

From Triumph to Turmoil

**Will the denial of the egregious behavior
of the United Nations lead to the fall of the
victors of WW2?**

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2023 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloof S., From Triumph to Turmoil, 2023

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
From Triumph to Turmoil

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment
www.centermpa.com

In memory of Rosa and Najm Maalouf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Definitions

PREFACE

From Triumph to Turmoil

1. Analysis

23

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, his supervisors told him that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national which also

included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave cost him his right to any claim! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations wants people to stop being tolerant to have any rights and wants them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes can have his or her case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

In the year 2022, the corruption ring of those UN managers who were using the UN as a cover to do their evil work in the name of truth and good and who ganged on this UN staff member because he refused to trade his self-interests for the values included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was exposed and

dismantled. Instead of UN member states requesting that those UN managers be imprisoned with the UN Judges who were protecting them, they were fine with the UN ending the contract of those UN managers while keeping all their wealth, health insurance and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member so he could be remunerated for all the pain that they put this UN staff member through because he refused to take part in their wicked plots, the UN member states believe that if they let this intergovernmental organization keep this case in the garbage basket of history, the United Nations would be preserving their power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personalities at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the

Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, and in this way avoiding that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form as the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision-making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the

rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between, nations including failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and are preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century / early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior in the aftermath of World War II in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion's share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or put into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or analyzed were solely used because I felt they contained ideas that could aid me in comprehending better if the decision of the victors of World War II (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scriptures counseled is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

Nations that won WW2: This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2 and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2nd millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The global policeman of the world role that the United States started playing in 1945 is regarded in this work as a functional role that was delegated to this country by the families/dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

Banks, large financial institutions, or Corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties that won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states in the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized

nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

United States: All references to the word “United States ” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If the American culture is endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to avoid losing the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

PREFACE

This book tries to examine why when the fittest (rich, rulers, political class, judges, law enforcement agents) go on a safari and stay within close proximity to wild animals, they accept to observe the instructions that are given to them by the safari guides to stay safe, but the majority refuse to observe the same guidelines contained in constitutions and laws (standards) after they are appointed to act on behalf of the majority on issues that involve maintaining public order and safety or any service involving responsibility to the public. The book specifically investigates if the suggestion that the author of the epistle of James made to warn tribes in the diaspora “to ensure that not many of them become teachers, because those who teach will be judged more strictly” [James 3:1] could be how the families/dynasties that control the nations that won WW2 would be judged if they are choosing wrong over right or if their servants (governments and international institutions) are choosing wrong over right and are not doing anything to stop them. The book concludes by supporting the idea that if the United Nations is not resized and returned to function as per the way the founding fathers of the UN suggested initially, it may not be possible for the victors of WW2 to prevent their fall.

1

Analysis

The word "safari" is of Swahili origin and means "journey" or "expedition." The people who inhabit the world have no idea how the world was formed and use the stories that their fathers (who also do not know how the world was formed) tell them to support their convictions. Furthermore, people are not like some other living creatures capable of walking minutes from the moment they are born, such as precocial animals. In other words, people are not capable of judging until a much later age. Be that as it may, people are also not capable of judging the impact their actions are having on the world, and it is the next generation that governs the evaluation. In addition, people discovered that if they invent stories about the purpose of human life, including factoring in such plot narratives about how easy things would be for everyone if they would stay in groups, such a tactic could help everyone have access to more mates, wealth, power and status. This conjecture is proper because it helps people accept each other instead of being devoted to preying on each other as animals do to achieve the same goal. Likewise, this aforementioned conjecture resolves questions related to fear of death, fear of being left without anyone to take care of them when they get old, and, in particular, helps to make it easy for one person in the group to have more powers than others. Most importantly, people tell each other that they are far superior to other living creatures with whom they co-live on earth. And to "add insult to injury," about people's naive empiricism or consciousness about reality, is that all people give each other advice on how to interrelate with animals (which the majority observes), but when it comes to observing the laws (standards, norms or rules) that can help them to maintain the same balance they maintain with animals, the majority believe that disregarding the

principles fixed in constitutions or religious scriptures would not have any bearing on the maintenance of their security and stability.

To give an example, most rulers and political class (judges and law enforcement officers) are prepared when they go on a safari trip to follow the instructions that are given to them by the safari guides to stay safe and avoid any dangerous encounter with an apex animal or a mosquito whose body length can range from 0.04 to 0.16 in. Strangely, most rulers and political class (judges and law enforcement officers) refuse to observe the same guidelines contained in constitutions and laws (standards) after they are appointed to act on behalf of the majority on issues that involve maintaining public order and safety, or any service involving responsibility to the public. In other words, despite all the signs that people trickle from one generation to the next about the importance of not violating the truth contained in constitutions and laws because the propositions (factuality) included can never be falsified or outwitted, most rulers and political class (judges and law enforcement officers) refuse to put their trust in the advice or counsel included in such fundamental principles, after voluntarily pledging to defend them.

I have no way of knowing how the fittest (rich, rulers, political class, judges, law enforcement officers) conclude that the truth included in constitutions or religious scriptures is relative and not absolute. Nor do I know why they (the fittest) refuse to observe such aforementioned fundamental principles in the same way they do when they go on a safari and keep in mind the guidelines which guides give them to avoid being harmed by an animal. In like manner, I do not know why the weak (poor) refuse to observe the laws (standards, norms, and rules) which the fittest (rich, rulers, political class, judges, law enforcement officers) usually posit, preferring instead to turn against society's rules, and in this way giving the fittest the yearning he needs to keep the social order dynamic under the pretext of doing his constitutional duties.

In my opinion, two scenarios could propel the fittest or the weak to manifest this arrogance, or to question the values that are included in constitutions or religious scriptures. The first is if the intelligence of people is below that of animals and only people do not know that. The

second is if the fittest (rich, rulers, political class, judges, law enforcement officers, etc.) believe that the truth included in constitutions or religious scriptures is not sacred. In other words, because most government officials and others in authority do not experience during their lifetime the same challenges that Saddam Hussein or Muammar Gaddafi dealt with when those two refused to observe the truth that they pledged to uphold when they were sworn into the highest position in those two countries, the individuals who abuse their authority do not believe that their children or their heirs would be defeated later in the same way. This aforementioned statement cannot be false because, until now, no family/dynasty (society, business, nation, kingdom, empire) that prioritized falsehood (wrong) over truth to protect its heirs or its servants managed to prevent its own collapse or theirs.

“If people act rightly, it is for their own good, but if they do wrong, it is to their own loss,” [Surah al-Isra 17:6] this then signifies that the suggestion that the author of the epistle of James made to warn tribes in the diaspora “to ensure that not many of them become teachers, because those who teach will be judged more strictly” [James 3:1] could be weakening the power, status and wealth of the families/dynasties (or societies) who declared themselves victors of WW2 instead of rejuvenating it, if what the author of the epistle of James suggested contained any elements of truth. This is because at the end of World War Two, i.e., in 1945, the families/dynasties (or societies) that declared themselves victors of WW2 decided to be mindful of human rights and ended domination, exploitation, and subjugation. However, as of 1948, peace and security around the world have been deteriorating continually. That is to say, if the suggestion made in the epistle of James is true (that the individuals who would be teaching the truth will be judged more strictly if they do not observe what they are preaching than the individuals who are straight out wrongdoers, fraudsters, lawbreakers, con artists, etc.), then the families/dynasties that control the societies that declared themselves victors of WW2 (i.e., the elites) will suffer the same fate as King Nebuchadnezzar, Pharaoh, the families/dynasties who were controlling the Soviet Union faced in the 1990s, or Saddam Hussein and Muammar Gaddafi endured when they neglected their responsibility to defend the truth and avoid tainting it. Alternatively, their heirs could

confront the same fate just as happened to the son of king Nebuchadnezzar (King Belshazzar), the heirs of the families/dynasties who founded the Soviet Union faced in 1922, or the children of Saddam Hussein in later years, if the constant wars that the world is knowing as of 1948 (the first war after 1945) are because the elites are putting their personal interests ahead of the common interests, or if they are not keeping a tight rein on their servants (governments and international institutions) to avoid that they do it.

The constant wars that have swept the world as of 1948 cannot be motivated by the principal victors of WW2 (the western European kingdoms and republics) or their global policemen (the United States) backing out of their commitment to improving the common good. People do not increase their happiness when stress and chaos are prevalent, but when serenity and order are bestowed. On the other hand, I have no way of knowing if the constant wars that have swept the world as of 1948 are due to the principal victors of WW2 or their global policemen not keeping a tight rein on their servants (i.e., governments, non-state actors and international institutions such as the United Nations, World Bank, European Union, NATO, African Union, IMF, Red Cross, etc.) to take charge, or if the problem is in the international order getting out of control and the wind is tossing it in all directions. What I do know is that at the end of World War Two in 1945, the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) suggested to the principal victors of WW2 (their heirs) and future generations to observe the work program they proposed in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) to avoid that the barbarous acts they lived and which they claimed outraged their conscience repeat (i.e., spin the international order out of control).

For one reason or another, the heirs of the founding fathers of the UN (the elites) have demonstrated since 1945 (i.e., since their fathers passed away) that the appeal (or work program) which their fathers (the old powers) made in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and counselled them to observe to preserve their dominant role, is imperfect. In other words, the elites did not believe that the blueprint or work program that their fathers (the old powers) elaborated in the UN Charter and the UDHR could ultimately develop “a full and complete structure of a world order under law,” as Cordell Hull, an

American diplomat, did put it in 1945, and began to do like any business owner, introducing changes or updates that they conjectured could rejuvenate their power faster. Since 1945, the elites have been adopting political and economic solutions to secure the goals set forth in the preamble of the UN Charter that are the total opposite of what their fathers (the founding fathers of the UN) counselled them to observe to keep their dominant role or to keep on transforming the conditions and outcomes that matter to them (rejuvenate their power).

For example, the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled the elites (i.e., their children) to let the United Nations coordinate their work in UN member states, but their heirs (their children) shifted and expanded the work of the UN, so we now have in each UN member state two legal entities who are disobeying the UN charter and the UDHR. Those two legal entities are governments and the United Nations, along with the rest of the non-state actors and international institutions. Similarly, the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their children (i.e., the elites) to let societies in each UN member state be in charge of defining political, economic and social solutions, but their children (the elites) decided to deviate away from this proposed work program, and preferred having an unlimited number of legal entities (non-state and state actors) in each country provide parameters for every day behavior, including telling people why they should not surrender freedom to their rulers and political class unless outcomes are shared on equal rights.

The power, wealth and status of the elites (i.e., the actual heirs of the old powers) are not any different from the power (glory and splendor) that the Bible suggests King Nebuchadnezzar possessed in antiquity. King Nebuchadnezzar was the king of kings. [Daniel 2:37] In other words, the elites can depose any king or ruler of his wealth, power and status with the blink of an eye as a command. At the same time, it is good to be optimistic about the future, but humans do not even know what will happen tomorrow.

That is also to say, this abnormal dynamism that has swept the world since 1948 is due to the poor vision of the principal victors of WW2 in the first place. This is because all people around the world, including their critical thinkers and servants, are mindful of human rights, social

justice, freedom in the aftermath of WW2, because they are fulfilling the master plan of the principal victors of WW2 and not their own. In other words, if people are not able to cash in on the suggestion of the principal victors of WW2 to prioritize liberal interventionism and self-determination in the aftermath of WW2, then one of two scenarios could be blocking these two forward-looking dogmas from being used as a tool to improve democratic control and strengthen peace and security. The first is that the critical thinkers and servants of the elites are not getting it right about what role they are supposed to be playing to secure the UN goals. The second is if people do not know how to pick between right and wrong. And since, “fish rots from the head down,” the people cannot be blamed if they are not able to pick right from wrong, because it is the critical thinkers of the principal of the victors of WW2 who are picking the policies and not the people. And since the principal victors of WW2 are approving those false visions that their critical thinkers and servants are deluding people’s minds with about how they are making progress to end poverty or social injustice in the world when this is totally false, then there is a good chance that those false teachers (the critical thinkers and servants) will be setting them (the elites and the superclass) to confront the same fate that happened to the families/dynasties that before WW2 believed they were invincible but later discovered that they were insignificant.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the critical thinkers and servants of the elites are preaching about ending poverty or social injustice in the world while pursuing the realization of the full development of their personalities at the same time. In other words, it is not possible to end poverty or social injustice when everyone is freezing social space, accumulating material things on a cumulative basis, and then all the material things that a person accumulates get transferred to designated individuals upon his death. In addition, the reason why the number of inter-state and intra-state wars shrank as of 1945 could not be due to the policies of the critical thinkers of the elites improving peace and security, but because people distrusted their rulers and their policies. In other words, the rise in people’s awareness makes them recognize that it is not worth it to lay down their own lives, so their rulers get their way despite the resistance of others.

Indeed, the anarchy that has swept the world after the elites decided to deviate away from the work program set forth in the UN Charter and the UDHR is not the first time that mankind has dealt with such unwarranted circumstances. What would be happening for the first time, though, if the chaos that has swept the world continued to not let go, would be the specific events that would be ensuing, or the accompanying transformations. Evidently, it would be those specific events or transformations that the elites will need to stay on top of to maximize their chance and avoid that their effect resizes them or reverts them to a simpler form if their critical thinkers and servants are picking wrong over right since 1945, and the suggestion that the author of the epistle of James made about how those who become teachers, become judged more strictly if they know the good they ought to do and do not do it is a curse that contains elements of truth.

To put it differently, Heraclitus, a pre-Socratic philosopher, suggested that “no man ever steps in the same river twice, for it's not the same river and he's not the same man.” If the claim that Heraclitus made about how in life a person cannot go back, and everything is constantly changing even if things are looking the same, then if the elites happen to know that their critical thinkers and servants are not leading by example, as the UN charter and the UDHR suggest that people in positions of authority are only doing as of 1945, and they (the principal of WW2) are not doing anything to stop it, it may not be possible for them (the principal of WW2) to make people be ready to easily stay with them irrespective of the good or evil that they or their servants would be propelling. And hence, the elites and their critical thinkers need to become capable of knowing what people need, even if people might not know they need it yet to keep their dominant role, if people around the world perceive that they (the elites) are misleading them, then it would be very difficult for the elites to make people not impugn (question) their integrity.

Humans were not granted the right to change their future or to decide outcomes before they occurred. That is to say, I have no way of knowing if this abnormal rise in animosity and disregard for values (breaching rules, standards) that has engulfed the world in recent years, especially by rulers and the political class (judges and law enforcement agents), will continue to reek the international order with

egregious bias and impunity until it snaps. What I do know is that if the world is to become engulfed in a new global war in the future and all the world population perishes with the exception of two people (i.e., the principal victors of WW2 and one other person), this development would not result in any outcome that would be any different than the one which happened at the end of WW2. In other words, this one (1) person who would have survived and the elites would have no other choice but to update the statements which our predecessors made about how the barbarous acts which they lived have outraged their conscience, and together pledge to be mindful to promote human rights so both can enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want. If the elites and this one (1) person who would have survived do not chart a future with the same aims suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR, it would not be possible for the elites to resolve the problem of the division of labor.

Indeed, the decision of the elites to let disregard and chaos rise in the world in the aftermath of WW2 instead of shrinking it as their fathers (the old powers) suggested they be devoted to doing confirms the elites' decision to extend the strategies that their fathers (the old powers) were adopting instead of benefiting from its lessons.

To put it differently, our predecessors have throughout history converted the idea of good (truth, human rights, freedom, democracy, social justice) into a game that is not any different from what happens when children play arcade games. Most arcade games are considered games of skill. A game of skill or game of wits is a game "where the outcome is determined mainly by mental or physical skill rather than chance. In addition, in a game of skill, the fittest is usually the most likely to win. However, upsets are also known to occur. For example, in the animal kingdom, when a lion fights against a snake or a hyena, the majority perceive that the lion will win, but in reality, the truth can be different. Not only snakes or hyenas defeated lions, but also smaller, tiny creatures such as bacteria or viruses.

That is to say, until 1945, only a limited number of legal entities (governments, churches, social organizations, etc.) were devoted to exterminating "evil" (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the world. The founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled the elites

to unite in strength in the aftermath of WW2 to create conditions that would shrink the number of legal entities that perceive that the world is maladaptive. However, instead of the elites doing as per what the old powers (i.e., their fathers) counselled them, which is to let only governments intervene in matters that are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state, they invited non-state actors and international institutions such as the United Nations, IMF, World Bank, NATO, EU, AU, ASEAN, OAS, etc. to enlist the world population to all unite to exterminate “evil” (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the world. In other words, what is happening in the world today is equivalent to a scenario that is analogous to a river bed that in the aftermath of WW2 (in 1945) had a gentle stream flowing in it, and instead of the elites keeping this confine flowing with the same volume of flow rates, they (the elites) seeded the cloud as societies in arid areas do to create new sources of water and increase green space. The precipitation they (the elites) created did make a great difference in the lives of the people who live near this river bed. However, the quantity of rainwater that their cloud-seeding strategy generated flooded the river bed. That is to say, instead of continuing to have, as was the case in 1945, a few legal entities (governments, churches, social organizations, etc.) devoted to exterminating “evil” (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the world, we now have the entire world population doing it. In other words, we have close to 8 billion people who are all considering the idea of good (truth, human rights, freedom, democracy, social justice) as an arcade game. That is to say, we have today close to 8 billion people who are all fixated on reverting the principal victors of WW2 to a simpler form, just as children who play arcade games become fixated on defeating the antagonists to move to the next level or to beat the game. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, if, as I said earlier, the people who know the good they ought to do and do not do it could be judged more strictly, then if the dynamism which the world is knowing as of 1948 is due to the elites or their servants not leading by example as the UN charter and the UDHR suggest, they may not be able to avoid their collapse and reversal to a simpler form if the entire world population are all doing what children do when they are playing arcade games, framing their failures and focusing on what they should be doing differently next time to exterminate “evil” (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the

world. In other words, drive them (the elites) out of their power, wealth and status.

To give an example, a new king was ordained not too long ago in Great Britain. The British royal family hopes that the visions that the new king will bring will make citizens stay dazed and, most importantly, stay ready to preserve this hierarchical structure, which helps this royal family have greater happiness, freedom or mates than others. That is to say, the British royal family can only stay in power if citizens agree to hold their hands and step into the boulder, which they (the British family) pick and say is safe for everyone to jump into in this dynamic river that everyone is flowing in its meandering path. Can the British royal family avoid becoming resized if all the citizens with whom they are holding hands to move from one boulder to the next are all framing their failures and improving their footing to exterminate “evil” (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the world (i.e., to defeat and reverse the British monarchy to a simpler form).

I have no way of knowing if the British royal family would be capable of continuing to lead Great Britain as Moses managed to do when the people he was migrating to a promised land were letting their confirmation bias play tricks on them and making them cherry pick the evidence that supports their beliefs. What I do know is that in the Bible, it is narrated that Moses was judged more strictly when he refused to put his trust in the truth that he was preaching. And so, if whenever a person turns away from the truth, it is possible to be slumped insidiously without the person in question even noticing that the other people with whom this individual is making them meander after him are leveling the playing field, then the British royal family could be standing on a wobbling rock if their citizens are keeping their adolescent visions of grandeur and their dreams to exterminate “evil” (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the world alive.

That is to say, for the British royal family (or the elites) to stop citizens from displacing them, they need to be making people in this country change motion in the same way objects do it in a fluidized air bed instead of in actual water or a river bed. A fluidized bed is a “physical phenomenon that occurs when a solid particulate substance (usually present in a holding vessel) is under the right conditions so that it

behaves like a fluid.” In other words, in this type of medium, the solid particulate substance possesses the ability to free-flow under gravity or be pumped, depending on the rate at which air is pumped into the bed. For example, when the flow of air is stopped, things freeze exactly where they are (and fall if they are floating). This behavior does not occur in rivers because water is a substance that continually deforms unless acted upon by a shear force. And so since governance is not about creating a balance like a tug of war, but about making the weakest be ready to consider the opinion of the fittest, then we can say that the decision of the elites to be in favor of deviating away from the work program which the founding fathers counselled them to be adopting to keep their dominant role and to make people in the aftermath of WW2 start to swim in real rivers instead of sand rivers, cannot be a good plan to help the different western European monarchs or republics to preserve their continuity (i.e., pass their sovereign power to their children) if people around the world are united to exterminate “evil” (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the world (i.e., revert their wealth, power, and status to a simpler form). Can the elites and the superclass shift the way people are fixated on exterminating “evil” (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the world (i.e., reverting the wealth, power, and status of the different families/dynasties that control western European monarchs or republics to a simpler form) after those same elites and superclass enlisted their critical thinkers (political and military theorists, mathematicians, physicists, priests, philosophers, and historians) and servants (international institutions such as the United Nations) to teach people around the world to start to question the values, the motives, and the judgment of public officials and others in authority?

For example, when teenagers in the middle of the 20th century began to defy adult restrictions, parents were not imagining that their children would be turning against society's rules. In other words, parents were not imagining that with the arrival of rock-and-roll, it would be impossible for them to separate their children from such a new trend (cannabis, sexual immorality, improper dress, poor study habits, etc.) or that their children would accept this counterculture that mankind has not been able to stop its impact from further distancing or separating teenagers from politeness and discipline till today. And so, if the rulers and political class who were in the middle of the 20th

century controlling the international order were incapable of stopping rock-and-roll from making millions of young people turn against society's rules despite being far superior in might (wiser, intelligence) than the case today, can the elites and their critical thinkers make people around the world turn against the work program which they laid out in the UN charter and the UDHR and depend on them to keep their dominant role intact after they gave people (the poor) what they have been waiting all their lives for? This is how to exterminate "evil" (injustice, poverty, exploitation) from the world so outcomes can be shared on equal rights.

I have no way of knowing how the elites and their critical thinkers plan to make newer generations shift the way people have been curving in all directions to curb (converge) them and to make them obey them, when the majority of the policies that they have approved in the last 75 years to make newborns (new people) consider their opinion before taking action of their own, its outcome have proved them (the principal victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers) wrong. And so, the question that begs answers cannot be about knowing if the elites and their critical thinkers could avoid falling pursuant to their decision to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN laid out in the UN charter or the UDHR and counselled them to observe to keep their dominant role, because we know from history that all the rulers who were on the same path have fallen already. That is to say, we know that it is not possible for a person in real life to not fall or run out of breadth when he goes fast. And so, in this context, a more pertinent question could be figuring out if the world would be better off if the elites and superclass (i.e., the families/dynasties who are playing the deputy of God role on earth as of 1945) were resized and new families/dynasties took over and started to play their role, or would the world be worse off?

It is important that readers keep in mind that the elites are not only sprinting with the idea of good (truth, human rights, freedom, democracy, social justice) in their hands as of 1945 in the same way runners in relay races carry a baton and run, but as of the start of the 21st century, they are running with the idea of good just as happens in an arcade game when a player collects an item (object) that powers his character up and makes him run faster. In other words, the speed at

which the false teachers (critical thinkers and servants) of the elites are using the idea of good to rival instead of reduce fear is growing exponentially, with no sign of letting go. In this context, it is impossible to suppose how the elites cannot ultimately fall down, when they need to stay leading the pack (looking forward) and avoid crashing into obstacles and barriers and oncoming ones, but at the same time, they (the elites) need to be looking backward (i.e., running after the bad guys who are running in all directions, and the careening that they would be doing to stop them can make them hit obstacles and crash).

Indeed, the WW2 war aims that were suggested in the UN charter demonstrate that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) had fully grasped the impacts of creating a balance between truth and falsehood despite having been reluctant to accept such ideas before. The decision of the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) to recognize the importance of investing to seek treasures in heaven instead of just continuing on amassing and storing treasures here on earth [Matthew 6:19], helps God (or mother nature) to not meddle as much on earth in order to bring back the truth to false ratio to equilibrium.

It is important that readers keep in mind that God (or Mother Nature) left every individual the choice of behaving in a moral or immoral way and did not ask people to create hierarchical structures to create ordered relations. This project to create balance between right and wrong in the aftermath of WW2 is an initiative that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) engineered, and it is not a task that God (or mother nature) commissioned. God (or mother nature) does not want that people morph hierarchical ordered relations that make people meet their social needs through using governments (i.e., militarization) as the principal solution to all social problems. If God (or mother nature) wanted that people work and live together in the same way some animal species do it (ants, bees, etc.), He (it) would have made people establish relations in this same way and spared mankind from the hassle of creating order, particularly since everything else around us seems to be highly ordered except human relations.

In addition, it is important that readers keep in mind that most people support the creation of such a balance between right and wrong because there are no other relational principles that people could pick to interrelate with one another based on their premise (axioms) to create such a balance besides the work program specified in the UDHR and the UN Charter. In other words, the relational principles set forth in the UN Charter or the UDHR are the end of the line, just as the last station in a subway line is the end of the line in such a public transportation network system. If people continue to reject to interrelate based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter or the UDHR, the world would have no choice but to relapse and go back to reestablishing relations based on pre-1945 political standards. This also means that it is incumbent on each one of us to protect the superclass (i.e., the principal victors of WW2), because if we lose this investment that they have made in the idea of freedom in the aftermath of WW2, mankind could be doomed. In other words, mankind would be ravaged with wrath (corruption, disregard, betrayal) unheard of in the history of the world. This is because a person was not given power to change the height between two points but power to change the length (the run). In other words, it is up to us to pick the height to which we want to elevate our tolerance, values, morality, awareness, knowledge, etc. to reach. And it is up to us if we want to make the distance to get to whichever height we fix short or long.

Put differently, because everyone is ready to accept that the principles (axioms) set forth in the UN charter or the UDHR are the only parameters that can help mankind to reduce fear and want, and we do know already that there is no family/dynasty (society, business, nation, kingdom) that its members are, as of 1945, observing the principles set forth in the UN charter or the UDHR so we could say that if those new families/dynasties (societies, businesses, nations, kingdoms) take over the world would be better off, then we cannot say that there are families/dynasties (societies, businesses, nations, kingdoms) who are more qualified to preserve the ideas (axioms) suggested in the UN charter or the UDHR than the principal victors of WW2. And so, if we cannot say that today there are “equals” to the superclass (i.e., the old powers) to preserve the milestones which our predecessors secured in mankind’s ongoing search to improve equal rights to the principal victors of WW2, then the more those families/dynasties become

discouraged and feel that their power, wealth and status are getting threatened, the more they would be in favor of going back to amassing and storing treasures here on earth instead of in heaven to avoid that they become resized. Therefore, the question that once again begs answers is: how can we be sure that the superclass (i.e., the principal victors of WW2) could manage to avoid their collapse or resizing if the servants on whom they are depending on their wisdom to stay in power are, as of 1945, ready to surrender freedom voluntarily to the guidelines that are enforced when they go on a safari, but when it comes to observing the instructions set forth in the UN charter or the UDHR, they all want to be equal to their masters? In other words, if people do not know what will happen tomorrow, and till now no family/dynasty (society, business, nation, kingdom, empire) managed to prevent its collapse when it disobeyed truth or allowed its servants to disobey truth, then there is a good chance that all the plans that the superclass are making about what they would be eating and drinking in the future (or their heirs doing) will not materialize if God (mother nature) works insidiously and without those who are harming truth (human rights, freedom, democracy, social justice) becoming aware of this happening.

Then again, I have no way of knowing how the elites are concluding that if they let their servants regard themselves as exempt from observing the UN charter or the UDHR, such bad taste could still create the ordered relations that they are seeking when they (the elites) are well aware that such disregard could undo their efforts to rejuvenate their power. That is to say, sparing them (the elites) from stumbling on the same chaos that many rulers and kings confronted when they permitted to let their servants (chiefs, judges, law enforcement officers, public servants, accountants, etc.) regard themselves above the law. What I do know is that if God has not communicated to the elites and their critical thinkers (political and military theorists, mathematicians and historians) that the Israelites are no longer his chosen people in the aftermath of WW2 and it is instead them, then there is only one rule on which the elites could be depending to lead them into believing that they are invincible and/or that their servants would not betray them. This rule applies simply if the elites and their critical thinkers believe that they could, by design (i.e., through the intermediary of technology and through adding more

laws such as standards, norms, rules) make it difficult for people around the world to withdraw their obligation to obey.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the more rules (standards and norms) a society posits and enforces, the more its social order becomes authoritarian, and the fewer rules (standards and norms) a society posits and enforces, the more its social order becomes free. The challenges that are preventing the critical thinkers (political and military theorists, mathematicians and historians) of the elites from creating the right balance are the unpreparedness of the superclass to scrutinize the policies approved and hold their servants (governments and international institutions such as the United Nations) accountable instead of choosing to get into bed with them and to protect them so they do not betray them.

The problem is that laws (standards, norms, rules) work like a dam or a constriction in the natural world. Rivers, for example, move not just water but sediments as well. When engineers build a dam to control Mother Nature, they force sediments to accumulate on the upstream side of the dam and force the water that overflows from the crest of the dam to erode and scour the sediments that exist on the downstream side of the dam. That is to say, because the elites and their critical thinkers are letting their servants (governments and international institutions such as the United Nations) regard themselves exempt from observing the UN charter or the UDHR, it is not possible to stop them from accumulating and creating damages at those public legal entities or institutions which we need them to be free, so we do not create the same problems that sediment accumulation upstream of a dam creates (cause the storage area to contract), or from expanding erosion on the downstream side, because it is not possible to stop the water that spills from a dam from creating erosion, if no sediments are flowing with the water from the upstream side. In other words, it is not possible to expect citizens to observe the political order if rulers and the political class are not leading by example and are upstream, regarding themselves as exempt from observing the UN charter or the UDHR, and using this violence-wielding apparatus, which we call government, to control its violence to serve their own benefit (to legitimize laws), and then direct its violence at others (push the laws on others).

I have no way of knowing for how long more rulers and the political class are planning on continuing to be devoted to adding new laws, or reciting the Laws of Moses differently. What I do know is that since mankind increased the number of laws that newborns (new people) should learn above ten (10), mankind has not stopped wandering. Likewise, I have no way of knowing if the challenges that Moses and his servants (chiefs, judges, law enforcement agents, public servants, accountants, etc.) dealt with when they were heading to the promised land (i.e., lost the compass and began to wander for 40 years) were due to having legitimized and enforced laws that are above and beyond the initial ten that the bible suggests God proposed, or because those predecessors were like the elites and their critical thinkers today doubting that they would be judged strictly as the families/dynasties who were controlling the Soviet Union in modern history lived to tell. What I do know is that Moses and his critical thinkers (priests, philosophers, mathematicians, physicists, military historians, etc.) could not have found the right track back to the promised land had it not been for starting to enforce the laws that God suggested, including keeping a tight rein on how many new laws their servants (rulers, political class, judges and law enforcement agents) could posit and enforce, something that the elites have refused to do since 1945. In other words, the elites refuse to tame the tongue of their servants before taming the tongue of citizens, including stopping those servants from practicing favoritism or discrimination while suggesting that they are defending meritocracy. And so, if, in principle, it would not be possible for the elites and their critical thinkers to get mankind on the right track to reach their new promised land version until they start to have their critical thinkers pick practical policies instead of utopic (theoretical), and their servants stop polluting and corrupting youth and begin to observe the work program set in the UN Charter and the UDHR, we can say then that the elites and their critical thinkers would have no other choice to keep their dominant role intact than to practice the same strategies that happen whenever some erratic drivers take police on a wild chase.

When a police patrol instructs a motorist to stop and the latter flees, the motorist perceives that if he speeds and makes the right moves real quick to elude the police, he can get away. The driver in question does

not factor in that the officers who are chasing him are doing it with helicopters, dogs, radios, and lots of people, and that they are all prepared to do whatever it takes to get him. In other words, when we add all the numbers that would be stacked against this motorist who is being pursued, only the ones who the gods are helping to blur the vision of the cops during the chase can run away. And so, if the rulers and political class who do wrong persuade citizens to be tailgating them in the same way cops who are driving chasing a fleeing motorist do it to stop him, can the elites prevent their collapse if they continue to let their critical thinkers legitimize utopic (theoretical) policies instead of practical, and their servants regard themselves exempt from observing the UN charter or the UDHR (i.e., lead by example)? This is because if kingdoms (empires) could preserve their realm except through the intermediary of servants who are like Joseph in the book of Genesis or Daniel in the book of Daniel, then the Neo-Babylonian empire would not have collapsed, nor would the Achaemenid Persian Empire, Greek Empire, Roman Empire, Soviet Union, etc.

That is also to say, if the relative wealth and military superiority of nations, kingdoms or empires are not criteria to prevent the collapse of the families/dynasties who rule or control such realms, then there is a good chance that the principal victors of WW2 (i.e., the superclass) will pay dearly for their decision to let their critical thinkers and servants regard themselves exempt from observing the work program that the founding fathers of the UN (their fathers) suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and counselled them (the elites) to observe to avoid having their power, status and wealth be stripped from them and handed to new families/dynasties.

Indeed, the constant wars that the world has known since 1948 signify that the elites are not taking pride in their high positions or responsibilities. Their poor vision, and in particular the poor vision of their critical thinkers, made the international order appear to be like a ship that the waves or the wind are tossing in all directions. Only the animals—birds, reptiles, and sea creatures—are not suggesting to the elites solutions in the aftermath of WW2 on how to improve peace and security in the world after their fathers and grandfathers showed that they were not lacking anything. And so the question that beg answers after the elites considered that if they depend on “economic” critical

thinkers (priests, philosophers, mathematicians, physicists, military historians, etc.), instead of on “political” critical thinkers they could preserve their dominant role better, can the elites (i.e., the superclass in particular) continue to make people be ready to be in favor of letting them have greater say in governance, after their “economic” critical thinkers dispatched their servants to teach people to not surrender freedom to them (the powerful families/dynasties), or to stay in group with them (the powerful families/dynasties) unless they (the powerful families/dynasties) share outcomes with people around the world on equal rights? In other words, can the principal victors of WW2 (i.e., the superclass) continue to get their way despite the resistance of others after their “economic” critical thinkers caused the international order to convert from being a gold bar in 1945 into Lego blocks in 2023, or in a matter of 75 years?

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the superclass (and the elites) plan to avoid having their power, wealth and status fade away as they go about their business after they doubted the power of the work program proposed in the UN Charter and the UDHR as a tool to keep their dominant role. And so the question that begs answers after they (the superclass) allowed the elites and their “economic” critical thinkers and servants to corrode the international order is: can anything good come out of delaying engulfing the world in a new global war to create a new reset or from having them (the superclass and the elites) continue to play the role of the deputy of God on earth if, since 1945, they have shown so far that they do not want to assume this responsibility?

Said differently, if when the Achaemenid Persian Empire replaced the Neo-Babylonian Empire, or the Roman Empire replaced the Greek Empire, or the United Nations replaced the League of Nations, peace and security did not improve in the world but became worse, can anything good still come out of continuing to preach to people about democracy and equal rights when no UN member state is practically observing the UN Charter or the UDHR?

"Wouldn't It Be Nice" is a song by the American rock band the Beach Boys. The lyrics describe “a young couple fantasizing about the romantic freedom they would earn as adults”. In the last verse of the

song, the lyrics says” the more we talk about it (freedom), it only makes it worse to live without it.” Wouldn’t it be nice if the elites would stop talking about freedom, democracy and equal rights, and go back to practicing authoritarianism if the more their servants talk about it (freedom) “it only makes it worse for people to live without it”? When people around the world go back to living without freedom, the elites can stop to look around at the bits of what was once the international order, and start to focus on salvaging their power, wealth and status. This is because if people around the world continue to wake up trying to reconstruct their future out of the salvage of yesterday, then there is a good chance that they will recognize that there are perhaps other options to increase their happiness than the snippets of truth that the elites are giving people around the world to make them stay with them (the elites). This is very serious and worrisome. This is because stitching leaves scars, and many times the tear (or repair) does not heal the wound (the reconciliation) beneath the surface of the skin (in the heart), thus keeping the inclination and evil thoughts that reside in the heart bubbling. That is to say, it is best to keep objects together (as a whole) all the time. And so if the elites and their critical thinkers continue to adopt policies into the future that make people be devoted as they have been doing since 1945 to tearing the political order and then stitching it back together to create a whole, can the elites weep in the future over the same reason for which the legend narrates Alexander the Great was doing it (i.e., he did not have any more worlds to conquer), or would the superclass (or elites) be weeping for ending up with no power, wealth or status to salvage if people continue to distrust them more?

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the elites and their critical thinkers will ever stop letting their confirmation bias (or naive optimism) block their vision and prevent them from seeing further than their nose, thus starting to see right. In other words, starting to set things right, so people could start to see, in the future, change they could believe in, instead of hearing and reading statements about how the world is getting safer and richer, when everything that people are seeing supports the opposite. That is to say, stop building expectations or telling people about how we are all going to be driving Mercedes’ when more than half of the world’s population is living without access to safe water supply, sanitation, or hygiene. What I do know is that

aircraft can take off against the wind or in the same direction as the wind, but in practice, aircraft take off against the wind because headwinds help generate lift quickly.

That is to say, I have no way of knowing if the decision of the elites to let their critical thinkers rejuvenate their power by going in the same direction of the wind (deepening wars, division, betrayal, corruption) instead of in the opposite direction (i.e., truth, justice, deepening morality, righteousness, religiosity, etc.) is helping them to generate quick lifting or not. In other words, if this aforementioned strategy is curving their wealth, power and status in the same way aeronautical engineers can curve the space shuttle up, or if it is curving it down instead (their wealth, power, and status). What I do know is that when the fathers of the principal victors of WW2 (the old powers) allowed the rulers and political class in the United States to go against the wind from 1776 to 1945, this country managed to make citizens lift off (i.e., get rid of their distinction) much faster than their counterparts in western Europe and the rest of the world who were trying to do the same thing but while going in the same direction of the wind, and the turbulence they were generating was curving them down all the time. And so, once again, the question that begs answers is very simple and straightforward. How are the elites and their critical thinkers concluding that if they go in the same direction as the wind (raising geopolitical tension, inequality and global insecurity), the bottleneck that this strategy creates will produce the same outcomes that their fathers (the old powers) obtained in the aftermath of WW1 or WW2 (i.e., the victor in both wars), when no one can know what will happen tomorrow? Not to mention, the elites know very well that the more citizens around the world become disenchanted, the more bottlenecks such a crippling effect creates, leading to wars all the time.

Once again, I have no way of knowing why the elites are deriving that it is much better for them to rejuvenate their power by going in the same direction as the wind (raising geopolitical tension, inequality and global insecurity) when it is so much easier to achieve this goal just by going where they know works every single time. What I do know is that pilots avoid flying aircraft through clouds because the turbulence can be extreme enough inside to tear an aircraft into pieces.

Said differently, humans were not granted the right to control change. In other words, people have no way of knowing if the exploration that they are doing is improving or shrinking their power, wealth and status. The way people preserve their power, wealth and status is exactly the same way people who are blind do it, or submarines underwater and aircraft inside clouds. For example, blind people do not have vision that is usable for discerning objects or travel paths. Blind people make choices by relying on guides such as humans and animals when they are traveling with someone or on auditory and physical and electronic aids when they are traveling independently. When submarines are underwater or an aircraft is inside clouds, the navigators of those two objects rely simply on auditory and physical and electronic aids to know how their position is changing with respect to time.

I have no way of knowing if the elites are relying on human guides, physical and electronic objects, or both to know how their power, wealth and status are changing with respect to time. What I do know is that the rejuvenation of their (the elites) power, wealth and status is a function of both.

To give an example, when a submarine is submerged, the crew and equipment that are on board are changing positions or moving together as one. In other words, the crew and equipment are not like the fish who are staying on the outside of the submarine, all changing positions or moving in different directions. That is to say, if the elites want to continue to have a greater say in governance, they need to make the fish who are swimming in all directions on the outside of the submarine agree to swim in the same direction the submarine is heading, not the crew who are onboard. And so the question that challenges ingenuity is not about knowing if this repelling political attitude that the elites and their critical thinkers are adapting could make the fish (or people) be attracted to them or not, because we know the answer to that. The question is, how are the critical thinkers of the elites concluding that it would be possible for them to continue to get their masters their ways despite the resistance of others if it is not the people or the instruments that make a submarine change position but the rudder, and if it is not the crew who are inside the submarine who

have the upper hand on how the rudder holds up underwater but the fish (the poor)?

Put differently, safari guides suggest that apex animals do not attack safari vehicles with people, because safari vehicles are large and unfamiliar to apex predators, and they do not see them as prey. However, safari guides also suggest that despite apex predators becoming habituated to the presence of safari vehicles and thus not reacting aggressively towards them, they are still wild animals and can be unpredictable. Most importantly, safari guides warn people in safari vehicles about the dangers of feeding lions, tigers, crocodiles, elephants, etc., or of threatening them or inciting actions that might provoke or agitate such powerful and fierce animals. And so the question that challenges ingenuity is once again simple and straightforward. How did the elites and their critical thinkers, in particular, conclude that humans are not like animals capable of appearing to be calm and relaxed, but when they are fed, threatened, provoked, agitated, etc., they do not become any different than wild animals? In this context, how did the elites and their critical thinkers conclude that if they deviated away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to observe to keep their dominant role in 1945 and began to distance people from being their servants to being their partners, that people would not prey on them in the same way hyenas, or snakes prey on lions?

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the elites and their critical thinkers, concluded that they could take people away from their habituation, and make people habituated to driverless cars, automatic stairways, and automatic can openers and still be ready to consider their opinion before taking action on their own, when mankind has not established yet if humans are beasts or not, and even God, who many people believe created man, says in the Bible that he repented having created this living creature.

In my opinion, two scenarios could be propelling the elites and their critical thinkers into thinking that they can continue to transform the conditions that matter to them without following the guidelines and instructions that their fathers (the old powers) gave them in the UN

Charter and the UDHR. The first is if they believe they are invincible. The second is if their deeds are appealing to them.

I have no way of knowing if technology (artificial intelligence, cloning, DNA insertion or deletion, etc.) can make people ready to voluntarily surrender freedom to the elites in the future and to live without freedom as most people around the world are living presently, with the exception of the Americans (benefiting from freedom to improve morality) and the Lebanese in particular (benefiting from freedom to improve chaos). What I do know is that until artificial intelligence becomes self sufficient, such tools (instruments) will require operators.

Accordingly, I have no way of knowing who the elites are enabling or grooming to be their operators. What I do know is that the old powers (the fathers of the principal victors of WW2) suggested that the United States play the role of global policemen of the world and the United Nations play the role of coordinator in the aftermath of WW2.

Indeed, the United States is the only nation whose citizens showed understanding before 1945 and until the collapse of the Soviet Union in the 1990s that stood the test. That is to say, this country, despite having been used by the old powers as a weapon of war during WW2 and being entangled in two major wars (Korea and Vietnam) from 1945 to the 1990s, its rulers and political class showed the right perseverance and managed to make citizens continue to do right and to love their neighbor as they love themselves. However, as of the 1990s, the rulers and political class in the United States began to more and more show that judgment is triumphing over truth and not the other way around. And so, if, as the start of the 21st century, it has become very difficult to easily perceive that this operator (i.e., the United States) is managing to provide global leadership to stop all the fish (the rest of the UN member states) from continuing to perceive and demand that the world become rebalanced or stop from being unipolar and to become multipolar, after rulers and political class in this country began to make choices that makes it appear as if they have been corrupted or polluted by the world, then we cannot expect that this operator (the United States) can succeed at curving the international order even if they go against the wind (improving

morality and righteousness) or in the same direction as the wind (raising geopolitical tension, inequality and global insecurity). In other words, the United States is incapable of rebuilding its public image in the near future to the level it was before the start of the 21st century, thus making people around the world love the elites and be ready to lay their own lives ahead of them so they do not become resized and new families/dynasties start to play their role.

In like manner, it is impossible to suppose that the primary formal intergovernmental international organization named United Nations, which the old powers (the fathers of the principal victors of WW2) created in the aftermath of WW2 and asked their children (the principal victors of WW2) to use to coordinate their work in UN member states, would be used to teach people to be quick to listen, slow to speak, and slow to become angry, after this operator was converted into becoming an economic operator, and as of 1945 its staffs, are preaching to people about the importance of improving equal rights, democracy, social justice, while they are pursuing the full development of their personalities.

In fact, this spike in intolerance or moral filth that emerged in the world as of 1945 is mainly due to all the corruption, disregard and contempt that the United Nations and international institutions added after those legal entities became economic operators and 'began adding fuel to the fire' instead of putting out the fire that was already burning in the hearts of people.

As the above shows, the two principal operators (i.e., the United States and the United Nations) do not appear to be anymore, i.e., in the near future, viable options ahead of the superclass (elites) to make people around the world be ready to fear them or trust them, thus consequently creating this pollination, without which it would not be possible for them (the elites) to make people be ready to stay in group with them.

Accordingly, it appears that the choices that are ahead of the elites to keep their dominant role intact in the near future boil down to two scenarios if they continue to believe that the project that they are pursuing to rejuvenate their power since 1945 is better than the project

(work program) that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) gave them its blueprint and counselled them to observe to keep their dominant role. The first is to engulf the world in a global war to create a new reset. The second is to continue to little by little slide the world (the international order) into authoritarianism under the pretext that all the stringent laws that are being enforced are to defend the principles and institutions of democracy and open societies.

It is important that readers note that the right corrective measure to restabilize the international order (i.e., put right something that is wrong) can only be to resolve existing non-conformities if the elites are not programming to rely in the near future on any game of chance (i.e., global war, famine, epidemics, or to let the international order hit rock bottom) to generate outcomes that they believe would not have any bearing on the maintenance of their strength. This corrective action is to stop the political deterioration of the United States (i.e., the only custodian of the idea of freedom) and to have this country play the role of global policeman, which its rulers and political class have been prevented from playing since 1945. Most importantly, resizing the United Nations (with all the international institutions) and returning this organization so it starts to play the coordination role as suggested by the founding fathers of the UN.

On the other hand, since the elites (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) are, as of the start of the ongoing Ukrainian-Russian conflict, taking sides and arming one side over the other, including not minding that the global policemen of the world (the United States) take sides as well despite the UN charter prohibiting such actions, we can say that the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2 is now in the basket of history, where previous similar milestones are also shelved. In other words, the elites (or superclass) and their critical thinkers believe that resorting to war to resolve conflicts instead of settling disputes through negotiation and arbitration, as the UN charter suggests, is more profitable to help them keep their dominant role.

I have no way of knowing if the elites and their critical thinkers are thinking foolishly (wrong) or right by defying what the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled them not to do in the

aftermath of WW2 (i.e., take sides during conflicts) to keep their dominant role. Evidently, if the elites (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) believe that it is not important to think sensibly or correctly, as their fathers (the old powers) suggested to them that they should be doing all the time in the aftermath of WW2, then one of two scenarios could be persuading the elites to believe that they are mightier than the kingdoms that collapsed when their rulers underestimated their adversaries (the human heart). The first is if the elites and their critical thinkers believe that they learned all the tricks in the books to help them hover people in place, whereby some people would be sinking and others floating, as happens in a fluidized bed when different objects with different volumes and densities react to force. The second is if the naivete of the elites and their critical thinkers about what the human heart is capable of doing is pushing them to the point of thinking that they can create equilibrium in the same way a magnetic levitation train works.

Once again, I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforementioned two could be propelling the elites and their critical thinkers to doubt the power of the work program set forth in the UN Charter and the UDHR to rejuvenate their power, preferring instead to convert the international order into a game of chance or a game of skill, knowing that no matter how many skills or strategies a person can bring to the table to increase his chances of winning, there is a good chance that the outcomes may not end up where a person was originally aiming.

In other words, I do not know how the elites and their critical thinkers are concluding that they can make people around the world love them and be ready to stay with them when Newton's 3rd law tells us that a person can only push on something as hard as it can resist the push, or people were not granted the right to create balance and not fall off except when they bend over, which is also borderline because when people bend, they expose themselves.

Obviously, no matter what type of political choices the elites are relying on to rejuvenate their power (i.e., domination, wars, religiosity, democracy, liberalism, socialism, divide and rule, etc.), it would be necessary that the operators (governments and international

institutions) who they would be depending on their wisdom to make things fall by design the way they want be ready to stand firm to defend them (the principal victors of WW2) in the same way skeet shooter are able to predict where the plate that they want to break is located in space, and then move the bullet to that predicted location (or as a mother lion defend her cubs and not flee away), and not as per the way the church is defending the teaching of Jesus especially as of 1945 (i.e., by preaching to people about how to get rid of envy and selfishness from synagogue and churches, instead of doing it in people's homes as the disciples of Jesus were doing in the early days of Christianity).

Indeed, the scientific advances that have been made in recent years make it possible to predict the location of objects in space with great accuracy. However, technology has not been able to predict what a person is thinking about yet. And hence, if it is the brain that sends signals to the body to act and not technology, then the way people perceive external change (what they hear and see) would continue to have influence on the changes that people propel in the near future.

The idiom "line in the sand" is an expression that means establishing limits. Tolerance, stress, etc. are all parameters that measure the ability of objects to endure before shearing. I have no way of knowing the extent of the limits (borderlines) that the elites and their critical thinkers are working within their boundaries, to determine that if people's emotions or perceptions exceed them, it would be necessary for them (the elites) to give the go-ahead to crush the impulsivity of those who are feeling uncertainty about how they should see themselves. In other words, to stop those who are peddling that it is possible to share outcomes on equal rights to destabilize the elites, such as non-state actors and international institutions in particular. What I do know is that should the elites continue to be relying on force (wars, sanctions, assassinations, divide and rule, etc.) to slow down this rise in desires, intolerance, etc. that has swept the world in recent years, it would be necessary that the individuals (societies, nations, kingdoms) who they would be expecting to be laying down their own lives for them (i.e., defending them) be bringing to them all the plunder and spoils that they would be amassing in the same way the soldiers who were going to war to defeat the societies who were

preventing Moses and the Israelites from getting to the promised land were doing in antiquity. The Bible states that all the soldiers (commanders, officers, sergeants, comrades in arms, etc.) brought all the spoils and plunder to Moses and Eleazar the priest [Numbers 31:12] and were not taking that loot and investing it in off-shore companies or buying real estate and companies in foreign countries. In other words, the individuals who were laying their own lives to help the project of Moses and Eleazar succeed (or until the Israelites reach the promised land) were not using the share of the spoils and plunder (i.e., people, sheep, cattle, donkeys, pots, gold, silver, etc.) which Moses and Eleazar gave them to form new military, fabricate guns and weapons, form new institutions which they would call NATO, BRICS, EU, AU, etc., but rather those commanders, officers, soldiers, etc. were with the people who they captured, taking every day the sheep, cattle, and donkeys they received to gaze.

Likewise, we can say that should the elites continue to do nothing to accompany their pledge to reduce fear and want with action in the hope of stringent rules taming people and their servants in particular, it would not be possible for them to avoid that the same weak bond that holds the hydrogen and oxygen in hydrogen peroxide together, be how people would be establishing relationships with them. In other words, the international order will be joined or connected in the same way Lego blocks interlock, or the Lebanese are bonded, since 1975, especially.

For example, the Lebanese have been wandering and living since 1975, just as the Israelites were doing when Moses went up to Mount Sinai to receive the Ten Commandments. The Israelites quickly turned aside from the way Moses (or God) commanded them to behave during his absence and began to eat, drink, and play, ignoring the importance of observing the commandment of Moses (or God) to maintain civilized relations.

The elites can let the international order spin out of control in the hope that it will not spiral to the deteriorated political level that exists in Lebanon. The only precautions the elites will need to take to ensure that such transformation does not happen quickly are to ensure that any action or idea that they add to the social order does not make

people's anger or contentment behave in the same way hydrogen peroxide behaves whenever we add a catalyst to it. For example, when we place a volume of hydrogen peroxide in a cup and add a chemical catalyst to it, the oxygen that is in the mixture gets released rapidly, leading to an eruption like a volcano.

I have no way of knowing what specific rule (actions, ideas, etc.) the elites and their critical thinkers started adding in excess quantity in the social order since 1945, thus making most people be against transforming as happens to any hydrogen peroxide solution over time (i.e., it converts into water), but instead, the effect of this rule is making most people behave in the same way hydrogen peroxide behaves when a catalyst is added to it (i.e., engaged in constant wars, constant defiance, constant disregard). What I do know is that only one rule could be preventing this wave of constant wars, constant defiance, and constant disregard that the world has known since 1948 from tapering off. This rule applies if all the servants (and critical thinkers) on whom the elites are depending on their wisdom to secure the goals set forth in the UN charter and the UDHR are, as of 1945, not spending their days focusing on how to gaze the sheep, cattle, and donkeys that were shared from the spoils and plunder that their masters gave them, as the commanders, officers, and soldiers of Moses were doing in antiquity. In other words, the confirmation bias (or naive optimism, or narcissistic personality disorder) of the servants (and critical thinkers) of the elites is making them have difficulties managing their emotions or imaginations.

As I said earlier, the elites are the fittest, and therefore, it is highly likely that this anarchy that emerged in the world in recent years is due mainly to the elites perceiving that they would not be judged strictly if they let their servants regard themselves exempt from observing the work program set forth in the UN Charter or the UDHR. In other words, the elites believe that their wealth and military superiority can protect them.

I have no way of knowing if the wealth and military superiority of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics (the elites) can be regarded as a benchmark to prevent the dynamism of the international order from curving their power, wealth

and status down, as happened to many families/dynasties that relied on the wrong servants to protect them. What I do know is that, as of 1945, most armed forces around the world stopped being institutions where a person goes to make his family proud, but complexes where rulers and political class tap into to crackdown on citizens who demand social justice, freedom or democracy.

Indeed, this dramatic change in people's view of men in uniform, public officials, judges, chiefs, etc., including the dynamism that the world is knowing as of 1948, is not something that the servants of the elites should be blamed for; the elites and their critical thinkers are the main culprits. This is because their (the elites) focus on how to use their role to expand their wealth, power and status more than how to pay attention to secure the goals that their fathers (the old powers) counselled them to be devoted to doing in the aftermath of WW2 helped them to learn every trick minus one trick. This trick is how to make people love them. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, in the Bible, it is suggested that Jesus was once asked about which commandment in the law is the greatest, and He said: "You shall love the Lord your God with all your heart, and with all your soul, and with all your mind." He also said that the second law is to "love your neighbor as yourself." I do not know if people's love or appreciation of the families/dynasties who control the western European monarchies and republics (the elites) has increased as of 1945 or if their loyalty or selfless service has diminished. What I do know is that when a catalyst is added to hydrogen peroxide and the mixture explodes like a volcano, the violent reaction that forms does not differentiate between elements present in the mixture but behaves in the same way lava from an erupted volcano burns everything in its path. And so the question that begs answers is once again simple and straightforward. Can the elites manage to prevent their resizing if their servants continue to refuse to lead by example so people could start to love them with all their heart, with all their soul, and with all their mind, knowing that it is not possible to know what people are plotting, when they would act on their plots, or when they would cool down, just as it is not possible to know when a volcano would erupt, what its lava would spare after it starts flowing, and when it cools down?

The good news is that no one needs to answer this aforementioned question but the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics (or the superclass). They know if the rules that they are letting their critical thinkers and servants add to the social order are making people develop strong values or repelling them away from them. In other words, if the transformations that the rules are adding are not having any bearing on the rejuvenation of their power, wealth and status, just as catalysts in chemical reactions work. That is to say, behaving as catalysts do (i.e., increasing the rate of a chemical reaction without itself undergoing any permanent chemical change).

Then again, people have been overestimating their chances of success since antiquity. Definitely, the advances that are continuously being made in science could help the elites produce successful outcomes on events whose degree of randomness is high or is near impossible to accurately resolve. In addition, all in all, we can also say that until the elites and their critical thinkers figure out a way to make the experiences of people become the same, it would not be possible to stop people from turning the international order into a raging river in which its sheer power would be destroying everything in its path until people agree to begin to observe the work program set forth in the UN Charter, just as happened when the Israelites agreed to begin to coordinate in accordance with the ten commandments so they could find their path to the promised land.

That is to say, civil disobedience, civil resistance, demonstrations, corruption, betrayal, disregard, conflicts, mismanagement, electoral fraud, police brutality, political censorship, political repression, etc. would be marveling people in the near future, and would be expanding in the same way a large number of land plants or weeds in the plant kingdom behave or function. For example, mentha (also known as mint) is a “genus of plants in the family of Lamiaceae”. The Lamiaceae family is a family of flowering plants that “have wide-spreading underground and overground stolons,” or runners. This family of plants has the tendency to spread and is considered invasive if it goes unchecked. However, one of the most special distinctions about this genre of plant family is its capacity to propagate even after the runner (stem) is cut. In other words, this family of plants works in the same way hair or nails in the human body grow after a person cuts

them, and not like whenever a person has his leg or hand amputated; a new hand or leg does not reemerge.

For example, if corruption, betrayal, disregard, conflicts, mismanagement, or any of the aforementioned actions behave in the same way the Lamiaceae plant family (or rice weeds) works underground and above ground, or the hair and nail in the case of the human body and not the animal body (in animals hair and nails grow to a fixed length and stop), then we can say that if the elites and their critical thinkers do not get their acts together and start to put real limits to how much corruption, betrayal, disregard, conflicts, mismanagement, etc. can spread or grow in the world, then it would not be possible for them to put an end to the dynamism or chaos that the world would be knowing. And since fights and quarrels come from the desire to have what one does not have, it would not be possible for people to stop slandering, deceiving, betraying, and the like to get what they want. When people start to focus more on what their neighbor is doing than on what they should be doing to create balance, nothing can come out of this strategy except sorrow. For example, Jesus suggested in the Sermon on the Mount that people gouge their eyes or cut their hands if they cause them to stumble, thus becoming capable of saving the rest of their body instead of losing it all. [Matthew 5:29-30] And so, once again, the question that begs answers is very simple and straightforward. Can the critical thinkers of the elites manage to prevent the resizing of their masters (the superclass) after they appear to have concluded that rejuvenating the power of their masters in the same way the genus plant mentha and the human hair and nail grow, instead of as per the way the animal hair and nail grow or the way Jesus suggested as of 1945? In other words, are the elites and their critical thinkers confident that they can let the weeds and the good seed grow as Jesus suggested in the parable of the weeds to avoid the possibility that if the weeds are pulled after they take root, they may uproot with them the good wheat? That is to say, uproot them from their (the elites) power, wealth and status. Said differently, is this enemy image approach, which the elites are more and more appearing to be relying on as of 1945, a sufficient strategy to help them (i.e., the superclass) to preserve their power, wealth and status as compared to employing the approach Jesus suggested when evil (corruption, betrayal, disregard, conflicts, mismanagement, etc.)

behaves in the same way the Lamiaceae plant family (or rice weeds) works underground and above ground? That is to say, when you cut it, it continues to propagate and grow unless its root is targeted.

Once again, I do not have the answer to the aforementioned questions. What I do know is that before WW2 erupted, the old powers (the western European monarchies and republics) put the blame on the rise of nationalism in Germany and other political and economic tensions and challenges between societies for the outburst of WW2 instead of putting the blame on themselves for not making a real effort, so the League of Nations did not fail. Likewise, as of 1945, the principal victors of WW2 (the western European monarchies and republics) appear to be adopting the same strategy that their fathers (the old powers) adopted to justify the challenges facing the international rule based postwar world order that emerged in the aftermath of WW2 after they decided to deviate away from observing the work program set forth in the UN Charter and the UDHR. That is to say, the principal victors of WW2 (the western European monarchies and republics) are again diverting attention away from their own responsibility to ensure that the international rule based postwar world order stays stable by putting the blame one day on communism, the other day on Ousama Bin Laden, Al Qaeda, Saddam Hussein, ISIS, Islam, China, and now on Vladimir Putin. Can the principal victors of WW2 (i.e., the superclass) continue to go along with putting the blame on the dynamism that the world is seeing in enemy images or ideological images and still get away with it? In other words, can the principal victors of WW2 (i.e., the superclass) continue to be unprepared to play their leadership role, and continue to expect that their servants (governments and international institutions such as the United Nations) be ready to stay together with them and not betray them?

Once again, I do not know if this strategy, which the principal victors of WW2 (i.e., the superclass) are adopting to keep their grip (control) on the international order as of 1945, and which does not appear to be any different than how children are playing with wooden hammer toys, is what the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled them to be devoted to doing to preserve their wealth, power and status.

As I said before, all the nations and people of every language dread and fear the elites, because with their wealth, they can put to death, whoever they want, promote whoever they want, or humble whoever they want, but the question that will always beg answers is: how could we know that “God”, “Satan”, or their close “critical thinkers and servants” are not making their deeds or misdeeds appeal to them, until they suffer a painful punishment?

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the international order is dynamic or not. Likewise, I do not know if a natural force created the world, as the biblical scriptures suggest, or not. Similarly, I do not know if humans are big apes or evolved from fish, plants, etc., as some people suggest. What I do know is that the elites announced in 1945 that they would be working to reduce disarmament, which is a prerequisite to reducing fear and want, and secure the UN goals.

Likewise, I have no way of knowing if the constant wars that the world has known since 1948 are because the elites are working to reduce disarmament, or because they believe that letting people go on producing weapons (sticks, guns, etc.) and using them to kill and inflict physical damage as chimpanzees, who scientists suggest share 98.7% of the same DNA as humans do when they brawl (use sticks), is helping them to secure the UN goals and keep their dominant role, or to continue to prove that humans are not the only primate who can make a weapon out of just about anything. And so, once again, many questions beg answers. For one, how did the principal victors of WW2 (i.e., the superclass) deduce that people lack fine motor control, which apparently primates demonstrate to possess, thus judging that if they allowed their servants to have armies, computers, guns, etc., they would reward them with protecting them when everyone is rivaling for power, and with power comes financial resources, which brings more power? This is because there does not appear to be any difference as of 1945 between the behavior that primates are showing and the behavior that their modern servants are exhibiting after humans discovered that they can make a weapon out of anything except sticks.

In all the religious scriptures, it is suggested that whoever does right, its benefit goes back to him; and whoever strays reaps wrath. Most importantly, all the religious scriptures suggest that “if anyone, knows

the good they ought to do and doesn't do it," they will all reap sorrow. It looks as if the elites (i.e., the superclass) and their critical thinkers are trapped in a situation, whereas if they knew the good they ought to do and did not do it, or if they did wrong, they would be reaping negative effects. The good news is that no one needs to worry if peace and security improve around the world but the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, hence, it is only them (i.e., those powerful families/dynasties) who would be deposed from their royal thrones and stripped of their glory if they continued to let their servants regard themselves exempt from observing the work program set forth in the UN charter and the UDHR. In other words, if their servants continue to insist on wanting to be sitting on the same round table with them to discuss how outcomes (i.e., human rights, economy, human development, rule of law and security) should be managed and distributed instead of doing as the generals, officers, and soldiers of Moses were doing in antiquity when they were not sent on a mission to resize those who wanted to play the role of strong man (i.e., gazing donkeys, sheep, cattle, etc.).

Then again, in the book of Daniel, it is suggested that king Nebuchadnezzar once had a dream, and Daniel was brought in to interpret it for him. In this dream, King Nebuchadnezzar saw a rock that was cut out, but not by human hands, which struck a statue and smashed it to pieces.

I have no way of knowing what this rock that king Nebuchadnezzar saw in his dream and said was cut out, but not by human hands was made of, but what I do know is that since the start of the 21st century, the rulers of Egypt, Sudan, Iraq, Yemen, Tunisia and others have been deposed from their royal thrones by the same people who they started grooming (i.e., soldiering) right after birth.

Likewise, I have no way of knowing if the servants on whom the principal victors of WW2 (i.e., the superclass) are depending to keep their dominant role will betray them in the future in the same way the generals, officers, and soldiers of many countries have done throughout history. What I do know is that if the superclass continues to delay engulfing the world in a new global war to straighten and put the international order back on track, or delay returning all their

servants back to their original size, including putting them to start leading by example instead of continuing to terrorize citizens under the pretext of doing their constitutional duties, there is a good chance that "God," "Satan," or their "critical thinkers and servants" will continue to make their deeds and misdeeds appeal to them in the same way fireworks beautify the sky for a while at night than return the airspace back to darkness.

Said differently, when people observe the sparkles (flames) of fireworks, this display makes the organizers and the onlookers feel that this deed is appealing. However, in reality, this deed is shooting out sparkles (flames) in all directions, and after a few seconds, the flame dies out.

In conclusion, I have no way of knowing if the servants of the elites are making people love them in the same way the people who put out fireworks displays make onlookers love them, or if those servants are making people love them in the same way a dog loves his masters. Likewise, I have no way of knowing if the critical thinkers of the elites are making people love the idea of freedom (the United States) in the same way the disciples of Jesus loved Jesus, or in the same way the generals, officers, and soldiers of King Nebuchadnezzar, Pharaoh, King Charles I of England, Saddam Hussein, and Muammar Gaddafi, loved those rulers.

What I do know is that in 2013-2015, a UN staff member refused to accept the request that the British government (DFID) made to him in Sudan to violate the UN financial rules and regulations because he was concerned that this action would be against the international postwar world order which Great Britain laid out its principles in 1945 to reduce fear and want around the world. Instead of the senior managers of this UN staff member honoring this UN staff member for his courage, they deemed that the 12% fee that they would be getting from the business of Great Britain in Sudan was more important. As can be expected from people with evil hearts in similar circumstances, they collected gossip, and used it as a pretext to place this UN staff member on administrative leave to investigate a claim that they said one of his staff members made against him. Later, the United Nations lawyers sent this UN staff member a letter informing him that the UN would be

restructuring in Sudan and that his services would not be needed. Then, the UN lawyers sent this UN staff member a letter saying that the United Nations dropped the charges and canceled the investigation.

Throughout the entire time that this UN staff member was on administrative leave (i.e., being investigated), the UN lawyers and the UN investigators did not tell this UN staff member who filed charges against him or the nature of the charges. In so doing, the United Nations denied this UN staff member's rights according to UN rules and regulations.

When this UN staff member filed an application in the UN Tribunal, the UN lawyers made this UN staff member an offer to drop his case. However, this UN staff member refused the offer because it did not include promises made to him before his senior managers ranked the business of Great Britain in Sudan as worth more than the British Royal family or the rest of the western European monarchs. Later, the UN lawyers and the UN judges dug in their bag of rules and dismissed the application of this UN staff member because, according to UN regulations, a UN staff member has 60 days to file a claim against the United Nations for decisions taken by the organization, that a staff member does not agree with, and this UN staff member filed his application past the 60 days.

In other words, the UN judges agree with the United Nations and its lawyers that this UN staff member committed a mistake and waited until the UN lawyers informed him that this organization dropped the charges to file an application. Said differently, the UN judges are telling this UN staff member that he made a mistake by practicing tolerance, as the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) counsel people to do in the aftermath of WW2 during disputes (investigations, etc.), and waited until the UN lawyers informed him that they dropped the charges to file an application. The UN judges want this UN staff member to have not observed the UN charter and UDHR, used his rights within the specified time frame, and challenged the United Nations instead. That is to say, the UN judges want people to convert legal rights into legal obligations. This is very serious and worrisome. Put differently, if we take the Ukraine-Russia crisis and use it as an example of what the United Nations

judges were telling this UN staff member to be doing next time to not lose, it is like telling the Russians to not wait and detonate an atomic bomb over Ukraine to end this conflict, because there is a good chance that the countries that are arming Ukraine, such as Great Britain, and the United States, would continue to gang on it until it is defeated.

As the above shows, the senior managers of this UN staff member, the UN lawyers, UN judges, UN investigators, etc., all succeeded in letting their misdeed appeal to them. They succeeded in trampling on this UN staff member and his application under their feet and throwing his application in the waste basket of history. It is important that readers keep in mind that the old powers granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No person whose rights were violated by the United Nations can file an application against this legal entity in any civil court until the principal victors of WW2 lift this immunity.

In the meantime, we can say that the moral filth that the United Nations is propelling after this organization became an economic operator will continue to pollute the world, and will continue to weaken the British royal family and the rest of the families/dynasties that control other western European monarchs and republics, as the constant wars demonstrate. We can also say that if the British royal family and the families/dynasties who control the rest of the western European monarchs and republics do not instruct the United Nations to reopen the file of this UN staff member so he can clear and recuperate back his name, which the United Nations is still holding on to this day (because the UN accused this UN staff member with wrongdoing, denied him as per UN rules to any rights to prove his innocence, and canceled the investigation without indemnifying him), it would not be possible to avoid that the same cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment that this UN staff member endured on the hands of their servants (UN senior managers, UN lawyers, and UN judges) from not happening to them in the course of time and things.

Put differently, hence we cannot say that peace and security improved in the world as of 2015 or as of the date when the United Nations abused its power and accused this UN staff member of doing wrong wrong to put him away to have access to few dollars (i.e., prioritize his salary or career in the same way his senior managers were doing over

the work program included in the UN Charter), then we cannot say that the families/dynasties who control the western European monarchs and republics were capable of preventing the United Nations from continuing to pull the good seeds and keeping only the weeds at this organization, which Jesus cautioned the rich and most powerful about happening in the parable of the weeds. This also means that since the constant wars that have swept the world from 2015 until today prevent us from perceiving that the “rock,” which the UN senior managers, UN lawyers, and UN judges are in charge of ensuring that stays small, stays as such, then we cannot say it has gotten smaller since 2015, but it is still getting bigger and bigger. For example, in the 2022 UN scandal that the United Nations was caught red-handed taking part in, its events, including the number of people implicated, show that the size of the “rock” has gotten much bigger than what its actual size was like in 2015. This is very serious and worrisome, because the bigger this “rock” gets, the higher the chances of it striking the elites and humbling them, as King Nebuchadnezzar, Pharaoh, King Charles I of England, Saddam Hussein, and Muammar Gaddafi were humbled by their servants grows.

Finally, I have no way of knowing if the dynamism and constant wars that are engulfing the world will continue to deteriorate the power, wealth and status of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics until this rock strikes them (or their heirs) and smashes their power, wealth and status in the same way the UN senior managers, UN lawyers, and UN judges smashed the career of this UN staff member and the truth, and reverted both to pieces that the wind started sweeping away. What I do know is that the dust that is in the air later forms clouds. That is to say, if the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics do not stop this organization from continuing to be an economic operator just as governments are, they (the elites) would not be able to stop this rock from continuing to grow (i.e., letting people stay divided) until it strikes them in the course of time and things and humbles them, as they humbled this UN staff member when he was defending the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the UDHR. This also means that the elites will need to pick between two choices as well.

The first is if the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics do nothing and continue to let their instincts guide them. That is to say, continue to be in favor of letting the United Nations be an economic operator and a place where people go to have their wealth, power and status, and the idea of freedom (the United States), sold to the highest bidder. Evidently, the more the United Nations and the rest of their servants exploit the idea of freedom, the more people would be carrying transformations that would throw away the efforts that many people labored in the course of generations to reach, thus enlarging the size of the rock that would be hitting and smashing their (the elites) wealth, power and status or advancing its timing. The second is if they (those powerful families/dynasties) let the truth, which is included in the UN charter and the UDHR, do the driving to create the understanding that the American people gained, but in recent years the moral filth of the United Nations has polluted this country and the world. This includes re-empowering the United States to go back to being the city on the hill, as this country was until the start of the 21st century. In other words, letting the United States play the real global policeman role and not this one of one hand holding the pistol and the other in the pocket.

Evidently, if the elites pick the first option, it would be necessary that they continue to prove that they are a much stronger force than what their adversaries could be thinking. In other words, the elites and their critical thinkers must show that they have the international order under control in the same way the ewe that leads the flock does it from in front of the herd or the shepherds do it from the end of the herd to avoid that any of their servants attempt to dare to run ahead of them, or despite the number of people who they let in on their business. Of course, this will require that they (the elites) continually keep the bitter envy and selfish ambition they harbor in their hearts under control to avoid having citizens discover their true nature, and refuse to want to stay in group with them. Certainly, technology and laws would aid the elites a lot in stopping people from spreading malicious nonsense about them. But then again, the question that begs answers is simple and straightforward. Can the elites prevent the United Nations from continuing to repel people from them after they expanded its role and converted its purpose from being an institution (complex) that teaches people to practice tolerance or to accept to postpone to the afterlife

whatever material things an individual does not happen to succeed at having access to in his lifetime into a business and a movement just as the Christian church was before the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire were ousted by this institution (complex)?

Then again, as I said earlier, no one needs to worry about how the international order should change in the future except the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics and the superclass among them. This is because it would be their power, wealth and status that would be stripped from them if they continued to make people around the world distrust them, and no one else. In this regard, we only hope that the trials and temptations that they would be facing would help them to be more patient and to recognize that there is nothing that they can do today that they cannot do tomorrow, since they are already in control of the international order and the money supply of the world. In addition, and as a closing note, we can only hope that they will recognize that what the scriptures say about how people become tempted when they are dragged away by their own evil desires and enticed could be true. For this reason, to avoid becoming deceived, a person must do what the truth suggests and not merely say one thing and do another, as the UN senior managers, UN lawyers and UN judges are doing. This is because when people say one thing and do another, they become hostages to their desires. Desires give birth to malice, and malice gives rise to death when it transforms and becomes a big rock!