeat them, just as happened when an illiterate orphan who worked as a merchant defeated the Roman Empire in the middle of the first century.

I have no way of knowing if God will meddle on earth as he did till the middle of the first millennium and send a new son or another prophet. It is suggested in the Quran that the Prophet Muhammad was the seal of the prophets. [Surah Al-Ahzab 33:40]

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the collapse of the victors of WW2 could happen from the bottom up (i.e., the lowliest of people) if they continue to walk in pride and refuse to start to humble themselves. This is because the victors of WW2 are relying on an elaborate intelligence and security system that can intercept any attempt that has the potential to threaten their power, wealth, and status. However, what I do know is that in the book of Daniel, it is suggested that the end of rulers who refuse to play their godly role will come to them “like a flood” [Daniel 9:25, 11:10, 11:40]

Floods are excess rainwater that covers the ground when rivers, reservoirs, and drainage channels cannot contain a sudden rise in water levels or when the ground cannot absorb the excess water fast enough. The runoff (excess water) moves on the ground in the same way that occurs whenever a cup of water is spilled on a hard surface. That is to say, if the victors of WW2 do not want that people's defiance, scorn, abhor, antipathy, disapproval, etc., with the political, economic, and social solution that they are legitimizing and enforcing rise and its excess spread, they need to avoid that the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that they are depending on their wisdom to keep their dominant role overwhelm people.

To give an example, on October 7, 2023, the Palestinians who live in the Gaza Strip revolted against the political, economic, and social system that the victors of WW2 granted the Israeli government the right to impose on them since 1948. Most international organizations classify the people who live in the Gaza Strip among the poorest around the world. In other words, it is not totally erroneous to classify them among "the lowliest of people," as Daniel in the book of Daniel suggested that the Most High could choose to transfer to them the wealth, status, and power of the victors of WW2. In fact, and for a start, the Most High inspired them to name the incursion that they carried out on October 7, 2023, against the jailers that the victors of WW2 appointed to dominate them instead of cooperating with them in accordance with the UN Charter and the UDHR, "Operation Al-Aqsa Flood."

Accordingly, we can say that if the victors of WW2 do not want that the "flood" that the Palestinians who live in the Gaza strip, who can be regarded as composed of "the lowliest of people," overflow (spill) and make other people scorn or abhor the political, economic, and social solution that they are adopting, thus causing that their emotions burst and widen the geographical area in which people are disenchanted in it with them, they need to ensure that the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that they are depending on their wisdom to keep their dominant role keep people dreading and fearing them.

In the Quran in Surah Al-Baqarah, it is suggested that the Israelites succeeded in making people in antiquity dread and fear them by keeping “their hearts like stones or even worse in hardness.” [Al-Baqarah 2-74] In other words, if the victors of WW2 do not want their wealth, power, and status stripped from them, they need to ensure that their hearts and the hearts of their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) stay hardened, just as the hearts of the Israelites were in antiquity. In fact, there is no difference between the hardened heart that the Israelites displayed in antiquity and the one that the victors of WW2 started displaying as of October 7, 2023. Actually, the hardened hearts of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics) are worse than the type of hardened hearts the Israelites displayed in antiquity. This is because the Bible does not suggest that the Israelites were punishing and mass murdering innocent babies and children as the victors of WW2 are doing in Palestine (Israel as of 1948) as of October 7, 2023, while using the Jews as instruments.

Then again, in the same aforementioned verse numbered 274 in Surah Al-Baqarah, people are warned that no matter how hardened their hearts get, they need to be aware that “there are stones out of which rivers gush forth, and indeed, there are of them (stones) which split asunder so that water flows from them, and indeed, there are of them (stones) which fall down for fear of Allah.” The aforementioned statement suggests that no matter what the fittest would try to do to keep this hearts or the heart of all his critical thinkers, servants (governments and international institutions), and dependent people hard, it would not be possible for him to avoid that some hearts break or soften and acknowledge the truth that this aptitude was created in the human body to serve or be called to be continually doing by the Most High. This is very serious and worrisome.

Indeed, we have witnessed, as of October 7, 2023, lots of protests happening around the world denouncing the collective killing of civilian Palestinians (including children and babies) by the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) and their jailors (the Israeli government) in Palestine (Israel as of 1948), which most people consider to be against any human rights or international humanitarian convention. In other words, the hardened hearts of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the western

European monarchs and republics) and the hardened hearts of their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) could not prevent that people (water) burst and express their abhor, scorn, disappointment, and disenchantment with this blunt violation of the UN Charter and UDHR by the victors of WW2 specifically.

Water is both a source of life and a detriment or a liability. Many questions beg answers. For one, how can we be sure that the burst of abhor, scorn, disappointment, and disenchantment of people with this blunt violation of the UN Charter and UDHR by the victors of WW2 as of October 7, 2023, would not spread in the hearts of people in the same way water overflows on land during floods and weakens the power, wealth, and status of the victors of WW2 in the same way water is a liability when it submerges the land?

It is important that readers keep in mind that the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from observing the UN Charter and the UDHR and have been violating those two political instruments since 1945. In other words, the barbaric behavior that the Israeli government is displaying in Gaza as of October 7, 2023, is not any different than the barbaric behavior that Russia is displaying in the Russia-Ukraine war presently, or the United States displayed in Afghanistan and Iraq, Saudi Arabia in Yemen, NATO in Libya, the western European and Arab nations in Syria, etc. The only difference is that the arrogance of the victors of WW2 did not reach this extreme level of defiance or irresponsibility that was demonstrated as of October 7, 2023, whereby the victors of WW2 permitted that the atrocities that their weapons are thrusting in Gaza become a daily drama that people follow in the same way some people follow a soap opera.

In the Quran in Surah Al-An'am it is stated that when people's arrogance rises, God (or the force that programmed man) makes the deeds of the individuals who are relying on their personal power and conscience to get their ways despite the resistance of others appeal to them. [Al-An'am 6:43, 108, 122]

I have no way of knowing if God (or the force that programmed man) is making the deeds of the victors of WW2 until he strips them from their power and gives it to the lowliest of people, or if the power and wealth of the victors of WW2 stopped to be of paramount importance to them as of 1945, and therefore, began to think that they are more mightier than their fathers (the old powers). What I do know is that the victors of WW2 have been unable to stop this outburst of support for the Palestinians, despite all the measures that they have taken with their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to repress people's sympathy. On the other hand, nothingness cannot change to a being on its own, and no government had the courage as of October 7, 2023, to slam his hand on a tabletop and demand that this blunt violation of the UN Charter and the UDHR by the victors of WW2 and their servants (the Israeli governments) in Gaza stops. There must be an invisible "agent" or a "rule" that is influencing people to make them disobey the laws that governments are enforcing to prevent them from supporting the Palestinians.

I do not know if there is a force that is meddling on earth in recent years or not. However, in the book "The Prison: Reflections on how the United Nations is weakening Republicanism and Liberalism," I suggest that the victors of WW2 organized the international order in the same way a penitentiary system works. In jail, three categories of people establish relationships. The people incarcerated, the prison guards, and the administrator of the jail.

Let the word "people incarcerated" refer to the different societies in the different UN member states. Let the word "prison guards" refer to the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that the victors of WW2 are depending on their wisdom to legitimize and enforce natural and legal rights (i.e., to get their ways despite the resistance of others). Let the word "administrator of the jail" refer to the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics).

The "agent" that is motivating people to protest against the killing of civilian Palestinians since the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks cannot be the people because they are being dominated by the governments and international institutions that the victors of WW2 depend on to

keep their dominant role. As I said earlier, following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack no government or international institution, slammed its hand on a tabletop and demanded that this blunt violation of the UN Charter and the UDHR stop. This means that the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that the victors of WW2 depend on to legitimize and enforce natural and legal rights cannot be this “agent.” Could the victors of WW2 be the “agent” that is motivating this pool of support (flood of support by people) against the killing of civilian Palestinians without them knowing that they are doing it? In other words, could the victors of WW2 be the ones who are motivating people to outburst emotions that have the potential to inflict serious and permanent harm on their strength and stability?

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 could be putting themselves in bad situations that can hasten or contribute to the collapse of their wealth, status, and power. This is because the victors of WW2 operate in each UN member state, as I said before, a very powerful intelligence apparatus that is capable of warning them about any plan that could be hatched against them. In other words, only the plots that the victors of WW2 hatch themselves can escape the intelligence apparatus they set up or fail to be thwarted.

Hence, the developments that have the potential of bringing the victors of WW2 one step closer to their collapse could not happen from violent events or the result of unlawful behavior; then those motives have to be from deeds that the victors consider harmless or nonthreatening. For example, Pharaoh thought that Moses did not pose a threat to his strength and stability initially. The same can be said about the Roman Republic, or later, the Roman Empire (and the Christian movement). The Roman Republic did not think that Jesus and his twelve disciples could be a threat to this realm. Likewise, the Roman Empire (and the Christian movement) did not think that Muhammad and his companions would be a threat to their power, wealth, and status.

Once again, I have no way of knowing what harmless or nonthreatening action could be threatening the maintenance of the strength and stability of the victors of WW2. What I do know is that

the victors of WW2 preserve their dominant role by putting to death those who they want be dead, spare those who they want be spared, promote those who they want to be promoted, and humble those who they want be humbled, just as King Nebuchadnezzar was doing in antiquity.

Accordingly, we can say that the harmless action that the victors of WW2 are doing or allowing others to do, thus failing afterwards to make people want to stay with them voluntarily or to grant them more powers than others, as the Babylonians did until the sanity of King Nebuchadnezzar returned to him, must be a byproduct or a spin-off of actions involving something that they are doing with the people who they spared or promoted. In other words, it is the entailment that those actions are perpetrating what could be making people around the world not dread or fear them.

Again, I have no way of knowing what specific harmless action(s) the victors of WW2 are allowing as of 1945, and their outcome could be producing repercussions that the people who they spared or promoted act as its principal perpetrator, thus making people around the world not dread or fear them. What I do know is that the heirs of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics) decided to disobey the advice of their fathers (the old powers) to stay away from adopting the same political and economic strategies that they employed to preserve their powers, wealth, and status and decided to rejuvenate their power in the aftermath of WW2 in the same way.

For example, the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their heirs (their children) to let the societies that they freed and vanquished during WW2 determine their own political status and freely pursue their economic, social, and cultural development in the aftermath of WW2. In other words, the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) counselled their heirs to ensure that, irrespective of the type of political order UN member states adopt, the relations people establish in each UN member state are done in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR.

In the aftermath of WW2, the heirs (the children) of the old powers had a different plan to rejuvenate their powers. The heirs of the old powers conjectured that if they let the United States, which they tasked with playing the role of global policemen in the aftermath of WW2, provide curriculum coursework in political and security (military) related fields to the people they freed in the aftermath of WW2 or vanquished during WW2, just as King Nebuchadnezzar was doing in antiquity, such a strategy would kill two birds with one stone. The first is that this strategy would enable them to make their enemies come to them to provide the intelligence they need from them to keep their dominant role, instead of them going to those freed and vanquished people to collect it. The second is that this strategy allows the heirs of the old powers to recruit informants.

Since 1945, political officials and military officers from around the world compete among each other to get an opportunity to travel to the United States (or western Europe) to participate in a political or military training exercise that the United States organizes for them.

Likewise, since October 7, 2023, it is impossible to avoid noticing a flux of military analysts, governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, and magistrates providing updates on various media platforms on how the war between the Israelis and the Palestinians in Gaza is progressing, including formulating assessments and presenting scenarios that the Palestinians could utilize to defeat the Israelis and vice-versa.

One of the most important pieces of information that any viewer listening to the military and political analysts (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) that the TV channels that are reporting on this conflict are hosting could take out is how all those former military or public officials charging the United States and the western European kingdoms and republics of providing Israel with security (military) assistance, including suggesting ways to defeat them. Most importantly, one cannot avoid noticing how all those military analysts and officials (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, and magistrates) are proud and boastful about how the assessments and recommendations that they are formulating or providing could be accurate because their knowledge was gained from experiences that they developed when they were participating in military training

exercises in the United States, Great Britain, France, and other western European nations. In other words, the military analysts, governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, and magistrates who are being hosted do not give the impression that they are using the training they received in the United States and the western European kingdoms and republics to serve the best security (and political and economic) interests of those countries that trained them, the UN Charter, or the UDHR, but rather to show how those powerful countries are using the international order as a tool to deepen their hegemony instead of to improve peace and security.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their global policemen (the United States) are tracking (surveying) all the public officials (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) or former military officers that they trained to help them successfully fulfill their foreign mission objectives in sovereign nations. In other words, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) are ensuring that all the current or former public officials (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) or former military officers that they trained are not destroying the boat that ferried them. This is because the assessments and recommendations that the military analysts (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) are formulating or providing on the Israeli Hamas War that the victors of WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) are supporting Israel on do not support that those former military analysts or public officials are putting their training to cross another river with the boat (the western European monarchs and republics and the United States) that ferried them but to sink it.

On the other hand, I have no way of knowing if the public officials (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) or former military officers who have been since October 7, 2023, charging that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their global policemen (the United States) are using double standards in their command and control of the international order is because they have no need to cross another river with the boat (i.e., the idea of freedom, equal rights, democracy, etc.) that those powerful nations depend on to get their way despite the resistance of others (make

people be ready to stay with them and to grant them more powers than others) but those powerful nations do. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, the Jews managed to successfully convince people around the world that they were chosen by God as his special people. They also used their condition of being citizens of the world and without a Jewish land until 1948 to make antisemitism a tool to help them get their way despite the resistance of others. As of 1948, the Jews are continuing to depend on antisemitism to get their way despite the resistance of others. Anytime a person competes, rivals, murders, or steals from a Jew, the hostility that the individual involved commits is immediately politicized, and the repulsiveness, infraction, or crime committed is blamed on inner tendencies that are motivated by negative sentiments toward Jews as people. That is to say, the Jews use antisemitism as a “boat” to continue to cross with such a tool rivers.

As of 1945, the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) are using a similar “boat” to cross rivers (i.e., get their ways despite the resistance of others). The victors of WW2 depend on ideas such as freedom, democracy, human rights, etc. to cross rivers. If the victors of WW2 stopped to be capable of using the idea of freedom, democracy, human rights, etc. as a “boat” to cross rivers., then mankind would have to return and start to cross rivers in the same way our predecessors were doing it until 1945, or new families/dynasties would take over and attempt to cross rivers with the same “boat” in the hope of being luckier.

In conclusion, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their global policemen (the United States) are concluding that the assessments and recommendations that the public officials (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) or former military officers that they trained are formulating or providing to people around the world on how the Palestinians could defeat the Israelis or vice versa are helping them to keep their dominant role. What I do know is that we cannot say that those public officials or former military officers who the western European monarchs and republics and the United States

trained used what they learned to help them keep their dominant role when they were in the service of their government. This is because if this were the case, the public officials (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) or former military officers who the western European monarchs and republics and the United States trained in the Middle East since 1945, such as Saddam Hussein (Iraq), Muammar Gaddafi (Libya), Hosni Mubarak (Egypt), Hussein bin Talal (Jordan), and Hafez al-Assad (Syria) would have observed the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, when they were in power and not used their training in the US and western European monarchs and republics to rival the hand that fed them, just as most leaders with military and non-military backgrounds in UN member states are doing.

If, in theory, there is no difference between the security strategies that the Israeli war cabinet are formulating in closed meetings with the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their global policemen (the United States), and the security strategies that the public officials (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) or former military officers that the western European monarchs and republics and the United States trained and are formulating or providing to help the Palestinians defeat them except that the Israeli and their masters (western European countries and the US) are doing it in closed meetings (a smaller circle of people), and the security strategies that the public officials or former military officers that the western European countries and the US trained are doing it to a broader audience, we can withdraw many conclusions. First, since all those people who are on the outside of this smaller circle are not devoted to observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR since 1945 but to figuring out how to become a member of this inner circle, then the odds of the victors of WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) preventing that they would not get repelled by the aggression of the people who are looking to displace them from their power or glory to occupy their places in the inner circle themselves would become very low. This is because in the nucleus, only a small number of people can fill the void space available, and the number of people who want to get in is almost infinite. Second, hence the number of people who the public officials (governors, advisers, treasurers, judges, magistrates) or former military officers that the victors of WW2 and their global policemen (the

United States) trained and have been as of October 7, 2023 telling them why they should not tolerate the human rights injustice that they are watching on their TV screens (i.e., continue to cope as they are doing in their own orbit)) is a lot bigger than the number of people that are repelling them (i.e., governments and international institutions), and preventing them from getting together and ruthlessly fighting them to get in the inner circles they could start to direct their violence at them when they displace them, then the probability of the victors of WW2 managing to keep their dominant role while their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are controlling the violence so they can stay in power, and not so their master benefit and stay in power is low. This is because the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who are depending on their wisdom to protect them are not teaching people why they should love them but why they should hate them.

Finally, I do not know if the power, wealth, and status of the victors of WW2 (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics) would be stripped from them and handed to new families/dynasties to play their role in the future. The good news is that no one needs to worry about whether or not the victors of WW2 will be stripped of their power or stay in their dominant role or not, but the families/dynasties involved. The only pity that we can feel for the victors of WW2 is that their impatience appears to be leading them to make the same choices that King Belshazzar (the son of King Nebuchadnezzar) was making before the collapse of his kingdom. In the meantime, we can only do what the victors have refused to do since 1945, which is to be patient. In other words, we need to give time to know if the western European monarchs and republics can, with all the UN member states that they command and control (i.e., the United States and the countries that they form webs and alliances with), defeat people and make them surrender voluntarily or involuntarily freedom to them when the security policies that they are depending on to keep people dominated, exploited, and subjugated are the same security policies that the people they want to be dominated are depending on to repel their aggression away from them. Said differently, can the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and the United States go to war against the soldiers that they have trained and defeat them? Most importantly, can the western

European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) guarantee that they can keep their dominant role if authoritarianism and militarism in the world rise? When the world returns to being controlled through authoritarianism and militarism, what they would be doing is dismantle what their fathers and forebears took centuries to build? Only our successors would be able to tell if indeed the “Most High” is indeed sovereign over all kingdoms on earth and gives them to anyone he wishes and sets over them the lowliest of people or not!