

Moral Reckoning

**Can the victors of WW2 keep their
superiority when crisis become a battle
between good and evil?**

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2024 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloo S., Moral Reckoning, 2024

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
Moral Reckoning

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment
www.centermpa.com

In memory of Antoine Samaha

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Definitions

PREFACE

Moral Reckoning

1. Analysis

23

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, his supervisors told him that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national which also

included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave cost him his right to any claim! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations wants people to stop being tolerant to have any rights and wants them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes can have his or her case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

In the year 2022, the corruption ring of those UN managers who were using the UN as a cover to do their evil work in the name of truth and good and who ganged on this UN staff member because he refused to trade his self-interests for the values included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was exposed and

dismantled. Instead of UN member states requesting that those UN managers be imprisoned with the UN Judges who were protecting them, they were fine with the UN ending the contract of those UN managers while keeping all their wealth, health insurance and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member so he could be remunerated for all the pain that they put this UN staff member through because he refused to take part in their wicked plots, the UN member states believe that if they let this intergovernmental organization keep this case in the garbage basket of history, the United Nations would be preserving their power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personalities at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the

Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, and in this way avoiding that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form as the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision-making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the

rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between, nations including failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and are preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century / early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior in the aftermath of World War II in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion's share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or put into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or analyzed were solely used because I felt they contained ideas that could aid me in comprehending better if the decision of the victors of World War II (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scriptures counseled is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

Nations that won WW2: This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2 and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2nd millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The global policeman of the world role that the United States started playing in 1945 is regarded in this work as a functional role that was delegated to this country by the families/dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

Banks, large financial institutions, or Corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties that won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states in the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized

nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

United States: All references to the word “United States ” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If the American culture is endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to avoid losing the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

PREFACE

People (businesses, societies, nations, monarchs) rejuvenate their power by convincing each other that if they stay in groups and let the fittest in the group have more powers than others, such a strategy can increase the happiness of everyone faster and better. Throughout history, the fittest backed out of his pledge to observe the natural and legal rights legitimized and used his power to direct his violence back at the people he promised to reduce their fear and want in the name of maintaining peace and security. This conduct has always converted the quest of people into a conflict between good and evil instead of a journey to increase happiness. The Israeli-Palestinian crisis is an impediment that many people label as such.

In the 19th century, many political theorists warned the old powers (the fittest) about how a rise in the awareness of the societies that they were dominating and exploiting could propel the subjugated societies to refuse to want to stay with them and to even overthrow them from their good fortunes. Marxist philosophy (or bibliography), the Dutch disease, or the curse of oil (or resources) are all works that rulers and kings (i.e., the rich) could browse in to learn about the danger of disenchanting the working class (the poor). Such works warn the rich about the importance of committing to a political practice that does not push the poor to distrust them to avoid, principally, that they (the poor) become organized and overthrow them (the rich) from their power. As if the works produced before World War Two on what the rich must do to keep their power were not enough, the founding fathers of the UN (i.e., the old powers) counselled their successors (their children) in 1945 to observe the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) to avoid having the poor withdraw their obligation to obey and be against wanting to stay with them or to let them have more power than others. However, instead of the successors of the old powers (i.e., their children) acting on the advice of their fathers, they decided to let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from observing the appeals suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. The decision of the successors of the old powers to reject observing the counsel of their fathers has kept the

world engulfed in constant wars since 1945, putting at risk all the milestones that mankind made in the last two millennia against the materialistic and animalistic nature of man.

In antiquity, the Romans believed that a captain was safer surrendering his boat to the waves than trusting sailors, who were traitorous, hungry, and dishonorable. That is to say, the Romans believed that the sea was less treacherous than sailors who were disloyal or disobedient. Most importantly, the Romans believed that anyone who puts his happiness and contentment in the hands of defiant and mischievous individuals is a slave, even if he is a king.

This book tries to support that the victors of WW2 (the children of the old powers) did exactly that when they allowed the people (societies, businesses, nations, kingdoms) that they were dominating till 1945 to start to regard themselves as equal to them post this date, knowing that mankind does not share outcomes on equal rights and rely on an economic model that generates winners and losers. The objective is to understand if the victors of WW2 would be able to keep their dominant role or if the rise in people's awareness would overthrow them from their power, just as those kings or chiefs who converted the disenchantment of their citizens with them into a conflict between good and evil contended with in due time.

1

Analysis

In most bibliographies, the word republic refers to a form of government in which political power rests with the public through their representatives, in contrast to a monarchy. This form of constitutional government began to develop in ancient Greece.

In many historical republics, the joy and celebration of our ancestors with the arrival of constitutional government did not last for a long time. The role of elections was limited. Most representation was based on appointing officials who possessed significant personal status, wealth, or status, or appointing officials who were poor but were assuming a forward-facing position on behalf of wealthy people. In other words, most representation was not based on appointing officials who combined philosophical knowledge and temperament with political skill and power, a concept that Plato suggested and believed could constitute the best form of government. This remains true today.

The preparedness of people throughout history to accept that political power rests with officials who wield significant financial influence instead of significant philosophical knowledge (and temperament) has prevented mankind from ensuring the maximum possible happiness for all citizens, just as the case is still to this day in societies in which one person acts in them as head of state (i.e., a monarchy) or is ruled by an authoritarian regime.

Accordingly, since antiquity, most government officials affirm improving social justice but at the same time ignore Constitution, pervert philosophical teaching, and a huge number behave like cruel warlords by crushing others to gain power, wealth, and status. Warlords laugh at the people who trusted them and oppress and

murder those who oppose them. The decent ones allege to be exercising their civil power in the interests of others, but at the same time they live with wolves and cry with shepherds. This situation creates confusion because it is not possible to know if those decent leaders who exercise civil power are oppressing and murdering to secure the standards they profess or to improve their propensity.

It is important that readers keep in mind that governments have no right as of 1945 to proclaim that they are working toward becoming capable of establishing relations based on the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, because membership in the United Nations is based on national governments submitting an application stating that all the people in the nation that want to join this association of nations affirm their readiness to accept the obligations contained in the Charter and that the state is able and willing to carry them out. In other words, the states (governments) that are members of the United Nations cannot claim that they are being pushed to observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR or that they need time to build the type of relations suggested in the Charter. As of 1945, all UN member states (governments) are obliged to observe the UN Charter and the UDHR and should not be reminded about doing so or asked to observe them. Alternatively, the UN member state that could not uphold the principles suggested in the UN Charter or the UDHR should withdraw from the United Nations, be expelled, and contend with letting might be the parameter or measure that defines what is right.

Indeed, as of 1945, every UN member state relies on its own system of governance and on legitimizing distinct mechanisms to improve liberties, freedoms, transparency, human rights, gender equality, etc. Most importantly, as of 1945, most governments are either killing or repressing the individuals who want to take part in the political life of their society in accordance with what the UN Charter or the UDHR dictate in order to remain in control, or they are entangling citizens in political and economic confusion that prevents them from cooperating in accordance with the UN Charter or the UDHR.

I have no way of knowing if the political repression that most governments (starting with the United States and the western European

monarchs and republics) exercise against citizens for the purpose of restricting or preventing them from exercising their right to build relations in accordance with the dictates suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR is helping the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) to keep their dominant role or not. What I do know is that just as it is not possible to have people preach hate and violence to get their ways despite the resistance of others without taking away their freedom of expression, granting rights to national governments to preach about freedom of expression (or democracy) but without observing the principles that they are preaching leads to them relying on hate and violence to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

For example, the state of Israel has claimed since it was created in 1948 that it is the only democratic state in the Middle East in the midst of a vast field of backward and authoritarian regimes. Yet instead of the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel transforming this country into a city on the hill, they use the government as a weapon to violate the UN Charter and, most importantly, deny the Palestinians the right to cooperate with Israeli Jews in accordance with the state constitution, or the UDHR. This contradiction that the Israeli Jews have been adopting since 1948 was exactly how their ancestors were preserving their power, wealth, and status in antiquity. When the arrogance of their ancestors became intolerable in antiquity, their enemies and the people they were dominating and exploiting rebelled against them, disgraced them, and reverted them to a simpler form. Then, only when those Israeli ancestors repented and acted rightly did they manage to get the upper hand over their enemies and servants, thus proving that when a person acts rightly, he or she will be doing it for their own good.

As of 1948, the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) have been protecting the blunt violation of the Israeli government of the UN Charter and the UDHR under the pretext of the right of this country to defend itself in the same way they themselves (or via their policemen, the United States) occupy countries, plunder their wealth, and concentrate wealth and power in their hands under the pretext of preserving democracy, freedom, protecting the seas, etc. Most importantly, they (the victors of WW2) do not improve

democratic control after all the killing and destruction they effectuate so one could defend their evil. This conduct could foment worldwide the same disenchantment towards them that people express towards the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel. For example, instead of the victors of WW2 asking the Israeli government to hold their horses and minimize their violation of the UN Charter and the UDHR in order to raise a new generation of Israelis and Palestinians that are capable of practicing tolerance and living together in peace with one another as good neighbors, the victors of WW2 have since 1945 been distorting the truth and deliberately decontextualizing and manipulating factual pictures about how the Israeli government is violating the Charter by arguing that the purpose of such hostilities falls within Israel's right to defend itself and not to violate international laws. Can the victors of WW2 preserve their power if people around the world gang on them as they are ganging on the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel since the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks, whereby the victim became the accused?

The Israeli Jews stand to gain if they kill all the Palestinians, and therefore, all the killing of Palestinians and violations of international rules and laws that the Israeli government commits are comprehensible. What is not clear is: what do the victors of WW2 gain from allowing the Israeli government (and all others, starting with their policemen, the United States) to violate the UN Charter and the UDHR, including allowing that every day since 1948 they kill Palestinians and destroy their homes and property? In other words, what would the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) gain when the Israeli Jews, Arabs, Asians, Indians, Africans, etc. start to perceive that searching for how to improve their self-help is a thousand times better than searching for goodness in a world where the fittest in it (the victors of WW2) do not know the meaning of feeling or humanity?

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) are concluding that they are the only wise or clever people and that the rest of the people are backward and do not know how to betray (plot, backstab) just like them, if not better. What I do know is that the decision of the victors of WW2 to not respect or adhere to the rules and laws that they, themselves,

produced (legitimized) in 1945 or later does not seem to be making people love them and wanting to stay with them. Instead, their arrogance and hypocrisy is opening people's eyes and etching them closer and closer to believing that the victors of WW2 are administering the international order according to what their mood is conceiving, or what they desire, and what they assume achieves their interests while ignoring the interests of others.

It looks as if the strategies that the victors of WW2 are adopting to rejuvenate their power or are letting their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) develop and enforce on their behalf do not adhere to any human or human development mechanism known to produce ordered relations. To the contrary, the mechanisms that the victors of WW2 are adopting or are allowing their critical thinkers and servants to develop on their behalf appear to support that they lost control of the international order and lost any capabilities they had to influence how the international order changed or what is happening.

For example, the bias and irrational political support by the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) for all the killing of Palestinians by the Israeli government as of October 7, 2023, could be interpreted in two ways. The first is if the victors of WW2 are extorting the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel in the same way kings used to extort the rich in antiquity by making the poor hate them. The second is if the victors of WW2 are suffering from the malady that appears to affect most nations or kingdoms – old age. When a nation or monarch ages, its rulers lose their minds, and they start to act arrogantly or appoint chiefs that are clever in every aspect, minus in political or economic theory. The rulers of nations or monarchs that aged separated themselves from reality and committed a series of mistakes that led to the hastening of their collapse. The rulers of an aged nation or monarch start to take uncalculated steps and to perceive that they are invincible. Indeed, a large number of the political actions or steps that the victors of WW2 have taken, especially since the fall of the Soviet Union in the 1990s, appear to be uncalculated and do point out that they are hastening their collapse and not hastening the collapse of their adversaries.

A person reaps what he sows. Can the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) reap, in the future, anything but catastrophic results after they allowed their political arrogance and hypocrisy to make people around the world disinterested in using the UN Charter and the UDHR to create ordered relations, as the founding fathers of the UN (their fathers) designed such instruments to accomplish, but demand that the victors of WW2 observe such modalities first in order for them (the people) to observe them after? Put differently, the victors of WW2 are capable, with their wealth and power, of easily finding military officials who would be ready to organize a coup and revolt against the regimes that threaten them, as they did in Iraq when they managed to make the Iraqi military betray Saddam Hussein and not fight. In other words, the wealth and power of the victors of WW2 were capable of easily finding Iraqi military officials who were ready to betray Saddam Hussein in order to produce the results that they perceived preserved their dominance. But the question that begs answers is much more complicated than that. Can the victors of WW2 manage to keep their dominant role and avoid being humiliated when the moles that they start to count on to get their ways despite the resistance of others start to cooperate with them or serve them based on “what is in it for them” and not “what is in it for their masters?” (i.e., the victors of WW2)? This is because this abnormal rise in intolerance that the world has known in recent years is specifically due to their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) asking themselves, “what is in it for me?” instead of “what is in it for their masters?” And so, once again, can the victors of WW2 stop people from becoming disenchanted with their political and economical policies or with their critical thinkers and servants, such as the United States (the global policemen), the United Nations, NATO, etc., after they saw that the arguments they used to attack Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, Syria, Yemen, and the list can go on, were not to improve democratic control but to sustain their constant uncalculated arrogance and hypocrisy? That is also to say, can the victors of WW2 prevent people from becoming disenchanted with the constant excuses that they give to justify why their political and economic policies are not producing the outcomes they promise and decide to gang on them (i.e., work together) to teach them a lesson in humility when we already know that if we place a person and a fly in a room, the fly can easily make the life of the person miserable?

I do not know the answer to the aforementioned question. However, what I do know is that throughout history, rulers and kings perceived that they were more powerful than the rulers and kings that preceded them, but then learned that this was not the case the hard way. In other words, the rulers and kings that were resized failed to read history correctly and to correct the mistakes of history from a political, economic, and military point of view so they could keep their dominant role and move forward.

For example, George Washington warned in his farewell letter to the Americans in 1796 about entangling themselves in the politics of Europe or in entangling themselves with permanent alliances, but in 1949, the rulers of this country decided to ignore his counsel and became members of NATO. I have no way of knowing if, following the death of Franklin D. Roosevelt in 1945, any ruler in the United States read what George Washington warned the Americans against doing or not. However, I strongly believe that the inability of the US to prevent the invasion of Russia by Ukraine in early 2022 means that no ruler in this country has read a history book since 1945. Most importantly, the political strategies that the United States took since the start of the Russia-Ukraine conflict in 2022 support that in the US it is not only the rulers and political class who are not reading any history books, but the people who control the financial institutions that elect the political class in this country are not doing it as well.

Then again, the rulers in the US cannot be blamed if they are not doing any reading as of 1945, because they are playing the global policeman role for the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2). In many countries, a person is not required to have a college degree to become a policeman. However, army ants are practically blind and can still fulfill complex tasks that humans are not capable of doing today. This is to be organized based on what benefits the colony as a whole can bring or produce rather than the individual. That is to say, the rulers of the United States cannot be blamed if they are illiterate and blind because they started to sit at the round table only in 1945. Whereas the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) have been doing it since the start of the second millennia, and a good number since the start of the first millennia. In

other words, the western European monarchs and republics wrote or cleared most history books that exist and should know very well that granting independence to the societies (nations) that they were dominating and then tasking the United States with being the global policemen and occupying the military bases they vacated in the countries that they freed would not make people love them or love their new tenants. Nor is the idea of dividing people and creating a threat such as Al Qaeda, ISIS, etc. If such ideas could secure the superiority of kingdoms and empires, then the Roman Empire would not have collapsed.

The phrase “dancing on the edge of an abyss” is a metaphor used by the German philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche to personify “the infinite depth of our psychological complexity. He saw it as a place of untold danger where we struggled to confront the deepest, darkest parts of ourselves. But he also saw it as a place for growth and transformation.”

I have no way of knowing if the constant wars that have engulfed the world as of 1945, when the opposite was supposed to be the case, are because the demons that are seated on the inside of each person, according to Nietzsche, are what are making the victors of WW2 appear to be dancing on the edge of an abyss. Alternatively, if the imagination of the victors of WW2 is making them dance on the edge because they believe that the transformations that are taking place would not have a profound impact on their wealth, power, and status but, to the contrary, would rejuvenate it more. What I do know is that the victors of WW2 could not be doing any reading of history as their fathers (the old powers) were doing before 1945, because if the opposite were the case, they would have read that the Middle East has been a region that has been a theater for the fall of empires and the rise of new ones since antiquity. That is to say, the victors of WW2 would have read the Bible and learned that God made this land (i.e., the Middle East) his home.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the irrational or haphazard political and economic choices that the victors of WW2 are making in the Middle East and around the world, especially since the fall of the Soviet Union in the 1990s, are helping them (the victors of WW2) to

rejuvenate their power or if their choices are accelerating or hastening their fall. What I do know is that the victors of WW2 are entangled in a dilemma. They can put pressure on the United States (the global policeman) to postpone using force against their enemies for the sake of a political solution, but they also need to use force when a political solution is not possible. No matter what the victors of WW2 pick, they would be “dancing on the edge of an abyss.” This is because change is constantly shuffling, transforming, and reorganizing priorities, circumstances, or reality on the ground to a new default setting. Only when the victors of WW2 play by the rules suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR can they convert the change that they effecting to rejuvenate their power, wealth, and status from working in the same way a timer (or a game) works to a dial. A person is obliged to rewind the timer after it finishes its cycle. I have no way of knowing if the decision of the victors of WW2 to make people go back to zero is a good strategy to keep their dominant role or not. What I do know is that when people observe the UN Charter and the UDHR, the order that this process creates makes people not obliged to rely on their self-help to increase their happiness (i.e., go back and stand on the same starting line) but on the political order legitimized. In fact, when we count from 1 to 10, the order or ranking of the number 1 does not give this number any privilege over the numbers 5 or 10. To the contrary, the number 5 has more room to play and can be involved in more operations than the number 1, even though four other numbers rank ahead of it (i.e., it is not as limited as the number 1 is). The same can be said about the number 10. The larger the number, the greater his degree of freedom gets.

The insecurity that has swept the world as of 1945 appears to be because the victors of WW2 want to continue to be doing what their fathers (the old powers) were doing before WW2 erupted, i.e., “have the cake and eat it too,” and not as per what their fathers counselled them to be doing (i.e., observe the UN Charter and the UDHR) to avoid the challenges that they dealt with and ensure lasting peace. This also means that it would not be possible for people to avoid becoming entangled in a new global war to create a new reset if they continued to depend on hard power to prevent their power, wealth, and status from shrinking instead of on the work program that their fathers (the founding fathers of the UN) suggested. In other words, if the victors of

WW2 continue to want to be the ones who legitimize and enforce natural rights (number 1) and the ones who own all the social space and all the material things that people produce from the social space (i.e., material of terrestrial origin) at the same time (i.e., be also the numbers 5 and 10 and 1000, etc.), it would not be possible to promote the development of friendly relations between people (nations). Accordingly, it would not be possible to avoid the barbarous acts or fear that our predecessors experienced.

In addition, when we factor that as of 1945, or after the victors of WW2 dismantled their empires and appointed critical thinkers and servants (governments and international organizations) who are like them regarding themselves as deputy of God on earth, the number of people who are violating the UN Charter, the UDHR, constitutions, religious scriptures, philosophical dogmas, etc., is much larger than this number was at before WW2 erupted, then there is a good chance that the world is in the midst of a social revolution without anyone knowing.

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international organizations) would succeed at having the best of both worlds (i.e., violate the UN Charter or the UDHR and still count on people wanting to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others) after they (the victors of WW2) channeled all their efforts, in the aftermath of WW2, to create new national governments and new international institutions instead of channeling their efforts to secure that the national governments and international institutions that existed observe first the common political and economic standards already legitimized. The decision of the victors of WW2 to preoccupy their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international organizations) with preaching about improving democratic control and human rights while they are freezing social space and accumulating material on a cumulative basis at the same time increased the number of people who regard themselves as the deputy of God instead of shrinking this number, which is a prerequisite for the victors of WW2 to keep their dominant role. Evidently, all this poor planning that the victors of WW2 have been adopting since 1945 is being done not only at the expense of the maintenance of the security and stability of their power, wealth, and

status, but also at the expense of the idea of freedom, which they began to depend on as of 1945 to help them get their ways despite the resistance of others. Can the victors of WW2 make people want to stay with them when the individuals who are depending on their wisdom to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others (i.e., governments and international organizations) are not leading by example, so the common people have no reason to withdraw their obligations to obey and are busy rivaling to realize the full development of their personalities?

Once again, I am not able to answer the above question. However, what I do know is that the Egyptian nightjar is native to northern Africa, the Arabian Peninsula, and the Middle East. Many shepherds claim that this bird has a nocturne habit known as the shepherd distractor. This is because it lands among the flock, and when the shepherd sees it, he tries to catch it. But whenever the shepherd misses hitting it when he throws a stone at it, it flies and lands close to him again. The bird continues to play the same game, so that the more the shepherd follows it and gets distracted by wanting to catch it, the more the distance between him and the flock grows or the more he gets distracted from his principal responsibility of grazing.

Could the decision of the victors of WW2 to let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international organizations) become distracted with how to amass power, wealth, and status instead of how to lead by example so the common people can love the victors of WW2 be distancing people from them in the same way the Egyptian nightjar is believed to distract and distance the flock from the shepherd? Most importantly, how do we know that the more the victors of WW2 let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international organizations) be distracted with how to realize the full development of their personalities instead of how to observe the UN Charter and the UDHR, that the challenges that they would be piling up would not be just as happens in a Tetris game when falling blocks start piling up and a player becomes incapable of organizing the falling ones in lines and loses?

I do not know the answer to the aforementioned question. However, what I do know based on what most news media report is that the

Chinese are unhappy with the political and economic policies of the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics), and their global policemen (the United States) have been legitimizing and enforcing since 1945. The Russians, the Arabs, the Africans, the countries that are located in Latin America, etc. are also all disenchanted with the poor political and economic policies that the victors of WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) are adopting after they (the victors of WW2) decided to be the ones who legitimize natural and legal rights (security) and the ones who own all material interests that result from the explorations that people make in the sea, on land, in geospace, and in outer space.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 would be able to harmonize their relations with all the critical thinkers and servants when everyone of those helpers is united with them, not necessarily to secure that the UN Charter and the UDHR are observed but to ensure that they would have a role in the new structures that are constantly being created at the expense of the blood that gets spilled (shed) as a result of the unpreparedness of those involved helpers to observe the UN Charter and the UDHR. What I do know is that people legitimize and enforce natural and legal rights to control and command each other through convincing each other about how a person is better off submitting part of his freedom to a national government to prevent that might makes right, and in this way, fail to secure the protection of their remaining freedom. This allows us to say that the social order that people adopt is composed of two domains (groups, sets, or clusters). The first domain includes the public officials who legitimize and enforce the political direction and exercise control over the actions of the populace (citizens) of the state. The second is the common people. For the victors of WW2 to keep their dominant role, they need to create balance between those two domains (groups, sets). All the wars, conflicts, and crises that people know about are because the families/dynasties that control the social order or the money supply within any exclusive geographical area do not know how to create balance between the two.

For example, the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their children (the victors of WW2) to reduce the role of government in the social order in the aftermath of WW2, but the

victors of WW2 decided to increase the role of government and to task the individuals who control such apparatuses at the community, state, regional, and global level with being the ones who hold and promote peace congresses. As the constant wars that have swept the world since 1945 support (i.e., the date when the world knew a new reset), the national governments that the victors of WW2 are depending on to keep their dominant role are not increasing fraternity between people but are doing the most to shrink it. Accordingly, until the right conditions would permit the victors of WW2 to create a new reset (i.e., a global war), thus eliminating the threat that certain national governments are posing on the maintenance of their strength and stability, the only choice the victors of WW2 have is to keep their dominant role infer raising (boosting) the awareness of the public of the disregard or injustice that their rulers and political class are causing (i.e., the individuals who are legitimizing and enforcing political and economic standards). The victors of WW2 hope that the consciousness or violence that the public manifests against their political structure will wash away the unbalance existing between the common people and the political class and create a new balance. In addition, when the common people rise and revolt against their existing political structure, this exercise eliminates the threat that certain national governments (regimes) pose to the maintenance of the strength and stability of the victors of WW2.

This aforementioned strategy can get out of control sometimes. For example, when the victors of WW2 employed this tactic in Lebanon, Iraq, Yemen, Afghanistan, etc., the outcome has been catastrophic so far. The victors of WW2 succeeded in Egypt. In other words, the victors of WW2 managed to get rid of the individuals who were controlling Egypt for three decades and appoint a new team to control this country without having to create the chaos that exists in Lebanon, Iraq, Yemen, Afghanistan, etc. But again, when we take the change that the victors of WW2 created in all those aforementioned countries, we find that none produced situations in which the individuals involved observed the UN Charter and the UDHR, but to the contrary, the number of people in those countries who started to disregard those common standards increased. The victors of WW2 do not preserve their power, wealth, and status when many people are disobeying the

UN Charter and the UDHR and are demanding that outcomes be shared on equal rights, but when people are doing the opposite.

For example, when the victors of WW2 agreed to topple the duly democratically elected regime of the late President Mohamed Morsi in Egypt to please his adversaries and return this country to being controlled by a new civil (military) regime, the victors of WW2 could not have been rejuvenating their power in this neck of the woods but shrinking it. This is because the victors of WW2, in doing so, denied a large number of Egyptians in this country the right to self-determination. Not to mention, the victors of WW2 did not favor that the people who elected the late President Mohamed Morsi, because they wanted their relations to be organized in accordance with the UN Charter or the UDHR, have rights to carry out territorial adjustments and divide Egypt as the new international rule-based postwar order that was approved in the aftermath of WW2 permits. By denying a large number of Egyptians rights to self-determination or rights to carry out territorial adjustments and divide Egypt so they could establish relations in accordance with the UN Charter or the UDHR, as they did when they elected the late President Mohamed Morsi to office, the victors of WW2 increased the number of people who are working to gang on them to remove them from power and to play their role instead of considering their opinion before taking action on their own. This is because the current regime in Egypt is not cooperating in accordance with the UN Charter or the UDHR. As I said before, in number theory, it is the large numbers that have lots of degrees of freedom, not the small numbers. That is to say, when the victors of WW2 allow the individuals who control the Egyptian government to be devoted to building or developing militarily without cooperating in accordance with the UN Charter or the UDHR, they would be raising a new monster, as they did in China, Russia, Iran, Israel, Turkey, etc., instead of elevating people who would be considering their opinion before taking action of their own, as the case is with the people who live in Latin America or in Africa now.

Likewise, when the victors of WW2 allow the countries that they wrecked not too long ago, such as Lebanon, Iraq, Yemen, Afghanistan, Syria, etc., to stay stateless and be ruled by warlords who do not

observe the UN Charter and the UDHR, or allow citizens in those countries to make territorial adjustments, the victors of WW2 could not only be thinking that they are rejuvenating their power but also shrinking it. For example, as of the start of the Israeli Hamas War in October 2023, the warlords that the victors of WW2 nurtured in Lebanon, Iraq, Yemen, Afghanistan, etc., refused to stay neutral so the Israelis could kill all the Palestinians living in Gaza since they (the victors of WW2) wanted it to be this way instead of giving the Israeli government permission to look for the perpetrators only and to have them brought to justice. Those warlords formed a single front and began to influence the outcomes of the Israeli Hamas War in ways that are contrary to what the victors of WW2 have planned (designed) for the Israelis and Palestinians in this latest bout of violence between those two societies, and the victors of WW2 appear to want them to stay as adversaries for a reason.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are concluding that if they let people around the world militate against practices that threaten mutual benefits and cooperation, such strategies can make people want to stay with them (the victors of WW2) after they dismantled their empires, partitioned the world into exclusive territories and political structures, and allowed the individuals who were depending on their wisdom in each geographical area to keep their dominant role and regard themselves exempt from observing the UN Charter and the UDHR. What I do know is that the product of the political chaos that is emerging in all the countries that the victors of WW2 are relying on - divide and rule, constructive chaos, creative chaos in them to keep insecure - is not weakening or humbling the societies that the victors of WW2 want to be humbled or want to stop thinking about challenging them. For example, a good number of the families whose children have fallen in the ongoing Israeli Hamas War are not being mourned by their families, but rather their families are rejoicing for them and regarding them as fortunate because they are preserving their convictions. The Houthis in Yemen are defying the victors of WW2 and are ready to humiliate them at any cost.

Put differently, if the victors of WW2 continue to depend on divergence to divide people, but without managing to equally depend on divergence to create integration as the constant wars that have

engulfed the world as of 1945 support, then the victors of WW2 could be dismantling the consistency of the international order instead of contributing to keeping its properties. In a world filled with choices and opportunities, the victors of WW2 could be treading on thin ice if they continue to make decisions without factoring in how the choices that they are making are impacting others. This is because if the victors of WW2 continue to make people perceive that their neighbor is their adversary instead of their partner, then the victors of WW2 would not be able to balance personal gains with collective benefits and ethical considerations. When people start to want that their relations with them (the victors of WW2) be organized based on “what is in it for them (the people)” instead of this association or interrelation being motivated to add values to the lives of the victors of WW2, then the victors of WW2 gain nothing from people’s actions and choices. The implications and ripple effect on the wealth, power, and status of the victors of WW2 could be irreparable when people stop wanting to stay with them or wanting to let them have more powers than others, unless they gain something in return. What the founding fathers of the UN sought from the idea of having people come together in the aftermath of WW2 to collaborate in the economic and political (security) fields was to make people surrender freedom voluntarily to them, thus avoiding relying on might being what makes right and not making the personal benefit or advantage that people would gain the lens through which all decisions would be made.

I have no way of knowing to what extent people use antecedents as precursors and make choices that enforce strategic outcomes instead of resolving elemental ones. At the same time, if no authority managed to make its dependent people not ask, implicitly or explicitly, “what is in it for me,” so it (its members) could stay in power indefinitely, it is highly likely that people’s refusal to surrender voluntary freedom to the political work programs that the victors of WW2 are legitimizing in recent years is due to the poor outcomes that the policies that they (the victors of WW2) are positing and enforcing. That is to say, the poor policies that the victors of WW2 adopted, such as ending social injustice, poverty, etc. first by 2015 and then extending this date to 2030, could be making people aware faster than before that what the victors of WW2 are implementing is the same political strategies that their fathers (the old powers) enforced and not the ones suggested in

the UN Charter or the UDHR. The strategy that the fathers of the victors of WW2 adopted (i.e., the old powers) involved keeping the deep state in each country strong to fulfill their goals, and they did not care what so ever about complying with the declarations that they were making to make people want to stay with them.

For example, it is not possible to ascertain the principal causes for the emergence of Christianity two millennia ago. People are divided over the identity of Jesus. Some people believe that Jesus was the son of God, and others believe that it was people's disenchantment with the existing political and social order that made the Christian movement rise. Irrespective of whether Jesus was the son of God or not, his teaching ended up dividing people into factions. Some people believed that his teaching was strengthening the rule of law, and others believed that his teaching was profane and offending. Nonetheless, the decision of the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire to sideline with the Jewish authorities ended up costing them dearly. They were pushed away from power and reverted to a simpler form in the course of time and things.

I have no way of knowing what propelled people into becoming divided in antiquity into one group that believed that Jesus's teaching was helping people in Roman Judea to live together in peace with one another as good neighbors and another group that was against his teaching. What I do know is that people have appeared to be divided since 1848 over the Israeli-Palestinian crisis in the same way our ancestors were divided in antiquity over the teaching of Jesus. Some people believe that the Israeli Jews were kicked out by conquerors (Persians, Greeks, Romans, etc.) from their land close to 3000 years ago and did not give it up voluntarily and therefore reserve the right to repossess it back, and others believe the opposite (that the Palestinians reserve the right to repossess their land because they have been living on this land for the last 3,000 years and were kicked out of it involuntarily by conquerors (i.e., western Europeans, Americans, Jews, etc. in 1948).

If there is a good chance that when people become divided, their partisanship grows out of control and threatens the broader political order, then there is a good chance that the victors of WW2 could

confront the same drawback that the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire endured.

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the victors of WW2 to deny the Palestinians equal rights to freedom as they did with the Israeli Jews when they decided to bequeath this land that their fathers (the old powers) had won when they defeated the Ottoman Empire during WW1 and was known as Palestine until 1948 to them to form a home without compensating the Palestinians is reshaping their power in the same way the decision of the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire to sideline with the Jewish authorities in Roman Judea instead of Christianity reshaped their power, wealth, and status. What I do know is that there must be a motive that made our ancestors in antiquity convert, little by little, the abuse or persecution that Jesus and his followers were enduring at the hands of the Jewish authorities in Roman Judea from being a petty crime to a transgression that threatened the stability of the Roman Empire.

That is to say, there must be a motive that made people in antiquity convert the Christian movement from being a movement that wanted the Jewish authorities carry out political and economic reforms to help people live together in peace with one another as good neighbors into a movement that was divided over a fight over whether God's kingdom would prevail over the Kingdom of the Romans in Roman Judea or the other way around. Simply put, ignite a fight between good and evil. What I do know is that as of October 7, 2023, the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) such as the United States, China, Russia, United Nations, NATO, EU, Arab nations, etc., appear to be insisting on converting the Israeli-Palestinian crisis into a conflict between good and evil, or, let's say, a conflict between freedom and exploitation or domination. In other words, the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) and all the units of authorities that rotate in their orbit do not want to accept that there is a much safer and faster way for them to get rid of all the Palestinians since they do not want them to have any rights, and being dead or staying alive does not mean nothing to them. This solution consists of killing all the Palestinians in the same way mass murders kill many people over a relatively short period of time,

instead of doing it in proportion. In other words, since the Israeli army is killing the Palestinians with their (i.e., the victors of WW2) weapons and money, there is no need to use light weapons to do it if detonating a nuclear bomb over the Palestinian heads could easily kill them all with one blow, and in so doing, end their (the victors of WW2) frustration to see them all being killed. The advantage of this option is that it would prevent their citizens from continuing to be exposed to the horrific pictures that they are seeing from the battle field in Gaza, thus deepening their awareness or conviction about being ruled by monsters, hard hearts, and cold-blooded killers instead of peaceful and good-tempered individuals.

But again, even after Jesus was crucified, it was not possible to make people in antiquity consider the Christian movement to be a course or a matter that did not affect them, or did they agree to not let the Christian movement become their own problem or fight. Christianity was a fight between wealth and poverty, and lots of people were going to gain if outcomes started to be shared based on the manner in which Jesus was suggesting or on equal rights. Accordingly, many questions beg answers. For one, can the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) make people around the world not consider the Israeli-Palestinian as their own problem or fight, or a fight between freedom and exploitation or domination, after they asked their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) such as the United States, China, Russia, United Nations, NATO, EU, Arab nations, etc., to not interfere and stop this blood bath that has been waging since October 7, 2023, and desired it be aired live so people could watch it from the comfort of their own homes?

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) wanted that people around the world watch live the horror that is unfolding during the Israeli-Hamas war or not. Most importantly, if all the horror that is being streamlined is affecting them and if it is making them believe that its outcome concerns them, and how. As I said before, the families/dynasties that were controlling the Roman Empire failed to prevent, in antiquity, the abuse and persecution that Jesus and his followers were subjected to from evolving into becoming everyone's business, until eventually they were resized and ousted from power. In addition, when the United

States converted the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks into a conflict between security and freedom, people from around the world considered themselves exposed, sidelined with this country, and agreed to be part of the coalition that was formed to fight terror. Jesus and his followers were preaching about social justice and many other noble ideas. The Palestinians are demanding, as of 1948, to be treated justly, including being granted freedom or the right to form a nation in accordance with the standards or principles suggested in the international rule-based postwar world order that all people agreed to subscribe to in 1945. Can the victors of WW2 prevent people from forming a coalition as they did following the September 11, 2001, attacks and from betraying them after they agreed to let the Israeli army kill innocent Palestinian men, women, and children instead of going after the individuals who carried out the October 7, 2023 Hamas attacks only? Can the victors of WW2 make people (besides the ones that are on their payroll) love them and be ready to lay down their lives for them as they did for Jesus after they demonstrated through their unpreparedness to put pressure on the Israeli army to stop killing innocent people randomly in Gaza that no person in any country is safe if actors in their same social network take actions that can jeopardize their security? Can the victors of WW2 make people count on their protection even if they do not do what they want, just as children go back and do with their parents?

It looks as if the unpreparedness of the victors of WW2 to put pressure on the Israeli army to stop killing innocent people randomly in Gaza is due to them stopping to regard the international rule-based postwar world order that they legitimized and then invited every member of the human family to subscribe to its principles of paramount importance to them to keep their dominant control. Put differently, the United States and NATO allies “went into Afghanistan after the 9/11 terrorist attacks to ensure that Afghanistan would not again become a safe haven for international terrorists to attack NATO member countries.” If the United States and NATO allies (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) refuse to go into Gaza after the 7/10 Hamas terrorist attacks to ensure that Gaza will not again become a safe haven for international terrorists to attack a UN member state, then one of two scenarios could be true. The first is if the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) did not create the United Nations

(the international rule-based postwar world order) so UN member states can “take effective collective measures for the prevention and removal of threats to the peace and for the suppression of acts of aggression or other breaches of the peace” but to serve unknown, implicit goals. The second is if the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) are accomplices with Hamas in the 7/10 terrorist attacks on Israel.

I do not know which scenario between the aforementioned two is preventing the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) from assisting the Israelis openly to find the Hamas terrorists, as they did in Afghanistan when they went into this country to help the United States following the 9/11 terrorist attacks. What I do know is that people from around the world are coming together in support of the Palestinians and demanding that the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) interfere and stop the killing of innocent Palestinian men and women by the Israeli military following the October 7, 2023, attacks, instead of appreciating or acknowledging all the sacrifices that the Israeli military is making on its own to prevent Hamas from carrying another similar terrorist attack on any UN member states in the future. Two scenarios could be motivating this overwhelming support for the Palestinians by people from around the world, despite all the reverse propaganda that the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) such as the United States, Egypt, Jordan, UAE, NATO, etc. are spreading to defend the right of the Israeli government to use force to defeat the Hamas terrorists:

The first is if people’s distrust with the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and all their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) is high around the world. In other words, people saw how western European monarchs and republics and their critical thinkers and servants, such as the United States, talk about democracy, human rights, and the rule of law only when those concepts served their interests. However, when those concepts are in conflict with their interests, then the western European monarchs and republics (i.e., Great Britain, France, Spain, Norway,

Germany, etc.) and their critical thinkers and servants, such as the United States, Canada, Australia, NATO, the United Nations, EU, World Bank, IMF, etc., undermine them and find excuses to justify why they are breaking them.

The second is if the imagination of the victors of WW2 and all their critical thinkers and servants is hindering them from the right way and “making their deeds appeal to them, although they are capable of reasoning,” as suggested in the Quran. [Surah Al Ankabut 29:38] To put it differently, there is no difference between a man and an animal. They both kill their own kind and prey on each other. The only difference is that people legitimize and enforce laws, so such standards act as a warning of what might await the person who violates the laws that the fittest legitimize. Animals do not develop laws (standards or norms) to regulate their relationships. Animals appear to be wiser than humans in this aspect because each species lives with its own kind, and each species lets mockery run within the group only instead of spreading and being universal. In other words, animals do not get together and form peaceful congregations, so they can use such platforms as instruments to make it easy for the fittest (the lion) to prey on the weakest later. In fact, the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their children (their heirs) to organize people in the same way animals do it in the aftermath of WW2. That is to say, the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their children (their heirs) to oversee that people in each community begin to cooperate in accordance with the UN Charter and the UDHR and did not counsel them to be devoted to creating new units of authorities (international organizations, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, etc.) and then to get their ways despite the resistance of others, by putting pressure on the weak to observe the numerous covenants, agreements, and contracts that those units of authority legitimize, and when the weak refuse to voluntarily observe them, use force to make them (the weak) surrender freedom to them (the laws).

I will justify why it would be naive to assume that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their children (their heirs) to organize people in the aftermath of WW2 in a manner other than in the same way animals do it (or stay together) next.

What differentiates people from animals is that people are programmed with an ingenuity to shape forms that animals have not demonstrated that they possess yet (i.e., mold a vase, dismember a person, etc.). Accordingly, people who use force or want to put their opponent to death must always keep a low profile. This is because when a person kills another person, the friends of the deceased get upset and most of the time, decide to take revenge. It would be naive to assume that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their children (their heirs) to be devoted to creating new units of authorities (international organizations, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, etc.) and to have its members legitimize covenants, agreements, and contracts that they do not observe themselves and just depend on to give pretexts to use force to make the weak surrender freedom to them. That is to say, when the victors of WW2 increase dynamism, this process acts like the wind. When the wind speed rises, it howls, and everyone can hear this sound. Accordingly, it would be naive to assume that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their children (their heirs) to create new units of authority (international organizations, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, etc.) and to have its members legitimize covenants, agreements, and contracts that they do not observe themselves, because the friction that all those units of authority (i.e., those obstacles) would be creating would be making sound, just as happens with the wind when it encounters obstacles. In other words, the friction that those units of authorities have been creating since 1945 has been effecting the international order in the same way that a referee signals with a whistle to spectators the start of a play. Everyone could hear the sound. It would be naive to assume that the founding fathers of the UN (the old powers) counselled their children (their heirs) to increase friction, because every person can then know that they are not serious about their commitment or determination to reduce fear and want or to secure the UN goals and refuse to want to stay with them. To keep their dominant role, the victors of WW2 must operate in the same way professional killers do. They keep a low profile. Likewise, when a lion attacks a prey, he does not announce to the herd his intentions.

Friction produces sound waves, which will shrink or expand depending on the level of the noise. Evidently, this rise in insecurity that swept the world in recent years is because the noise that the

different units of authorities (governments and international institutions) that the victors of WW2 have created since 1945 have been upping the level of corruption, disregard, killing, abuse, destruction, etc., thus preventing all the people who are being hurt, harmed, injured, or suffered wrong at their hands from moving on.

Generally speaking, people use whistling, sirens, loud speakers, etc. to call attention, warn, or signal something to someone. Those loud, attention-grabbing sounds can be intermittent or constant. As of 1945, this killing cycle that the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) of the victors of WW2 have been refusing to ease appears to be making constant wailing sounds just as sirens installed on emergency vehicles such as an ambulance, police car, or fire truck make, and not a high-pitched sound made by blowing air through a whistle that referees, coaches, or sports officials usually use to indicate a foul, stoppage, or start of play, but then stops.

If the victors of WW2 continue to be against putting pressure on their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) in order to learn how to distinguish between good and evil better, it would not be possible for those individuals involved to dislike killing if, to be safe, a person must kill or weaken his opponent first. In addition, if when the fittest lets his ego make his deeds appeal to him and kills carelessly, he could kill people who are law-obedient and devoted to the political order that the fittest is legitimizing and enforcing, then the victors of WW2 could be doomed if they do not intervene and make their critical thinkers and servants practice tolerance. In fact, this is what has been happening exactly as of October 7, 2023, in the Israeli Hamas War. The western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and all their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) such as the United States, China, Russia, Egypt, Jordan, NATO, the United Nations, EU, World Bank, IMF, etc. are letting their ego and despise of the Palestinians triumph over reason and making them act carelessly, including going along with the Israeli army killing innocent Palestinians, men, women, and children who are law-obedient and devoted to the political order that the victors of WW2 are legitimizing and enforcing.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) are concluding that people from around the world are not astonished with the apathetic attitude that they have been taking in the face of all the Palestinians and Israelis that are getting killed daily since the start of the Israeli-Hamas war, and that the horror that they (the victors of WW2) decided to be live streamed is not making people and all the relatives and friends of the people who are killed distrust them and unprepared to forgive them or to want to stay with them. Many questions beg answers. Will all the people and the relatives and friends of the Palestinians and Israelis who were being killed daily since the start of the Israeli-Hamas war forgive the western European monarchs and republics and move on with their lives when all their assassins (i.e., governments and international institutions) are violating the principal rule of a killer? This principle is not to let the despise of the enemy or the satisfaction of the killing appeal or forgo the importance of keeping a low profile or acting carelessly.

Said differently, in the real world, when a killer or animal acts carelessly, he dies easily. Can the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) count on people wanting to stay with them and to let them have more powers than others when all the assassins or hitmen (governments and international institutions) that they are training to protect them and depending on to help them keep their dominant role are killing innocent people in recent years more than the people who deserve death? Can the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) make the friends of the innocent Palestinian (or Israeli) men, women, and children that the Israeli army is killing as of October 7, 2023, because supposedly Hamas fighters are hiding among the civilians in Gaza, and in schools and hospitals, trust them and be in favor of wanting to stay with them, when they (the victors of WW2) refuse to even fake such a scenario so people could justify their silence? In other words, have some people impersonate Hamas fighters hiding in a hospital or a tunnel and capture them. Likewise, can the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) make the friends of the innocent Palestinian men, women, and children that the Israeli army is killing as of October 7, 2023, believe that they have no power over the Israeli army to allow that food and medicine enter Gaza, or fake that this is

happening when all the fallen casualties died with their weapons? Similarly, can the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) make the friends of the innocent Palestinian men, women, and children that the Israeli army is killing as of October 7, 2023, believe that they have no power over Egypt, Jordan, Syria, and Lebanon to open their borders so the Palestinians who want to flee could do it when the whole world knows that the regimes in those countries in question are their puppets?

As the above shows, either the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) are underestimating people's minds or the pride of the victors of WW2 is blinding them. In other words, the victors of WW2 do not want to accept that a large number of people have access to the world wide web, and only a few people do not believe that they do not know more than Einstein or that they can do their job better.

Indeed, people appear to have had enough with the barrage of lies and hostile attitudes by the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW1 and WW2) towards the Palestinians since 1917 and lots of other crises around the world. Likewise, people around the world appear to have had enough with all the peace conferences that critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are constantly organizing and the denunciations, condemnations, appeals, etc. that ensue. The security situation that has existed in Ukraine, Libya, Yemen, Syria, Iraq, Lebanon, Iraq, and all the rest of the UN members in recent years shows that people are detached from the declarations made. Most importantly, people around the world appear to have had enough with the tricks and the illegal methods that their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are constantly masterminding in the name of helping the poor, improving social justice, and upholding the rule of law.

For example, in 2013-2015, a UN staff member was working in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) for UNOPS (a UN agency), and when he refused to go along with the decision of his senior managers using the UN bank account as a means to launder money, his senior managers asked him to pick between two choices: the first is to

transfer temporarily to Sudan to give them time to investigate and take action, or wait in the DRC until his contract expires to go home permanently. In Sudan, this UN staff member committed the same mistake. He refused to prioritize the business interests of the United Nations (as his senior managers were using this legal entity to achieve) over the collective interests of humanity. He refused to accept the request of a DFID (the British government) official in Sudan to violate the UN financial rules and regulations on a project that Dfid was financing, and he was negotiating with this agency because this action could destabilize the credibility and security of Great Britain and, in particular, the British Crown.

The courage that this former UN staff member demonstrated cost him dearly. When he refused to trade the values enshrined in the UN Charter and the UDHR to stay in his job, his senior managers contrived a plot and accused him of being a threat to the United Nations, including using their power to end his contract and to trample on his dignity, values, and everything he stands for. Next, the UN senior manager, UN lawyers, and UN judges ganged on him and dismissed the application he filed in the UN courts in 2017 on technical grounds.

It is important that readers note that the UN senior managers who abused their authority and trampled on the career, dignity, and values of this former UN staff member continued on using their power to serve their own propensity at this global council until the year 2022, when they reached the end of the line. In the year 2022, the UN senior managers who were using the United Nations to run their own businesses were finally caught (refer to the 2022 UNOPS scandal, which Mukesh Kapila exposed by visiting www.mukeshkapila.org). The UNOPS scandal permitted that the entire world mock the United Nations and mock the countries that the UN officials who were using this organization to do their business came from.

The UN staff who the United Nations trampled on his livelihood, his dignity, and even the UN Charter, the UDHR, Great Britain, the US, and all the UN member states when this organization cancelled his application in the UN judicial system on technical grounds wrote to the UNOPS new executive director and his senior managers to request

that they (the United Nations) reopen and review his case, and the answer he got was that this case is closed.

As the above example shows, the decision of the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) to rely on critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who are abusing their authority and using their power or privilege to throw people's rights out in the trash basket of history instead of protecting it, show that the victors of WW2 are relying on helpers (governments and international institutions) who have no idea whatsoever about why the old powers (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) dismantled their empires and invited the vanquished societies and the societies that they were dominating to cooperate together in the economic and political field in the aftermath of WW2. Most importantly, the victors of WW2 do not appear to be relying on critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who are making people love them and wanting to stay with them, but on officials who are persuading people to hate them (the victors of WW2) and despise them. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because when people become disenchanted or dissatisfied with the political order, their inclination to support reform rises.

For example, while the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal policeman (the United States) were busy focusing on creating UN agencies and international institutions so that the individuals involved realize the full development of their personality instead of realizing the explicit and implicit goals that motivated the fathers of the victors of WW2 (i.e., the old powers) to dismantle their empires and to invite the vanquished societies and the societies that they were dominating to cooperate together in the economic and political field, their adversaries were using the power vacuum that they were creating to rely on the power of change to have a seat at the round table. For instance, China has managed to show in the last few decades that it is possible to become a superpower while practicing authoritarianism blended with socialism and communism. In other words, China showed that it is possible for a socialist, authoritative society to enter the capitalist market that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal policeman (the United States) control and play by its

rules and still emerge as a superpower. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal policeman (the United States) keep their dominant role by practicing authoritarianism blended with freedom and capitalism. If it is possible to become a superpower by practicing authoritarianism blended with socialism and communism, this means that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policeman (the United States) have two choices ahead of them to keep their dominant role. The first is to switch and to start to practice the same political order that China uses, which we already know is antidemocratic. The second is if the victors of WW2 continue to rely on the same ideas that they are depending on to keep their dominance on count of people's tolerance with their false promises does not run high enough to the point of pushing them to withdraw their obligation to obey.

But, as I said before, none of the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) of the victors of WW2 are practicing what they are preaching, and they are all stressing people and making them rely on their self-help instead of the rule of law to improve social cohesion. And since most of the critical thinkers and servants of the victors of WW2 are using the idea of freedom and social justice as a tool to deepen their own propensity or to make people hate the victors of WW2 and despise them, not necessarily to love them (the victors of WW2), this means that it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to keep their dominant role if they continue to be doing as they have been doing since 1945, that is, to adopt military and political solutions whose timing is not correct. This is because timing is everything. Timing "serves a role to uphold cohesive order and orchestrate the natural flow of events."

For example, when the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policeman (the United States) were busy focusing on creating UN agencies and international institutions so that the individuals involved accelerate corruption, betrayal, disregard, etc., this action did not fall into place and prevented the Chinese and others from seizing this opportunity to rely on the power of change to become a superpower. Likewise, when

the victors of WW2 and their principal global policeman were busy focusing on how to protect the absolute monarchies that they created in the Middle East to prevent their adversaries from controlling the oil and gas sector, this action did not fall into place and prevented the families/dynasties that control those absolute monarchies from seizing this opportunity of being capable of violating the UN Charter and the UNDHR and to rely on the power of change to learn how to corrupt the FIFA and to make them award the 2022 FIFA to Qatar instead of these games being held in countries that have strong football culture. Similarly, since the victors of WW2 deemed that it was better if the military exercise control over Egypt after President Mursi was ousted instead of another democratically elected regime, we find the Egyptians are not building mosques since 2014 but large ministries, some of which are shaped in the form of an octagon instead of a pentagon.

Can the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) still come up with the right traction so people around the world do not gang on them and reverse them to a simpler form after they decided to violate the work program (the international rule-based postwar world order) that their fathers (the old powers) suggested that they stay faithful to its principles to keep their dominant in order to achieve the same end through the intermediary of false teachers, such as the UN senior officials and UN judges who thought that if they deny this former UN staff rights to have his application admitted in the UN tribunal that they could get away and the entire world would not know about how they are abusing their authority?

"Castles in the Air" is a song by American singer-songwriter Don Mclean, which he originally released as a single in 1971. The song describes "a man who is unsatisfied with and weary of an urban lifestyle. Although native to the city, he decides to forsake not only his urban "castle in the air" but also his love interest there. Because of his desire for and love of a country life, he decides to seek romance with a like-minded woman."

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 are building a castle in the air instead of a castle on land since 1945. What I do know is that the deep cultural divide (changes) and profound disillusion with the

results that the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants have so far obtained support that people love interest in their ideas is shrinking, and a good number of people are seeking “romance” with like-minded individuals (i.e., rise of tribalism or distinction). Evidently, if more people become disillusioned with the victors of WW2 and the constant flux of new UN goals and corresponding new units of authority that their critical thinkers and servants create, then they could be contending with a new generation that could no longer believe in the utopian dreams that they are always promoting and are equally constantly coming up with excuses to justify why they have no results to show.

Put differently, if this rise in intolerance that people are expressing in recent years represents a shift away from the naïve and innocent generation that individuals like this UN staff member whose story was depicted earlier believed was possible, and a more darker generation rises, then the victors of WW2 may not be able to preserve their power easily if the number of people who are listening to their own voice becomes greater than the number of people who are listening to theirs. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because what this darker generation would be colluding against is the idea of freedom, not just the victors of WW2. When the idea of freedom gets defeated and people return to building relations based on pre-1945 political orders, as has been the case in most countries in recent years, most people will go back to relying on distinction and their self-help to get their way despite the resistance of others. When people start to sell to the highest bidders their will, the power of change stops to become a binding relationship between people and converts into a commodity. When people stop having a binding political order, telling the truth becomes a liability. When people stop being able to reveal the facts to each other, they stop being of value to each other. The victors of WW2 preserve their power when people observe the political, economic, and cultural framework they legitimize and enforce, and not when the international order becomes like a cloth (fabric) that is rotten and full of holes. Can the victors of WW2 rejuvenate their power in a world where its people, instead of interesting themselves in it to improve social cohesion, are interesting themselves in it to be clustered into different security, military, cultural frameworks, and the web of allies and alliances that each cluster form is programmed to backstab the

other and not to create balance or ordered relations? In other words, can the victors of WW2 keep their dominant role in a world in which China is preserving its power by preaching and practicing authoritarianism blended with socialism and communism, India is practicing authoritarianism blended with democracy and human rights, the United States is practicing authoritarianism blended with freedom and capitalism, Iran is practicing authoritarianism blended with religion and democracy, etc.?

Then again, all the aforementioned nations have not had a continued grip on power as the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) have had over the last millennia, and therefore, the victors of WW2 should have known better about allowing their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to disintegrate (destroy) the international order after their fathers (the old powers) united people together. Accordingly, many questions beg answers. Can the victors of WW2 avoid having nations become partitioned if the power of change is a force that is constantly working to transition people toward living under a power vacuum? In other words, the power of change makes a person prioritize greed or individualism over collectivism. Can the victors of WW2 make people in the future agree to staying with them and to letting them have more powers than others when they appear to be making choices that support the idea that their power, wealth, and status are no longer of paramount importance to them? That is to say, the victors of WW2 stopped with wanting that people believe that the international rule-based post-war world order that their fathers (the old powers) legitimized at the end of WW2 is capable of reducing fear and want, based on the way they are letting their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) trod on it and indirectly on them.

Indeed, the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) could be relying on the digital age to preserve their power in the future. At the same time, if the victors of WW2 are not capable of making their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) observe the political order they legitimize, it would be naive to suppose that they can control those actors through the intermediary of the digital age.

Once again, I have no way of knowing why the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) are allowing their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to regard themselves exempt from observing the UN Charter and the UDHR and are letting them justify their defeat when they know very well that strategic interests are above all else. In other words, the western European monarchs and republics should know more than anyone else that if they want to play the deputy of God role, the concept of democracy, human rights, and rule of law are the legal framework that helps them preserve their power, wealth, and status, and therefore, they should not allow people to doubt their commitment to it. This means that it is in their (the victors of WW2) best interests that their critical thinkers and servants observe the legal and constitutional frameworks they legitimize, so people have no reason to withdraw their obligation to obey. Yet instead of the victors of WW2 being in favor of limiting the corruption and disregard of their critical thinkers and servants so people do not perceive that they are violating with their global policemen (the United States) the value that they are preaching and want others to observe, the victors of WW2 believe that allowing their critical thinkers and servants to entangle people in reckless operations would not produce products that could threaten their power, wealth, and status to irreparable levels instead of rejuvenating it. In other words, the victors of WW2 do not believe that the product of the imprudent operations that they are giving their critical thinkers and servants their non-objection to implement could tear down the international order to a point where the discord would make people reject the natural and legal rights that they are legitimizing and enforcing, including making them withdraw their obligation to obey (i.e., make people distrust what public officials say).

Put differently, the multiplication of whole numbers may be thought of as “repeated addition; that is, the multiplication of two numbers is equivalent to adding as many copies of one of them, the multiplicand, as the quantity of the other one, the multiplier; both numbers can be referred to as factors.” For example, 4 multiplied by 3 is adding 3 copies of 4 together (3 is the multiplier and 4 is the multiplicand).

If the longer the victors of WW2 violate the value that they are preaching and want others to observe, they would be adding as many copies of the same problem (i.e., the unpreparedness of people to obey), then the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) could be doomed. This is because the “thing” that they are creating more copies of is not numbers but mental perception. And since every event has its own characteristics and its own attributes, and no two events are the same events, this means that the victors of WW2 could be entangling themselves and the world in a complex operation (relationship) that it would not be possible for them to repair or to walk out unharmed from unless they create a new reset (tangle the world in a new global war in the hope of them walking out as the victor) or if they go back to practicing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Either outcome requires that they make big compromises. Yet instead of the victors of WW2 being in favor of improving the accountability of their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions), thus avoiding that they become obliged to make compromises, their pride or arrogance is blinding them. The conviction of the victors of WW2 about being more powerful than the rulers or kings that preceded them reached on October 7, 2023, new heights unknown to men in recent history. The pride or arrogance of the victors of WW2 made them not ashamed if people around the world follow or watch live on TV, as if they are following a soap opera, how their weapons are killing innocent Palestinian men, women, and children, whose only crime is that they have been demanding since 1948 that they be granted rights to cooperate with the Israeli Jews or their neighbors in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. In other words, instead of the victors of WW2 buying with their money a large plot of land and transferring the Palestinians into it since they do not want them to live in this territory that before 1948 was called Palestine but after Israel, and in this way people from around the world could start to love them, they are buying with their money the weapons that the Israeli army is using to kill the Palestinians and expect that people do not falter their love for them.

As I said before, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are counting on making their citizens and people in all UN member states stay with them and to let them accept that they have more

powers than others after they decided to inflame people's sentiments around the world by making them follow live how their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are trodding on the international standards that their fathers (the old powers) legitimized and are regarding themselves exempt from observing suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR instead of to limit and control people's feelings. In fact, it is not enough that the victors of WW2 have not shown since October 7, 2023, any remorse or shame, thus demanding that this killing of Palestinians and Israelis that is being streamlined live on television stop, but very recently, they allowed their servants (governments and international institutions) to debate at the UN International Court of Justice if the Israeli government should stop killing innocent Palestinian men, women, and children or not. What I do know is that if the victors of WW2 do not want that people withdraw their obligation to obey the international rule-based post-war political world order that they started to depend on to rejuvenate their power after they dismantled their empires in 1945, they need to make sure that all the live pictures that they wanted be streamlined live about people being killed and hospitals, schools, or shelters being destroyed do not make people around the world feel helpless, cry, or feel sad or angry.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are concluding that all the horror pictures that they are streaming live on television daily about Palestinian and Israeli people being killed in the ongoing Israeli-Hamas war are not making people around the world distrust them, and most importantly, defining them in the same way the killing of innocent Palestinian men and women by the Israeli military in the Palestinian territories and Gaza is defining the Israeli Jews. What I do know is that if the victors of WW2 want to keep their dominant role, they need to keep the societies (countries) that they use to administer the money supply of the world or the military complex self-content and happy. Most importantly, the victors of WW2 must keep citizens in those countries in question disinterested and uncurious about knowing what is happening beyond their own shadow. Not to mention, exposing them (those citizens) only to what they (the victors of WW2) want them to know or see.

Accordingly, I have no way of knowing how successful the propaganda machinery that the victors of WW2 depend on to shift the sentiments and convictions of the populace that administers the money supply of the world, or the military complex on their behalf has been. What I do know is that despite all the media censorship that the victors of WW2 employ to make the populace that administers the money supply of the world or the military complex for them hear or see only what they want them to know about their bias and/or if they are violating their pledge “to establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained,” they and their critical thinkers and servants failed to sever (break) whatever ties people have with the principles suggested in the UN Charter, the UDHR, Constitutions, religious scriptures and philosophical books, thus enabling to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, people preserve their security, wealth, power, and status by forgoing the security, wealth, power, and status of others. That is to say, the ideas of democracy, freedom, human rights, etc. are all pretext that people (the fittest) depend on to get in control of such violence-wielding concepts to enable them afterwards to make people (the weak) stay with them (the fittest) and to grant the fittest more powers than others.

Therefore, when the victors of WW2 censor (withhold) from the populace that they depend on to administer the money supply of the world or the military complex information about how they are biased and how they violate the pledge they made in the UN Charter “to establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained,” they are not doing it for fear of judgment only, but to protect their dependent people as well. For example, when the victors of WW2 let the Israelis and the Palestinians wipe each other out, as they have been doing since October 7, 2023, they (the victors of WW2) forgo the threat that the Israelis and the Palestinians combined can pose to them (the victors of WW2) and the populace that stays with them. Accordingly, citizens in countries such as Great Britain, France, Spain, Germany, the United States, Australia, Canada, etc.

should be happy that their rulers and political class are not interfering during the on-going Israeli-Hamas war and letting the Israelis and the Palestinians wipe each other out. This is because when the Israelis and the Palestinians cancel each other out, as I just said, it is them (i.e., citizens in countries such as Great Britain, France, Spain, Germany, the United States, Australia, Canada, etc.) who would profit the most.

However, rather than the people who live in western Europe, the United States, Australia, Canada, etc. sidelining with the political decisions that their rulers and political class have been taking on the Israeli-Hamas war since the October 7, 2023, attacks (i.e., provide the Israelis and the Palestinians weapons to kill each other), thus permitting them to continue to be profiting from all the modernization that they know, those nationals want that the ongoing killing and destruction that is waging in Gaza as of October 7, 2023, stops, and that their rulers and political class work to secure the UN goals. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the reaction of the nationals of those aforementioned countries means that the happiness that their rulers and political class are foregoing from others on their behalf so they can stay ahead is not something that they are weighing its implications on their well-being.

If the people who the victors of WW2 need to depend on to direct the violence that concepts such as democracy, freedom, and human rights levy or can forgo in the social order of other societies, are against that their rulers forgo the happiness to increase theirs and are not accepting the stories that their rulers and political class are telling them about why they need to be supporting the foreign policies that they are defining in the Israeli-Hamas War and are challenging them more than other societies over it, then two motives could be propelling this disconnect.

The first is if the people who live in western Europe, the United States, Australia, Canada, etc. are not able to become as inhumane as their rulers and political class are and sever (break) their humane ties with their Israeli and Palestinian brothers and sisters who are being killed with the weapons that their own hands are researching and developing. The second is if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) do

not believe that their most trusted allies (i.e., their citizens, such as chiefs, judges, teachers, law enforcement officers, etc.) can become their worst enemies if they were to distrust them.

Once again, I have no way of knowing which scenario between the two is propelling the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) to undermine the outcry or disapproval of their citizens with the political and economic foreign and national policies or solutions that they have been adopting in recent years. What I do know, as I said before, is that no matter how disenchanting the most trusted allies (i.e., their citizens, such as chiefs, judges, teachers, law enforcement officers, etc.) of the victors of WW2 get, the victors of WW2 need to make sure that public disapproval (anger) stays limited to the type of frustration or support that happens when spectators make large noise in stadiums, but when the game ends, each spectator goes his own way and leaves his frustration or support in the stadium.

Indeed, the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) have managed, following the Ukraine-Russia war and now the Israeli-Hamas war, to keep, so far, the disapproval of their most trusted allies (i.e., their citizens, such as chiefs, judges, teachers, law enforcement officers, etc.) with their handling of those two wars manifested in the collective form and not transform into a personal fight or conquest. But again, if rulers and kings could have been capable of keeping the disapproval of their most trusted allies (i.e., their dependent people) limited to venting anger or support as spectators do in stadiums, we would all have been living under the Greek empire, and Athens would have been the capital of the world. If, in theory, the victors of WW2 would not be able to make their dependent people accept all the disregard and contempt that they commit, which will eventually backfire on them, why are they (the victors of WW2) allowing their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions), starting with their principal global policemen, the United States, to violate the UN Charter and the UDHR?

I have no way of knowing for how long the victors of WW2 could keep their citizens and others venting their disapproval with the foreign and national political and economic policies that their critical

thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are taking as they have since the start of the Israeli Hamas War in marches but without their anger transforming into a personal fight or conquest. Most importantly, I have no way of knowing why the victors of WW2 are letting people around the world become disenchanted and even disgusted with the United States in particular.

In my opinion, the character assassination that the United States is enduring is designed and falls within the overall plan of the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) to establish a world government. In other words, the victors of WW2 are working on dismantling the political stability and military superiority of the United States, which they built and started to depend on to keep their dominant role after they dismantled their empires, in order to let the United Nations start to play this role in partnership with the digital age. This disintegration process could be bloody or peaceful, as happened when the Soviet Union collapsed and the nuclear arsenal of this bloc was handed to one state in this former union, i.e., Russia.

As far as the reason why the victors of WW2 are not afraid that their most trusted allies (i.e., their dependent people) transform their disapproval of the way they are handling the Israeli-Hamas war, the Ukrainian-Russia war, and many other wars that are waging around the world, switching from being peaceful to being violent could be due to their conviction that they are invincible. That is to say, people usually avoid repeating the same mistakes they make twice. Accordingly, the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) believe that even if the world becomes engulfed in a new global war (or series of civil wars), they would be capable of steering their events toward producing the outcomes that they anticipated.

I would not know from where to start to determine if the victors of WW2 have by now developed the capacity to steer violence in such a way that its outcome could always produce the outcomes that they anticipate. In other words, I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 are now capable in the digital age, and all the critical thinkers and servants that they control and command make every member of the human family acknowledge and approve their superiority. Most importantly, come to terms with living without any political rights, just

as people were doing when King Nebuchadnezzar was killing, persecuting, and promoting at his leisure, and without thinking that there is a power that is much mightier than him and could humble him.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 are now capable of making people observe the standards that they legitimize, but without those standards being observed by them or at least by the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who they depend on to keep their dominant role. What I do know is that in the Bible, it is suggested that God chose only the Jews to be his own possession among all the peoples, [Exodus 19:5] and nowhere in the Bible is it mentioned that God appointed deputies on earth. All the individuals who claimed to be his prophets, including Jesus, who even claimed to be his son, did not suggest that God had appointed a deputy on earth.

Indeed, the persistent civil wars that engulfed the world as of 1945 and the large number of sanctions that the victors of WW2 are imposing on nations and societies that refuse to do as per what they want (or asking their principal global policemen the United States to impose so people do not dislike them so much), could all be part of the plans that they (the victors of WW2) are depending on so no society or nation can rival them or challenge their superiority. But if God did not appoint them (the victors of WW2) to be his deputies and He (God) chose to put His laws directly into the minds of the people, then the victors of WW2 may have a big problem in their hands if they continue to want to make people consider their opinion before taking action on their own but without their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) leading by example first. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because what is at stake here is not having the Chinese, or the Egyptians, or the Greeks, or the Italians, or the Persians, or the Muslims, etc. rule the international order instead of keeping the western European monarchs and republics doing it, or engulfing the world in a global war and destroying human progress, but the idea of freedom. If the American culture becomes fragmented or lost, the international order would become like a pot of milk that is being converted into yoghurt with a bad culture of yoghurt - it will never become yoghurt no matter how hard one tries.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are concluding that if they let their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR (starting with the rulers and political class in the United States – the global policemen of the world), such comportment would not disintegrate their power and fragment along the most important culture that mankind has produced since the story of the flood (i.e., the American culture), thus returning mankind to living in the same way people were doing in the dark ages. The only difference is that instead of people determining who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action of their own through knives and swords, they would be doing it through drones, lasers, and guns.

Indeed, the entire humanity appears to be “dancing on the edge of an abyss” in recent years, and the large collective protests that are being organized around the world to demand that the victors of WW2 stop to be in favor of letting their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regard themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR support that people’s firm belief in the capacity of the victors of WW2 to stabilize the international rule-based postwar world order is shrinking. The problem is that if people continue to doubt that the American rulers and political class are far better for the world to improve peace and security (despite all the evil that they are committing) than any rulers and political class that are rivaling them, mankind could spiral into an insecurity that would be worse than people imagine, or after mankind shelved religiosity and began to rely on awareness and logic to dominate and exploit each other.

Vladimir I. Lenin suggested that “there are decades where nothing happens, and there are weeks when decades happen.” This phrase by Vladimir I. Lenin warns about the “tendency of a society to remain stable on the surface for long periods of time, during which various social, economic, and political problems continue to fester on the inside, until the tensions and internal contradictions become desperate and chaotic enough” and explode.

I have no way of knowing if this abrupt rise in intolerance or insecurity that has swept the world in recent years is due to the victors of WW2 abiding by pre-1945 rules when most people already know that this old method is not sustainable or if people are becoming “open and willing to fight for real change following decades upon decades of accumulated grievances.” What I do know is that because events are independent, their outcome is random and can be either positive or negative.

For example, the western European monarchs and republics (the old powers) managed to stay powerful and influential over the last millennia by ensuring that the societies that they were dominating and exploiting go through their darkest times. In other words, the old powers preserved their power by preventing the societies that they were dominating and exploiting from establishing punctuated equilibrium, among other criteria.

Punctuated equilibrium is defined as “evolutionary development marked by isolated episodes of rapid speciation between long periods of little or no change.” Speciation is defined as “the formation of new and distinct species in the course of evolution.” That is to say, if we want the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) to stay powerful and influential in the future, we need to ensure that the changes that are happening do not settle into a new normal that would negatively impact their dominant role, leading to new societies starting to play their role.

For example, until 2019, or before the first cases of the coronavirus disease were detected, people were working from offices. However, as of 2019, or when COVID 19 started, many people started staying and working from home. This new situation became the new normal. Accordingly, the victors of WW2 expect that their power, wealth, and status will stay unaffected despite the changes that resulted in the way a good number of people are still doing business despite the fact that COVID cases shrank and there is no reason for people to work from home.

The same can be said about the decision of the Israeli government to not kill all the Palestinians during the Israeli-Palestinian war in 1948.

By showing pity in 1948, the attacks by the Palestinians against the Israelis continued and became regular, culminating in the October 7, 2023, attacks. Accordingly, the Israeli Jews in Israel expect that their power, wealth, and status stay unaffected despite the many calls that people from all sides make to end this conflict and allow the Palestinians to form an independent state on the basis of the 1967 borders or integrate into the political order of the state on equal rights.

As we saw in those two aforementioned examples, the individuals who dominate each context expect that their grip on power does not change irrespective of the circumstances or changes that each specific context encounters or goes through.

Then again, when we compare how public opinion around the world toward the state of Israel changed from October 7, 2023, until today's date as compared to how the case was from 1948 to October 7, 2023, we find that the suggestion Vladimir I. Lenin made about how "There are decades where nothing happens, and there are weeks when decades happen." For example, following all the killing of innocent men, women, and children that the Israeli military committed without discrimination and in view of the whole world, most people around the world stopped to be adamant about the Palestinians staying without a state, and most people around the world are demanding that the Israeli Jews accept to let the Palestinians form an independent state on the basis of the 1967 borders or integrate in the political order of the state on equal rights. In fact, the majority of the UN states voted to demand that the Israeli government stop the Israeli-Hamas war and that Israel enforce the UN resolutions.

As the above shows, no one knows if the pity that the Israeli government showed since the October 7, 2023, attacks, and henceforth refusal to kill all the Palestinians, including letting the horror that the Israeli soldiers were committing be aired around the world is what reversed the world public opinion from being against the attacks Hamas propelled to being in favor of the state of Israel becoming partitioned in two so the Palestinians can form their own state, or if this reversal happened because turning away from the truth repels people away from each other.

It is important that readers keep in mind that this repulsion does not happen because we have people who behave rightly and follow the truth, or others who follow falsehood. As I said before, most people do not use the idea of good (democracy, freedom, equal rights, social justice, religion, etc.) to improve social cohesion but rather as instruments to make the weak stay with the fittest and to let the fittest have more powers than others. If people had observed the UN Charter, the UDHR, constitutions, religious scriptures, and philosophical books, the world would have been a lot safer a long time ago. This repulsion happens naturally in the same way elastic collisions happen. In an elastic collision, the object that collides with another object transfers all of its energy into it.

If whenever people turn away from the truth, their evil (disregard, corruption, deceit, crime) is transferred in the same way that happens when an elastic body collides with another object that is elastic or inelastic, this means that this overwhelming reversal of people around the world with being in favor of Israel annexing the Palestinian territories and Gaza, as the Israeli Prime Minister showed during the 2023 UN general assembly, has already happened, is a result of the negative energy that their impatience following the October 7, 2023 attacks transferred to people around the world. In other words, the crimes against humanity that the Israeli government was propelling and did not mind being aired out on television around the world when its leaders preferred to be impatient and began to kill innocent Palestinians, men and women, prompted people around the world to start to transfer this negative energy to each other, but in reverse order, because they stand to gain if the ongoing horror in Gaza is stopped. That is to say, the people who have relatives living in Gaza will be happy to see that this on-going brutality ends and their loved ones are saved. Likewise, all the people who are demanding that this war in Gaza end will be happy to know that they could start to sell their services to reconstruct or rebuild Gaza. In a nutshell, the biggest loser here is the Israeli government. All the efforts that the Israeli Jews have exhausted since the start of the 20th century to build a greater Israel have evaporated, or, let's say, been put on hold. In addition, if the Israeli government continues to slay innocent men and women in Gaza, they could persuade people from around the world to gang on them, as the suggestion made in the Quran about how "whoever kills a

soul unless for a soul it is as if he had slain mankind entirely.” [Surah Al-Ma'idah 5:32] It appears as if ending the random killing of Palestinians, including letting them form a neighboring state or letting them integrate into the political order of the state on equal rights, is a safer choice.

As the above shows, the haphazard and hasty behavior of the Israeli government following the October 7, 2013 Hamas attacks shattered all the dreams that the Israeli Jews had exhausted since the start of the 20th century to build a greater Israel. In like manner, we can say that if the victors of WW2 are turning away from the truth, the negative energy that they are emitting and is striking people could be repelling them away in the same way this negative energy repelled people away from being indifferent about the Palestinians having their own state to insisting on it.

Then again, the Israeli Jews could easily resolve their instability if they accepted to let the Palestinians form an independent neighboring state or integrate them into the political order of the state of Israel on an equal basis. The challenge that the victors of WW2 are confronting is much bigger than what the Israeli Jews are confronting since 1948. The victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) need to avoid the political corruption, disregard, and deceit that their critical thinkers and servants are constantly effecting after they granted them rights or privileges to be economic operators while they are regarding themselves exempt from the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR from persuading people in the course of time and things to stop to protest in groups peacefully and to begin to regard mankind's utopian aspiration to create standards that can secure that outcomes be shared on equal rights as their own.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if people around the world would start to regard the utopian ideas that the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants are constantly coming up with, such as ending poverty, social injustice, environmental pollution, etc., as possible and would regard themselves responsible for securing the standards peddled. What I do know is that such a turn could happen during periods of normal political changes (periods of relative peace) or abrupt political changes (periods of relative turbulence or wars).

The good news is that socialism and communism stick around or survive merely because those two political orders are default states that the fittest rests on to salvage what survives from his power when political change happens. For example, the outcomes that resulted from WW1 or WW2 (i.e., periods of rapid change) involved strengthening socialism rather than capitalism in the aftermath of each war. Similarly, the rapid and irrational political changes that the Israeli government decided to address the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks are forcing on the Israeli choices that they fought during an entire century to avoid (i.e., two state solutions or to integrate the Palestinians in the political order on an equal basis).

Indeed, the decision of the victors of WW2 to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN (their fathers) suggested to them to observe to keep their dominant role in order to be in favor of accelerating political and social change could not be strengthening their power, wealth, and status, but rather weakening it. In addition, to make matters worse, the victors of WW2 decided in the aftermath of WW2 to do contrary to what the Quran suggests that God instructed Muslims to do to keep their dominant role.

In the Quran in Surah Al-Mumtahanah, Muslims are warned against taking God's enemies and theirs as trusted allies and showing them affection even though they deny what has come to them of the truth. [Al-Mumtahanah 60:1]. The victors of WW2 did exactly that. They appointed critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who regard themselves exempt from observing the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. The victors of WW2 let their critical thinkers and servants in on their business in the same way Jesus let his twelve apostles in on his business. The only difference is that the apostle of Jesus helped him get his way despite the resistance of others. The critical thinkers and servants of the victors of WW2 have been shrinking the power, wealth, and status of their masters, including accelerating the disintegration of the most important milestone mankind has achieved since the story of the flood. This is the collapse of the idea of freedom and the collapse of the culture that can keep the idea of freedom alive (i.e., the American people).

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are determining that people would not gain the upper hand over them and over their critical thinkers and servants after they decided to ignore that we live in a world that is constantly undergoing rapid change and that accelerating the different stages that people awareness constantly undergo could not be making people around the world want to stay with them and to let them have more power than others while airing to them how the Israeli military is killing innocent Palestinian men, women, and children. What I do know is that if the victors of WW2 want to keep their dominant role while accelerating at the same time people's awareness, they would need to be constantly domesticating people or paralyzing their mental state, so they don't know if their response should be to fight, flight, or freeze.

Over again, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are concluding that if they confuse people as they have been doing with their critical thinkers and servants, especially since the fall of the Soviet Union in the 1990s, that this strategy would not give people too many choices at the same time. In other words, how do the victors of WW2 plan to prevent terrorist organizations such as the Taliban, the Iraqi popular movement, Hezbollah, Houthis, etc. from rising and bleeding them when the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who are protecting them are regarding themselves exempt from observing the UN Charter and the UDHR, and the majority are working to defeat them or to create a balance of power with them?

In fact, the question that begs answers is: how are the victors of WW2 concluding that as the world's economy changes or shifts toward green energy or the digital age, their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) would be of any benefit to them to keep their dominant role in the same way they were to their fathers during the 20th century when they helped them grow their economy from the oil industry, when everyone (starting with the global policemen the United States) has been violating the UN Charter and the UDHR since 1945?

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are concluding that people around the world would be ready to reframe how they

interpret information so its impact would not push them to want to change their futures but to accept to continue to orient them towards wanting to stay with them and to grant them more powers than others, just as the needle of a compass always points toward the north magnetic pole under the effect of Earth's magnetic field. What I do know is that Einstein suggested that “we can not solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.” That is to say, people’s thinking must evolve beyond the problem.

Again, I have no way of knowing what type of new information the victors of WW2 plan to come up with to re-engineer people relations in the future so as to make them ready to voluntarily surrender freedom while they (the victors of WW2) are prioritizing their self-interests and that of their critical thinkers and servants over the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. What I do know is that there are two operations that people could use to proclaim themselves “dictators for life,” as Julius Caesar did in 44 BC. The first is through the colonialist expansionism experiment, which the founding fathers of the United States adopted until the end of the 19th century, and whose history from 1776 until today supports that it was a successful experiment despite all the partisanship that the Americans have known in recent years. The second is to achieve this end by adopting the same cancelling culture approach that the victors of WW2 are employing and depending on as of 1945 to keep their dominant role.

I strongly believe that the colonialist expansionism experiment that the founding fathers of the United States adopted when they were forming the union is a better choice for the victors of WW2 to become “dictators for life” than relying on the complex method that they have been using since the fall of the Soviet Union, perhaps because they are becoming impatient or they believe that they can now, with the United Nations and the digital age, retire the idea of independent national government.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the American colonialist expansionism experiment was composed of two territorial expansionism approaches: a hard power approach (i.e., a bloody approach) and a soft persuasive approach (i.e., soft power).

The hard power expansionism experiment or approach cannot be of any use to the victors of WW2 as of 1945 because the victors of WW2 control all the land around the world already. In other words, there is no territorial gain that the victors of WW2 could integrate or add to their realm as of 1945 because they control the international order and the money supply of the world in its entirety already (i.e., all the land).

To keep on dominating the world for eternity, the victors of WW2 can rely on the soft power formula that the American colonialists employed, particularly during the integration of the western part of the United States into the union, or on rapid and irrational political changes, as they have been doing since the fall of the Soviet Union in particular, and the manner in which the Israeli government decided to address the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks represents a clear and powerful image.

I strongly believe that the hard power approach or the rapid and irrational political changes that the victors of WW2 have been using since the fall of the Soviet Union in particular to get their ways despite the resistance of others is not wise or shows good judgment. I believe that the soft power formula that the American colonialists employed, particularly during the integration of the western part of the United States into the Union, is a better choice to persuade or make the newborns (new people) or the existing people who become fed up with them (the victors of WW2) and think of disobeying them or consider splitting from wanting to live under their domination. In fact, I believe that this repressing method or formula works in the same way this operation gets performed on sets in mathematics.

In mathematics, a set is just a collection of distinct objects that could contain anything, such as people, animals, cars, homes, numbers, ideas, etc. Accordingly, we could say that the sets that exist in country A exist in country B as well. Since all the distinct objects that exist in each country, such as cars, homes, ideas, convictions, etc., are a function of people but of varying degrees, let us make them constant, and just focus on people. Let us also make constant the impact of the environment or the natural system, which is responsible for one country possessing gold, oil, or being capable of planting rice,

bananas, etc., and another deprived of such wealth or potentiality. In mathematics, the word intersection refers to the objects that “are” in two distinct sets. Let the word set refer to a country. The victors of WW2 divided people into different nationalities that occupied distinct geographical territories or countries. We can therefore say that this object, which is called a human being, intersects or exists in each country. In addition, in mathematics, the term union refers to all the objects that are in set A “or” set B. This object, which is called a human being, forms a union among all the existing nations. Hence, it is possible to take two sovereign countries, for example, and without having to make any territorial adjustments between the two (i.e., force a territorial gain), we can just make one surrender freedom to the other by simply making the people of the country who we want its elements to be considering the opinion of the other before taking action of its own to reject its ideas and to begin to adopt new ideas, then this strategy (operation) is capable of giving the same results that expansion through territorial gains (i.e., force or hard power) achieves.

If mathematically speaking, the idea of soft power is capable of persuading, influencing, repressing, etc. people and making them reject their existing ideas in order to adopt new ideas, and as I said before, we have the result of the American colonialist expansionism experiment, which supports to this day that it is possible to make adversaries or the weak surrender voluntarily freedom to the fittest; why are people in modern history refusing to surrender freedom to the victors of WW2 when they are employing the same strategies?

I have no way of knowing why, when the victors of WW2 began to employ the same experiment (soft formula) that their fathers employed in the United States in the 18th century to keep their dominant role, people were not ready to accept the new ideas that they were proposing in the UN Charter and the UDHR to make them reject their old ways of doing things and accept to voluntarily surrender freedom to them as the people who lived in the United States did in the days of the creation of this union. In fact, even after the American Civil War ended, the families/dynasties that were vanquished surrendered their freedom, did not form underground movements, and began to carry out unlawful acts in pursuit of their political aims, as people have been doing in recent years over small and irrelevant issues.

Hence, people are demonstrating in recent years the same insubordination that the western European colonists who were living in the Americas exhibited before they split from the British Crown, we can say that the refusal of people around the world to surrender freedom to the international political order that the victors of WW2 legitimized in the aftermath of WW2 could be due to mankind reliving the same events that our predecessors lived in the 17th and 18th centuries, but instead of their circumstances taking place in the Americas only, such events are occurring (are being played out) all over the world. In other words, in the same way people in the Americas were in the 18th century relying on wars, conflicts, disregard, etc., to determine who could get his way despite the resistance of others, existing people are doing the same today all over the world. That is to say, there is no difference between the way our predecessors were behaving in the 17th and 18th centuries in the Americas before they split from the British Crown and the way we are behaving today, except that the scale is different. The scale is irrelevant because human behavior has not changed, and the changes that the environment constantly undergoes have not changed either.

Many questions beg answers. But before I pose the question, I suggest making an analogy between the United States and the UAE, South Korea, etc. In my opinion, the modernization that exists in Dubai and South Korea exceeds the modernization that exists in the United States today. At the same time, everyone knows that the modernization that exists in Dubai and South Korea is not the result of the evolution of the Arabs or Koreans that live in those two countries. In other words, all the modernization that exists in Dubai and South Korea was imported into those two countries and is owned by foreign individuals, banks, businesses, or countries. That is to say, it does not mean that because the UAE sent an astronaut to the international space station or their pilots fly a modern airplane, they know how to build a space shuttle or know anything about aerodynamics.

In my opinion, the evolution of the United States happened in the same way as the evolution or transformation of Dubai, South Korea, Israel, Egypt, Turkey, etc. In other words, it is not the Americans who managed from 1776 until the date when WW2 was regarded to have

ended to develop modernly or industrially on their own, including managing to build an atomic bomb, but rather this progress was imported by the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) and owned by them, just as the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) with their principal allies, the United States, Canada, and Australia, own the progress that exists in Dubai, South Korea, China, India, Egypt, Brazil, Russia, etc. since 1945. If the old powers (Great Britain and others) did not drive the German physicists who helped the United States to build the atomic bomb to the docks to board the boats that sailed them to the US, most probably, they would have been still to this day working to build one in the same way the Iranians are trying.

If the aforesaid analogy about how the United States managed to evolve or progress following its creation contains any truth, we can say that the fathers of the victors of WW2 (i.e., the old powers) managed to control and command the United States and to keep their grip over its destiny (political and economic development) from 1776 until today. This is because in real life, people cannot fill the same void.

Let us take the date when the world wide web was introduced in the second half of the 20th century, which is also right around the date when the Soviet Union collapsed, as a reference point only, because it is possible to notice a change in the way people began to interrelate. In fact, as of the start of the 21st century, it is possible to easily detect that political partisanship in the United States moved (switched) to a new upbeat, which signals that there is a shift in the way that the old powers or the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world want to go about rejuvenating their power in the future.

Accordingly, we can say that the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world since the creation of the United States in 1776 until the start of the 21st century managed to demonstrate Vladimir Lenin's quote about how "there are decades where nothing happens, and there are weeks when decades happen," but without letting the events or circumstances that are happening in the few weeks have bearing on their power, wealth, and status or in the way they were rejuvenating their power.

If we compare how the actual kings and chiefs that control the western European monarchs and republics (i.e., the children of the kings and chiefs who won WW2) have been keeping their control or grip over the political and economic development destiny of the United States and the rest of the UN member states since the start of the 21st century until today, we do not find that the transformations that are happening (i.e., the patterns) are supporting the occurrence of the same transformations that happened in the United States from 1776 to the start of the 21st century.

Since the start of the 21st century (or the fall of the Soviet Union and the introduction of the world wide web), instead of having “months where nothing happens and there are weeks when months happen,” we have a situation in which we have months where things are happening (i.e., political crises), and there are weeks when nothing is happening (i.e., no significant political crisis).

Can the actual kings and chiefs that control the western European monarchs and republics (i.e., the children of the kings and chiefs who won WW2) manage to prevent their collapse in the future if they do not go back to practicing the same political model that their fathers were practicing in the United States from the creation of this country in 1776 until the start of the 21st century?

Put differently, if, as of 1945, unpleasant events are unfolding constantly (rapidly and without easing) over long periods of time, instead of those painful events being short and lasting for short periods of time only, this means that the number of people who regard themselves exempt from observing the standards that were legitimized in the UN Charter and the UDHR is greater than the number of people who were behaving in the same way in the United States from 1776 to 1945. This is very serious and worrisome. To put it differently, people unite only to come out ahead of each other. If people are preferring to come out ahead by lengthening the period in which they are relying on corruption, betrayal, disregard, deceit, etc. rather than on the rule of law, this means that the number of people who believe that corruption, betrayal, disregard, deceit, etc. can help them get their ways despite the resistance of others is greater than the number of people who

believe that observing the legitimized political order. When corruption, betrayal, disregard, deceit, etc. start to unite people to help them get ahead than the rule of law, this means that people are believing that adhering to such conduct is more profitable to them to increase their happiness or to triumph over the people who are denying them the right to realize the full development of their personality. And since law and political order are not natural, but human creations, and the people who are denying them the right to realize the full development of their personalities are the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions), this means that when the victors of WW2 do not fulfill their part of the agreement or at least make their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) submit to the political order, it would not be possible to suppress factionalism. And since all the factionalism and civil unrest that people would be effecting would be programmed to defeat or resize the victors of WW2, this means that the longer the victors of WW2 allow their critical thinkers and servants to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, the more the victors of WW2 would be converting the preservation of their dominant role into a Tetris game.

Tetris is a puzzle video game in which players assemble falling blocks of different shapes in vacated spaces by moving them to the right or left if needed to complete lines. The completed lines disappear and grant the player points. However, the more lines the player completes (i.e., moves the descending blocks into vacant spaces to complete a line), the faster the blocks that are descending start falling. The faster the blocks fall, the harder the ability of the player to form lines gets (i.e., assemble the falling blocks with different shapes into lines). When the player stops to form or clear lines fast enough, the uncleared blocks start to pile up. “The game ends when the uncleared lines reach the top of the playing field.” Most importantly, “the longer the player can delay this outcome, the higher their score will be.” Accordingly, we can say that if the victors of WW2 do not want the corruption, betrayal, disregard, deceit, etc. that their critical thinkers and servants are propelling because they are allowing them to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR from piling up and threatening their dominant role, they must be able to repress those who rival their domineering power

in the same way a Tetris player fills vacant spaces with falling objects fast enough to avoid the uncleared lines reaching the top of the playing field. Can the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) avoid their collapse if they continue to rely on critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) who appear to be keeping their dominant role as if they are playing a Tetris game?

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 expect to have their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) repress those who rival their domineering power when it is the refusal of those specific individuals in question to lead by example that is preventing mankind from making progress on the goals suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. What I do know is that if the victors of WW2 do not want their power stripped from them and handed to new families/dynasties they must be able to repress the people (including the critical thinkers and servants) who are threatening them faster than the defiance or resistance that people are generating or committing, just as a Tetris player does to delay his defeat. In other words, the victors of WW2 need to count on a surveillance network that works its magic (i.e., pick-up plots) in the same way WI-FI signals provide wireless internet access to devices like laptops, phones, etc., but without the signals being in the form of wavelength, whether continuous (analog) or non-continuous (digital), but a constant, such as the case in direct current or the way the blocks fall in a Tetris game.

Put differently, Wi-Fi signals are electromagnetic waves (pulsating) that do not require a medium to propagate. In addition, Wi-Fi signals are capable of traveling through air and solid materials. However, Wi-Fi signals are waves and, therefore, behave like ripples in a pond. All ripples have a wavelength and weaken as they travel away from the source. Accordingly, we can say that if the victors of WW2 do not want that their power be threatened, they must set up an intelligence network that is capable of obtaining secret reports about the conduct of officials, high and low, in the same way a direct current or the way the blocks in a Tetris game fall without any waves, but the waving to the right or to the left happens by the player. This is because when the critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international

institutions) that the victors of WW2 are depending on their wisdom to get their ways despite the resistance of others do not observe the common standard that they are preaching among the peoples, the effectiveness of the toil of those servants stops to change in the same way a direct current signal or the way the blocks in a Tetris game fall without any waves but instead with waves.

Said differently, a signal and a chain of command are two parameters that are analogous to one another. A signal is information that gets transmitted from one location to another. To make this information travel from one location to another, it is converted to a form that is suitable for transmission (i.e., an electric form). Chains of command are signals that carry data (distribute power and responsibilities) to ensure that the overarching structure that dictates the reporting relationships from one individual to another is preserved.

When the instructions that are included in the chain of command start to travel from one location to another in the form of waves (i.e., become bent), then their wavelength and amplitude become critical. This is because when information or data travels irregularly (with lots of high or low ripples), this affects decisions and creates lots of confusion. To give an example, if the blocks that fall in a Tetris game start to wiggle from side to side while falling, the behavior of the block would be equivalent to the one that occurs in a game of falling balls. A Tetris player would have lots of difficulty organizing the falling blocks in line if, while falling, they were rapidly going to the left or right, and would lose often, just as happens when a penalty kick in a game of soccer is shot. Most goalkeepers cannot predict the exact direction of the incoming ball in a penalty shootout and often fail to intercept the ball.

If whenever the victors of WW2 allow their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) to break or bend the laws (i.e., regard themselves exempt from observing the UN Charter and the UDHR), it would not be possible to avoid that such violations go against the purpose / intent of the law, then the more the victors of WW2 would be converting the waveform that their critical thinkers and servants are forming from simple to complex. This is because it becomes impossible to decompose the wavelength

(disregard, corruption, deceit) that their critical thinkers or servants are forming due to the fact that it is made up of millions upon millions of waves (i.e., it is a composite type waveform). In other words, this phenomenon would resemble the case of a ship that became caught in rough seas in the middle of the ocean.

In physics, a standing wave is a “wave that oscillates in time but whose peak amplitude profile does not move in space.” If whenever the victors of WW2 allow their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) to break or bend the laws, the disregard, corruption, and deceit that those critical thinkers and servants would be effecting would make it impossible to know if the violations effected are stationary or fluid, then the longer the victors of WW2 allow their critical thinkers to violate the rule of law, the more the international order would be behaving in a non-linear pattern in the same way Michael Faraday, an English scientist, observed happening in 1831 on the surface of a liquid in a vibrating container. Faraday observed that “when the vibration frequency exceeds a critical value, the flat hydrostatic surface becomes unstable. This is known as the Faraday instability.”

“The ship of state is a metaphor expounded by Plato in the Republic (Book 6, 488a-489d), which likens the governance of a city-state to the command of a vessel.” If whenever a ship gets caught in rough seas, its chances of losing its stability and tipping over (capsizing) rise, then the more the victors of WW2 allow their critical thinkers and servants to sail in rough water (break or bend the laws), the more the instability that they (those critical thinkers and servants) would be causing the ship of state (i.e., the international order) to endure should be in theory weakening the power, wealth, and status of the victors of WW2 and driving them and their international order toward capsizing. This is because the more the victors of WW2 allow their critical thinkers and servants to break or bend the laws (i.e., regard themselves exempt from observing the UN Charter and the UDHR), the harder it would be for the victors of WW2 to keep their power, wealth, and status because the forces that would be acting on the international order would be preventing it from staying in balance.

To put it differently, in mathematics, stability theory addresses “the stability of solutions of differential equations and of trajectories of dynamical systems under small perturbations of initial conditions.” In other words, stability theory helps to understand or make predictions about what happens with a system after a long period of time.

For example, when an oil tanker is in rough seas, the ship is capable of establishing balance and not tipping because the cargo (the oil level) readjusts itself as the ship tilts from one side to the other (i.e., the center of buoyancy resists and creates a twisting force that acts on the ship until it forces the ship back to its upright position). This phenomenon does not happen as easily as when the cargo of the ship is made up of rice, wheat, sugar, etc. Ships that carry rice, sugar, wheat, etc. are prone to easily capsize because when the ship tips and the surface of the cargo tips, the grain won't easily flow back to the other side due to the angle of repose of the grains.

Human beings have independent boundaries or forms, just as grains look, and are not amalgamated with one another. If the victors of WW2 allowed their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) to break or bend the laws, it would be very hard to make them (the victors of WW2) go back to establish balance or go back to the initial condition. The victors can only count on wars to establish balance or on time, so death unloads people off naturally or unnaturally.

I have no way of knowing what type of criteria the victors of WW2 put on to prevent their collapse or are constantly developing to keep their stability. What I do know is that it would be next to impossible for the victors of WW2 to linearize the behavior of their critical thinkers and servants if they continued to allow them to regard themselves exempt from observing the UN Charter and the UDHR. That is to say, if the victors of WW2 cannot avoid that the perturbations that their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) are effecting (in all directions) in the international order from eventually inducing their collapse, then the more the victors of WW2 proclaim that they are determined to secure the UN goals without this pledge being accompanied with deeds that proves to people that national governments and international institutions are improving

accountability, there is a good chance that people people perceive that the natural and legal rights that the victors of WW2 are legitimizing is programmed to keep their hegemony instead of to curtail domination, subjugation and exploitation.

Generally speaking, most people believe a lie if it is from someone they love and consider the truth to be false if it is from someone they hate. Can the victors of WW2 make people love their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) when the individuals involved are prioritizing their self-interests over the common interests included in the UN Charter and the UDHR? Put differently, there are three parameters that all religious and philosophical books warn rulers and kings from allowing to fool them. Those three parameters are traitorous individuals, hungry individuals, and dishonorable individuals. Can the victors of WW2 make people not distrust them and refuse to stay with them when they are trying to rejuvenate their power through the intermediary of critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) who are traitorous, hungry, and dishonorable? The type that the United Nations is still employing to this day. Despite the fact that the UN officials who denied this former UN member whose story was depicted earlier rights had been caught in 2022, the organization refuses to compensate this individual for all the abuse that he endured.

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 could change the nature of their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) when they are allowing people to protect them who do not deserve to be in this role. What I do know is that the victors of WW2 appear to be sailing aimlessly since 1945 and without favorable winds. In other words, for the victors of WW2 to prosper, they need to count on people who are loyal and not on people who are searching to defeat others as if they were looking for treasure.

Throughout history, one of the greatest mistakes most rulers and kings made centered on believing that relying on critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions), who believe that personal gain is achieved only by crushing others, ended up learning the hard way that this was not the case later. And so if whenever any person who put his happiness and contentment in the

hands of others ended up becoming a slave, even if he was a king, can the victors of WW2 avoid becoming slaves in the course of time and things since they decided to surrender to their imagination and their critical thinkers and servants the control of the shop of state (the international order) instead of the truth?

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 plan to prevent their doom after they decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to observe to keep their dominant role and allowed that their imagination and their critical thinkers and servants divert them from the truth and make them give in to false hope. What I do know is that the victors of WW2 preserve their power by protecting moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary, or artistic production of which they are the author and by preventing their adversaries from developing moral and scientific work that can threaten their superiority. However, because progress is constant, the victors of WW2 need to be constantly protecting the moral and material interests that they exercise power over, including preventing their adversaries from developing moral and scientific work.

For example, at the start of the 20th century, the victors of WW2 exploited the weaknesses of Arab societies in the Middle East for their own benefit. The victors of WW2 permitted that private companies control the oil and gas industry in the Middle East instead of doing as the case was in Norway, where the government was a principal partner in all the oil and gas production operations. That is to say, the idea of allowing the government of Norway to be a principal partner in all the oil and gas production in the country permitted the government to have the upper hand and to develop the necessary oil politics in the country to avoid becoming plagued with the Dutch disease or being struck with the oil curse (resource curse).

In economics, the Dutch disease is “the apparent causal relationship between the increase in the economic development of a specific sector (for example, natural resources) and a decline in other sectors (like the manufacturing sector or agriculture).” The term was coined in 1977 by the British weekly newspaper *The Economist* to “describe the decline

of the manufacturing sector in the Netherlands after the discovery of the large Groningen natural gas field in 1959.”

The resource curse, “also known as the paradox of plenty or the poverty paradox, is the phenomenon of countries with an abundance of natural resources (such as fossil fuels and certain minerals) having less economic growth, less democracy, or worse development outcomes than countries with fewer natural resources.”

Indeed, the victors of WW2 succeeded efficaciously in plaguing the Arab oil-producing countries with the Dutch disease and being struck with the oil curse (resource curse) after the oil and gas adventure began in those countries. The victors of WW2 made the Arab oil-producing countries forgo developing the oil and gas sectors in the same way they permitted the Norwegians to develop Norway after oil was discovered in this country, in favor of prioritizing authoritarianism and political corruption, as the case has been in those Arab countries until today.

As I said before, a person cannot “have the cake and eat it too.” The decision of the victors of WW2 to wager on letting the people in the Middle East compete to find out who could be better at deceiving, betraying, or scamming others instead of orienting them to compete to find out who would be the first at developing an oil policy in the same way the Norwegians were doing has produced two results.

In Norway, we now have close to 5 million Norwegians who are ready to be tolerant and who do not mind if they do not become the future king or queen of Norway. In the Middle East, we have close to 500 million people who are all world-class experts in deceiving, betraying, scamming, and disobeying rules, and most importantly, not a single one is ready to accept having an aspiration below becoming the king or queen of Great Britain.

I have no way of knowing if the digital age is plaguing people around the world with the Dutch disease or if it is striking people with the oil curse (resource curse). What I do know is that the victors of WW2 decided once again to exploit the weaknesses of the poor nations around the world for their own benefit. The victors of WW2 permitted

that private companies control the digital age instead of doing as the case was in Norway, where the government was a principal partner in all the oil and gas production operations. That is to say, the decision of the victors of WW2 to allow private companies to control the digital age instead of letting governments do the control appears to have plagued people with a disease that is worse than the Dutch disease or the oil curse (resource curse). This new disease, which I would like to term “colonial disease,” is the apparent causal relationship between the increase in awareness and a decline in moral values. The new curse that I would like to term here the “digital age curse” is the phenomenon of countries with an abundance of wealth (such as economic and military superiority) having lots of citizens who want that outcomes be shared on equal rights or the fight between right and wrong resolved than countries with fewer access to technology.

In conclusion, I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 would manage to control the spread of the “colonial disease” or the “digital age” curse, thus preventing the poor from choosing to withdraw their obligation to obey them or to want to stay with them. The good news is that the way they will decide to resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict will determine if they will succeed at controlling the UN member states in the future, as they have managed to do in the oil and gas sector, or if the “digital age curse” will align them on the same track that awaited the families/dynasties that controlled the Roman Empire when they perceived that people were not watching them and sidelined with evil over good!