

THE WRITING ON THE WALL

The ramifications of dismantling the
United States after WW2

Political Correlation Breakdown

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2021 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloof S., Political Correlation Breakdown, 2021

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
POLITICAL CORRELATION BREAKDOWN
The Writing on the Wall

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:

Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment

www.centermpa.com

In memory of my beloved parents
with special dedication to my late uncle Riad Maloof

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Special Note to All Readers
Special Note to the People of Lebanon and People of Egypt
Special Note on the meaning of the term “United States”
Definitions

PREFACE

PART ONE: In the Eye of the Storm: Correlation Breakdown

1. In the Eye of the Storm
2. The United States: A healthy social culture
3. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights: the end of the line
4. The rise of Individualism
5. Making changes in an ever changing world
6. Importance of doing things right to minimize threats
7. The future of the idea of freedom unknown
8. Could the world be in the midst of a global counterculture?
9. Price to avoid a zero net sum game
10. Conviction and courage are two innate ferocious abilities

PART TWO: Political Correlation Breakdown

11. Good pollination versus bad pollination
12. Unconventional approach to maximize happiness and well-being
13. Odd idiosyncratic behavior of man
14. Man’s eccentric habits not compatible with societal norms

PART THREE: A wandering World

15. Importance of fear and faithfulness for the success of any movement
16. Danger of trapping the idea of freedom in a mangle
17. Are people living in an Age of ignorance?
18. Are people chasing after wind?
19. Are humans wandering as Moses and his people were doing in antiquity?
20. What can Moses's decision to listen to the advice of his father in law teach us
21. Is the international rule based postwar order a philosophy that constantly course-correct itself?
22. The threats that are weakening the idea of Freedom

PART FOUR: The Dismantling of the World Political Order

23. The political security problem

PART FIVE: The Character Assassination of the United States

24. A look into how human imagination is threatening the idea of Freedom
25. The mind and Newton's 2nd Law of Motion
26. The Character Assassination of the United States

PART SIX: Is the World in the Midst of a Social Revolution?

27. Can mankind limit the abomination and desolation that the victors of WW2 compelled worldwide?
28. Did people stop to write the same history together
29. Is the world engulfed in a class conflict?
30. The threats Challenging the Anglosphere
31. A man reaps what he sows

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservation against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition, and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent, because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry who received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency run its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paper works to make everything become legally clean. The project involved opening 2000kms of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, he was told by his supervisors that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if in Sudan he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office, the organization would help him to achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure to Sudan.

However, few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 Million Euro, and in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fee after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for are met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staffs.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS telling this US national what were the charges leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring, and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill for the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what were the charges leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS)

made an offer to the US national which also included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions, health insurance, including offering him a new two-years retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS), because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that require that any staff who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national because he did not put into question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave, and waited until his supervisors inform him that they cancelled the investigation to question his rights, he was denied right to any claim! Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel, and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations want people to stop being tolerant to have any right and want them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes him [her] can have his [her] case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right for as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

Throughout history, the people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so, the way the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian

of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personality at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to on the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signify that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties who control the United States (the custodian of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties who won WW2 (the custodian of the Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them while the administrators of the United Nations (organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those afore mentioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN charter thus granting any person who the general organization named United Nations victimized right to have his case be heard in civil courts, and in this way avoid that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorb them and start to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts, and to make future wars impossible after World War Two (WW2).

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued on the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power on the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states ideas on what its leaders, thinkers or its populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts, or to strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give best results, nor it was prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted right to the international intergovernmental organization named United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social and cultural solutions in UN member states while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named United Nations could revert to a simpler form the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staffs of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability, while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor are using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed, instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested and that was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its form by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such dossier on the maintenance of the families/dynasties who won WW2 is also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise or criticize any UN member state. Nor the intentions of the author are to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, ideology, including who governments decide should live or die, or whether mankind should go back to adopt pre 1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes, or certain countries are mentioned and others are not, has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon.

The author goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between nations including failure to make people surrender freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only, and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN) who the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2, and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world), and is preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

One should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the author recognize that many UN staffs are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter, and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contribution to deepen peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (herein the organization) made to contribute to human development are impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staffs, and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staffs also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international

order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, that its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihood just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century / early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good, because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want including justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre 1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people, on the outside of the UN fence, do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not), and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is in the religious scriptures already.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures, and at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures says would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritizes the idea of good, and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify ones' own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior on the aftermath of World War 2 in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law); the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being, would help the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures, could help them to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

One should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove

any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or putting into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or I analyzed were solely used, because I felt contain ideas that can aid me to comprehend better if the decision of the victors of World War 2 (i.e., the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scripture counsels is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase ‘upon him be peace and blessings.’ This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and Blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Special Note to All Readers

All people are plugged in life (i.e., reality). After birth, all newborns find the world inhabited by existing people, and all the social space is either frozen by living or dead people. In other words, the social space is partitioned and different people declare proprietary ownership over the partitioned social or void space. Hence there is no free social space (including a sea or air space) today on earth that no person or no nation who does not claim right to it, we can say that no newborn is free today to dispose of any natural resource that exist in this prevailing space according to his own personal power and conscience. Furthermore, hence law and political order are not natural but human creations, and all the people depend on the idea of government to legitimize natural and legal rights, it is evident that the governments who amass the most wealth and who invest the most to develop militarily are the ones who wield the most power.

Furthermore, hence a government is a legal entity formed by a group of individuals to engage in leading and in protecting members from “each other,” and all the people whose wealth, status or power is vaster, must logically then wield the vastest power.

Moreover, because status or power need time under normal conditions to wield class (i.e., wealth), but class (wealth) can wield status or power in no time, we can then say that the people who possess class (wealth) possess more power than people who possess status (prestige, social honor, popular) or power, which they gain from engaging in social change or a government job.

In addition, since a person's class (i.e., economic position in a society), is based on birth and individual achievement, we can say that because humans delegate the wealth and the social space that they freeze hereditarily or to individuals who they themselves designate, this means that any individual or a legal entity that is capable to preserve its class the longest, this entity (family, dynasty, religion, race, organization, etc.) must be possessing the largest slice of power. To give an example, all people die but the idea of God lives forever. This means that the people (organizations, associations, etc.) who use the idea of God or religion as a tool to solidify their wealth, power, and status, must be the

most affluent among all the people, because they are able to change through the religious institutions that they build the history of the world as they please.

Moreover, because there are two ways that any entity (family, dynasty, religion, race, organization, etc.) can solidify its power: one of which is through the idea of good, and the other is through the idea of evil which people reject, this means that the families, dynasties, religions, race, organizations, etc. who promote itself as being a force for good, or as being a force for good but oppressed must be wielding power more than other families, dynasties, religions, race, organizations, etc. who just use their wealth to increase their domination.

Additionally, because the individuals or legal entities who promote themselves as a force for good cannot be promulgating that they are dedicating their life to serving all other living beings, and at the same time working on increasing their wealth, status, power, or happiness, this means that the only way to do it (serve their self-interests) is if they increase their power with limited involvement.

For example, the Protestant church could be the authority who provide the legitimacy of the British monarch. The British monarch is just a tool that enforces the spheres of the Protestant church. Likewise, the other way around could be the case. The British monarch could be the authority who provide protection to the Protestant church. The job of the protestant church is to provide the legitimacy of the British monarch. The two authorities could also be partners. Also, because the Protestant church or the British Monarch are not as old as the Christian church, Roman Empire, Greek Empire, or the Jewish Empire, there is a good chance that the world could still be controlled to this day by the religious institutions who survived from those four authorities especially that all four have been involved in politics and business to this day but under a different nomenclature.

This means that world affairs (be it politics or business) can be concentrated in the hands of specific power-houses (families/dynasties, institutions, organization, a club, an association, a religious faith, etc.) who keep limited involvement. This also means that the elected officials who administer governments may not be the ones who the buck stops

with them, but rather it is the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world who take all ultimate decisions. In other words, elected officials (Kings, Presidents, Ministers, Parliament members, governors, etc.) play role exactly as law enforcement officer, judges, etc. do. For example, the people who work in law enforcement (government jobs) wield considerable power, but the buck does not stop with them on when to effectuate a reset of the political, economic and social order of the world.

That is also to say that it is highly likely that the United States, for example, is not the country who decide which country is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to remain peaceful as some people think, but another group of men with much higher authority who do it. The global policemen of the world role that the United States is playing as of 1945 could only be a functional role that was delegated to this country to fulfil by the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world (the real victors of WW2). The United States is just fronting for the group of men who regard themselves the deputy of God on earth.

This work was prepared for the sole attention of the group of men who regard themselves as the deputy of God on earth. They are the ones who all the nations and peoples of every language dreads and fears them, due to their power to spare or put to death any ruler or king, or promote or humble any ruler or king, as King Nebuchadnezzar greatness and splendor covered. [Daniel 5:18].

In this spirit, it is very important that readers note that all the families/dynasties, institutions, organization, clubs, associations, religious faith, etc., mentioned by name in this work are only used due to the relevance of their historicity and their relevance to permit me to draw conclusions or to move forward. In other words, it is not my intention to discredit those mentioned, institutions, organization, clubs, associations, nations, kingdoms, families/dynasties or the people of those nations, or the leaders of those nations past or present.

Special Note to the People of Lebanon and People of Egypt

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the cause of the failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts between nations and to make future wars impossible. This work was prepared for the sole attention of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world). The goal of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 could continue to play the deputy of God role, after they approved that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations (UN) become an economic operator who buys and sells as most people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Presently, the world is composed of 193 UN member states. This signify there is today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble the other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the rule of law using the liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies).

A pendulum is a weight suspended from a pivot so that it can swing freely. A political spectrum is a pendulum. A pendulum is like a compass. When the weight (the bob) is not in motion, this is called the rest position or the mean position. Let the international rule based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2 to be the rest position or the mean position of the weight. Ideally, all the nations should have their political status either aligned with the mean position, or positioned on either side but not too far from it. The furthest position the weight oscillates in either direction from the mean position is called extreme. The goal is to avoid that the weight (the international order) oscillates toward the extreme position. When the international order tilt toward either extreme, this means that the power and status of the victors of WW2 (the custodians of the liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy) is weakening. Let one extreme refer to a

chaotic state (or a democratic state) and the other to a stable state (or repressive state).

After careful considerations, I picked the social order that exist in Lebanon to represent the chaotic/democratic state. In my opinion, Lebanon is chaotic, because it is the most democratic and free country that exist today. That is to say that any country whose political system is not polarized cannot be a democratic and its people cannot be free country! For example, the United States is polarized because it is a democratic country and its people are free. I picked Egypt for the second extreme, i.e., stable/repressive. Egypt was not my first choice for this extreme, because there are many “many” countries that its regimes are a lot more repressive, and by a big distance. For example, I consider the political system in the Scandinavian countries which the United Nations (the intergovernmental organization) classify as the most democratic since the UN started to make such surveys as the total opposite or the least democratic. That is to say, any country that its people cooperate using a hierarchical political system (constitutional or absolute monarchy) do not know what democracy is. In other words, the maturity that the Scandinavians show is not the outcome of democratic awareness, but the result of many years of repressiveness blended in wealth (i.e., the way horses are tamed). If the people in the Scandinavian countries were truly (naturally) democratic or free, the state of the political situation in those countries, would not be any different than the case that exist in Lebanon (chaotic), Egypt (no freedom of expression), the United States (partially chaotic), etc.,

However, I picked Egypt because the citizens of this country believed that they deserve to cooperate with one another based on the principle of equal rights (2011 Egyptian revolution), but instead of the families/dynasties who won WW2 (the owner of the international postwar world order) standing up with the people of Egypt to see that their wish gets honored as the international rule based postwar world order call for, they (the victors of WW2) decided to let the military complex return back to power. The way the victors of WW2 (the owner of the international postwar world order) handled the Egyptian up rise is not any different in complexity and contradiction than the way they have handled the annexation of Russia of Crimea in 2014. Those two afore mentioned events are two blunt violations of the international rule

based world order. If they remain uncorrected, this signify that the victors of WW2 could be building for destruction. This is because if those two events are not corrected, there is a good chance that mankind could be working toward building the political status that the Pharaoh erected, and not the one that the founding fathers of the UN suggested. We already know how the fate of the Pharaohs ended.

The goal from the idea of having nations come together on the aftermath to promote collective security on the aftermath of WW2 was not to replace the oppression of the French government in Lebanon with local chieftains who would convert this country into becoming a chaotic state, nor the idea was to replace the oppression of the British monarch in Egypt, with local chieftains who would rebuild the history of the Pharaoh. The goal was to have the Lebanese, the Egyptian, the French and the British cooperate in accordance with the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in order for all of them to develop, in the course of time and things, the understanding or happiness that the Americans managed to achieve by 1945.

It is very important that readers from Lebanon, Egypt, or any other country mentioned in this book to always keep in mind while reading this work, that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

As I said before, the goal of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 could prevent their collapse after they decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to remain faithful to its principle to solidify their power and ensure lasting peace (i.e., which was to let governments develop their own political status and their own solutions for as long as they respect democracy and the rule of law) in favor of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations along with human development organizations interfere in the social order, and to begin to teach people democracy, human rights, rule of law, while their staffs are pursuing the full realization of their personality (i.e., toppling the work of governments).

Special Note on the meaning of the term “United States”

All references to the word “United States” in this book could mean (i) the United States as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country which they named United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and which its inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind to strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world.

Then again, it is not possible to know if this mindfulness to improve freedom that the American people are depending on to get their ways despite the resistance of others as of 1945 is motivated to deepen the idea of good in the world as their predecessors did, or to enable them to do exactly what the rest of the people around the world are to this day still doing, and that is to designate themselves as the deputy of God on earth, but while regarding themselves exempt from the appeal to obey the principles that are legitimized. This is because the people who are living in this country (the US) as of 1945 are new people, and the attitude that leaders and political class are showing does not resemble the one that the founding fathers of this country have shown. As of 1945, the leaders and political class are behaving in a manner that is not any different than the one the leaders and political class in all UN member states are depending on to solidify their power. The US leaders and political class are legitimizing their personal power and conscience instead of legitimizing the working program that the founding fathers of the UN specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and counselled them to obey.

Many reasons could be compelling the new people who are living in the United States to use force as of 1945 to get their ways despite the resistance of others, instead of using the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to achieve the same end. I do not believe that greed is precipitating the abnormal political choices that US leaders and political class are taking as of 1945. To the contrary, I believe that the American people are confronting the same circumstances that the native Americans underwent when those indigenous people were conquered by the European settlers.

To put it differently, when the European settlers conquered the Americas, they waged wars against the native Americans, removed them from their land, discriminated against them, and even tried to assimilate them by force. Today, the case of the native Americans (values, wealth, power, traditions, etc.) is not any different than the case of any endangered species.

That is to say, it is highly likely that the American people are confronting the same challenges as of 1945 that the native Americans confronted after the Ottoman Empire made it difficult for the European settlers in the middle of the second millennium to go to Asia on overland routes and they began migrating to the Americas. In other words, the Americans and the rest of the existing societies are entangled in the same circumstances that appear in American Western film, where native Americans were waging wars to prevent the European settlers and Mexican armies from stripping them from their values and land and vice versa. The only difference this time around is that the wars that UN member states are waging against the United States and vice versa are not centered on acquiescing material gains but philosophical gains. At the center of those philosophical gains stand whether or not the idea of freedom should get dismantled or not. In other words, whether or not all men should continue to be regarded as equal.

If UN member states continue to be in favor of letting the American values to continue to precipitously decline, and/or to let the behavior of the American people fragment, which mankind invested thousands of years to cultivate, then our successors could relive the same difficulties that the Native Americans and the European settlers were clashing with one another to determine who would get his ways despite the resistance

of the other. The only difference is that the assimilation would not be to deepen the idea of freedom, as the settlers were claiming their reliance on their personal power and conscience was doing to the native Americans, but to shrink it. This is because when freedom is curtailed, mankind would be throwing away the American culture which was acquired in the course of generations through individual and group striving, in order to embrace a human life that is “solitary, poor, nasty, brutish, and short” as the case has been like since 1945.

Hence without the American culture, later generations could not prosper, it is incumbent on every member of the human family to protect the American people to ensure that they do not become an endangered species. This is because if mankind does not preserve the American values and the American people later generations would become incapable to preserve the idea of good that everyone at the end of WW2 agreed to keep in mind and to work to strengthen. When the idea of good shrink, it would not be possible to prevent people from being devoted to pursuing their own self-interest. Thus compelling our successors to live the same circumstances that occurred on earth after we decided to build a tower that reaches to the heavens, and then stopped to speak the same language.

In this spirit, it is very important that readers keep in mind that all the fear that I express to ensure that the “United States” does not become destabilized or reverted to a simpler form, shall not be construed to mean that I am promoting nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, rather to avoid that the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world does not get lost. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) what led them to be in favor that the WW2 war aims be about securing that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercise their power through presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its controls over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they do not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the member of this club can only be the families/dynasties who preside over the different religions, hence no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elite families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world through the rich countries or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries who provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties who won WW2 want that every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries who legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are the group of rich and poor nations who the families/dynasties who won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinion before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties who control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries who provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties who won WW2 want that every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries who own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe and the families/dynasties who won WW2 used to make those countries as their home base. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere which will determine market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers which determines which nation to have power or wealth, and which one to be engulfed in instability.

Banks, large financial institutions or Corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions or corporations and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determine and enforce the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions or corporations regulate the power of governments and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquire gets determined by the families/dynasties who won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties who won WW2 decide which country is to inject in it money to increase its productivity might, and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations in function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work the United states is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and

the powerful families/dynasties control. On the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties who won this global war took this global policemen responsibility that Great Britain was playing till 1945, and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties who won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states on the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, Germans, British, the Americans, Chinese, French, Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that if exploited and inhabited, less people would live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations that its people are idle, the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains, or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.) thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping from them their power.

PREFACE

In mathematics, curve fitting is “the process of constructing a curve, or mathematical function, that has the best fit to a series of data points, possibly subject to constraints.” Humans took it upon themselves to explore how to fit each other’s behavior to fixed ethical values that they do not even know if they are the exact answers to the outcomes and conditions that matters to them. Regrettably, despite all the ideas that was introduced throughout history to help people to agree to fit behaviors to ethical values that the majority believe are the best fit to achieve the outcomes and conditions that matters to everyone, the end result has been a roller coaster.

Likewise, in the mathematical field of numerical analysis, interpolation is a “type of estimation, a method of constructing new data points within the range of a discrete set of known data points.” For this reason, humans have been interpolating ideals to construct behavior that they believe could fit as closely as possible the ethical values that they consider interpret best how they would like their interdependence or relations to be structured like since antiquity.

In botany, a tree is “a perennial plant with an elongated stem, or trunk, supporting branches and leaves in most species.” All the ideals that man determined to persuade each other to engage in virtuous behaviors or prudent actions can be said to be concepts that has been branching out in the same way this process occurs in trees. The new ideas that people have been coming up with has helped the social order to alter between periods of peace and wars. However, no one knows if the reason why the social order behaves as such is due to the new rules introduced aiding, or if this alteration is due to the world knowing rulers who rightly guide and others who use their power to get their way despite the resistance of others as most historians suggest.

Then again, humans came up with many new ideas and created many nations in modern history. One of the newly created nation that its rulers managed to make its inhabitants become capable to prevail over the weakness of their will more than in other social agglomeration in modern history is the United States of America. From 1776, when the

United States was founded, to 1945 when WW2 ended, the people of this country managed to show that it is possible for mankind to be mindful about the dignity and worth of the human person while everyone is working to realize the full development of his personality. The people of this nation (despite all their internal problems) managed to transform the United States from a country that in 1776 was full of uncertainty to a nation that its nationals became the happiest in 1945.

No one knows how the people in the United States managed to take all the conclusions from all the ideas that existed up to 1776 and to apply them to possess understanding of themselves to the extent of becoming ready to voluntarily surrender freedom to achieve practical results for the greater well-being of their society. Many scholars suggest that this idea that was penned during the beginning of the American Revolution about all men being born free and equal to have created the impetus. Irrespective of what motivated the American people to accept cultural diversity, we cannot disregard that the behavior that the American people exhibited from 1776 to 1945 represent a sample of the “best type of person” that mankind’s search to arrive at political and ethical truths had produced to date. In other words, the Americans were the frontier of mankind’s ongoing search to improve morality.

Indeed, the attitudes and values that are explicit or implicit in the American culture were acquired in the course of generations through individual and group striving. They are the deposit of the cultivated behavior that mankind invested thousands of years to reach.

In 1945, on the aftermath of World War II, the families/dynasties who won this global war determined that if all people in all nations cooperate based on ideals that are not any different from the one the American people used to increase their happiness, mankind could ultimately reach “the end of the historical dynamic with the perfection of a civil society.” The work program that is stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, represent the blue print that the families/dynasties who won WW2 expected that every member of the human would observe to secure the happiness that the people in the United States achieved. In other words, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights are the ideals that the families/dynasties who won WW2 wanted that every member

of the human family use to preserve freedom, reduce fear and want, or help later generations to prosper through using.

From 1945 to now, progress in human development has been dramatic. However, the nations that the families/dynasties who won WW2 tasked with preventing wars through collective security failed to prevent conflicts between nations or to make future wars impossible. In fact, the peace and security situation in the entire world appear to have deteriorated to a low level that a good number of people are questioning if the international order was not better off before humans began to cooperate collectively to achieve greater stability in the world. In fact, in recent years, the nations that are not normally known to have been in crisis such as Great Britain or the United States (including the Vatican) are beginning to show some evidence of probably being in crisis.

It took the people who formed the United States 169 years (from 1776 to 1945) before this country became a superpower. During this period the people who formed this country dealt with lots of ups and down in their search to create conditions of stability and well-being. In fact, racial discrimination was not theoretically fully abolished till the middle of 1960s in the United States.

No one knows if the deteriorated peace and security situation that emerged in the world is due to the reorganization that existing nations are undergoing or if the abnormal rise in intolerance that emerged is due to a rule that is prompting this unwillingness by people to be tolerant to secure the results that the American people managed to achieve from 1776 to 1945.

From 1945 to now, lots of people are struggling terribly to understand what could be prompting this rise in intolerance. Many scholars claim that the rates of population growth, knowledge, technology, communications, commerce, environmental degradation, and many other relations are hindering people ability to fit their behaviors within the guidelines that were proclaimed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Human nature has not changed pre and post 1945. None of the arguments that scholars give can be regarded as principal motives for

this abnormal rise in intolerance that people are expressing post 1945, because tolerance is a function of perseverance. Throughout history, whenever people were pushed against their limit, their sense became one of empowerment. The American people were not dealing with an existential threat from 1776 to 1945 when they managed to show that it is possible for a human being to give up some of his natural freedom (or tribalism) to obtain the benefits of the political order and still be happy. If existing people are not able to demonstrate the same will or grit that the American people managed to show is possible from 1776 to 1945, what could be provoking this wavering attitude that emerged post 1945 can only be a rule that its drawback is making people become incapable to naturally model their behavior according to the courage that the people who lived in the United States from 1776 to 1945 showed is possible.

This work tries to support that if nations are not able to speak the same language post 1945 and/or apply the same principles that the political leaders employed in the United States to make their nationals become capable to surrender their natural freedom, that this powerlessness is due to the families/dynasties who won WW2 having preferred to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to stick to using to secure the goals that those same powerful families/dynasties deeply care about, i.e., power, status and wealth. The work tries to show that the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to permit the intergovernmental organization which they created to coordinate the activities of governments on a global scale and named United Nations provide solutions alongside existing nations to accelerate the attainments of the outcomes and conditions that matters to them, could be the rule that instigated this rise in intolerance that emerged around the world.

The work tries to show that the families/dynasties who won WW2 (and the families/dynasties that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is now handled) need to choose between continuing to let the United Nations foster human rights to create an egalitarian world thus continuing to pile ahead of them vast problems to deal with and solve, or choose to go back to implementing the initial work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled be followed to avert that the international organization named United

Nations and human development organizations such as NGOs, charities, etc., revert them to a simpler form.

Furthermore, the history of human progress is all about expanding limits to increase happiness. Unfortunately, when it comes to governance, this idea is not something that man can explore in the same way mankind uses his human ingenuity to find significance in created things. There is no understanding or principles that mankind can reorganize or build upon beyond recognizing that to ensure lasting peace it is important to cooperate based on the principles of equal rights.

The families/dynasties who won WW2 failed to say if this common standard that they wanted that on its premise people cooperate post 1945 is a rule that they would be adhering to it themselves also, or if this standard is something that the people who they freed should adopt only. The challenge with allowing the United Nations to foster human rights to create an egalitarian world and/or to fix dates to end poverty or injustice as if the international order is a bottle of milk that would expire if not consumed before a set date is that it makes most people believe that the purpose of the coming together of people to cooperate in the economic field post 1945 is to make every person realize his dreams (or realize the full development of his personality).

It is wonderful to reframe adversity as adventure and to bring seemingly impossible ideas into the world of possibility. Unfortunately, not every drowning man can learn to swim, and therefore, there are certain experiences that the odds of defying them is not an undertaking that everyone possesses the right mental balance to walk intact from. The words "The end of the line" or "dead end" are two expressions that humans use to express what a person is obliged to do when located at an end of a road from which no exit is possible. The person is obliged to turn around and head back to where he came from, or wait for a miracle to happen. The families/dynasties who won WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world) can continue to let the international organization named United Nations and human development organizations such as NGOs, charities, etc., foster human rights to prevent technical progress (created things) from running to waste in the hope that they could find a way to stop the awareness that those actors are awakening in the heart and mind of people makes them

be ready to surrender freedom to them, or they could brace and hope that their heirs would be able to find an elusive line of holds that would enable them to stay in power when the socio-cultural patterns that emerged post WW2 continue to regress to reconstruct the in-bound world events in reverse order.

This book shows that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) do not stop the international organization named United Nations from continuing to be an economic operator who is using the ideals that are stipulated in the UN charter as a ladder to develop power, the American culture or Anglosphere power that mankind invested the last thousand years to build could collapse thus giving rise to new families/dynasties starting to play their role.

11

Good Pollination versus bad pollination

An “Antecedent” is a word that refers to “a thing or an event that existed before or logically precedes another.”¹ In psychology it is a “stimulus that cues an organism to perform a learned behavior.”² Psychologists claim that “when an organism perceives an antecedent stimulus, it behaves in a way that maximizes reinforcing consequences and minimizes punishing consequences.”

If based on what psychologists suggest the word antecedent to mean, (i.e., provoke “motivation” when the antecedent stimuli are paired with reinforcing consequences, and “fear” when the antecedent stimuli are paired with punishing consequences), this signify that antecedents can trigger positive and negative outcomes.^{3,4,5}

An outcome is defined as “a phenomenon that follows and is caused by some previous phenomenon.”⁶ If the way to make predictions about behavior (i.e., positive or negative) is a function of how an organism is stimulated, then the more peoples percepts leads them to believe that the

¹ <https://www.lexico.com/en/definition/antecedent>

² [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antecedent_\(behavioral_psychology\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antecedent_(behavioral_psychology))

³ Yin, Henry H., Sean B. Ostlund, and Bernard W. Balleine. "Reward-guided learning beyond dopamine in the nucleus accumbens: the integrative functions of cortico-basal ganglia networks." *European Journal of Neuroscience* 28.8 (2008): 1437–1448.

⁴ Killcross, S., Robbins, T. W., & Everitt, B. J. (1997). Different types of fear-conditioned behaviour mediated by separate nuclei within amygdala. *Nature*, 388(6640), 377-380.

⁵ <https://www.betterhelp.com/advice/behavior/understanding-the-antecedent-behavior-consequence-model/>

⁶ <https://www.definitions.net/definition/outcome>

families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States are degrading them, the more their fear would grow and their courage to refuse to obey, and to seek to increase their happiness grows. Likewise, when more people perceive that the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States are a source that they could rely on to increase their happiness (or morality), the more motivated people would become to voluntarily surround freedom and to stop to be bound by their conscience and personal power to get the things that the families/dynasties who won WW2 are denying them. The right that the families/dynasties are denying people is the freedom to subject each will to be “the measure of all things.” This means that the more the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States who deputize for them (act as their global policemen) fail to prevent people from perceiving that they are weak or unfair, the more fearless and courageous people around the world would be getting. Moreover, because humans do not erase history so the audacity or bravery that certain people exhibit does not stimulate or provoke those who feels denied rights or humiliated to not be influenced by such events but rather embraces it (including in films and literature), this signify that the longer the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States lets people to have access to films, literature, history or religion that include actions that could inspire those who are convinced that they are suffering persecution or injustice to use such antecedents to be influenced by them, the more people would be preferring to be bound by their personal power and conscience. The more people become bound by their personal power and conscience, the harder it would be getting for those powerful families/dynasties or the United States to change people behavior in accordance with the values that those who are in power deeply care about.

Simply put, people (nations) are always erasing histories, and rewriting it according to the way they believe (its content) suits them better. Strangely, the story of the crucifixion of Jesus is a tale that all the families/dynasties who controlled the international order or the money supply of the world during the past two thousand years refused to rewrite differently. I have no way of knowing why the Vatican, in particular, has refused to rewrite the account of Jesus’s death differently in the New Testament of the Bible even if the story reported in this book is correct. This is because any new newborn who reads the account that is stated in the New Testament of the Bible about how Jesus was treated

cannot but perceive that the people who were adhering to the Jewish faith at that time were the ones who motivated his crucifixion only. The Jewish faith is not extinct so people regard this religion as being part of history. Newborns gain nothing from this story about the Jews having been implicated in the crucifixion of Jesus except in aiding them to form bias preconception. The only conviction that such “antecedent” provoke is deepening division. For example, the Romans played a role in the crucifixion of Jesus that was not any different from the one the Jews played. When a Jew and a non-Jew argue, it is not possible for the non-Jew to avoid prejudice. The non-Jew will always link his own spat with the story of Jesus to justify in his own head how the Jews have been throughout history a conflictive race (i.e., they even crucified the son of God). The Italians are descendants from the Romans. Every time an Italian and a non-Italian dispute, the non-Italian does not build preconception about the Italians being a conflictive society in the same way the Catholic Church want people to this day to perceive the Jews of being.

Likewise, we can say that for as long as Great Britain, for example, denies poor people (nations) right to possess a nuclear weapon, but then leaves in the history books material about how this monarch was defeated by Japan in the Pacific War, the more this historical information would be supporting to other nations why they should not fear its military firepower. Similarly, for as long as Hezbollah promotes that its fighters defeated the Israeli military forces during the 2006 war, the more the people who rejects the existence of this country in the middle east would not be fearing its military as well.

If every time people are recruited to moralize public and private life, the disregard and contempt that such mindfulness transduce become an antecedent from which people draw knowledge to deepen oppression and tyranny, then it is highly likely that history could be speaking to every person wrongly. Simply put, everybody interacts with the world in different ways. The decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to task the UN system to implement ideas that seek to end injustice and poverty could be good to solidify their power, wealth and status, but may not be what everyone else perceives his wealth, status or power should be solidified like. The truth can be the sum of everyone’s perception or somewhere between all the different perceptions. If we

have no way of knowing who is actually right and who is actually wrong, the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers counselled them to remain faithful to its principle and to task the UN system to implement ideas that seek to end injustice and poverty could not be solidifying the power, wealth and status of those powerful families/dynasties but could be given people bold ideas instead.

That is also to say, that since the view of the families/dynasties who won WW2 of how the world should be organized like post 1945 does not seem to be right so far, and neither the view of anyone else who is helping them, because if it was right the world would have been more safer by now; this signify that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to make people believe that it is possible to end injustice and poverty, while everyone is violating the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, or while everyone is freezing social space and accumulating material things on a cumulative basis at the same time, people would not be able to create the necessary changes without tapping into new ideas or reusing existing ones. All the ideas (explorations) that people tap into to produce practical results are persuaded by human imagination or derived from a foreign source, i.e., an external agent such as a real person, history, tool, etc. Those afore two sources from which man draws all his ideas are both bias. This signify that the families/dynasties who won WW2 could be weakening their power or status when they allow the United Nations to compel people to embrace liberal and democratic philosophies while at the same time permitting that any person who seek to enhance transparency is either imprisoned by the faction who control the government or obliged to do it from a foreign territory.

Put differently, the Jews managed to remain potent during more than 3,000 years so far because they did let their faith (i.e., values) be the parameter that was guiding them to make decisions, draw conclusions or while navigating their way during all the calamities and abuses that they were knowing. The Jews did not do like the Greek, Romans and others let their learnings and experiences become the filter or console. The only thing that has survived from the Greek Empire or the Roman Empire are the Acropolis in Greece, and the Coliseum in Italy respectively!

In the book *Thoughts on how the socio-cultural patterns that emerged post WW2 are a regression to reconstruct the in-bound world events in reverse order*,⁷ I try to show how the intolerance that emerged in the world post 1945, could be evidence to support that mankind could be duplicating the in-bound world events leading to WW2, and the first stop on this reverse trajectory that humanity could be confronting may be a new global war as happened just before WW2 (i.e., WW1). This new global war would be WW3.

Probability is “a numerical description of how likely an event is to occur or how likely it is that a proposition is true.”⁸ In general, the outcome of any event is not known even though it is possible to predict the payoff that could result from any action or strategy. Therefore, only when the event is realized could we know for sure what outcome resulted, or ended up getting produced.

However, if after all the strategies that mankind, at large, implemented to deepen social cohesion and collective security since 1945, all what humankind has to show for so far is the payoffs or stimulus that individuals like Osama Bin Laden⁹ was rendering to humanity before his capture, religious group like ISIS¹⁰ are rendering, paramilitary force like Hezbollah¹¹ are rendering in Lebanon, i.e., forming a state within a state, and hundreds of rulers (plus monarchs) and political class who refuse to relinquish control to the voting public of the regime they control are rendering, then it is highly likely that mankind could be really working toward reconstructing the first stop in this reverse trajectory to reconstruct the in-bound world events in reverse order. This first event is a new global war or WW3.

In other words, if all people are working to preserve their best interests instead of the best interests of the international postwar order, then everyone is not busy working to change how we interact with each other, but everyone is busy working to find out who can succeed at making

⁷ www.centermpa.com

⁸ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probability>

⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osama_bin_Laden

¹⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Islamic_State_of_Iraq_and_the_Levant

¹¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hezbollah>

everyone else bequeath to his perception. Perception has no border but the human body exists within a natural border. The idea from removing national borders on the aftermath of WW2 and to encourage free trade, partnership, and cooperation between people was programmed to make it unattractive for “perception” to want to expand beyond the natural border of the human body. If every member of the human family is working to sustain his own home rule¹² so as to get his way despite the resistance of others, then the concept of nationality as “perceived” by Arnold Toynbee (1889 – 1975), English historian, in his book *Nationality & War* (1915) or his book *The New Europe: Essays in Reconstruction*, would have no meaning. This is because all the conflicts and crises that people appear to be entangled with one another over as of 1945 do not appear to be centered on making it a lot easier for a country to expand territorially principally, but rather to determine whose values would be the one that the weakest would consider before taking action of his own. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because mankind can be building toward the self-destruction of the human progress. As I said before, all the perception of people is bias. The only truth so far remains the values that are prescribed in biblical scriptures. Those are the same values that enabled the Jews to survive while everyone else perish. All people refuse to cooperate based on those Jewish religious values, the Christian values, Islamic Values, Buddhism values, Confucianism values, or the values that are set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

It looks as if the families/dynasties who won WW2 have a good reason to reduce competition and to make people (nations) focus on building tolerance instead of cueing and queueing to determine who could decay the social order faster, i.e., violate the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. This is because if the fate of the strength and stability of those powerful families/dynasties become a function of the degree of courage or fear that other people (societies) continuously manifest, it would become incumbent they (the families/dynasties who won WW2) avoid that the courage or fear that people know does not stay off synch for a time. If people’s behavior stays off synch for a long period of time it may not be possible for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to continue to play the role of the

¹² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Home_rule

goddess to whom the Buddha granted a thousand hands¹³ so that she could help all beings in need on the planet, but new families/dynasties would start doing it. This is because if courage and fear emasculate opponents, then the abnormal disobedience that people are exhibiting as of 1945 because of the unpreparedness of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to put pressure on rulers and political class in UN member states to lead by example, obey the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, could be dismembering or dismantling their power, wealth and status. Thus giving rise to new families/dynasties to start to play the Thousand Handed Goddess role in the course of time and things.

To put it differently, everyone knows that after shaking a bottle of carbonated drinks, and then removing the cap, the drink will explode and eject (spray out) from the bottle. The perplexing part is that when we install a pressure gage at the top of the bottle while we are doing the shaking the pressure stays the same (unchanged).¹⁴ In theory, if the pressure remain quiescent (unchanged) then the liquid should not explode and eject out of the bottle when we shake it and then open it. In other words, when we take a bottle of water, shake it and then open it, the water does not explode and eject out. Scientists say that in a bottle of carbonated drink the opposite happens because the gas that is at the top of the bottle (the carbon dioxide) is in equilibrium with the gas that is in the liquid. When the gas that is located at the top of the bottle and the one that is dissolved in the liquid stop be to be in equilibrium (after the cap is removed), then the gas that is ejecting from the liquid pushes the gas and the liquid out of the bottle.

Said differently, if the social order continues to become abnormally dynamic as the case has been like post 1945, then there is a good chance that the same phenomenon that happens after a bottle of carbonated drinks is shaken, i.e., liquid is ejected, that the same truth plague the families/dynasties who won WW2.

To put it differently, the intolerance that people are exhibiting could be due to all the all the reorganization that people are knowing after all member of the human family began to depend on liberal interventionism

¹³ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Guanyin>

¹⁴ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K-Fc08X56R0&ab_channel=Veritasium

and national self-determination (i.e., free trade, partnership, and cooperation between various countries with interconnected economies), but this dynamism could also have been due to a foreign or external agent. That is to say that a person can make the liquid eject from a bottle of carbonated drinks by shaking it, or by adding Mentos into a still bottle (unshaken) which forms a soda fountain. This is very serious and worrisome.

The second law of thermodynamics tells us that everything in the universe tends towards disorder.¹⁵ I have no way of knowing if humans are also factored in this law or not. Nonetheless, the complex political system (i.e., intolerance) that emerged in the world as of 1945 can be a result of the reorganization that people are undergoing to strengthen the liberal interventionism and national self-determination as a mean to strengthen democracy and rule of law, or the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to remain faithful to its principles in order to have the intergovernmental organization named United Nations secure that all outcomes are shared on equal rights, i.e., end poverty and injustice in all nations.

I have no way of knowing what could have prompted this abnormal rise in intolerance as of 1945. However, if chaos is the norm, then Benjamin Franklin council to the Americans to always keep in mind that “An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure” could be best advice that the families/dynasties who won WW2 could rely on to play it safe and ensure that the happiness of people is synchronized to avoid that the raucous and incoherent behaviors that people always manifest drive them into perceiving them as weak or unfair, and consequently, conspire to eject them from their glory and revert them to a simpler form. Simply put, if humans manifest the same deep tendency that inanimate objects do toward becoming ordered (i.e., always splitting and reforming), then the families/dynasties who won WW2 could be threading the needle if they undermine the appeal of people to strengthen the rule of law and democracy.

¹⁵ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t_VPRCtiUg

Indeed, the rapid economic growth and industrialization that the world knew during the 20th century helped all the nations to grow their national economies, and the impact on human development has been dramatic everywhere. Nonetheless, in my opinion, few leaders managed to uphold a series of bold reforms as of 1945, which enabled them to use the resources (manpower and natural) that they working with (within a territorial boundary) to synchronize the happiness of their dependent as well as the happiness of the families/dynasties who won WW2, to the point of preventing those two forces perceiving them as weak or unfair, and consequently, conspire to eject them from their power. In particular, I would like to cite Qaboos bin Said (1940 – 2020), Sultan of Oman,¹⁶ and Mahathir bin Mohamad (born 1925), former prime Minister of Malaysia from 1981 to 2003 and from 2018 to 2020.¹⁷ Those two leaders managed to serve national good rather than mere partisanship. They managed to make their dependents be ready to voluntarily surrender freedom to the political order to obtain its benefits. They managed to thrust their citizens to prioritize cope synchronization instead of spontaneous synchronization. In other words, even though every citizen in those two countries was working for himself (selfish Darwinism), they all understood that if they think as a group, they stand a better chance at preventing economic and political shocks.

It is important to mention that many leaders introduced bold reforms that managed to turn their societies into becoming very successful. In my opinion, President Park Chung-hee (1917 – 1979) of South Korea is a leader who introduced bold reforms that helped South Korea into eventually becoming known as the Miracle of the Han River.^{18,19} The scope of the present work is not to highlight how to bring rapid economic growth and industrialization to a nation as happened in South Korea but a slow balanced growth as happened in Oman (middle east) or Malaysia (Asia) to avoid specifically the fate that awaited President Park Chung-hee when the reform that he was introducing rivaled the interests of competing forces. Park was assassinated in 1979. Then again, people define happiness differently. In my opinion, a society who its member establish relations as the case is like in South Korea today

¹⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Qaboos_bin_Said

¹⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mahathir_Mohamad

¹⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Park_Chung-hee

¹⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Miracle_on_the_Han_River

where cctv-posters are everywhere just as the case is like in the Scandinavian countries, and where citizens work 13 hours shift every day is a great way to have access to room and board but not to happiness. Happiness cannot be a double-edged sword strategy!

Indeed, Qaboos bin Said and Mahathir bin Mohamad managed to show that it is possible to have an “emergent behavior.” They both pursued progressive strategies that are totally distinct, but both were complimentary to each other to progress mankind forward toward attaining the goals stipulated in the UN Charter. For example, Qaboos bin Said did not emphasize the importance of modernization over the importance of values. Bin Said, did not use the wealth of Oman to build skyscrapers that reaches to the sky so he ends up becoming entangled in the rivalry that some of his immediate neighboring countries are confronting today.

Qaboos bin Said knew that before he uses the wealth of Oman to erect high rises that reaches to the sky, he needs to be teaching the Omani how to produce bricks first. In other words, he understood that when the United States built the World Trade Center in the late 1960s, this country had already figured out how to build an atomic bomb to protect those two towers first, and most importantly, had tenants lined up to occupy them. Likewise, Bin Mohammad did not emphasize the importance of modernization over the importance of the independence of the judiciary system. In other words, bin Mohamad, did not use the wealth of his country to extend the traditional powers and privileges of Malaysia's royalty, rich, people who works in government jobs, and private or partisan interests as some of his neighboring countries do.

Readers shall keep in mind that the author is not featuring the power of the formal political system of Oman (unitary state and an absolute monarchy) or the formal political system of Malaysia (a federation state and a constitutional monarchy) in helping to create the social patterns that emerged, but rather the political work program that those two countries adopted to achieve the balanced human progress that the author is stressing is required. Said differently, the credit for the transformation (the cooperative behavior) should not be credited to those two countries adopting hierarchical political systems to this day, but rather, in the power of the political program that was adopted to

reduce internal and external political, economic and social shocks. In other words, in the power of the political program to make citizens prioritize values over desires.

In addition, readers shall also keep in mind that even though the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples affirm the right of people to be organized according to the wishes that citizens deem is relevant to them for as long as their relationship is based upon the principle of mutual benefit, and international law,²⁰ there were no poll conducted in Oman or Malaysia as of 1945 confirming that it is the wish of the people of Oman, for example, that one household rule this country as an absolute monarchy and not be converted into a unitary state (or a republic). Likewise, there were no poll conducted in Malaysia to establish that the people of Malaysia wish that the role of head of state rotate between nine households only in this country.

Hence, citizens Oman or Malaysia would not be able to avoid that their tribal values prompt them to strengthen the two households who sits on the throne, instead of human rights (democracy and rule of law) as the founding fathers of the UN counselled we should all be doing to avoid recourse to disregard and contempt, this signify that those two countries (Oman or Malaysia) could not be regarded as working to achieve the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter until a referendum is carried out to confirm that all people want that a specific household rule them.

The only political action that can make mankind progress toward creating political freedom or creating the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter is the canvas that the United States managed to achieve by 1945. In the United States people strengthen the US constitution and not a royal throne or household. The US constitution managed to make citizens (born in the US and on the outside) from 1776 to 1945 forget their tribal convictions, and to perceive that their cooperative behavior is strengthening freedom, morality and human rights, which is also true, because they were the happiest society by 1945. Simply put, the successes (attraction) that the

²⁰ <https://www.hawaii-nation.org/1514.html>

United States managed to achieve from 1776 to 1945 is the only truth available to man today to support that the politics that this country adopted could be a force for good. In contrast, all the other societies propagated in circle, while its operators (people) remained independent, and with constant splitting and reforming.

Then again, the political structure that exist in Oman or Malaysia could be the result of how the big powers who controls / protect those two countries want their political structure to be organized like. For example, Oman's strategic geographical importance is immensurable. The number of foreign workers in this country is very small and the state is the major employer.²¹ This signify that the country(ies) who protect/control Oman could have wanted this country to not be swamped with foreign workers and to stay hyper-centralized structure on purpose.

Yet no matter if Oman or Malaysia are controlled or protected by big powers through a web of alliances and alignments or not, the power of Qaboos bin Said or Mahathir bin Mohamad to keep their aspirations (and the one that belongs to their dependent people) under control or in balance thus preventing the nations that protects them or the ones who are rivaling them from resizing them is commendable, as I said earleir. The relative ruling approach those two leaders used did not make citizens feel oppressed thus pushing them to withdraw their obligation to obey. In other words, the laws or restrictions that Qaboos bin Said instituted in Oman did not prompt citizens to rely on the courage or cowardice that every man is programmed with or possess to increase his (her) happiness. Likewise, the foreign policy that Mahathir bin Mohamad adopted makes him a true master of his own affairs. Bin Mohamad had tenuous relationships with western nations, plus he was fending using the controversial Internal Security Act²² which granted his government rights to detain without charges activists, non-mainstream religious figures, political opponents, or any antagonist. Yet despite all the stresses that he was operating under, he managed to win five consecutive general elections, and in 2018, at the age of 90, the Malaysian people re-elected him as Prime Minister for a second term.

²¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oman#Economy>

²² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internal_Security_Act_1960

Indeed, the political maturity that Qaboos bin Said or Mahathir bin Mohamad managed to show is possible is not something that all the rulers of most UN member states displayed from 1945 to 2018.

In the book *Al Muquaddimah*, Ibn Khaldun state that “history is information about human social organization, which itself is identical with world civilization.”²³ Lebanon and Malaysia (or Lebanon and Oman) are two countries that obtained their independence post 1945, but evolved in two total opposite direction post 1945. If we compare the level of political, economic or social maturity that the Malaysian (or Omani) people managed to achieve during the last 70 years to the one the Lebanese achieved till now, we could say that the evolution of the Lebanese does not differ a lot (if not worse) from the ones the Jews dealt with when they were lost in the wilderness according to the Old Testament of the Bible.

Psychologists divide behavior into three categories: Positive, problematic or pivotal.²⁴ A pivotal behavior is one “that contributes to separate problematic behavior.” Most observers would support that the behavior that the Malaysian (or Omani) people exhibited during the past 70 years was positive. Their behavior (the Malaysians) has benefited them and those around them. In as far as the Lebanese people are concerned, most observers would support that the behavior of the political leaders who ruled Lebanon during the past 70 years is causing problem for both the Lebanese people and those around them.

Evidently, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States (global policemen) did not tolerate that the unproductive patterns that the Lebanese politicians ruled on its premise this country since 1945, mankind would not be 70 years later still dealing with the negative perception that many Lebanese people express on television, and in different media outlet about their leaders, the United States or the international postwar order in general. This outcome is a consequence of the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States tolerating that the Lebanese political leaders do what Mahathir bin Mohamad fought in Malaysia.

²³ <https://delong.typepad.com/files/muquaddimah.pdf>

²⁴ <https://www.betterhelp.com/advice/behavior/understanding-the-antecedent-behavior-consequence-model/>

No one knows why the Lebanese people support or tolerate that corrupt political leaders have the upper say in the country and/or the families/dynasties who won WW2 (including the United States) tolerate that the political and economic environment in Lebanon be chaotic. However, we could say that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 (including the United States who is playing the global policemen role) continue to allow the Lebanese people to relate with one another and with their neighbors as they have been doing since the past 70 years, their ongoing behavior would not continue to be problematic for mankind to attain the goals stipulated in the UN charter, but it could be a source that spread problematic or negative pivotal behavior around the world as well.

To give an example, the decision of the political leaders in Israel to end this country's military operation in the 2006 Lebanese war with Hezbollah without full victory, should have prompted many Lebanese and other people from neighboring countries to stop to fear Israel. No one knows what bearing this specific outcome has had on making the regime in Syria develop courage to perceive that it could reverse the call that the US and major Western European countries such as Germany and the UK were making in the early stage of the conflict in 2011 to the Syrian regime to relinquish control to the voting public of its authority to avoid that lives would be lost. Then again, because the US, the UK, and other NATO members did not provide enough military and logistic support to the opposition that they are supporting in this civil war so it could end quickly, this led to Russia in 2015 beginning to support the Syrian government.²⁵ In 2019, China began to sideline with Russia on issues involving the Syrian crisis,²⁶ thus supporting that if this civil war is not ended and is allowed to continue to go on, its impact would not only continue to raise the number of casualties, but would equally increase the number of people who would be fearless and disobedient, which is a prerequisite construct to being courageous.

The way the civil war in Syria evolved since 2011 shows how behavior analysis is concerned with the function of the behavior more than the behavior itself. This signify that it is very important to always be acting

²⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syrian_civil_war#Foreign_involvement

²⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syrian_civil_war#United_Nations_dispute

in line with what Benjamin Franklin counselled to avoid spill over as the Syrian civil war has shown could easily happen when competing interests double cross each other. The gridlock that exist in Syria is no longer about whether the Syrian regime stays in power or not. The problem is much bigger than that. It is another round of arm wrestling between Russia and its allies and the United States, the UK and their allies that is not less important than the Cuban Missile Crisis. Its seriousness is predicated on who at the end of this crisis would manage to make historians, military analysts, and the public in general perceive that it was his relative power who made the other surrender freedom to his demands.

Reactance is “an unpleasant motivational arousal (reaction) to offers, persons, rules, or regulations that threaten or eliminate specific behavioral freedoms.”²⁷ No one knows what specific behavioral freedoms Russia, China, Iran, Hezbollah, etc. felt is being taken away from them thus leading them to interfere on the side of the Syrian regime instead of the Syrian opposition, particularly when China and Russia serve as permanent members of the UN Security Council, and whose job description is to see that nations begin to cooperate based on the work program that is stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. However, we can say that this reactance that China, Russia, Iran, Hezbollah, or the Syrian regime adopted has not aided to make people become faithful to the international postwar order or the families/dynasties who won WW2. Likewise, we can say that the interference of Russia and China in the Syrian conflict support that the United States role as global policemen on the international postwar order and as a sovereign nation is weak. Definitely, Russia’s invasion of Ukraine and annex of Crimea in 2014 including its interference in Syria reinforce how the United States is not in control this time around in the same way most analysts claim was the case during the Cuban crisis. Most importantly, we can say that this incongruence between the nations whose job at the UN Security Council entail how to ensure that all people cooperate in accordance with the UN charter affects the social role model that those nations are supposed to continually be playing to attain the goals stipulated in the UN Charter, how other nations could respond to them, what each nation wish to be, and even invite most

²⁷ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reactance_\(psychology\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reactance_(psychology))

people to begin to have a view or attitude that is contrary to what was intended from the idea of having every member of the human family cooperate in the political and economic field to ultimately secure lasting peace.

Moreover, if we consider that the United States, and other western European nations such as the United Kingdom, Sweden, Norway, Denmark are on the one hand aiding the Syrian opposition, but in the other, they do not mind that countries such as Oman, France, Saudi Arabia, Belarus, India, Turkey, Egypt, Venezuela, and many others uphold regimes that are worse than the one the Syrian regime or its allies Russia, China, and Iran are adopting, this double standard support that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 do not start to make people (or nations) choose the opposite of what they desire, it is highly likely that the prevailing defiance that lots of people (societies) are manifesting as of 1945 remain a constant.

If this constant pattern of angry, irritable mood, argumentative and defiant behavior that emerged in recent years continue to grow, the number of people who would be losing temper, touchy, negativistic, vindictive, angry, and easily annoyed and resentful would also be reciprocally growing as well. When people tolerance weakens, there is a big chance that the people who would be considering that their government is not fulfilling its part of increasing their happiness (i.e., reducing economic hardship, limiting unemployment, etc.) to begin to use their regressed socioeconomic status to disobey the laws and to rely on physical aggressiveness to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

Most people surrender some of their freedom and obey laws willingly. because they know that in the long run laws could be useful to them in times of trouble. Generally speaking, as the effectiveness of parents, the church, etc. as a mean in controlling the behavior of newborns was decreasing, people tasked formal institutions with the job of providing the parameters for everyday behavior. No uniform worldwide system of social control exists. In other words, before WW2 erupted, Great Britain, for example, use to apply a uniform system of social control in all the territories that this empire was administering. As of 1945, the accountability for social control became decentralized. The political

culture of the society, whether it is open and democratic or closed and totalitarian, started to play a big role in determining the class of social control applied.

I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who won WW2 (or the United States who act as global policemen) have a unified system of social control that they hold UN member states accountable to uphold or not. Most importantly, how swift is their own capacity to detect or to respond to threats or weaknesses within the public order that can have significant bearing on destabilizing their strength and stability.

To put it differently, a wave pendulum is a device that consists of a series of pendula with increasing time periods so that when they are simultaneously released, they produce the effect of a wave. The wave in this case diminishes in wavelength, then looks very chaotic, then comes back to the straight line from which it started.²⁸ Before the pendulums cycle back to the beginning conditions, they exhibit a chaotic conditions. In the case of inanimate objects, the time the pendulums will take to return to be back in phase with each other depend on the length of the string and the acceleration due to gravity.

If humans are independent pendulums and the sum of the actions they prompt are constantly generating “waves” that alters between disregard and contempt (i.e., chaotic) and cooperation and reform (i.e., peace), then it is important that the time period of the chaotic state stays short, because if this chaotic period last for a long time, it may not be possible for the families/dynasties who won WW2 (or the United States who act as global policemen) to prevent their collapse.

Said differently, if we ask a runner to run two laps on a course, and tell the runner to take the time he needs to finish the first lap, but on the second lap to run faster so his total average speed becomes twice the speed of the first lapse, it would be impossible for the runner to do it because he would have to run twice the same distance in the same amount of time it took him to run the first lap. It would be impossible for the runner to run twice the same distance in the same amount of time

²⁸ <https://www.arborsci.com/blogs/cool/pendulum-wave-seems-like-magic-but-its-physics>

it took him to run the first lap, because the runner has already run the first lap. It is just mathematically impossible to do.²⁹

That is to say that if social disorder (chaos) manages to register a lead on the families/dynasties who won WW2 (or the United States who act as global policemen), it would not be impossible for them to catch up to stabilize the social control even if they go at the speed of light. The only way to prevent chaos from sweeping them and reverting them into a simpler form is if they provoke a global war. In other words, humans are not inanimate objects to say that we can vary the strings that links them up together and thus return them to be back in synch with each other faster or at our convenience.

If people are not sharing the ideals that emerged in the world as of 1945 (subscribe to the system of rewards and punishments that the families/dynasties who won WW2 programmed), this signify that fewer people are finding rewards from honesty or penalties for dishonesty.

In other words, if lots of people are feeling no obligation to obey the system of rewards and punishments that the families/dynasties who won WW2 programmed, this signify that two scenarios could be prompting this decline in morality. The first could be due to the formal institutions who are responsible for social control being weak. The second, could be due to the formal institutions adding laws (policies) that is aggrieving people and making them be less willing to obey.

It is not possible to say that the reason why people are feeling no obligation to obey the system of rewards and punishments that the families/dynasties who won WW2 programmed is tangled in the weakness of formal institutions, in complex and simple societies to detect, prevent, respond, etc. This is because nowadays the state is the largest employer in most countries, and the movement and conversations of citizens is monitored (recorded) in real time both whenever an individual is outside his home and when he is in the comfort of his own homes.

²⁹ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=72DCj3BztG4&ab_channel=Veritasium

If most people (societies) are not able to place the public interest ahead of their private interests, this means that the new laws (policies) that the formal institutions are adding in the social order could be what is dividing people, then subdividing them, then subcategorizing them to the point of preventing them from speaking the same language.

To put it differently, when societies produce laws, policies, etc. to improve socialization and social control, this signifies that it is necessary to create respective formal organizations to coordinate and enforce service delivery. At the same time, because governments traditionally enforce the law through a civil authority that ensures both public order as well as act as an apparatus to protect rulers and political class (the rich), this means that too much power is concentrated in the hands of its own members. Hence, the individuals who are supposed to ensure that the system of rewards and punishments are themselves the one who is exploiting the laws (policies) for their own ends, the families/dynasties who won WW2 are unable to obtain the benefit of what is it exactly wits they want people to avoid.

Fox guarding the henhouse, is an idiom that describes a set of circumstances in which a person trusts his money to sharpers. In the 1737 Dictionary of Thieving Slang, the word sharper is defined as "A Cheat, one who lives by his wits." In the nineteenth century, and into today, the term is more closely associated with gambling.³⁰

Gambling (also known as betting) is the wagering something of value ("the stakes") on an event with an uncertain outcome with the intent of winning something else of value.³¹ Hence, gambling requires three elements to be present to produce the outcomes that one expects. Those three criteria are consideration, risk and prize.

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to rely on formal institutions (rulers, political class, public sector workers, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.,) who consider themselves exempt from the appeal to obey the system of rewards and punishments they laid out at the end of WW2, i.e., the work program called for in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, is solidifying

³⁰ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sharper>

³¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gambling>

their power. But if there is a hole in the considerations they are making they could be waging on outcomes that the likelihood of them attaining would be very slim.

In other words, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to rely on formal institutions (rulers, political class, public sector workers, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) who consider themselves exempt from the appeal to obey the system of rewards and punishments they laid out at the end of WW2, it would not be possible to hold accountable those players for their abuses of power. This is because those players are the apparatus that helps the families/dynasties who won WW2 to stay in power.

Said differently, the word “restitution” in law means the gains-based recovery, in which a court orders the defendant to give up his gains to the claimant.³² However, the word “restitution” in physics (mathematics) means “a parameter (actually, it’s a number) which measures how many times the relative velocity of two colliding objects changes after collision, compare to their relative velocity before collision.”³³ In other words, “restitution is the ratio of the velocity with which two objects separate after kicking each other to the velocity with which they were running to hug each other.” The mathematics were developed by Isaac Newton in 1687.³⁴

Based on the two interpretations that we have to the word “restitution”, i.e., the legal and the mathematical one, we could say that it would be in the best interests of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to have the rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., be bouncing away as much as possible when they collide with the families/dynasties who won WW2 (or the rules, policies developed) if they want to increase their gains.

Said differently, the coefficient of restitution ranges from 0 to 1, where 1 would be a perfect elastic collision. That is to say, if we take a body and throw it to the ground, two outcomes would occur. Either the body

³² <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Restitution>

³³ <https://www.quora.com/What-is-restitution-in-physics>

³⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coefficient_of_restitution

stays on the ground (the coefficient of restitution is zero), or the object bounces away after hitting the ground (the coefficient of restitution ranges between 0 and 1 where 1 is a perfect elastic collision).

The force of gravity makes all objects come to a stop eventually. If all humans are working to realize the full development of their personality post 1945 (i.e., they preoccupy themselves with their neighbors and with achieving practical results for the greater well-being of society not necessarily for the wellbeing of society but to fulfill their desires), this then means that when the families/dynasties who won WW2 allow rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., to regard themselves exempt from the appeal to obey the system of rewards and punishments they laid out at the end of WW2, i.e., the work program called for in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, what those powerful families/dynasties are doing is allow those afore mentioned players to have a zero coefficient of restitution. This is very serious and worrisome.

Simply put, in law, the word “restitution” means the gains-based recovery, in which a court orders the defendant to give up his gains to the claimant, as I said before. The laws (legal and natural rights) that the families/dynasties who won WW2 legitimize are a mean to an end. If the families/dynasties who won WW2 want rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., to regard themselves exempt from the appeal to obey the system of rewards and punishments they laid out at the end of WW2, i.e., the work program called for in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and want those players to have a zero coefficient of restitution, this then signify that those actors are not protecting them but they are eating away from their power, wealth and status. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, people use two methods to secure unfair and unlawful gains. One is by force and the other is through trickery (deception). Likewise, people deceive using two methods: one is through carefully orchestrated plans, or through practicing impromptu approaches.

The rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., cannot rely on carefully orchestrated plans to con the families/dynasties who won WW2. This signify that the breakdown of the power, status and wealth of the families/dynasties who won WW2 is happening through impromptu approaches.

I have no way of knowing if all the different social networks that emerged on the aftermath of WW2 (current global governance system), which makes it appear as if people are united to create bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements and contracts to determine how to build and maintain peace and stability instead of being devoted to obeying the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which the founding fathers of the UN said would build lasting peace and stability. What I do know is that the largest number of governments, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements and contracts that people created makes the current global governance system look not any different than the social relations that link people on the world wide web.

The World Wide Web (WWW), is an information system where documents and other resources are interlinked and accessible over the internet.³⁵ The founding fathers of the UN did not counsel us to unite to create a social web (to design and develop laws and policies) that would be useful to help us support and foster social interaction, but to obey the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights upright.

When a person surfs the world wide web, an individual live by his wits. Indeed, the perceived complex web of alliances and alignments or perceived social independence that emerged in the world on the aftermath of WW2, makes it appear as if people (societies) are more and more operating outside the law.

To put it differently, the creation of many governments, bodies, institutions, unions, coalitions, agreements and contracts, who are all fostering social interactions intended to tailor its outcomes to fit its

³⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_Wide_Web

specific needs, has hindered the flow of intelligence between the various component of the system. This means that because we have no society that its citizens are obeying the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, all the alliances and alliance and alignments are euphemism for social networks that is not any different than the one gangs adopts to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

The *Beggar's Opera*³⁶ is a ballad opera written in 1728 by John Gay³⁷ (1685 – 1732), English poet and dramatist. The *Beggar's Opera* and the novel *The Life and Death of the Late Jonathan Wild, the Great*³⁸ published in 1743, by Henry Fielding³⁹ (1707 – 1754), English dramatist, feature two satiric account about the danger that could be lurking ahead of the families/dynasties who won WW2 for allowing that the international rule based postwar world order be operated like the world wide web. In other words, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to allow rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., form social webs that regard themselves exempt from the appeal to obey the system of rewards and punishments that was laid out at the end of WW2, i.e., the work program called for in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, they be building for their own destruction. This is because if too many people are maintaining a zero coefficient of restitution post 1945, the rivalry could get utterly ugly between them (the families/dynasties who won WW2) and those who would be working to displacing them if many people are colliding with them and not bouncing back. In atoms the majority of the elements that constitute such a structure stay on the outside of the nucleus and not on the inside!

I have no way of knowing if this abnormal rise in intolerance that emerged around the world as of 1945 is due to the families/dynasties who won WW2 preferring to let rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., form social webs that regard themselves exempt from the appeal to obey the system of rewards and punishments that was laid out at the end

³⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Beggar%27s_Opera

³⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Gay

³⁸https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Life_and_Death_of_Jonathan_Wild,_the_Great

³⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henry_Fielding

of WW2, i.e., the work program called for in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, or not. Definitely, the ongoing pervasive and persistent disregard for morals and social norms that emerged in the world in recent years does not support that the families/dynasties who won WW2 are in total control. Most importantly, the preoccupation that most people are manifesting to secure that the rights and feelings of their neighbors are protected is a phenomenon that like never before. To put it differently, we live in a world that legitimize the right of every individual to protect the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary, or artistic production of which one is the author. A big chunk of the laws that people determine and enforce centers on ensuring that citizens obey those codes that grant an individual right to protect moral and material interests resulting from scientific, literary, or artistic production. If human relations are based on legitimizing restrictive laws designed to limit rights (i.e., freedom), the preoccupation that most people are manifesting to secure that the rights and feelings of their neighbors are protected is indirectly a revolt against the social contract that the rich depend on to deny the poor rights. This is because the economic model that humans adopt is based on creating winners and losers. In fact, even the economic model that was adopted in the Soviet Union before the collapse of this bloc was creating winners and losers through the division of labor. For example, the individuals who were controlling the governments in the Soviet Union before the collapse of this bloc (i.e., the legal entity or artificial person) were the winners.

If people (societies) continue to rely on disruptive behavior to generate emancipation proclamations, the number of social movement that would be struggling for social justice could plunge the world into deeper chaos. The antisocial behaviors that would emerge would continue to be dividing societies in the name of good (strengthening justice and equality) as has been the case throughout history. When lawlessness starts to prompt people to harm and to exploit each other (assassination, kidnapping, etc.) to improve their wealth, status and power, violence, wars and pandemics become a very effective tool to purge or reduce callous, exploitative, parasitic, and unlawful attitudes. Unfortunately, throughout history, the individuals (societies) who relied on violence, wars and pandemic to solidify their power failed to manifest afterwards compunction and continued on exploiting other people (societies) in

harmful ways for their own gain or pleasure. As a result, all the exploration that the people (societies) who managed to grab power, but then preferred to not lead by example (deepening justice and equality) has saw their power, wealth, and status shrink in the same way magnetic breaking and levitation occur when change in magnetic field generate electric current (i.e., Michael Faraday⁴⁰ [1791-1864], English scientist who established the basis for the concept of the electromagnetic field in physics).

For example, copper is not magnetic. However, if we bring a magnet and attract it to a tilted copper plate, we find that the magnet starts to drag across the plate, and behaves as if it does not want to slip off from the plate (i.e., takes time to fall off the plate). Most importantly, when we drop a magnet on a copper plate, we find that the magnet starts to slow down midair and gently floats to the surface.⁴¹

Indeed, all the people (societies) who managed to grab power, and later preferred to not lead by example (i.e., deepen justice and equality) saw their power, wealth, and status behave in the same way Michael Faraday showed a magnet behaves (breaks and levitate) when attracted to a copper. In other words, some people (societies) have managed to stabilize their power in the same way a magnet slows down in midair when dropped onto a copper plate and float, but ultimately, their resistance to stay afloat was overtaken and they were all reverted to a simpler form (i.e., fell from their glory in the same way a magnet falls off from a tilted copper plated.

Michael Faraday explained what prompts a magnet to resist falling off a copper plate easily or floating in mid midair on a copper plate. Faraday dropped a magnet through a copper pipe (coil, etc.), and attributed the delay in the falling of the magnet from the pipe, to be due a change in magnetic field that generates little electric currents so called eddy currents in the pipe. The eddy currents then create an opposing magnetic force on the magnet slowing it down.”⁴² Thus, turning the pipe into an electromagnet.

⁴⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michael_Faraday

⁴¹https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sENgdSF8ppA&ab_channel=NightHawkInLight

⁴² https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NqdOyxJZjOU&ab_channel=Veritasium

Eddy currents are loops of electrical currents which circulate in conductors like swirling eddies in a stream.⁴³ In fluid dynamics, an “eddy” is the swirling of a fluid and the reverse current created when the fluid is in a turbulent flow regime.⁴⁴ I have no way of knowing what causes eddy currents to form. In fluid mechanics and transport phenomenon, an eddy is not a property of the fluid, but a violent swirling motion caused by the position and direction of turbulent flow.⁴⁵ Turbulent flow is defined as the flow in which the system's inertial forces are dominant over the viscous forces.⁴⁶

Humans are made of matter and are always in motion. I have no way of knowing if humans obey the same physical laws as other matter and motion. However, if when inertial forces are dominant (i.e., pressure and velocity) in fluid flow problems, this phenomenon creates, chaotic changes, then it is highly likely, that when the families/dynasties who won WW2 tasked the United Nations with letting the inertial forces of human rise, that such outcome could be creating the same violent swirling motions that exist in eddies or vortices. Eddies or vortices are observed in cyclone, tornado, or dust devil.

The fluid motion in a vortex creates a dynamic pressure that is lowest in the core region, closest to the axis, and increases as one moves away from it, in accordance with Bernoulli's principle.⁴⁷ In fluid dynamics, Bernoulli's principle states that an increase in the speed of a fluid occurs simultaneously with a decrease in static pressure or a decrease in the fluid's potential energy.⁴⁸ In physics, potential energy is the energy held by an object because of its position relative to other objects, stresses

⁴³ <https://www.magcraft.com/blog/what-are-eddy-currents#:~:text=Eddy%20currents%20are%20currents%20which,plane%20of%20the%20magnetic%20field.&text=Like%20any%20current%20flowing%20through,produce%20its%20own%20magnetic%20field>.

⁴⁴ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eddy_\(fluid_dynamics\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eddy_(fluid_dynamics))

⁴⁵ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eddy_\(fluid_dynamics\)#Swirl_and_eddies_in_engineering](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eddy_(fluid_dynamics)#Swirl_and_eddies_in_engineering)

⁴⁶ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eddy_\(fluid_dynamics\)#Reynolds_number_and_turbulence](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eddy_(fluid_dynamics)#Reynolds_number_and_turbulence)

⁴⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vortex#Pressure_in_a_vortex

⁴⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bernoulli%27s_principle

within itself, its electric charge, or other factors.⁴⁹ That is to say that since the families/dynasties who won WW2 are the ones who are occupying the core region, the swirling motions that is occurring around them could be reciprocally reducing their wealth, power and status. Evidently, the collapse of the families/dynasties who won WW2 in the core would occur in the same way physicists says happens when lots of mass gets compressed in a tight space thus forming a neutron star⁵⁰ (i.e., collapse).

To put it differently, the conservation of momentum in physics state that the momentum of a system is always conserved. In other words, momentum always stays the same. Momentum in physics is mass times velocity. If I take two billiard balls that are exactly the same weight and diameter, and have one ball hit another ball that is sitting on the pool table, the ball that was sitting would start moving at exactly the same velocity of the ball that hit it, because they are the same mass.

If as I said before, the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to allow people to have a zero coefficient of restitution, this means that all the rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., are staying at the core of the eddies or vortices with them. Hence the conservation of momentum in physics state that the momentum of a system is always conserved, this means that the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to let the United Nations compel people to develop awareness and knowledge (mass) at a rate that is equivalent to their own (velocity), could be converting everyone (those that are in the core already and those who are trying to get in the core) into becoming a victim of their own success (demise).

It is important that readers keep in mind that I am not talking mainly here about the negative effect of allowing computers, technology, tools, etc. (i.e., instruments that distance the human body from fulfilling the role that the natural world programmed this mass to be doing) increasing people's awareness and knowledge on the strength and stability of the families/dynasties who won WW2, but principally on the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to increase the awareness and

⁴⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Potential_energy

⁵⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutron_star

knowledge of people (rulers, the poor, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.,) about the importance of the idea of good and evil as a tool to solidify their happiness.

The Newton's cradle is a device that demonstrates the conservation of momentum and the conservation of energy with swinging theories.⁵¹ It would be wonderful if the displacement that people are continually subjecting each other to endure behave just as per the effect that occurs in a Newton's cradle. However, if people (the families/dynasties who won WW2, rulers, the poor, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.,) are all competing and rivaling (creating bottlenecks) using the idea of good and evil to determine who could manage to deny the other rights (i.e., want to stay at the core), then all the ideas that everyone would be relying on to get his way despite the resistance of others could be getting compressed together in the same way physicists say the elements from which the sun is made of (i.e., hydrogen and helium) are all the time doing. Physicists say the gravity of the sun pushes the hydrogen and helium (mass) of this large star to compress together at the core. Physicists say that the reason why the sun does not collapse on itself because there is another force that is pushing this compressed mass apart. This force is the explosions that is constantly happening in the sun due to nuclear fusion.⁵² In other words, hydrogen is constantly fusing, forming helium and exploding the sun in every tier.

I have no way of knowing if all the violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc., that people know is a result of the same phenomenon that physicists says happens in the sun constantly. In other words, people (rulers, the poor, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.,) are all the time pushing in (competing and rivaling using the idea of good and evil to determine who could manage to deny the other rights to get to the core), and those who are already in the core (the families/dynasties who won WW2), pushing them out by relying on the same tools that the

⁵¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Newton%27s_cradle

⁵² https://www.wvu.edu/astro101/a101_sun.shtml#:~:text=In%20the%20core%20of%20the,fuse%20into%20each%20helium%20atom.&text=Simply%20put%2C%20the%20Sun%20is,to%20glow%20in%20every%20tier.

people who are pushing in are using, i.e., violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, in international relations theory philosophers (realists) believe that when people (societies) prioritize their own security (compel violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. to forgo the security of others) over relative gains, this strategy creates a zero sum game.⁵³ This political realism is assuming that people are made of billiard balls that would be of the same mass thus generating the displacement that occurs in Newton's cradle.

Humans could be obeying the same physical laws as other matter and motion, but people are programmed with human ingenuity that is capable to go against the will on the natural force that programmed this mass. In other words, if we put a billiard ball on a tilted hill, the ball cannot go against the force of gravity and move upward in the uphill position, but humans can.

Said differently, if the United Nations continue to teach people why they should stop to cope as they were doing post 1945 and to be devoted to secure that outcomes (justice, material things, etc.,) are shared on equal rights, this phenomenon could create the same effect that physicists say happen to certain stars.

According to physicists when enough mass keeps on collapsing in on itself in the star (i.e., the electrons become as close together as they can possibly get due to all the compressing), and the only thing that's stopping the electrons or the protons from overlapping each other is the Pauli exclusion principle (i.e., two electrons cannot be occupying the same void space), then the electrons and the protons get so close together thus inducing an electron capture, which turns the electrons and protons into becoming a pure neutron, that is called neutron star. Physicists say that in a neutron star, we have no protons or electrons, just pure neutrons with no electric charge.

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to deviate away from the work program that the founding

⁵³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Realism_in_international_relations_theory

fathers of the UN counseled them to remain faithful to its principles to solidify their power and ensure lasting peace, in favor of letting the United Nations teach people why they should not accept to cope as they are doing unless outcomes (i.e., justice and material things) are shared on equal rights is what compelled this rise in intolerance or antisocial behavior that most people are manifesting on the aftermath of WW2.

However, based on the afore, all the violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. that the families/dynasties who won WW2, rulers, the poor, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., are all compelling through using the idea of good or evil as a tool to determine who would tell the weaker to consider the opinion of the strongest could be impacting in two ways on all those actors who are entangled in this power grab game. The first is that this rise in intolerance or antisocial behavior that most people are manifesting to determine who gets his ways despite the resistance of others could be creating a zero sum game (i.e., behaving in the same way Newton's cradle does). Second, this rise in intolerance or antisocial behavior that most people are manifesting could be compressing people closer together thus prompting their collapse and the collapse of the human progress just as per what happens to a star that its electrons try to want to compress themselves in the core (i.e., the star become made of pure neutron with no electric charge).

I have no way of knowing if the multiple issues and crises that existing people dispute over plus the old issues that they inherit and choose to address are affecting the human progress through either one of the afore described methods or not. What I do know is that despite all the violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc., that the rich use to make the poor surrender freedom to the political order they determine and enforce, none of the measures taken has stopped the poor from continuing to seek to push in on the rich to either displace them (Newton's cradle) or to occupy the same void space (neutron star). To the contrary, the more people favor that created things do not go to waste or vie to determine who would manage to make others consider his opinion before taking actions of their own, the more the bunkering of people under the idea of good or evil to get their ways despite the resistance of others seem to grow. Furthermore, the more governments, the rich, etc., deny the poor right to cooperate based on the principles

set forth in the Universal declaration of Human Rights, censor news, destroy archives and prevent people from knowing the truth, the more the chilling sentiments thrust the poor to tirelessly be devoted to expose the extent of the unpreparedness of the rich to obey the appeal that was made by the founding fathers of the UN to obey the obligations arising from agreements or laws.

For example, in 1961, Algerians living in France began to call on the French authorities to grant Algeria independence, and defied a curfew in which it was made unlawful to protest or to petition the government on such right. Instead of the French government (who is a permanent member of the UN Security Council) acting in accordance with the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples that was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1960 to that effect, France committed one of the worse massacre (repression) in its history. The French government arrested 14,000 Algerians and killed hundreds of protestors.⁵⁴ Most importantly, the French government chose to downplay this 1961 massacre of Algerians by censoring any news related to it, including destroying many of the archives and preventing journalists from investigating the story.⁵⁵ In addition, the French government granted general amnesty to all the French authorities (police and security officers) who participated in this Massacre. Between 1997 and 1998 the lid on some of the classified archive relating to the 1961 Algerian Massacre was accidentally made public.⁵⁶ Now, it is not only the Algerians who distrust the French government, but the whole world. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, trust and distrust are two crucial aspects of human interaction that determine the nature (health) of many organizational contexts. When trust decline (distrust rise), it becomes very difficult to solve the problems that people get together in the first place to surmount. This is because distrust prompt people to vilify and demonize the person (society, government, nation, etc.) that was mistrusted including propelling the trustor to develop paranoid cognitions.⁵⁷ Most

⁵⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paris_massacre_of_1961

⁵⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paris_massacre_of_1961#Recent_events

⁵⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paris_massacre_of_1961#Events

⁵⁷<https://www.beyondintractability.org/essay/distrust#:~:text=The%20negative%20emotions%20that%20emerge,can%20even%20produce%20paranoid%20cognitions.>

importantly, distrust prompt people to begin to monitor the behavior of the person (society, government, nation, etc.) that was mistrusted including to plot against the perpetrator.

Up until 1945 it was a lot easier for an individual (society, government, nation, etc.) to cover up despicable act like the 1961 Algerian Massacre that the French government carried out, and consequently, reported little on at the time to avoid that people fear or suspect its claim of being a force for good. However, the advances that was made in technology (artificial intelligence) makes it presently very difficult to cover any act of wrongdoing.

Said differently, when the French government massacred the Algerians who were protesting demanding that France cease French rule in North Africa and to grant Algeria independence, we had two factions who were combatting each other. Those two factions were the French forces and the protestors.

The French government managed to use its power differential in 1961 to cover up the Algerian massacre, i.e., censor the news, and prevent journalists from investigating the story. However, this powerful differential that the French government has benefited from in 1961, was overturned today.

Presently, synthetic media (more commonly known as deepfake) can spread disinformation about the French government (i.e., any individual, government, nation, company, religion, etc.), using hyper realistic video and audio recording (artificial intelligence) that can portray the French people as being savage never mind being programmed with mere ego like everyone else.

If synthetic media (deepfake) can be used maliciously in many different contexts, it would not be possible to inoculate ourselves from the harm that such realistic video and audio recording can have to manipulate emotions (trust and distrust).

Simply put, if Technology continue to get better, it would not be possible to detect synthetic media from real media. In other words, when any computer user takes the face of the President of France and stitches

it onto the real video and sound of an impersonator, people would not know who is the real and who is the fake. This also means that it is not possible to allow that synthetic media (deepfake) spread without losing trust in all authentic media. This is very serious and worrisome.

As I said before, when trust decline (distrust rise), the feeling of insecurity and anxiety that mistrust provokes, can make people to start to plot against the person (society, government, nation, etc.) that is distrusted. When people start to conspire plot against the person (society, government, nation, etc.) that is distrusted, this signify that they want to displace the mistrusted person to occupy the void space that this individual is occupying. Earlier, I said that when gravity pushes electrons and protons to compete with one another to tightly squeeze themselves in the core of the sun, they create an electron capture which turns them into becoming pure neutron.

If distrust rise, there would be a wealth of ideas about what's gone wrong and how to fix it. This means that if trust continue to decline (shrink), it is highly possible that people would be either accelerating the violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc., that they would be depending on to displace each other, i.e., to create a zero sum game (Newton's cradle), or they would be accelerating the formation of electron capture (total destruction of the human progress).

As I said before, I have no way of knowing if distrust produces fear and paranoid cognitions that would make people become unwilling to distinguish between what is true and what is false, thus destabilizing human relationships in accordance with either one of the afore two types of phenomena. What I do know is that humans categorize generally goals as either short-term and long-term. If those two phenomenon (Newton's cradle and electron capture) are interrelated in the same way humans' indulge to achieve their self-glorification goals (short and long term), we can say that the manner in which people would be interrelating with each other in the near future would determine if the long term disruption (i.e., total destruction of the human progress) could take place or not.

I have no way of knowing if all the people who are using the idea of good as an opportunity to get their ways despite the resistance of others

would start to lead by example in the near future thus reducing the alleged impropriety in the exercise of their power. Nonetheless, we can say that for as long as the families/dynasties who won WW2, rulers, the poor, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., consider themselves exempt from this appeal, and try to promote themselves as the people's savior, the violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. that has engulfed the world since the last world reset (WW2) would continue to go on.

Furthermore, we can say that because man stratified the social order into classes, and the class that is the most affluent is normally the one who expect everyone else to consider its opinion before taking action of their own, this means that the more the families/dynasties who won WW2, rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., cover inappropriate actions to remain in power, the more the bombshell revelations that the distrust that those actors would be falling victims of would be preventing them from fulfilling their constitutional obligations or working responsibilities.

Then again, hence the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the United States in particular (the global policemen of the world) cannot let the distrust that would be engulfing people actions casts doubt on the effectiveness of the political order that was legitimized on the aftermath of WW2, because it is the instrument that those two actors depend on to get their ways despite the resistance of others, this means that as distrust swells, political fallout, subversion and sabotage would rise.

Then again, hence all the measures that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the United States in particular (the global policemen of the world) need to take, and the one that rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., need to be also doing to prevent their fallout is to project themselves as being politically correct, this means that the more all those afore actors bunker under responses that does not include leading by example, the more people's distrust rise. The more the distrust of people rise, the more those actors who are bunkering under the idea of good to get their ways despite the resistance of others (i.e., the families/dynasties who won WW2, rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments,

formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) would be obliged to reject people's readiness to undermine the values that they are fixing. This is very serious and worrisome. In other words, when people become increasingly at odds with one another, governments could start to criminalize individuals who witness a crime, for example, and choose to do nothing to stop the offense or call for help. Simply put, because the rich (the families/dynasties who won WW2, rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) control the judicial system, those actors have power to vilify the social order in any which they want in the name of unity (idea of good).

To put it differently, prior to the creation of the European Union, each nation adopted its own legislation. After the creation of the European Union, a debate between the EU members emerged on whether the EU law have primacy over national legislation or not. Presently, the powerful forces who control the European Union are accusing the EU nations who refuse to let the EU law have primacy over national legislation of stirring a direct challenge to the unity of the European legal order.⁵⁸ If the EU laws start to have primacy over the national legislation of EU member states, then the powerful forces who control this bloc could begin in the name of unity (idea of good) to violate the fundamental right of any person living in this bloc hence they are the one who control the money in use as well. When the powerful forces who control the European Union make it compulsory that the EU law have primacy over national legislation, which is a strategy that the founding fathers of the UN did not counsel UN member states to adopt, the "organization" become much bigger than the individual.

Throughout history no individual, nation, kingdom managed to make the "organization" become much bigger than the individual when members were not leading by example.

Ali⁵⁹ (660 – 661), son-in-law of the Islamic prophet Muhammad, counseled rulers to not limit children behaviors to the manners of parents, because children were born to live for a different time.⁶⁰ Hence

⁵⁸ <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-58955375>

⁵⁹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ali>

⁶⁰ <https://www.aqaed.com/faq/7490/>

values has not changed in the previous and subsequent times, then we can say that what has made the world become more dynamic in certain periods than in others, is tangled in the degree of importance that each cohorts placed or attributed to values. In other words, certain cohorts, agreed to stand up to values, while others preferred to remain passive. This is very serious and worrisome.

The founding fathers of the UN did not counsel the families/dynasties who won WW2 to stand up for values on the aftermath of WW2, but rather to obey the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. In other words, the founding fathers of the UN knew that the families/dynasties who won WW2, rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc. solidify their power through standing up for values. For this reason, it would be anti-logical to suppose that the founding fathers of the UN counselled that all the people of the world be dedicated to deepening values (eradicate poverty, injustice and arranging that outcomes are shared on equal rights), as the founding fathers of the UN tasked the UN to start teaching people to be devoted to doing on the aftermath of WW2, because this strategy accelerate their collapse and the collapse of all the actors who are like them (rulers, political class, rich, etc.) depending on values (the idea of good) to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This is very serious and worrisome.

Simply put, if all the intolerance that people are exhibiting on the aftermath of WW2 is because the different cohorts that are emerging are standing up for values, then the families/dynasties who won WW2 could have fallen into a trap that it was of their own making when they decided to deviate away for the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to remain faithful to its principles in favor of letting the United Nations prioritize teaching people to prioritize modernization over values. To come out unharmed, the families/dynasties who won WW2 need to start to lead by example, or compel a global war to create a new reset or reduce the number of people who are depending on values (the idea of good) to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

The families/dynasties who won WW2, and all the people who are depending on values (the idea of good) to get their ways despite the resistance of others (i.e., rulers, political class, public sector workers of

governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) cannot maintain their strength and stability, without relying on political corruption, deceit, betrayal, bribe, nationalism, etc. to manipulate outcome and win. Likewise, all those afore actors, cannot continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others, if everyone stands up for values. Now, hence the only way that those afore actors can continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others, is if everyone else begins to be morally conscience and less relying on political corruption, deceit, betrayal, bribe, nationalism, etc. to manipulate outcome and win, but in their case they are exempt from this case, then the longer the families/dynasties who won WW2 delay engulfing the world in a new global war to pit people against each other and reduce the number of people who are standing up for values and those whose are relying on the idea of evil to create a new reset, the higher the unlikelihood of the families/dynasties who won WW2 avoiding becoming reverted to a simpler form would be getting.

It is important to keep in mind that the families/dynasties who won WW2 must compel this global war to create a new reset to have their heirs stand a chance maintaining the same power, status and wealth. This is because if human's behavior tends to be always trying to search for the truth as chaos is always trying in the natural world to be ordered, then if the perceptions that makes people stand up for values pushes them to instigate this reset (a new global war) there is a good chance that the families/dynasties who won WW2 or their heirs may not in a position to create the outcome that they like. This is because everyone is after resizing (displacing) the families/dynasties who won WW2 from their power, status and wealth to occupy their void space.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 plan to avert their collapse if the social order continues to be infiltrated by people who are using, freedom, democracy, Marxism, anti-racism, LGBT ideologies, etc. to dismiss the rich or the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a tool to deepen democracy and the rule of law. Nonetheless, we can say that if people continue to believe that governments are using the taxes that people pay to benefit the individuals involved, we cannot expect the social order to improve to the better. In other words, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) decide to do

nothing to improve morality around the world, because they believe that they can keep peace and security stay as is today unstable, and still transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them, then those two actors could be counting on all the divisions that people would be knowing preventing not having any bearing on their strength and stability.

Then again, I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 or their global policemen (i.e., the United States) plan to avoid their reversal to a simpler form when they have been since 1945 wielding their power on the weak and defeated instead of on the rich and powerful, who pose the real threat on the maintenance of their strength and stability. Throughout history it was the frustration of the rich and not the poor, weak or the defeated who resized the kings of the world. And so, many questions beg answers. For one, can the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) prevent spillovers, disillusionment, or the rise of nationalism from prompting their collapse in the course of time and things knowing that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations and its subsidiary organs has become a den of thieves? In other words, can the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) prevent their collapse if the UN workers are teaching the poor why they need to be devoted to ensuring that rulers and the political class shares with them outcomes on equal rights but while those UN workers are themselves pursuing the realization of the full development of their personality, or are relying on corruption, betrayal and deceit to get their ways despite the resistance of others?

I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who won WW2 or their global policemen (i.e., the United States) could avoid that the rich and the poor revert them into a simpler form if they continue to let the intergovernmental organization named United Nations and its subsidiary organs maintain relationship with them and be granted rights to be an economic operator while UN employees are enjoying privileges and immunities. What I do know based on the existing literature is that when Mahathir bin Mohamad adopted bold measures to improve peace and security in Malaysia he did not target the weak and the defeated, but

Malaysia's royalty and rich. Bin Mohamad made the rich live up to their promise of wanting to lift Malaysia from poverty and not the poor.

If the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) continue to wield their power on the weak and defeated as they have been doing since 1945 instead of on the rich and the royalty as Mahathir bin Mohamad did in Malaysia, it would not be possible for them to reach their goals. To the contrary, there is a good chance that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) would remain a slave of their own goals, and would never be able to achieve them. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the more the botched attempt of the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) to transform the conditions that matters to them distances them from their goals (to build a world that is free from fear and want as detailed in the Universal declaration of Human Rights), the more they would become convinced that it is not possible for them to achieve their aspirations because the problem reside in human nature, and not necessarily in the way they are going about to get their ways. This is again very serious and worrisome. This is because the more the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) acquiesce or convince themselves that the problem for their failure to make a difference in this world reside in human nature instead of in the way they are going about to get their ways, the more their own convictions would be leading them to believe that the problem for their failure to create a change is tangled in the way human nature was programmed and not necessarily in the individuals who they are picking to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them. Accordingly, the more the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) refuse to accept that the reason for their failure to achieve their goals is due to the individuals who they are picking to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them, the harder it would be getting for them to behave contrary to how others are doing. Since antiquity, the world has known two type of people: explorers and spectators, producers and consumers, etc. Could the reason for the failure of the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) to succeed at making people be ready to surrender freedom to the work program they proposed on the aftermath of WW2 (i.e., the Universal Declaration of

Human Rights) be tangled in having adopted actions that contradicts with what Ali counselled rulers to abstain from doing (i.e., limit children behaviors to the manners of parents, because children were born to live for a different time).

I do not know from where to start to find which specific action (framework) could be making newborns become unprepared to obey the international rule based postwar world order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 proposed on the aftermath of WW2. The postwar world order in question is the practice of the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a tool to improve democracy and the rule of law but through the intermediary of the Universal Declarations of Human Rights. Then again, Lao Tzu (or Laozi) was an ancient Chinese philosopher and writer.⁶¹ Laozi is the reputed author of the Tao Te Ching, the founder of philosophical Taoism, and a deity in religious Taoism and traditional Chinese religions. Lao Tzu is usually portrayed as a contemporary of Confucius.

According to Laozi, the world can be characterized in three ideas: Wuji⁶² (nothingness) → Taiji⁶³ (ultimate source and motive force behind all reality) → Yin Yang⁶⁴ (concept of dualism).

I have no way of knowing if the concept of duality (Yin Yang) that humans class and classify their actions under is provoked by Taiji forces or not. However, if the Taiji is a force that work as Laozi claim as vortex, and its output is the Yin Yang (concept of duality), then we can say that the level of Yin Yang (concept of duality) that most people are ready to classify their actions under should be a parameter that undulates depending on the level of Taiji that the natural world or our explorations or inaction are encumbering.

Laozi (i.e., or Taoism), for example, encourages non-action (Wuji) as a central conduct to reduce Taiji and henceforth Yin Yang. In other words, Laozi counsel those who are in position of power to keep citizens away from education to reduce Taiji (dynamics) and henceforth control

⁶¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laozi>

⁶² [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wuji_\(philosophy\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wuji_(philosophy))

⁶³ <https://www.britannica.com/topic/taiji>

⁶⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yin_and_yang

Yin Yang (concept of duality). Simply put, Laozi counsel those who are in position of power to suppress the sources of information that their citizen can have access to including all the other ways in which ideas are transmitted (i.e., keep citizens ignorant) to enable them to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

We cannot say that the reason why the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) are not able to make newborns become prepared to obey the international rule based postwar world order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 proposed on the aftermath of WW2, because those powerful families/dynasties are not keeping people away from education and/or are suppressing all sources of information exactly as Laozi said is needed to reduce Taiji (dynamics) and henceforth control Yin Yang (concept of duality).

Article 27.2, in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, grants right to every member of the human family to protect the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which one is the author.”⁶⁵ As of 1945, every member of the human family is denying the other access technical assistance that in his opinion could threaten his security or his survival. For example, the United States does not grant the Somali regime know how to produce a nuclear bomb.

Could the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) to deny people, societies, nations, right to all the technical assistance that they possess and withholding be what is causing this abnormal Taiji (dynamics) that emerged in the world on the aftermath of WW2, and henceforth make it impossible to control Yin Yang (concept of duality). In other words, could we suppose that if the Boeing Company was to stop fabricating airplanes and hand over to the Iraqi people this knowhow, this action would resolve the political, economic, and social problems that the Iraqi people started knowing after the United States and its allies turned their livelihood upside down since the last 20 years. It is not possible to say yes, because not even the people who are living in Washington state (in

⁶⁵ <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>

the US) where Boeing maintains its assembly factories are able in recent years to prevent divisiveness from splitting them apart just exactly as the people of Iraq are going through.

Hence, the Iraqi people could have not known all the dynamism that they started living as of the start of the 21st century if the United States (the nation) and its allies did not meddle in the political status of this country, could the reason for all the violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. that has engulfed the world post 1945 be due to the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) meddling in the political status of nations post 1945 just as the United States (the nation) and its allies are doing to solidify their power.

It is important in the above paragraph to keep in mind that the United States (the nation) and the United States (the global policemen) are not one and the same. Perhaps the best way to distinguish between each usage of the term “United States” is to consider “the United States the nation” to refer to the people that Moses was leading to the promised Land, and “the United States the global policemen” to refer to Moses and his immediate deputies. Therefore, the United States (the nation) refers to a UN member state whose leaders and people are working to solidify their power just as the leaders and people who Moses was leading to the promised land were doing. In other words, those people are violating the Universal Declaration of Human Rights just as the people who Moses was leading were violating his laws. Those people are relying on violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. to get their ways despite the resistance of others. The United States (the global policemen) is a force for good. The people who form part of this force do not engage in any immoral conduct. Those people who form part of this force want the work program that is stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights succeed. We can say that those people are the ones that Moses and his immediate aids formed part thereof.

I have no way of knowing if the reason for this abnormal rise in intolerance that emerged in the world post 1945 is due to the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) meddling in the political status of nations just as the UN member states are all doing to one another or not. If the

families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) stopped to play the role that Moses and his senior aides were doing in leading people to the promised land, and are meddling in the political status of nations just as the UN member states are all doing to one another, then mankind could be doomed.

To put it differently, we know that all people believe that the international system is governed by anarchy, and that people (society, nation) are devoted to increasing their relative power, wealth and status, because they believe that when their military capability (tools, machinery, technology) rise, they can get their ways despite the resistance of others. This perception is what has kept the Taiji dynamic throughout history, and consequently, Yin Yang.

If the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) are not able to make people surrender freedom to the international rule based postwar world order they laid out at the end of WW2, this means that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) could be doing what all people are devoted to doing, and that is to perceive that when they increase their relative power, wealth and status, they can get their ways despite the resistance of others. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because it is not true that when a person, society or nation swells its military, economic, and political power, it can manage to keep its citizen ignorant (i.e., keep them away from developing awareness or knowledge), or to avoid that moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production be trafficked.

Furthermore, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) are continuing to pursue their self-interest in the same way most people maintain and ensure their own security and survival (i.e., violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc.), this means that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) are not being faithful to the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to obey to solidify their power and ensure lasting peace, and are preferring engaging in competing against all the people who are racing to find out who would be the first to resize them, instead of focusing on how to make people obey the international rule based postwar world

order they laid out at the end of WW2. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, the founding fathers of the UN did not counsel the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) to be competing with UN member states on the aftermath to solidify their power but to be ensuring that all UN member states obey the work program stipulated in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to avoid that people resort to disregard and contempt. To manage to achieve what the founding fathers of the UN counselled the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) to be doing to continue to solidify their power and ensure lasting peace, it is necessary they do not interfere in the political status of nations just as the royal families/dynasties who control constitutional monarch always declare that they are not involved in politics in their home countries and they exist only for ceremonial purposes, promote democracy, and swearing in politicians.

I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who won WW2 approved that the United States (i.e., the global policemen) interfere in Iraq because they (the families/dynasties who won WW2) felt threatened by the Iraqi people, or if they approved that the United States (i.e., the global policemen) interfere in Iraq because they were compelled erroneously into doing it. What I do know is that this lack of inner strength by the families/dynasties who won WW2 has contributed to swelling the Taiji (dynamics) and henceforth Yin Yang (concept of duality).

Indeed, the war that the families/dynasties who won WW2 waged against the Iraqi people at the start of the 21st century cannot be blamed alone for this rise in Taiji (dynamics) and henceforth Yin Yang (concept of duality) that emerged in the world post 1945.

Then again, the notion of duality in Laozi's teaching (Taoist metaphysics) is an indivisible whole. In other words, the dynamic of the system as a whole is greater than the assembled parts. In addition, in the Taoist metaphysics, duality "capture the idea of the tension between two

opposing forces which become a driving force for change and creativity.”⁶⁶

Hence we cannot say that the number of conflicts, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. that people compelled as of 1945 diminished but rather this number has been increasing steadily, this signify that this back to back dynamism that the world is knowing must be compounding Taiji (dynamics) and henceforth Yin Yang (concept of duality) respectively.

Ecology is the study of the relationships between living organisms, including humans, and their physical environment.⁶⁷

A community of practice (CoP) is a group of people who "share a concern or a passion for something they do and learn how to do it better as they interact regularly."⁶⁸

No one knows if the concerns or passion that people manifest within a CoP is a phenomenon that evolve naturally because of the members' common interest in a particular domain or area, or it can be created deliberately with the goal of gaining knowledge related to a specific field. Nonetheless, we can say that all the solutions that the families/dynasties who won WW2 have adopted as of 1945 to reduce Taiji (dynamics) and henceforth Yin Yang (concept of duality), have not helped to improve the relationships between humans, but they have so far disturbed and weakened this fabric.

In other words, if the rich (i.e., rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) continue to act as a rational autonomous actor in pursuit of their own self-interest (their primary goal to maintain and ensure their own security and survival) instead of focusing on the impact of letting two opposing forces (Yin Yang) be the driving force for change and creativity (Taiji), then those actors must believe that they can control the movement of energy within people and through the different societies.

⁶⁶ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Duality_\(CoPs\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Duality_(CoPs))

⁶⁷ <https://www.esa.org/about/what-does-ecology-have-to-do-with-me/>

⁶⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Community_of_practice

Said differently, if the rich (i.e., rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) believe that they can continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others after they freed the poor and recruited the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to teach everyone why they should stop to cope unless outcomes are shared on equal rights, this means that those afore mentioned actors believe they possess the knowhow to control the different systems of interactions, adaptations, energy movement, succession, competition, predation, distribution and patterns involved in the study of human relations.

To give an example, Norway is among the countries who are leading and financing the efforts that are calling on nations to accelerate development to control climate change. The majority of the cars that are being sold in Norway are electric or hybrid presently.⁶⁹ At the same time, Norway remain among the top oil producing countries around the world. One could argue that the drilling and the refining of oil does not pollute the environment as much as its usage (burning). However, we can clearly note here double standards.

Then again, the dualism (Yin Yang) Norway is exhibiting is not new. In other words, only Norway does not want to accept that everyone knows that this country is a principal producer of green technology, and if its leaders make the climate change problem a whole, it would be possible for this country to manage to continue to preserve its wealth since all the laws that people determine and enforce are to grant rights to every member of the human family to protect the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which one is the author, including the control and regulation of other human relations.

That is also to say, that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) continue to allow Norway to make people around the world focus on moving away from fossil fuels so the technical progress that Norway is creating does not go to waste, before fixing the problems of social and economic inequality that

⁶⁹ <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/01/04/business/energy-environment/norway-electric-hybrid-cars.html>

Norway occasioned in the world already after this country and others started asking people as of 1945 to move away from using donkeys to using cars, could be having far greater negative effect on their wealth, status and power than the challenge the climate change problem could be posing.

In other words, if people around the world continue to appoint themselves as deputies of God on earth, and perceive that it is incumbent on them to secure that outcomes are shared on equal rights (justice, material things, etc.) as per what the intergovernmental Organizations named United Nations has been teaching people to be devoted to securing since 1945, the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) could be entangled in a much more challenging problem to prevent their reversal to a simpler form than the challenge the climate change problem could be posing.

Tit for tat (or tip for tap) is an English saying meaning "equivalent retaliation" or blow for blow.⁷⁰ This expression is also a highly effective strategy in game theory. An agent using this strategy "will first cooperate, then subsequently replicate an opponent's previous action. If the opponent previously was cooperative, the agent is cooperative. If not, the agent is not."

Abū Tammām (ca. 796/807 - 850) was an Arab poet.⁷¹ He is best known in literature for his ten book anthology of Arabic poetry, compiled in the 9th-century, considered one of the greatest anthologies of Arabic literature ever written.⁷² In his famous poem titled Life's evidence (or proofs)⁷³ which is eight stanza only, Abū Tammām suggest that when respect or trust fades, this opens the door to a host of problems. He then says, a person is like a tree branch, and modesty covers him like the bark of a tree. If the bark of a tree falls, the branch withers and becomes worthless. Likewise, if a person loses his modesty, he becomes useless.

⁷⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tit_for_tat

⁷¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abu_Tammam

⁷² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kitab_al-Hamasah

⁷³ <https://www.uae-study.com/2021/09/Explanation-of-poetry-verses-from-life-experiences.html>

I have no way of knowing if this systemic bias or abnormal “Tit for tat” attitude that emerged in the world post 1945 is due to people starting to lose modesty or if this defiant attitude that emerged in recent years is the result of the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) undermining the consequences of allowing rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc., rely on their whims to rule instead of on the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. What I do know is that Abū Tammām was trying to say in his famous poem *Life’s evidence* is that “modesty is a bridge to prudence and piety.” Hence the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) are not fearing what could happen to them as a result of allowing people to establish relations based on their whim instead of on modesty, then they must be thinking that they are invincible.

I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who won WW2 are invincible or not. What I do know is that the Prophet Muhammad once said that “modesty and trustworthiness will be the first to go from this world; therefore, keep asking Allah for them.”⁷⁴

Furthermore, I have no way of knowing if this rise in tit for tat, immodesty, boldness, arrogance, spontaneity, indecency, etc., that emerged in the world as of 1945 is a result of modesty and trustworthiness (i.e., bashfulness, decency, diffidence, honor, humility, inhibition, modesty, self-respect, shame, shyness, timidity) being the first to go from this world as the Prophet Muhammad suggested would start happening when values stops to be a bridge to prudence and piety. What I do know is that if this systemic bias or systemic refusal by people to cooperate in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights continue to grows, then it is highly possible that the dynamics (Taiji) that has engulfed the international order could be transforming human relations in accordance with the political order (patterns) that exists presently in Lebanon (chaotic) or the one that exist in Egypt (authoritarian). Evidently, the regime in Egypt is artificial and not natural. In other words, if the nations

⁷⁴ Vanderheiden, Elisabeth; Mayer, Claude-Hélène (2017). *The Value of Shame: Exploring a Health Resource in Cultural Contexts*. Springer. pp. 127, 128, 129. ISBN 978-3-319-53100-7.

who protect the Egyptian regime lift up their hands and stop with wanting that its members stay in power, Egypt would automatically spiral down and begin to behave as per the political order that exist in Lebanon, which is real and natural.

No one knows why despite religion (faith) playing a major role in Lebanese culture, society, politics and legal systems, the citizens of this country allowed that they become stripped from any form of political modesty or trustworthiness. In fact, the immodesty and lewdness that has encumbered the political system in Lebanon has not prevented the political class to publically proclaim that the country adopt a power sharing structure ore representation that functions not any different from the way the Sicilian mafia⁷⁵ is structured and composed in terms of clan hierarchy, membership, commission, etc.^{76,77}

I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) are apathetic about this prevailing distasteful or abominable political immodesty and distrust that has entrenched Lebanon, because their indifference is deliberate, or because they do not know how to come up with regulatory systems (structures, constraints, and possibilities) that could help the Lebanese to start to communicate better with each other.

Nonetheless, we can say that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) do not want this high degree of arrogance (i.e., lack of remorse) that emerged in Lebanon to swell into other parts of the world, they need to start with straightening the behavior of the rich first and not the poor and the defeated, which is what those powerful families/dynasties have been doing since 1945 unfortunately. This is because it is the rich and not the poor who entrenched Lebanon in this existing corrupt and patronage-based system. Said differently, the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) must begin with making the five countries who constitute the five permanent members of the UN Security Council and the intergovernmental organization named United

⁷⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sicilian_Mafia

⁷⁶ <https://www.cnn.com/videos/world/2019/10/30/connect-the-world-lebanon-protests-mp-paula-yacoubian.cnn>

⁷⁷ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xkPj-_kzchM

Nations lead by example, before figuring out why the poor (poor countries) are not behaving morally.

For example, when Mahathir bin Mohamad wanted to put a stop to the weak, patronage-based system that was entrenching Malaysia, he did not begin by ensuring that the poor ensure equality in government. Nor bin Mohamad focused on grappling with how to stop the poor from continuing to pit against each other to receive reward from the powerful men who control the government. Mahathir bin Mohamad expanded the circle of justice so it could reach the royalty and the rich. When the powerful men in Malaysia stopped to convert the government into becoming a theater for lucrative enrichment (source of income), Mahathir bin Mohamad managed to enhance transparency to achieve what Qaboos bin Said in Oman succeeded at doing throughout his entire life. This was to control modesty in Oman to enable him afterwards to bridge to prudence and piety (i.e., trustworthiness).

I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) plan to reduce the dynamics that appear to have swept the world without taking the bold measures that Mahathir bin Mohamad did or engulf the world in a new global war to create a new reset. Nonetheless, we can say that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (the United States) do not do something to stop the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) from continuing to get away with protecting political systems which guarantees their power, then it would not be possible to regulate or control the abhorred course (patterns) that the global social order has been increasingly taking. In other words, it would not be possible to make the poor stop perceiving that the victors of WW2 or their global policemen (the United States) are responsible for the political instability that they are knowing or for protecting the rulers and political class that they are disenchanted with. This also signify that for as long as the poor continue to perceive that the victors of WW2 or their global policemen (the United States) are protecting the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) and letting them be free to do what they want, the longer the poor would continue to be doing what they are now increasingly starting to do and that is migrating in search

of better future or standing up to the prevailing political system that they believe has entrenched them in the fear or want that they are knowing.

Unfortunately, force, violence, civil war, etc. was never sufficient to control the “tit for tat” game that emerges when modesty and trustworthiness are peeled from the conscience, or as Abū Tammām says happens when the protective outer covering of a tree fall.

For example, Lebanon was engulfed in a brutal civil war from 1975-1990 to limit sectarian split, but the prevailing political system that existed before the civil war erupted stayed intact afterwards, and even gained power after the civil war was regarded to have ended. This is very serious and worrisome. In other words, if when modesty and trustworthiness are peeled from a social order (society), the appetite among members to change or to enhance transparency diminish, then if the pervasive corruption, conflicts, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. that have emerged in the world post 1945 continue to grow and to mutate there is good chance that this culture of impunity could lead to an unending “death spiral.”

To put it differently, one of the principal reason for the failure of the Lebanese to change the structure of government in Lebanon since 1945 (or after the civil war that has engulfed the country from 1975 to 1990) and to institute a more direct and central form of rule over population is the multiple unresolved issues inherited from decades past. The more the government was delaying to address the abuses committed, the more the distrust or schism between citizens was getting bigger. The more the culture of impunity was helping the families/dynasties who control Lebanon to treat state institutions as a source of income, the more those powerful families/dynasties were managing to pit citizens against each other, because a person could only make a living if he(he) pledges loyalty to any one of the competing families/dynasties in the country.

This strategy (the division that has entrenched Lebanon) endured from 1945 to 2019. In 2019, the “tit for tat” game that was being played out, mainly, by competing bureaucratic families/dynasties in Lebanon, ruptured in the same way active volcanos erupt. Since 2019, Lebanon has been tangled in an unrecoverable political crisis. The population stopped with wanting to shield the ruling class from accountability.

Most importantly, every citizen began to prioritize his self-interests over the common interests.

In all likelihood, two resets (solutions) could resolve the political gridlock that exists in Lebanon if the population continue to refuse to prioritize modesty, thus ensuring that the existing corrupt bureaucracy that has ruled erroneously this country since 1945 gets replaced with a functional alternative. One is if a civil war erupts in Lebanon to create a new balance. The second is if Lebanon become occupied or absorbed by another nation.

Then again, the turn of events that emerged in Lebanon as of 2019 is not one that the families/dynasties who have been controlling Lebanon since 1945 were counting on ever developing.

I have no way of knowing why the use of force, violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc.) increased on the aftermath of WW2. If unnatural forces are meddling on earth, this rise in immodesty or distrust, can only be to people around the world refusing to collude in corruption or to turn a blind eye on the crimes their rival or neighbor is committing. I have no way of knowing what prompted this shift toward purity in conduct and intention (chastity) thus creating an imbalance in the concept of dualism (Yin Wang) on the aftermath of WW2.

However, if the same amount of immodesty (evil, violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc.) is required in the world to that of modesty (good, modesty, trustworthiness, etc.), to keep the concept of dualism (Yin Wang) in balance, then common sense dictate that the families/dynasties who won WW2 make sure that the degree of purity in conduct and intention (justice) to the one of evil and wickedness (injustice) stay in balance unfortunately.

In other words, if the Lebanese had stayed divided between those who were colluding in corruption and those who were against turning a blind eye to their rivals' crimes to protect a system that works for them, the Lebanese would have kept the concept of duality (Yin Wang) in balance as they have been doing since this territory became inhabited by man.

The shift in 2019 to stop to support this culture of force, violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. that the Lebanese started adopting as of 1945 mainly in order to be devoted toward purity in conduct and intention created a misbalance in the concept of dualism (Yin Wang).

If man was able to prioritize purity in conduct and intention none of the natural and legal rights that people legitimize would have been determined.

Furthermore, man believes that the purpose of a government is to enable the faction who controls this violence wielding apparatus to direct its violence at everyone else.

In other words, Norway, for example, does not believe that it is contributing to the environmental challenges that scientists say are affecting the climate. Norway believes that its citizens are not contaminating the environment, because most of the cars that its citizens are using runs on electricity, and the government is planting enough trees or using carbon-capture technology to offset whatever pollution it is creating thus able to reach a net zero emission. However, this country does not mind drilling and producing oil so other societies use it to contaminate the environment, including giving the societies that are not able to produce a net zero emission money to plant trees. Likewise, France, for example, does not believe that it should let its citizens commit act of violence, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. on its territory, but this country does not mind producing and selling weapons so other societies use it to keep the world insecure. Unfortunately, the measures that those two countries (Norway and France) are adopting to help mankind convert the world into becoming a better place does not uproot the increased awareness and knowledge that the poor are now using to exploit with it how to get those two countries to be ready to share with them outcomes on equal rights.

That is also to say, as I said before, the concept of duality (Yin and Yang) are not two forces that are divisible but indivisible. In other words, the powerful families/dynasties who control Norway or France, cannot say that if they continue to ignore the call of scientists to produce oil or of peace advocates to produce weapons that they would be controlling the violence for their own benefit. If man could keep

pollution stay localized, we would all be speaking one language. If man could keep fury stay localized, we would all be adhering to one faith, etc.

Therefore, since the longer the powerful families/dynasties who control Norway or France restrict crime, corruption, etc. in those two countries or allow that modesty and immodesty, i.e., the concept of dualism, stay in balance, the more their citizens would shift toward purity in conduct and intention, then we can say that this development would be accompanying it, simultaneously, a rise in the use of force, violence, wars, assassinations, deceit, betrayal, etc. in the neighboring societies.

However, because this state of purity in conduct and intention that exist in Norway and France already are not natural but artificial, i.e., it is established based on fear hence no Norwegian dares to question the political system that exist in this country, and in France, no citizen can escape the heavy fine that one must pay for violating the rules that are all the time enacted to control citizen behavior, this means that if the prevailing peace and security that emerged in the world continue to be unbalanced as the case appear to be since 1945, mankind is building for destruction.

We can also say that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 choose to not engulf the world in a new global war to create a new reset, the transformation that the world would be knowing if the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) continue to refuse to lead by example, (i.e., obey the work program set forth in the Universal Declarations of Human Rights) would continue to evolve not any different from the one that occurred in Lebanon or Egypt from the first half of the 20th century till now. Lebanon and Egypt changed from being both initially a center for freedom, (i.e., culture, entertainment, etc.) to becoming a center for political lewdness in the case of Lebanon, and a center of detention in the case of Egypt.

I have no way of knowing how the international order would evolve if the relations of people happen to grow and to mutate as per the social model that exist presently in Lebanon or Egypt.

It is important to keep in mind that the chaotic political system that exist in Lebanon is the most democratic around the world, because it is natural. In other words, the political system in Lebanon represent a “state of nature” just as Thomas Hobbes depicted in his book *Leviathan*. If the Lebanese population engulf the country in a civil war, then they will shift their relation into the “*bellum omnium contra omnes*” or war of all against all as Thomas Hobbes said would happen when modesty gets lost from the conscious of people.

That is also to say that all the countries who are not exhibiting today this “state of nature” that exist in Lebanon, are as authoritarian as political system that exist in Egypt is like today. In fact, the western European nations such as France, Norway, Germany, Great Britain, etc., are as authoritarian as the regime in Egypt is today if not greater.

Egypt is being singled out, because it is a nation that transitioned in the last seventy years from being a monarch that was a center for freedom, (i.e., culture, entertainment, etc.) as I said before, into a nation in which the only employers (relatively speaking) is the state (especially the military). The private sector that operate in the country is either used by the state for political reasons or because bigger powers forced it on the regime.

To put it differently, many monarchs such as Oman, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Jordan, UAE and a good number of nations in central America, eastern Europe etc. are structured with the state acting as the largest employer. However, those nations and monarchs were formed by the victors of WW2 on the aftermath of WW2. The way those monarchs and nations are structured is always the prerogative of the victor just as their decision to create the state of Israel over the territory that was known as Palestine throughout the second millennium.

Then again, when we examine the public statement (Balfour Declaration) issued by the British government in 1917 announcing support for the establishment of a “national home for the Jewish people” in Palestine then an Ottoman region with a small minority Jewish population,⁷⁸ we find that this letter clearly specify the need to ensure

⁷⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Balfour_Declaration

that “nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine.”

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict⁷⁹ that has been waging since 1917 (but mainly since 1948) does not support that the families/dynasties who control the state of Israel are respecting this counsel of the British government till now. Likewise, the war that Saudi Arabia is leading in Yemen⁸⁰ as of 2015 does not support that the families/dynasties who control this kingdom are obeying the obligations they pledged to always carry out if the application they submitted to become a member of the United Nations was approved, or pursuant to Great Britain freeing them so they can join.

As the afore shows, all the people (societies, nations) who are violating the obligations contained in the United Nations Charter or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights must believe that the circumstances are making them act in ways they do not want to, or they think they can get away with doing anything they want because of their relative wealth, power and status.

A treadmill is a device generally used for walking, running, or climbing while staying in the same place.⁸¹ When a person is exercising on a treadmill it is necessary to keep walking or running at the speed matching the belt which is moving in the opposite direction (to the rear), otherwise the person would slip and fall.

Procrastination is the action of unnecessarily and voluntarily delaying or postponing something despite knowing that there will be negative consequences for doing so.⁸²

Evidently, we can say that when people (societies, nations) procrastinate or violate the obligations contained in the United Nations Charter or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, what they would be doing

⁷⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Israeli%E2%80%93Palestinian_conflict

⁸⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saudi_Arabian-led_intervention_in_Yemen#:~:text=The%20Saudi%20Arabian%20led%20intervention,by%20the%20Houthi%20movement%2C%20despite

⁸¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Treadmill#Treadmills_for_power

⁸² <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Procrastination>

would be analogous to what happens when an individual who is exercising on a treadmill allows his position to not stay at the center of the belt but near the edge. To avoid falling from the treadmill, the person must run forward much faster.

Ali, son-in-law of the Islamic prophet Muhammad, said “a time will come upon humanity after his death, in which nothing would be more concealed than the truth, nor more apparent than falsehood, nor more than deceit.”⁸³ He also said at such point in time most people would not be convinced (satisfied) with little nor satiated with much; their only preoccupation is their stomachs, their religion is the money they gain, and instead of making their faces be pointing toward the Qibla (Kaaba in Mecca), i.e., religious values, it would be pointing in the direction of their wives.⁸⁴ Ali also said that at such point in time, elder people will be sinner, scholars will be hypocrite, the young ones will not respect the old, and the rich will not help the poor.⁸⁵

I have no way of knowing if the intolerance that people are manifesting in recent years are linked to the predictions that Ali said would come upon humanity after him. The problem is that the predictions that Ali made more than one thousand and five hundred years ago already were never disassociated from the conduct of people. Those same immoral conducts have been accompanying mankind since his death. In fact, mankind has been evolving in accordance with what he (Ali) did caution about. Ali said “Beware of abhorrent behaviors (sins, deceit, betrayal etc.), for the small of them invites to the big one. It was said that from sticks the back of loggers gets hunched, and from the slip to the slip the immoralities of the wrongdoers multiply.”⁸⁶

Then again, if for as long people (societies, nations) continue to violate the obligations contained in the United Nations Charter or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, “few would be the ones who would be saying the truth, and the majority would be at all the time bent on disobedience, arrogant on reproach”⁸⁷ as Ali said, then it is not possible

⁸³ <https://www.hekams.com/?id=23969>

⁸⁴ <https://www.hekams.com/?id=17071>

⁸⁵ <https://www.hekams.com/?id=16470>

⁸⁶ <https://www.hekams.com/?id=5154>

⁸⁷ <https://www.hekams.com/?id=7193>

to say that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) could be safe even if they believe that they have access to the most powerful military equipment or technology. If everything is relative, and no kingdom or empire managed to stay in power forever, then the families/dynasties who won WW2 could be taking a big risk if they continue to allow the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.,) to continue to refuse to lead by example, (i.e., obey the work program set forth in the Universal Declarations of Human Rights).

In other words, if the patterns that the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.,) would be compelling are going to continue to evolve in the same way Ali would happen after his death, i.e., analogous to how a person who is exercising on a treadmill must be at all the time running much faster than the speed of the belt to avoid slipping and falling, then it would be imperative that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) plunge the world in a global war to create a new reset or begin to lead by example to avoid that the world plunge into the medieval state that exists in Lebanon already. Simply put, if the end is always going to be bitter, because everyone is busy using the idea of good (democracy, justice, etc.) to help himself as of 1945, and only few people are truthfully obeying the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in action and not rhetoric, then if the medieval mentality and the medieval closed-mindedness that emerged in the world and seem to be mutating is not stopped (uprooted), the ramifications on the power, wealth, and status of the families/dynasties who won WW2 and their global policemen (i.e., the United States) could be tremendous. In other words, if no matter how powerful the relative military power of a nation gets, there is no guarantee that the acts of betrayal, backstabbing, deceit, etc. that people compel can revert any nation from its glory to a simpler form, then it makes a lot of sense to let modesty and trustworthiness (morality) run deep. This is because if modesty and trustworthiness will be the first to go from this world as Prophet Muhammad said when intolerance rise, then praying for deliverance from the unknown is not going to help. When Moses disrespected his people in words, God denied him the opportunity to enter the promised land. God is definitely

not going to help people who are violating the Universal Declaration of Human Rights!

To put it differently, no one knows if the corrupt bureaucracy, closed-mindedness, medieval mentality that exist in many nations has infected already the socio-political order that exists in rich countries (such as the United States, Great Britain, and the rest of the western European nations). It is not possible to detect how eroded the socio-political order is in rich nations such as Great Britain and the rest of the western European nations for example, because the pollination⁸⁸ of the populace in those countries is still ongoing. In other words, the wealth of those rich western European countries plus degree of organization allows them to appear as stable and to blend as being nations that adopt democratic socio-political orders, including hiding how they could be perhaps completely mobocratic. If the teaching of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations already made people in other parts of the world question the ceremonial role that royal families are playing in states, this means that if people's medieval mentality around the world continue to grow, then it would not be possible for the families/dynasties who control those western European monarchs to justify to their citizens that their responsibility is largely ceremonial as they succeeded in doing till now. When the families/dynasties who control the western European monarchs stop to be able to use the idea of constitutional monarchy to solidify their power, they would start to be giving the same excuses that their citizens give to justify why they refuse to obey. If the rich, all over the world, continue to refuse to relinquish control of the regime they control in accordance with what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, and the poor do the same, then it would not be possible to unify the human race to maintain international security. To the contrary, the "tit for tat" game that would emerge could give rise to the iterated prisoner's dilemma⁸⁹ or a Nash equilibrium⁹⁰. The problem that those game theories pose is that a one-time, single-bit error in either player's interpretation of events can lead to an unending "death spiral"⁹¹

⁸⁸ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pollination>

⁸⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iterated_prisoner%27s_dilemma

⁹⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nash_equilibrium

⁹¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tit_for_tat#Problems

It looks as if the founding fathers of the UN did not propose that an international intergovernmental organization be created at the end of WW2 so as to task it with teaching people to program that outcomes gets shared on equal rights, but to maintain international security through collective (settling disputes through negotiation and arbitration) including developing friendly relations between nations mainly. If the founding fathers of the UN wanted that people cooperate to see that outcomes gets shared on equal rights, they would have suggested that the constitutional and absolute monarchy system that exists around the world be abolished. If the founding fathers of the UN did not propose that people cooperate to strengthen socialism but rather liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to improve democracy and rule of law, then the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to have the international organization named United Nations teach people to secure that outcomes are shared on equal rights instead of how to be patient could be setting them up and the rich on a collision course.

In other words, if the rich in developed and undeveloped nations (including constitutional and absolute monarchs) are all not disarming as the UN charter stipulates, and are all considering themselves exempt from the appeal that the founding fathers of the UN counselled to obey and cooperate in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, but at the same time, they want the UN system to be teaching the poor why they should be cooperating with one another on equal rights, this then means that either the rich in developed and undeveloped nations want to determine who would secure his survival through employing non-cooperative game theory practices or they want the poor to resize them. This is because what the rich are freezing is what the poor lack to be able to cooperate with each other based on the principles of equal rights. If the rich want the UN system to teach the poor why they should not be ready to accept that they (the rich) continue to forgo their happiness, then this signify that the rich commissioned the UN to organize the poor so in the course of time and things they (the poor) could resize them (the rich).

I have no way of knowing if the non-cooperative game that the rich in developed and undeveloped nations (including constitutional and absolute monarchs) are playing since 1945 in the social order to

determine who would manage to get the weaker to consider the opinion of the strongest would uproot all those who are refusing to cooperate in accordance in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, or if the poor would gain track and resize the rich first. The problem with all the rivaling that people (societies, nations) are tangled with since 1945 to determine who could use the idea of good to control violence for his own benefit is not with whether mankind would be able to form a more perfect union or not, but rather, the threats that the general welfare could confront until one player(s) manages to stabilize the social order.

To put it differently, Ammonium nitrate was first discovered in 1659, by Johan Rudolf Glauber (1604 – 1670) German-Dutch alchemist and chemist, discovered.^{92,93} The compound does not occur in nature because it is so soluble that it is washed out of the soil by rain and surface water.⁹⁴ Nitrogen makes up 78% of the atmosphere but is chemically and biologically inert. In 1908, Fritz Haber (1868 - 1934), German Chemist, managed to find a way to stabilize the nitrogen thus showing a way to begin mass production. This discovery was equivalent to the elixir of life for plants. Ammonium nitrate is a high nitrogen fertilizer. This compound is added to soil to provide the nitrogen that plants needs to grows.

I have no way of knowing if we can say that the decision of the victors of WW2 to free the poor on the aftermath of WW2 could be regarded as an elixir as Ammonium nitrate became for plants after Johan Rudolf Glauber discovered this compound in 1659. However, we can definitely say that the world population has been exponentially rising since then. Likewise, I have no way of knowing if the decision of the rich to task the intergovernmental organization named United Nations with teaching people to stop to cope as they were doing before WW2 erupted and to start to be devoted to securing that rulers and political class share with them outcomes on equal rights (justice and material things) could be regarded as a stepping stone at the same importance as the one Fritz

⁹² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Johann_Rudolf_Glauber

⁹³ <https://theconversation.com/ammonium-nitrate-and-iodine-a-look-back-at-the-explosive-history-of-two-essential-substances-146448>

⁹⁴ <https://www.encyclopedia.com/science/academic-and-educational-journals/ammonium-nitrate>

Haber (with Carl Bosch⁹⁵) achieved when he managed to show that it is possible to synthesize ammonia from nitrogen gas and hydrogen gas thus enabling mankind to mass produce this compound. However, we can definitely say that the synthesis of the decision of the rich to task the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to teach the poor to stop to cope as they were doing before WW2 erupted, and to start to be devoted to securing that rulers and political class share with them outcomes on equal rights (justice and material things) could be regarded as a scale up to produce mass convictions (among the poor in particular) that mankind is united as of 1945 to secure that outcomes gets shared on equal rights.

Then again, in as much as ammonium nitrate has been an elixir for plants, this compound has been an elixir for quick death as well. Ammonium nitrate was responsible for the worst industrial accident in U.S. history. On April 16, 1947, while ammonium nitrate was being loaded into two ships at the Texas City harbor, the ammonium nitrate was exposed to flames and exploded.⁹⁶

Ammonium nitrate is a stable chemical at lower temperatures, but tends to decompose explosively when exposed to temperatures that are above 200°C (390°F). Glauber named Ammonium nitrate in 1659 “nitrum flammans”, Latin for "flaming nitre." He chose the name because of the compound's tendency to explode when exposed to heat.”⁹⁷ During WW1 and WW2, ammonium nitrate was used as an explosive. The only requirement to convert ammonium nitrate into becoming an explosive involve mixing it with any compound that raises its temperature such as fuel oil (an enabler).

Indeed, humans seem to behave analogously to how ammonium nitrate behave. Humans are stable when they are led to believe that others are treating them justly. However, when they lose trust (distrust another person) or become angry they become very explosive.

⁹⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl_Bosch

⁹⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Texas_City_disaster

⁹⁷ <https://www.encyclopedia.com/science/academic-and-educational-journals/ammonium-nitrate>

If the rich in developed and undeveloped nations (including constitutional and absolute monarchs) are all considering themselves exempt from the appeal that the founding fathers of the UN counselled to obey and cooperate in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, but at the same time, want the UN system to be teaching the poor why they should be cooperating with one another on equal rights, this then means that the rich could be pushing people to be explosive just as fuel act as enabler to convert Ammonium nitrate into becoming an explosive if they continue to allow this organization to teach people why they should not be ready to accept that the rich continue to forgo their happiness.

To put it differently, when something burns, it requires oxygen. To put out a fire, firefighters suppress the oxygen in the flame. Ammonium nitrates belong to a chemical class known as oxidizers. “Oxidizers do the opposite: They increase the amount of oxygen molecules that concentrate in a space, which helps make other substances more flammable.”⁹⁸

If the behavior of humans is analogous to the way ammonium nitrate breakup from stable to unstable when its temperature is raised (i.e., provide the oxygen needed to cause some other material to catch fire and burn very rapidly, producing an explosion), this then means that when the rich in developed and undeveloped nations (including constitutional and absolute monarchs) let the UN system teach the poor why they should not be ready to accept that the rich forgo their happiness unless they cooperate with them on equal rights, the rich could be accelerating the decomposition of people’s tolerance in the same way temperature decompose the stability of ammonium nitrate. Said differently, to convert Ammonium nitrate into becoming an explosive, this compound is mixed with fuel oil, which act as an enabler as I said before. If the UN system is the fuel that is compelling the breakup of the tolerance, trust, etc., of the poor, then the rich could be piling up a large number of disenchanting behaviors around the world. If the disenchantment of the poor explode, the energy that they would produce could be more intense than the one that ammoniate nitrate explosion produce.

⁹⁸ <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/article/deadly-history-ammonium-nitrate-explosive-linked-to-beirut-blast>

Simply put, if all the 193 UN member states continue to rival each other as they have been doing since 1945 to determine who would manage to make the citizens of the other consider the opinion of the strongest first, instead of to be devoted to obeying the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed to form a perfect union and improve the general welfare of everyone in the course of time and things, then it is highly possible that this inherent interest that humans continuously manifest toward forgoing the security of each other, could be preparing mankind to confront a new reset (i.e., a global war) that its victors would not be known until it is over.

I have no way of knowing what modus operandi the families/dynasties who won WW2 are relying on to solidify their power when most governments appear to perceive that the international system is governed by anarchy and only self-help can guarantee survival. It looks as if the best approach to stabilize the dynamism (false expectations) that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations stirred in the last seventy years, and put the social order back on the right track remains the courage that Mahathir bin Mohamad adopted in Malaysia. The bold measures (reforms) Mahathir bin Mohamad adopted in Malaysia, did not only increase the happiness of the Malaysian people, but prompted them to elect him Prime Minister during five consecutive general elections including electing him to become Malaysia's a new Prime Minister at the age of 90. Alternatively, the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to be in favor of letting the political leaders who are deputizing for them in the different UN member states assassinate the postwar international order that everyone knows is the only shield that can safely protect them and avoid their resizing in the hope that it would not be pierced.

Once again, I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to let this ongoing political corruption, recklessness, etc. that emerged in all the existing UN member states to go on, when people are more and more demanding real actions from their rulers and political (the rich) instead of promises.

To put it differently, gun powder is not something that can be extinguished once ignited. A person must wait until the gun powder

burns. The families/dynasties who won WW2 could be counting on scenarios and convictions that leads them into believing that it would be always possible for them to steer events thus enabling them to always get them to produce outcomes that would be favorable to them. However, reality does not work like this. Humans are like gun powder, capable of committing themselves irrevocably to a course of action or policy (i.e., point of no return). The people who blow them up in suicide attacks are gun powder in motion. If more and more people around the world become convinced that the international rule based postwar world order was programmed to benefit the rich and not to produce the goals set forth in the preamble of the UN Charter, then if the families/dynasties who won WW2 do not figure out a way to reset the convictions of people, the chaos that would emerge in the social order could have ramifications on their strength and stability that are much more complicated than they are imagining.

Indeed, this impulsive and reckless behavior that the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc..) are increasingly manifesting in recent years is contrary to what the families/dynasties who won WW2 perceived that those actors would be behaving like when they made the war aims in 1945 to be about cooperating collectively to reduce fear and want around the world.

It looks as if the families/dynasties who won WW2 would not be able to make the poor be ready to voluntarily maintain a strong association with them, or be ready to work with them to achieve the same common organizational structure and code of conduct without either uprooting all the convictions that are preventing those who refuse to cooperate in accordance with the principle set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to start doing it, or relying on a new reset (a new global war). It also looks that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to disregard the consequences of the aggressive, hostile, and dysregulated behavior that a good number of people (governments, societies, nations) are exercising, there is a good chance that a good number of people (governments, societies, nations) would start to stop to fear them, or to fear the United States who plays the role of global policemen on their behalf. When conspirators bombed the Twin Tower in the United States in 2001, those perpetrators were not after seeing

how a building crumble, but rather to show the world that they how they were capable to make those two Towers, which symbolized “the elite and the powerful”⁹⁹ be brought down on their knees. In other words, the perpetrators were trying to let the world know that they were not afraid of the nation who claim that it possesses the most powerful military in the history of the world. If more people with this dreadful conviction (behavior) start to roam the earth, then it would be very difficult to imagine how can the rich, rulers, or the political class can be accessible.

Moreover, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to let governments violate the obligations contained in the UN charter which all nations who joined the UN pledged to respect, or to tolerate that the idea of government be a platform where various factions get together to ruthlessly fight with one another to determine who could get in control of such violence-wielding apparatus, it is highly likely that the mindfulness of people to make the world become a better place would diminish. This also means that the odds of people (nations) continuing to put their trust in the wisdom of the international order, the United States, or the idea of freedom, would continue to diminish as well. In other words, if the debate that people participate in to stop those who ordain themselves custodian of the political order in a country go on over a long period of time, people can begin to accept the existence of a faction who is refusing to relinquish control of the regime to the voting public so everyone can exercise right to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of human Rights especially that people are going ahead with their own lives at the same time. Hence power is progressive and cumulative, this means that the nations who are ruled by juntas whose power is growing and who would stand to lose a lot if people cooperate based on equality of opportunity (i.e., to relinquish control of their regimes to the voting public) would consider using their leverage to bandwagon or to rival the families/dynasties who won WW2.

The Sermon on the Mount is “a collection of sayings and teachings of Jesus Christ, which emphasizes his moral teaching.”¹⁰⁰ In this sermon, and in Matthew 5:30, Matthew 18:8-9, and Mark 9:43-47, Jesus

⁹⁹ <https://teaching911.911tributemuseum.org/lesson-plans/world-trade-center-symbol/>

¹⁰⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sermon_on_the_Mount

recommends cutting off one's hand, foot, and even gouging one's eye to prevent sinning.”^{101,102,103}

It looks as if the families/dynasties who won WW2 are going to need to explore all the different options that are at their disposal to determine if they need to cut off the hand or the foot of all the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.,) who are refusing to cooperate based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter to prevent the wickedness (courage) that reside in the heart of the rich and the poor from resizing them. In other words, the families/dynasties who won WW2 are going to decide if they want to sideline with the people who refuse to relinquish control of the regime in the country to the voting public so all citizens exercise their rights to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of human Rights, or to sideline with the people who are crying out demanding that the individuals who are using the idea of governance to deny them rights to exercise their rights to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of human Rights to relinquish control so they could start to do it.

I have no way of knowing which option between the afore two the families/dynasties who won WW2 will pick hence the answer will depend on whether they regard themselves as material people who were programmed the way they think by the values they inherited or if they regard that their actions are the result of their true will.

Then again the problem that exist in the world today is merely due to the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 prompting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to teach people why everyone should be devoted to ensure that their rulers and the political class (the rich) share with them on equal rights outcome instead of continuing to be coping as they were doing before WW2 erupted. In other words, we have today close to eight billion people who are all questioning whether they should continue to listen to the natural and

¹⁰¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matthew_5:30

¹⁰² <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Mark%209:43%E2%80%9347&version=nkjv>

¹⁰³ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Matthew+18&version=NIV>

legal rights that the rich legitimize or if they should be bound by their personal power and conscience. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the imagination of people is limitless. This also means that the awareness and knowledge that people gained is prompting everyone to put into question the idea of collective over the idea of self-interest. This is very serious and worrisome.

In other words, if we apply the concept of duality (Yin Yang) to establish a material balance between man and his environment, we find that man used his inherent ingenuity to understand and control how everything that exists on the outside of the self is structured, its constraints and its possibilities, but there was little progress made to develop the self. That is to say, we now have an imbalance. Said differently, people discovered that protection was easier if they stayed together in groups and if they all agreed that one (or some) in the group should have more power than others; hence the idea of the legal entity (or artificial person) named government evolved. Presently, the exploration that man carried out culminating in the delegation to tools (robots, computers, etc.) the role that the human body was serving made it unnecessary to have so many people trying to provide benefits to our societies since to begin with the benefits that people believe can help them to reach the elixir of life, are not weaved in tools or products that man can produce. In other words, the hands of man cannot generate the precision that is required to fabricate a computer chip.

If the families/dynasties who won WW2 want the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.,) and the poor to cooperate together to reduce fear and want, but at the same time, the tools that both believe increases their happiness is one that distances them from needing to be united in groups physically, this means that people could be indirectly working to strengthen divisiveness instead of how to create a perfect union. This is because when people begin to be free to let their imagination free them, then it is not possible to strengthen collectivism which is what people depend on to be able to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This is very serious and worrisome.

In other words, the man that exist today, is the product of thousands of years of conditioning. If the families/dynasties who won WW2, believe

that they could get rid of all the values that were adopted and cultivate a new breed that would be ready to legitimize the natural and legal rights that they support, when everyone is using the idea of good or the idea of evil to solidify his power, may not produce the outcomes that the families/dynasties who won WW2 are anticipating. This is because many people could not be in favor of accepting that all people are not equal, and/or that they would be ready to live with no rights and at the mercy of the faction who control the government or the state. Simply put, humans rely on the idea of good (god, values, exploration, etc.) to get their ways, and if it was possible to make people be ready to abandon their beliefs than the world would have been much safer already.

That is also to say that we have no way of knowing that if we uproot today all the religions, values, cultures, etc. that people depend on to get their ways despite the resistance of others, that people would be ready to start to be tolerant. This signify that only when the families/dynasties who won WW2 manage to make people become unaware of the state of deprivation or inequality that we exist in (concept of dualism) that it would be possible for them to guarantee that they could not be converted into a simpler form. Unfortunately, the families/dynasties who won WW2 are doing the opposite since 1945. The families/dynasties who won WW2 do not want the values that the church (religion or philosophy) invested the last two thousand years to make people be tolerant or to be ready to accept to postpone what they are not able to achieve in this life to obtain it in the afterlife, in favor of letting the international organization named United Nations obtain everything in this life.

I have no way of knowing what the families/dynasties who won WW2 could stand to lose after they permitted the intergovernmental organization named United Nations meddle in the awareness of people leading everyone to live in doubt about the international postwar world order that those powerful families/dynasties invited everyone to observe being intended to lift all the boats. If the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to permit the intergovernmental organization named United Nations increase people's awareness to address human rights, now that people already know that the international postwar world order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 invited everyone to observe would not lift all the boats for as long as the rich (rulers, political class,

public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) are not leading by example, then it is highly likely that mankind could be in the midst of an on-going social revolution.

Likewise, I have no way of knowing how this lack of preparedness on the part of the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) in the different UN member states to remain faithful to the principles set forth in the UN charter is going to play itself out ultimately against the families/dynasties who won WW2. In other words, given how much the rich stand to lose if they stop to use the idea of government to manipulate the rule of law for their own benefit, there are only two options that can save the world from the gridlock that it is in. The first is if the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) in the different UN member states resize the families/dynasties who won WW2 along with the United States who is playing the global policemen role so man return back to cooperating based on pre 1945 dogmas. The second is if the families/dynasties who won WW2 split the nations into two camps or two rivaling groups in order to make them face each other off to increase death rates as was done during all the wars that the world has known (i.e., decrease greed).

Indeed, the past 70 years has not been off to a great start for the families/dynasties who won WW2, who stand to lose the most if the international order collapse. This also means that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States (the global policemen of the world) do not control or regulate the root cause of the associative stimulus that trigger people to express negative behavior, the more the ability of those powerful families/dynasties to reinforce their strength and stability in accordance with what Benjamin Franklin counseled is a better option to use to continue to get what one wants despite the resistance of the others would be diminishing.

Evidently, the families/dynasties who won WW2 could resort to employing preemptive measures such as building political alliances and alignments, including making the nations that they control build huge army, or improve labor conditions to make people believe in the

integrity of their wisdom, but unfortunately, all the history books show that those strategies did not aid the powerful and elites who control the money supply of the world to prevent their fall.

The book of Psalms, in the Old Testament, is a collection of religious poems that are attributed to King David.¹⁰⁴ This book contains three exemplary prayers (or form of preemptive acts that a person could adopt) that could be said to define what the entire Bible tries to influence or what Benjamin Franklin counseled be adopted so any person could continue to get his way despite the resistance of others. For ease of reference, those three prayers are:

- “1 Blessed is the one
 who does not walk in step with the wicked
 or stand in the way that sinners take
 or sit in the company of mockers,
- 2 but whose delight is in the law of the Lord,
 and who meditates on his law day and night.
- 3 That person is like a tree planted by streams of water,
 which yields its fruit in season
 and whose leaf does not wither—
 whatever they do prospers.”¹⁰⁵

Based on the close correlation that exists between the events that emerged in the world in the last 70 years and the casi similar events that are reported to have transpired throughout history, we can say that there is no temptation that has overtaken the people who ruled the past kingdom, empires, or civilization that existed except what is common to mankind. If the reason for the failure of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to prevent wars between people is due to their failure to rely on political leaders who would be ready to deputize on their behalf in the different countries that are capable to remain faithful to their ideas, perhaps adopting measures that are similar to the ones that the Catholic church adopted from the 11th century onward to minimize that greed concentrate in the hands of clergy could be an option. The Catholic church mandated that all those who wish to dedicate themselves to the service of God and their neighbor maintain celibacy.

¹⁰⁴ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Psalms>

¹⁰⁵ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Psalm+1&version=NIV>

I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who won WW2 should mandate that all the individuals in position of power and all the staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations remain celibate. However, this option could definitely the number of people who are devoted to realizing the full development of their personality while using the idea of good (liberal interventionism and self-determination) as a mean to strengthen their propensity. This option could also reduce the number of people who would be depending on the idea of becoming a public servant to organize illegal agreements and transactions, or pass laws in benefits of one's own propensity and that of those who voted a person to a position of power, or provide the protection.

Alternatively, it looks as if the bold measures that Mahathir bin Mohamad prioritized from 1981 to 2003, along with the ones that Qaboos bin Said in Oman adopted, and in particular the ones that the United States adopted from 1776 to 1945 capture acts that are not totally different from the ones that the book of Psalms said are great preemptive measures to help a person (society) transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to him (its members).

On the other hand, if the confusion, anger and lament that people are increasingly expressing about how horrible peace and security has evolved in recent years is true, or let us say about how governments (i.e., rule of law) are becoming simply a means toward an end, then if the families/dynasties who won ww2 do not do something about to not let the poor perceive that they are unfair or weak, they may pay a big price. In other words, if the families/dynasties who won ww2 continue to let people believe that no one can be relied upon to help guarantee one's survival than one's own self-help, then there is a good chance that the families/dynasties who won ww2 would not be able to prevent the unavoidable consequence (their resizing or a global war), because people continuous outcry for social justice could be a call to draw their attentions to what is wrong in the world before it is too late. Therefore, if the families/dynasties who won ww2 do not want to continue to let people perceive that they are unfair or weak, thus giving them a reason to not observe the work program that is stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, it looks as if it is going to be necessary

that those powerful families/dynasties choose between two options. The first is to continue to let the idea of government be a mean toward an end. In other words, to continue to allow the rich (rulers, political class, public sector workers of governments, formal institutions, bodies, coalitions, unions, etc.) in the different UN member states to refuse to relinquish control to the voting public of regimes so the poor continue to perceive that those powerful families/dynasties are prioritizing their best interests instead of emphasizing the importance of good governance. The second is to continue to allow the regimes that are not adhering with the requirements of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to maintain the prevailing socioeconomic practices that emerged in the aftermath of WW2, which does not appear to be any different than the one the mafia operates in otherwise illegal setting. The mafia does not “serve the general public as the police do, but only specific clients who pay them for protection.”¹⁰⁶

The mafia is a socio-economic structure that originated in Italy in the 19th century.¹⁰⁷ Initially, the mafia (or the Sicilian Cosa Nostra), was a syndicate that began to sell extralegal protection services against criminal activity and dishonest conduct in Italy. Over the years, this idea (or this service) grew. Instead of new mafia members continuing to settle disputes and protect vulnerable, the members began to collude with criminal rather than to destroy them. Presently, the mafia has grown into many independent cartels who sell extralegal protection services to both vulnerable people and to criminals. The services that gangs offer are identical to the one that governments offer in terms of adequate protection to individuals or merchants from property crime, fraud, and breaches of contract, except that the service that the clan offers falls outside the domain or realm of the rule of law.

If we consider that the world is divided geographically and demographically into mutually exclusive territories and political structures, and theoretically, it is rich families/dynasties through their private armies (politicians, judges, police force, military force, etc.) who control governments and enforce law, we can say that the families who offer extralegal arbitration and protection within exclusive and distinct geographical and demographical social space (i.e., mafia) are also

¹⁰⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sicilian_Mafia#Socio-economic_definitions

¹⁰⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sicilian_Mafia#History

another identical machinery whose members offer the same service that governments basically offers for the purpose of settling disputes, enforcing contracts, overseeing transaction, protecting properties, etc. including increasing their own happiness.

A gang is defined as “a group or society of associates, friends or members of a family with a defined leadership and internal organization that identifies with or claims control over territory in a community and engages, either individually or collectively, in illegal, and possibly violent, behavior.”¹⁰⁸ Experts who report on gang activities claim that gangs do not tolerate competition within their sphere of influence, and that territory protection is at the root of most gang wars. However, despite territorial protection among different gangs being at the root of most gang disputes or wars, expert report that violence among gang is not continuously dynamic and include sizeable periods of truce. In other words, gangs are able to establish balance of power exactly as governments do.

Barrington Moore Jr. (1913 –2005), was an American political sociologist.¹⁰⁹ Moore claimed that most European societies were a form of gangsterism that had become society and acquired respectability and developed chivalry over time.¹¹⁰

Based on what Barrington Moore Jr. suggested, we can say that the countries who ousted Saddam Hussein¹¹¹ (1935 – 2006), Iraqi Politician, and his junta carried out a resizing act that is not any different than the one gang prompt. The war that the United States, Great Britain, and other nations launched against Saddam Hussein and the rest of his junta when they refused to voluntarily relinquish control of the Iraqi regime to the voting public was nothing but an act that was done by those countries to displace Saddam Hussein and his Junta so they could start to be in a better position to control Iraq. Actually, the only difference between resizing acts that gangs spearhead and acts that governments undertake is the size. Gangs dispute over who would provide control of a single or multiple commodity within a geographical

¹⁰⁸ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gang>

¹⁰⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barrington_Moore_Jr.

¹¹⁰ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gang#History>

¹¹¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iraq_War

space, but not who control the human and material control that exists within the entirety of the social space. This larger act of resizing it is governments who undertake the main aim is to make the government with less power to consider the opinion of the one with greater power before taking an action of their own as related to how to dispose of natural wealth and resources

The perplexing part in the developmental trajectory that emerged in Iraq (or Afghanistan) pursuant to “theoretically” the US and several coalition allies freeing those two societies almost two decades ago from continuing to live under domination so they could begin to cooperate based on equality of opportunity, which is what those people always claimed that they were being denied and deserved, is that it does not correlate with patterns that gangs or legitimate wars that nations undertake to increase their happiness. In the case of Iraq and Afghanistan alone, after more than 2 trillion US dollars spent in the form of cost of war^{112,113} and millions of lives lost¹¹⁴ so far, no individual, a group, a family, a nation or an alliance claimed victory or claimed control so the process of moving those two countries toward respectability or chivalry could start. If we add the conflict in Syria, Libya, and all the nations that are theoretically stateless even though they have a standing government such as Lebanon, this means that the situation of peace and security in the world is really grim.

If in theory the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States (global policemen) cannot act in concert with the people of Iraq, or other people (societies) who would be ready to sell their country as the people of Iraq did and the people of all the societies who are in conflict today are doing, this signify that peace and security are bound to continue to deteriorate. In other words, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States (global policemen) are not able to produce the results that even gangs show they are temporarily capable to establish after a conflict (period of truce), to the people (societies) who they believe they deserve a helping hand from them to introduce change or reformation in their social that would permit them to bring freedom and privileges so

¹¹² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Financial_cost_of_the_Iraq_War

¹¹³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Financial_cost_of_the_Iraq_War

¹¹⁴ <https://www.businessinsider.com/how-many-people-have-been-killed-in-iraq-and-afghanistan#7820-us-contractor-deaths-2>

they can cooperate in accordance with what the founding fathers counselled to ensure lasting peace, then their aspiration to unite the human race could be already a lost game. This is very serious and worrisome. Put differently, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the United States (global policemen) are not able to figure out first why their dogma to unite the human race is not producing the results that even gangs show that they are temporarily capable to establish - never mind the ends that are stipulated in the preamble of the UN charter – this means that if those two actors do not start to increase death rates, or prompt the families/dynasties who are in different UN member states acting like them as the deputy of God on earth to lead by example, they could be chasing after the wind.

To give an example, lots of commentators claim that the regime in most UN member countries is far from being democratic. Let us take the case of the failed countrywide revolution that the Egyptian people carried out in 2011 to end military rules in Egypt to install instead a civilian government. Many Egyptians claim that they deserve to be cooperating based on the work program that is stipulated in the UN Charter or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Throughout history, the necessary confidence for economic and political progress was non-existent when the military controlled a nation. This is because when a country is under military control it becomes without a system of checks and balances, and thus no separation of powers. Then again, no person who is mindful of the human worth could favor that Egypt or any country slips into insecurity and go through the same disorder that the people of Iraq or Afghanistan are going through after the United States and other allies interfered in those nations to help their populace use the idea of democracy to promote policies that expresses faith in justice and freedom, and so far failed. If the United States and its allies thrust the Egyptian people to revolt to make the military relinquish control to the voting public of the regime, there is a good chance that the number of lives lost could be much higher than if Egypt remain under military rules without any reformation.

On the other hand, if the United States (global policemen) and the countries who consider that man should be faithful to the democratic process do not figure out why the military rulers in Egypt (and in almost all UN member states) are backing away from respecting the solemn

pledge that their predecessors who were in power during WW2 deemed would be in the best interest of the people of Egypt to respect so this country does not plunge into another civil war, there is a good chance that the unrest or tension that has existed since this country obtained its independence in 1952 would remain on-going indeterminately.

If, for example, the tension that exist in Egypt continue to drag, mankind would not be able to transform the conditions and outcomes, which the entire human family decided to collectively secure on the aftermath of WW2 - to build a just and peaceful world. This would result in the world continuing to be divided between nations who endeavor to make governments become an effective instrument of service to people, and others who its political leaders would use their executive power to confiscate the right of others to freedom.

If we go back and take the case of Egypt who its citizens in 2011 became fed up with putting up with their military rulers and revolted, because they believed that they do not deserve to continue to live without any rights, and at the mercy of the state, but later failed because the families/dynasties who won WW2 did not put pressure on the United States (global policemen) to come to their end, we could say that even though it would be irrational to thrust the Egyptian people to revolt to make the military relinquish control to the voting public of the regime before figuring out first why the dogma that the families/dynasties who won WW2 laid out to unite the human race is not producing the conditions or outcomes that they have expected, doing nothing could be dismantling people's determination to build a just and peaceful world, as I said before. This also means that the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to do nothing to let the people of Egypt take action on the ideas that matters to them, even if it means that Egypt experience the same carnage that occurred in Iraq or Afghanistan to establish a balance could be an irreversible threat. This is because the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to do nothing to let the people of Egypt secure the World War II wars aims that those powerful families/dynasties determined violate the central ideas that those families/dynasties are striving to defend. This inaction could not be impacting negatively on the evolution of the international postwar order only, but on their own strength and stability as well. This is because if this inaction is prompting to produce behaviors that are as

explosive as Glauber named Ammonium nitrate in 1659 “nitrum flammans,” the solutions that they could be proposing could be compelling the outcomes that Ali, the son-in-law of the Islamic prophet Muhammad, said would come to pass after his death.

Aleister Crowley (1875 - 1947) was an English occultist, ceremonial magician, poet, painter, novelist, and mountaineer.¹¹⁵ Crowley suggest that magic is the art and Science of causing change in conformity with will.¹¹⁶ In the next chapters, I will explore to determine if the families/dynasties may have to choose between dismantling the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations as they have done to its predecessor the League of Nations to avoid their reversal to a simpler form, or if the explosive attitude that people are developing would explode and reverse them to a simpler form against their will.

¹¹⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aleister_Crowley

¹¹⁶ <https://stars.library.ucf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4806&context=etd>

12

Unconventional approach to maximize happiness and well-being

For most of human history all political regimes fused military, religious, economic, and monarchical power. Rivalry or truth within any territorial or demographical exclusive political structure depended on the type of uniform the faction who was establishing laws, and providing order and security was wearing. The uniform that the faction who was creating and enforcing the rules of the society determined whether the executive political power of the nation was under military control, civilian control or shared control.

It is not possible to determine from the afore mentioned categories that fused all political regimes which one is ideally placed to exercise executive political power. This is because all the rulers and political class who relied on the idea of government to promote eternal progress granted themselves a pass on how to determine and enforce laws including collecting revenues.

In my opinion, the ideal political regime is one who does not use the idea of government to do with it “what no one else can do legally.”¹¹⁷ Hence, when all's said and done, the only end that people seek from the idea of government is to have this legal entity be entrusted in the hands of individuals who would be ready to use this artificial person to protect citizens from conflicts and to provide law and order, I strongly believe that the individuals who ruled the United States from 1776 to 1945 (or

¹¹⁷ <http://www.ronpaullibertyreport.com/archives/bad-means-will-never-produce-good-ends>

its remnant post 1945) managed to use this ideas (the US constitution) to help them develop a better understanding of themselves; thus enabling them afterwards, to translate this understanding into a form of government that was neither tyrannical nor democratic (a balance). The rulers and the political class that ruled the United States from 1776 to 1945 managed to not confiscate the rights of citizen to freedom, or to ensure that the revenues that gets generated serve the propensity of a slice of society only. This allowed the political class and citizens in the country to be the happiest from 1776 to 1945 despite all the internal problems that this country was knowing. It is important to keep in mind that developing mindfulness about human rights is a process and is not done with the strike of a pen. Humans are ready to voluntarily develop mindfulness about human rights when the issue involve people they know but not as easily toward strangers.

Then again, the political consciousness that the American people managed to uphold from 1776 to 1939 thus enabling them to create very relative conditions of stability during this period as compared to how other societies were living is strange. “Money is the root of all evil” as a famous parable suggest.¹¹⁸ And so, if the Americans managed to control greed from 1776 to 1939, unlike how other societies in western Europe were using the idea of eternal progress to designate themselves pharaoh and to enslave, mutilate and murder, the American had to have been doing something that the societies in western Europe were not doing.

I do not know what made the Americans and in particular the immigrants who were coming mainly from western Europe to develop mindfulness in the dignity and worth of the human person in this territory, but other people in other territories were not able to do it. What I do know is that Ibn Khaldun suggested in his book *Al Muqaddimah* which he published in 1377, that the rule of law is a function of governance. He also said that governance is a function of the existence of a force empowered to enforce the law. Ibn Khaldun, then said that the availability of a force for the enforcement of the law is a function of the existence of money. And so if the American managed to not let the love of money force them into adopting the same erratic behavior that the

¹¹⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Love_of_money

love of money was prompting societies in western Europe were relying on to transform the conditions that matters to them, this could only be due them adopting a thinking system that embodied all knowledge.

In other words, the Americans were not using the money (the revenues) that was being generated to serve the propensity of a slice of society only, or to prioritize one economic sector over another. Simply put, Harry Truman¹¹⁹ (1884 – 1972), 33rd US President, said in 1953 during his final State of the Union speech “There can be no military strength except where there is economic capacity to back it.”¹²⁰ The idea that Harry Truman suggested agrees with what Ibn Khaldun suggested earlier.

Hence the need to be organized under the idea of nation is required to generate the necessary funds that all societies needs to develop strength or law and order, we could say that the nation that its people were capable to cooperate before WW2 based on a relationship that made it very difficult for a slice of society to have absolute control of the military industry complex or the law enforcement complex that its governance structure was the healthiest. This is because such strategy prevents that the military industry complex or the law enforcement complex from becoming placed in the hands of a small group thus giving a small slice of society access to use those two forces to serve mere partisanship relationships instead of overall national good. When the control of the military industry complex or the law enforcement complex is spread within a society, there is so much damage that one group who controls arms or weapons could do. This prevents that all the revenues that a nation earns go to prioritize defense only, but to spread its money in the development of all the social sectors. Thus enabling to cultivate a balanced belief in eternal progress instead of in determining within a given society who would be the one who would manage to make everyone else consider his opinion before taking action of their own.

I have no way of knowing how the United States government was spending its revenues from 1776 to 1945. In my opinion, it would have

¹¹⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harry_S._Truman

¹²⁰ <http://www.let.rug.nl/usa/presidents/harry-s-truman/state-of-the-union-1953.php>

been impossible for the United States to have been able to make immigrants or citizens be ready to get rid of their tribal conditioning if the government was not dividing the money that it was earning to create a balanced eternal progress. In other words, spending the revenues relatively equally between all the categories and not prioritizing one over the other.

Then again, even when we compare how the United States government spends its money presently, we find that despite half of it going to pay for its military programs,¹²¹ this country tries to create a balance around the military industry complex. In the United States, the arm industry is a component of the military-industrial-congressional complex (MICC). This means that in the United States it is not the military who research and develop, engineer, produce and service military material, equipment and facilities, but rather defense contractors and arm dealers who provide this service to the US military. The spreading of the arm or defense industry prevents a single institution from confiscating power.

If we compare how the political control in the United States is organized as compared to how Egypt is systemized for example, we find that in Egypt the military maintain executive political power since this country obtained independence in 1953 basically. Likewise, we find that the military in Egypt has moved over the years from being a complex that does not use its access to weaponry to exercise executive political power, but became the biggest employer in the country as well. The responsibility of the military complex in Egypt ranges from overseeing the sovereignty of Egypt (i.e., creating and enforcing the rules, defense, foreign affairs, public services) to raising chicken, counting eggs, and even selling them in the Egyptian markets or abroad.

In fact, if we analyze very closely how the Egyptian military complex has been transforming Egypt over the years, we find that this evolution does not resemble how anyone of the military authorities that ruled post 1945 proceeded. In other words, none of the military dictatorships that were mounted in the world post 1945 moved from controlling executive power to producing eggs, tomatoes, pots, stoves, televisions, ammunition, etc., in the same way the Egyptian military is doing. Put

¹²¹ <https://www.nationalpriorities.org/budget-basics/federal-budget-101/spending/>

simply, China which maintains the largest military in the world, and in essence, its rulers have a lot of interest in ensuring that all the people who enroll in the military become the happiest, does not adopt the same development model that Egypt adopts. In China, the rulers of this country did not get rid of the private sector, or arranged to have the Chinese soldiers raise and sell chicken and eggs, while this complex is also providing the parameters for everyday behavior of citizen.

It is highly likely that the individuals who are controlling the political and economic power in Egypt are convinced that if they recreate a pharaonic¹²² political system that has the circumstances and ideas of the present age, they can prevent their collapse. In the meantime, if we compare how the Peronists in Argentina operated when this country was ruled by Juan Domingo Perón¹²³ (1895 – 1974), an Argentinian Army general and politician, we find that the pillars of the Peronist ideal,¹²⁴ known as the "three flags", were social justice, economic independence and political sovereignty. Perón called for the involvement of the state in the economy,¹²⁵ but he did not go ahead and espouse ideals that makes the state (i.e., army) become the largest employer or economic operator in Argentina as the case is in Egypt today. Perón used the state (i.e., the military dictatorship) to mediate tension and promote corporatism between the different classes of society only. In fact, despite the Peronism having been regarded as a military dictatorship that resorted to violence and dictatorial rule to stay in power, Peron and his administration, were immensely popular among the Argentine working class despite having subverted freedom.

The population ratio between Egypt and Argentina is not the same. It is not possible to know if the brutal suppression of freedom and persecution of political opponents that was ongoing when Argentina was an autocratic government (1946 – 1983), which is what the Egyptian government has been functioning like since 1952 (when this country obtained its independence). can be compared. However, if we consider that no matter what the circumstances are, the objective of having people come together to cooperate in the economic field post

¹²² <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/pharaonic>

¹²³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Juan_Per%C3%B3n

¹²⁴ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peronism#Overview>

¹²⁵ <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Peronist>

1945 was programmed to end domination and not to prolong it, we can say that if all the UN member states do not start to adopt the government spending strategy that the United States used before WW2 erupted to make its citizens happy and passive, it is highly likely that the international order could evolve in two political scenarios.

The first one would be a reality in which the populace would be living under a dictatorship that its members would be hating rulers and political class as the citizens in Egypt feels since 1953. The second one would be a reality in which the populace would be living under a dictatorship that its members would be loving rulers and political class as citizens in Argentina were feeling before the collapse of the Peronist regime. Evidently, the constitutional monarchies who pretend to be democratic as of 1945 would not be excluded from this eventual reality. In the course of time and things, citizens will get to realize that those constitutional monarchs are nothing but civilian dictatorships.

In my opinion, one country who has to make this choice soon is Lebanon. Evidently, no government should copycat the autocratic government that was installed in Argentina under Juan Domingo Perón, because if this model was efficient the Peronist regime would have not collapsed in 1983. To the contrary, the model that the Egyptian military complex has been adopting since 1953 is a lot more effective and robust. The ability of this Egyptian model to bounce back after being reverted to a simpler form in 2011 shows that it is one that is also very difficult to defeat.

I have no way of knowing why the families/dynasties who control Lebanon are not getting together and converting this country into a military complex just as the case is like in Egypt since 1953 to strengthen protection and order, and regulate the chaos that citizens have been knowing since 1975. Compelling every Lebanese of age to conscript in the country's military complex and to preoccupy him (her) with building buildings, roads and bridges, selling potatoes or chicken, etc. as the Egyptians are doing, create jobs, order, and most importantly, keeps the preoccupation of citizen centered on how to be productive instead of being mindful about human rights.

Then again, the political model that is in place in Egypt since 1952 -53 appear to be slowly spreading within UN member states. Surely, the unique feature of this political model whereby human rights abuse and the denial of political and social freedoms are central characteristics in its operative approach offer a potential solution to the Lebanese to end the insecurity that has been waging in this country since 1975 incessantly.

The only problem is that all the countries, kingdoms, empires that were ruled by military dictatorships fell and were reverted to a simpler form in the course of time and things. For example, the autocratic government that has been ruling Egypt since 1952 was lucky after it was ousted in 2011 to regain power in 2013 through a new coup d'état. However, the Pharaohs were not as lucky in antiquity when Moses pulled a coup d'état on them, and reverted the Pharaohs and the families/dynasties who were controlling Egypt to a simpler form forever. Therefore, since the socio-political model that the military complex in Egypt is using to control peace and security as of 1952, appear to be the same as the one the Pharaohs used in antiquity (a temporary one), and we know how its fate ended, this means that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 allows it to spread it could be posing a great risk on the healthy evolution of the international postwar order that they depend on to solidify their power. That is said, it is imperative to check if this abnormal rise in human rights abuses and the denial of political and social freedoms that the world is knowing is because the world is adopting the form of government that exist in Egypt unknowingly, or if mankind is still on course, to achieve the goals that the founding fathers of the UN fixed in the preamble of the UN Charter. This is because if mankind is not moving toward in the direction of the goals that the founding fathers of the UN fixed in the preamble of the UN Charter, the families/dynasties who won WW2, along with the United States who is playing their global policemen could be digging their own grave with their own hands.

I suggest to take the chaotic political and social situation that exists in Lebanon since 1975 to study its bearing on the strength and stability of the families/dynasties who won WW2. I want to understand, if possible, the turn that the strength and stability of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could take if Lebanon slips and become an autocratic government as a solution to bring stability, as compared to the families/dynasties

who won WW2 choosing to stabilizing Lebanon in accordance with the principles that the founding fathers of the UN counseled them to remain always faithful to its faithful to avoid becoming reverted to a simpler form including ensuring lasting peace.

13

Odd idiosyncratic behavior of man

Throughout history, most monarchs (societies) were dominated by the mightiest warrior or the biggest outlaw. Lebanon is no exception.

Antoun Saadeh (1904 – 1949), was a Lebanese politician, philosopher and writer who founded the Syrian Social Nationalist Party.¹²⁶ Before his death, Saadeh reminded the Lebanese about the importance of knowledge to develop power within any society.¹²⁷ Reflecting this, some warriors or outlaws understood what Saadeh reminded the Lebanese of being devoted to doing before his death, and managed to build kingdoms and empires that is in existence till today. Unfortunately, the warriors or outlaws who were the victors of the Lebanese civil war in 1990 did not take in consideration the suggestion that Saadeh suggested to be doing to lift this country. This happened either because they were illiterate, or they were putting Saadeh's suggestion off in the hope of getting to it in the course of time and things. As a result, Lebanon has been like an unmanned ship that the sea and the wind drift from one challenge to the next since the last thirty years.

Lebanon is theoretically a stateless country ruled by multiple Juntas (warlords) since 1975. The country does not have any real productive economy. The country relies mainly on tourism and the financial aid that foreign nations or the Lebanese diaspora who lives and works

¹²⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antoun_Saadeh

¹²⁷ <https://a5dr.com/wiki/%D8%A3%D9%82%D9%88%D8%A7%D9%84-%D8%A3%D9%86%D8%B7%D9%88%D9%86-%D8%B3%D8%B9%D8%A7%D8%AF%D8%A9/>

abroad inject. This money run the government which provide for the well-being and happiness of the warlords as well as the happiness of the populace they control. Things were going well for the warlords in Lebanon in the 1990s, or after Saudi Arabia mainly brought one of its soldiers (Rafic Hariri)¹²⁸, and placed him in charge of overseeing the money that the Kingdom was investing in the rebuilding of the infrastructures that are important to Saudi Arabia and not to Lebanon. In other words, Saudi Arabia was rebuilding the city center that was destroyed in the country, and not the network of basic infrastructure that the civil war destructed (i.e., water, electricity, roads, bridges, industry, etc.).

Unfortunately, the warlords in Lebanon did not adopt the same model that the Junta who control Egypt did after they came to power in 1952, and began to make the military (police) in Lebanon rebuild the country with the money that Saudi Arabia and others were injecting. In other words, letting the military complex in the country be the only employer, and to place it in charge of building the roads, bridges, buildings required including raising and selling chicken and eggs to put the members of those two forces to good use, instead of being a dead weight. The warlords in Lebanon used the military (police) in the same way many rich countries around the world including in the United States, France, Italy, Germany, etc. can afford to do. That is converting the military complex (and police) into becoming a reservoir to tap into to bring political stability only. The tab to keep a large number of people checking on what their neighbors are doing is not cheap. In the case of a country like Lebanon with no real productive economy, it is suicidal to maintain a large military (police) force, when there is no real economic source to generate the revenues needed. Unfortunately, maintaining a large government (along with a large military and police complex) in a country that is without a strong income (taxes, custom duties, etc.), can only be done by boosting revenues from the different sectors of the economy which is not something that citizens favor or tolerate. The dysfunctional political and social situation that exists in Lebanon since 1975 cannot be resolved without making Lebanon be part of Syria or the other way around. This is required to allow the Lebanese to have a real productive economy (i.e., access to social space).

¹²⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rafic_Hariri

Alternatively, to put the Lebanese to destroy and rebuild the human progress that they achieved so far.

In other words, because the Junta who control Lebanon do not have any real enemy that necessitate they maintain in this small country this large stock of soldiers (police) on active duty protecting them as the case is like right now, they (the warlords) now have a major problem in their hands. If the international community or God does not bail them out, the warlords who control Lebanon need to increase death rates, if they plan to continue to stay in control. Hence the Junta who control Lebanon cannot increase death rates by looking inward, they need to be able to do it by looking outward.

The Junta who control Lebanon can plot wicked actions that would make Israel retaliate, thus helping them to generate the destruction and death rates they badly need to help them preoccupy the soldiers (and the populace) who are protecting them with topics that are other than just waiting on them to find out when they (the government) are going to begin to improve the deteriorated social standards that their (the Junta) poor planning provoked. Of course, the power of the warlords who control Lebanon to returning the populace in the country to establish closer relationship with God is a great way to make people spend their time in prayers instead of in waiting on seeing when the Junta is going to increase their happiness.

Then again, until either one of those two afore suggested tips happen, I cannot think of any notion that the Junta who control Lebanon could depend on to prevent their eventual collapse except for two. The first is if the Junta continue to be as successful as its members have been since 1990 (i.e., date which marked the end of the Lebanese Civil war) in preventing the military complex in the country who is protecting them from disbanding. The second is if the Junta who controls Lebanon begins to adopt the same strategy that the junta who control Egypt are using to preoccupy or pay the salary of the Egyptian military complex from and keep law and order under control at the same time. This solution comprises killing the private sector in Lebanon as happened in Egypt, so the military complex in Lebanon start to raise chicken, plant tomatoes, maintain law and order, including becoming the only employer.

This idea that the Junta who control the military complex in Lebanon could use to generate the revenues they badly need to keep this complex providing them protection is a very effective way to help them to keep their grip on power in the country as the Junta who control Egypt has shown is possible since 1952 (and openly since 2013). Unfortunately, this concept is not one that the families/dynasties who won WW2 could use as I said before, because it is not permanent. Most importantly, this model violates the central ideas that on their premise those powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 wanted people to cooperate in the political and economic field post WW2.

Perhaps, this rise in military dictatorship around the world could be temporary solutions that the families/dynasties who won WW2 are approving until they figure out how to make people be ready to be tolerant pursuant to their decision to make the United Nations teach them why they should not be restful until outcomes are shared on equal rights.

Then again, man does not possess total power over how things around his physical body changes with respect to time. For example, the cargo ship “Golden Ray” which capsized in 2019 near the Georgia coast in the United States was launched only three years earlier.¹²⁹ This ship was equipped with the latest technological instruments. Experts suggest that the cause of the capsizing was started by a list. The list is the angle or the degree to which a vessel heels (leans or tilts) to either port or starboard at equilibrium—with no external forces acting upon it.¹³⁰

If all the sailors who were on the “Golden Ray” failed to control the external forces that led to the capsizing of this modern ship, then it is highly likely that the external forces that could be acting upon the stability and strength of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could knock them down before they manage to figure out how to control all the expectations that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations awakened in the heart and mind of people is also real and present.

¹²⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MV_Golden_Ray

¹³⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angle_of_list

Put differently, if everything is relative, the challenges that the Junta who control Lebanon are dealing with in this small society, or after the UN system awakened or raised the expectations of the populace in Lebanon about the importance of not being restful until outcomes are shared on equal rights, could be the same challenges that the families/dynasties who won WW2 are dealing with at the global scale.

Simply put, if the accelerated external forces that are in recent years acting upon the stability that the Junta who has been controlling Lebanon since 1945 continue to build up, it would not be possible for those cabal to prevent their resizing. In other words, if the international community continue to make it harder on the Junta who is controlling Lebanon to dispose of the wealth of the country as they are doing since 1975 based on their personal power and conscience, their power to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them would be coming to an end.

If the slow death (i.e., reversal to a simpler form) of the Junta who control Lebanon is eminent if they continue to refuse to resist the call that the populace in the country are making to them to relinquish control of the regime, and to begin to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the UN charter, then it is highly likely that this end is exactly what the families/dynasties who won WW2 would be dealing with eventually, or in due time.

To put it differently, the Lebanese are provoking all their evil on a surface that is only 10.452 km² from the overall available total social space. When the economic and social situation in Lebanon worsens, and the Lebanese people begin to break each other's will down to create balance (as bacteria do in anaerobic reactor), this phenomenon will aid the world to be much safer, but unfortunately, will not resolve the global unpreparedness of people worldwide to begin to cooperate based on the principles of equal rights, because this process is only happening in this small social space or demographic boundary. If understandably people in other parts of the world are not cooperating on the basis of the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, this means that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 do not want that the outcomes and conditions that would be ensuing not transform them into a simpler form, they need to increase death rates, or return people to

establish closer relationship with God (preoccupying people with praying five times as Muslims do to consume people's time with preoccupations that are not relating to increasing happiness from material things). When people are preoccupied with God instead of being compelled to preoccupy them with what their neighbor is doing, this makes a large number of people refrain from rivaling, betraying, and backstabbing.

Indeed, the choices that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the ones that the warlords who control Lebanon need to be doing to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others is one and the same. Their decisions (The families/dynasties who won WW2 and the warlords who control Lebanon) to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled everyone to remain faithful to its principles to ensure lasting peace in favor of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations teach people why they should not be restful until outcomes are shared on equal rights has trapped them in an unsolvable maze unless either one of the afore options are employed.

Simply put, humans cannot fill the same void space. This means that the rich and the poor cannot cooperate based on the principles of equal rights. If the rich were to begin to cooperate based on the principles of equal rights with the poor, then there is a good chance that the individuals who the democratic process helps them to become rich to begin to resize the rich, and to treat them in the same way the rich use their wealth to treat the poor unjustly, which is what has been going on throughout history.

This struggle to have people cooperate based on the principles of equal rights, led mankind over the course of time to legitimize first the idea of God including attributing all the ideas that gives right to a person to freeze social space or material things that is above and beyond what is necessary for an individual to sustain his survival needs to being a requirement from God.

When this idea of God stopped to satisfy our ancestors convictions about why certain people must be denying others natural rights, then, man (most probably the rich) came up with a second idea, or the concept

of the government. Governments are nothing but a company or a cooperative that the poor and the rich provide a portion of their earning in the form of taxes, dues, etc. to the people who administer this “company” to protect members from each other. But because the rich, cannot maintain their strength and stability if governments begin to use the power vested in them to make all the people, rich and poor, cooperate based on the principles of equal rights, the super-rich make sure that they are either occupying senior government positions or financing politicians to be in position of power, so their interests do not get destabilized. Actually, all people who are unsuccessful in business are drawn into this idea of becoming a public servant because it gives them power and status. Power and status are very important when a person finds pleasure in accumulating wealth.

Furthermore, because the super-rich cannot use all the money that the government generate to improve the social conditions of the people who they exercise executive control over, because if they do so the awareness that their dependents gain would make them start to question their rights to equal opportunity. This makes the super-rich always use the money that the government collect and they exercise power over so it gets disbursed or reused in three ways principally: to build the country, the military, and another sum to resize the super-rich who are also doing the same action but from a neighboring country.

However, because the super-rich resort to wars only as a last resort to resize each other (contrary to what people thinks), this leaves only two other straight forward options that are known to produce outcomes that can easily weaken the status of a super-rich in any given country (or confuse its populace). The first is to inject money clandestinely, and to have a group use it to finance projects that are programmed to strengthen fraternity, democracy, justice, or an ideological idea that can impact negatively on the strength and stability of the faction who is absorbing the offensive. The second is to let the money enter through the legal channel to the country but on the conditions that it should be used to strengthen the same purposes.

It is important to note that there are many other options that a nation could employ to make the people of another nation surrender freedom. Actions such as threatening or using nuclear weapons, biological

warfare, blockades that create famine, or “real” economic sanctions are very effective as well. This type of extreme violence, social disruption, or economic destruction are not discussed in this work, because their effect is not one that gives rise to a natural resistance to develop.

That is said, the money and ideas that the families/dynasties who won WW2 injected through the UN system and the international community in Lebanon from 1945 to 1975 managed to bring this country into the eye of the storm. The unpreparedness of the Junta that was controlling Lebanon to take the wise saying of Antoun Saadeh to aid them in reducing tensions and securing the goals set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, they chose to execute Saadah in 1947 and to begin to foment the necessary social unrest leading to the Lebanese civil war that erupted in 1975. As of 1990 (i.e., date which marked the end of the Lebanese Civil war), instead of the warlords who are controlling Lebanon using the truth that Antoun Saadeh reminded them of its power before his death to aid them in reducing tensions and securing the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, they decided to splinter into even smaller factions what their predecessors handed them in 1975. Presently, the population in Lebanon is losing control with the existing divisions that entrenches the political system in Lebanon and are demanding change. The blockades or sanctions that other nations imposed on the Lebanese government, including the restrictions that different governments are placing on their own citizens to control where they could travel or where they could invest and with whom to do business, etc. is little by little positioning them (the warlords in Lebanon) in front of fait-accompli. This choice is either to make the Lebanese military complex begin to raise chicken and plant tomatoes as the Junta who controls Egypt are preoccupying the military complex with doing to prevent that they revolt against them, or to have the Lebanese military complex seize power in the country. Of course, there is the option of engulfing the country in a new civil war to create a new balance.

Should the warlords who control Lebanon continue to resist people’s call to cooperate in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and adopt either one of those two afore mentioned scenarios on how the military complex could be used to stabilize the country and still permit its warlords to solidify their

power, the Lebanese population would have spared this country from the dredge of a new civil war to establish balance; but would have made it a lot harder for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them at the same time.

It is important to recall what I said earlier with respect to how the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others, i.e., in a world that its members are not prepared to reduce fear and want in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Only two actions (moves) prevent the collapse of the families/dynasties who won WW2. The first was if they increase death rates, and the second was to put people to start praying if they cannot make them observe the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Said differently, if the military complex in Lebanon organize a coup on ground that the on-going infighting between politicians, ambition and incitement to violence had forced them to act to protect the safety of the nation and to rectify the course of things, the military complex would start to behave as the political system is behaving in Egypt as of 2014. In Egypt, the political system is not increasing death rates and its rulers are not cooperating within it based on the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

When the people of Lebanon start to cooperate in the same way the people of Egypt are doing since 1953, the world would be expanding the network of people who are building and are not breaking up (dismantling). This situation is a very favorable option for the families/dynasties who control Lebanon or Egypt, but not the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the families/dynasties who control China, France, Mexico, Great Britain, etc. This is because this strategy creates an imbalance in the concept of duality (Yin Yang) when all the actors are not cooperating based on the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and are devoted to only building or only destructing. If awareness and knowledge makes lots of existing people start to play tit for tat game, or steer them away from being in favor of increasing death rates, or be ready to practice tolerance as the founding fathers of the UN counselled everyone to be doing to ensure

lasting peace, there is no guarantee that the families/dynasties who won WW2 could prevent their own resizing in the course of time and things.

I have no way of knowing how the political situation in Lebanon will evolve in the near future. However, if as I just said, it is not in the best interests of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to let the Lebanese political crisis continue to drag because, in the course of time and things, it could impact negatively on their power to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them easily, I plan to understand the price that the families/dynasties who won WW2 could pay. In other words, I want to understand how the power, status and wealth of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could be altered if the Lebanese continue to be unprepared to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Right, and the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to delay either pitting them (the Lebanese) against one another to engulf this country in a new civil war to create a new balance, or doing something to really uproot the entire existing political system that has brought this country to this low political level to be able to start afterwards fresh (which could also require having Syria, Israel, or a rich nation administer Lebanon until citizens learn the art of governance).

It is important to note that I would be focusing specifically, on how the power, status and wealth of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could be altered if the Lebanese continue to be unprepared to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Right, and continue to resist engulfing this country in a new civil war. Said differently, I would be focusing on the implications that could pile ahead of the families/dynasties who won WW2 if they choose to have the Lebanese establish a new balance via letting a full scale civil war create this condition, but the Lebanese refuse to drag the country into paying this price. That is to say, I want to understand, specifically, the role awareness and knowledge plays in limiting the behavior of an organism (humans) to be ready to resist evil inclinations. Another way of putting it, I want to understand, if humans' behavior is capable to behave in the same way certain kind of bacteria can naturally resist certain kinds of antibiotics and the effect that this condition can have on the families/dynasties who won WW2. Simply put, I want to understand how the social order changes when most people become like Ali said

would happen after his death: not ready to be convinced (satisfied) with little nor satiated with much. If people stop to be ready to legitimize the natural and legal rights that the families/dynasties who won WW2 are determining and are preferring to be bound by their personal power and conscience, any effort the families/dynasties who won WW2 exhaust to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others should lead to a dead end without the use of force.

If the political instability that Lebanon is knowing continue to grow, and the internal or external forces that are acting upon the stability of the Junta in the country continue to deteriorate, those warlords will resist relapsing the country into a new civil war, because they know that this move is very risky, and a good number of them would be resized and reverted to a simpler form.

I do not think, however, that the Junta who controls Lebanon could prevent the military complex in Lebanon from eventually ousting them after they waded on letting this complex be a reservoir that would bring them political stability, and this strategy has proven to be a wrong safety net so far. In other words, if the world bank or a rich nation do not bail this country out, the Junta who controls Lebanon cannot avoid that the military complex takes over.

Then again, if nations continue to impose blockades and sanctions against each other as they are doing, I cannot conceive what would the military complex be devoted to doing in Lebanon if a transfer of power from the actual warlords who are doing the administration presently happens and occurs, other than to be doing what the people (military complex) in Egypt are doing. The Terms of Reference of the military complex in Lebanon would be to become a contractor, and to start raising and selling chicken and eggs as the military complex in Egypt is doing since 1953. Most importantly, the military complex would have no choice but to become a new satellite state for the Chinese, Great Britain, France, or any other rich country minus the United States or Russia, or to become a satellite state for Russia or the United States exclusively.

Presently, Israel act as a satellite State for the United States, and Syria for Russia. Lebanon can either be a satellite state for a different rich

country such as China, France or Great Britain, or be a satellite state for Russia or the United States exclusively. This is to keep balance with the concept of duality.

As the afore shows, if the political instability in Lebanon remain as is (being run by corrupt and ineffective civilian politicians who the military complex protect), or if the military complex in Lebanon oust the civilian government and seize political power (portray itself as non-partisan, or as a "neutral" party that can provide interim leadership, as the military complex in Egypt did during the Egyptian Revolution of 1952 [and later in 2013], but till today this complex is in power in this country), neither choice would aid the families/dynasties who won WW2 to solidify their power.

To the contrary, because the Lebanese are not going to be an engine that would be contributing to strengthen peace and security in the world even when the military complex takes over, but they are going to be doing exactly what the families/dynasties who control the military complex in Egypt are doing since 1953 or the citizens of that nation who would be protecting them and telling them what to do (that is violate the UN charter), then we can say that the longer the families/dynasties who won WW2 would be letting UN member state become controlled by its own military complex, the higher the likelihood the disintegration of those powerfully super-rich families/dynasties could be reciprocally getting.

It is important to keep in mind that when we have a system (social order) and no one in the system is disarming or obeying the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of human rights, allowing the formation of blocs (alliances) in the system threatens the families/dynasties who won WW2. For example, Great Britain withdrew from the European mainly for this specific reason. If Great Britain accepted to stay in the European Union (EU), this monarch would have no choice but to allow that the EU law have primacy over British national legislation in economic and military judicial areas. When the families/dynasties who control this monarch give their non-objection to having EU law have primacy over British laws, this means that the families/dynasties who control this monarch are concurring to having their power shrink, in the course of time and things. That is also to say that the more sovereign nations the world creates or unions like the EU but without the law of the union

having primacy over a national legislation (unless the weaker society is ready to consider the opinion of the strongest voluntary), the far better off the families/dynasties who won WW2 would be.

At the same time, the circumstances that the families/dynasties who won WW2 want to avoid at any costs is to have a large number of UN member states who would become controlled by the military complex, but who would not be ready to surrender freedom to the international rules based postwar world order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 laid out at the end of WW2. This is because the more the political, social and economic model that exists in Egypt gets duplicated in UN member states, the harder it would be getting for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to defend how the international postwar social order is relevant to satisfy the best interests of mankind. This is again because if people were ready to obey the international rules based postwar world order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 laid out at the end of WW2 there would have not been any reason for the military complex to rule in any UN member states.

Once again, it is important to keep in mind that in “game theory two completely rational individuals, might not cooperate, even if it appears that it is in their best interests to do so.”¹³¹ Therefore, when the military complex takes over the political leadership in many UN member states and the military complex chooses to be part of web of allies and alliances, or operate independently, those actors would be betraying each other even though mutual cooperation would yield greater reward (human nature). This means that the UN member states would not be able to avoid spiraling in a web of iterative elimination. When all the rulers and political class of UN member states are regarding themselves exempt from obeying the appeal of leading by example (cooperating in accordance with the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights), then the families/dynasties who won WW2 would not be able to punish those rulers (servants) who those powerful families/dynasties (i.e., the king of kings) depend on them to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them.

Then again, I have no way of knowing how the international order will evolve like in the future pursuant to the decision of the

¹³¹https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prisoner%27s_dilemma#The_iterated_prisoner's_dilemma

families/dynasties who won WW2 allowing autocratic form of governments nest in UN member states post 1945, instead of letting congresses that teaches people how to practice tolerance nest. Can the families/dynasties who won WW2 reap any of the goals that the founding fathers asked people to strive to achieve after those super-rich families/dynasties nested in every UN member states an organization (the UN system) that its agents teach people why they should stop to cope as they are doing, unless the rich (rulers and political class) shares with them on equal rights outcomes (justice, material things, etc.)? Can the families/dynasties who won WW2 reap any of the goals that the founding fathers asked people to strive to achieve after the organization (the UN system) that they tasked with making people “love” them, divided the societies into rich and poor, educated and non-educated, when the whole idea of having people come together to promote collective security was to get rid of people perceiving that humans are divided on the aftermath of WW2? Can the families/dynasties who won WW2 reap any of the goals that the founding fathers asked people to strive to achieve after the organization (the UN system) that they tasked with making people “love” them allowed that the laws that people determine and enforce to protect them from each other does not center on parameters that uproots from the social order all the tribal distinctions that has to potential to make a newborn doubt the sincerity of existing people to achieve the goals set forth in the UN charter, in favor of ones that makes it necessary for a newborn to be perceiving the need to be strengthening distinction to be able to realize the full development of one’s own personality? Most importantly, can the families/dynasties who won WW2 reap any of the goals that the founding fathers asked people to strive to achieve after the organization (the UN system) that they tasked with making people “love” them made every one begin to question why one person (family/dynasty) has the right to rule over everyone simply because his (her) “great great great grandfather was the mightiest warrior or biggest gangster in the country?”¹³²

I have no way of knowing if the founding fathers of the UN counselled existing people to allow a single family hold most or all political power (constitutional monarchy, absolute monarchy) in any UN member state, or a group of high-ranking military officers doing it directly or indirectly

¹³²https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p82TKcffqlw&ab_channel=HistoryTeaTime
withLindsayHoliday

(i.e., holding free elections but citizens choose an ex-military officer or a right wing candidate to lead the government, but while the military complex is exercising substantial or complete control of the people and functions of government). However, it looks as if not all people around the world are in favor of having a single family/dynasty or a single political party hold the title of the head of state in most UN member states after the UN system destroyed in seventy years what religion spent the last two thousand years to build (tolerance).

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the rich or the families/dynasties who control the different UN member states count on arranging that every person manages to realize the full development of his personality after the families/dynasties who won WW2 tasked the UN system to be devoted to teaching people to promote democracy instead of promoting tolerance to enable the different government to develop the necessary understanding of themselves that can permit them to neither be tyrannical or democratic as the United States managed to do from 1776 to 1945, and is still trying to do this day despite all the internal challenges that are confronting this country. Once again, Malaysia and Oman, managed, in my opinion, to instill this sense of progressive tolerance in citizens, but the only drawback is that those country created this fabric using one single skin color in Malaysia and in the other using an absolute political system (Oman), and not through a multitude of colors and beliefs as happened in the United States.

Then again, the last seventy years, seem to have produced two shoals (cultures) who are both swimming in the same sea. One shoal is providing parameters for everyday behavior which has been notorious for human rights abuses and the denial of political and social freedoms. To this shoal belong all the UN member states minus the United States. The second shoal is the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy or political system which the United States act as its custodian and promotor since 1776. Of course a large number of nations and constitutional monarchs especially the ones that exist in western Europe including Great Britain swim in the shadow of the United States, but those nations (monarchs) do it to protect their political system that is based on hierarchy only.

In other words, in 1776, the people in the United States penned a truth that no man can falsify. This truth is the idea that all people are created equal. Since 1776, this idea has been an obstacle in the face of the rich to justify why one person (family/dynasty) whose “great great great grandfather was the mightiest warrior or biggest gangster in the country, must be granted right to rule over everyone.” From 1776 to 1945, the families/dynasties who are controlling societies managed to convince their dependent people and the people of the nations that they were administering that their role was largely ceremonial, and that it was required to protect traditions. However, from 1945 to now, the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to task the UN system to be devoted to teaching people to prioritize equal rights instead of promoting tolerance to enable the different government to develop the necessary understanding of themselves to permit them afterwards to build institutions that are neither tyrannical or democratic as the United States managed to do from 1776 to 1945, has created a problem for the rich mainly, and not necessarily for the poor. In other words, the rich are now stumbling on all the truth that they pledged to obey on the aftermath of WW2 (i.e., the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights), and do not know how to avoid that any law they break does not transform into a big scandal by their rivals or the common people. This is very serious and worrisome.

Simply put, if all the rich (in the United States an elsewhere) continue to put stumbling blocks in front of the United States (the US constitution or the UN charter) thus making the citizens of this country (the US) abandon the ideas that they have been protecting since 1776, mankind could easily perceive that the political system in the US is rotten as lots of people are increasingly perceiving in recent years. Furthermore, hence the goal is not to disprove the truth that the founders of the United States penned about all people being created equal because this truth cannot be negated (shown to be false), but rather to strip from the hands of the true owner of this idea this custodianship so other societies who the rich controls could start go play. This strategy kills two birds with one stone. This strategy allows the families/dynasties who control the different autocratic and monarchical nations to stay in power, and enable those same families/dynasties to designate a new global policeman who they would be able to control and make him determine and enforce the policies that they want.

In drug manufacturing “a brand name drug refers to the name giving by the producing company, generic drug refers to a drug produced after the active ingredient of the brand name drug. Generic drugs will, however, be sold under different brand names, but will contain the same active ingredients as the brand-name drug.”¹³³ If all people are “created equal” and the United States continue to not lead by example as this country is increasingly doing in recent years, then it would be hard to defend how can this country continue to play the global policemen role. Unfortunately, the history of the world has shown that bioequivalence relationship is true in drugs but it is not fully true when it comes to convictions. Jesus lived two thousand years ago. Since then, billions of people tried to use his philosophy to let it provide the benefit that its subjects provide and failed. Likewise, if Moses and Muhammad had not challenged the societies who were refusing to surrender freedom to their philosophies, the Judaic faith would have not lasted till today, and Islam would have not been the most successful religion that the world has known. If the United States stops to play the global policemen of the world, mankind would be butchering the efforts that man (this natural organism) spent the last two thousand years building to make the American people develop the understanding that they gained of themselves, leading them in 1945 to be ready to let all people have access to their happiness.

I have no way of knowing if newborns would be able to live the same happiness that the American people lived from 1776 to the present, pursuant to the ongoing efforts by UN member states in recent years (including the United States) to build physical and invisible border walls between societies. This solution was adopted throughout history by monarchs and empires. However, none managed to triumph over the good will and evil will of man. To the contrary, when governments begin to justify to their bases why it is necessary to build Great Walls as their predecessors did, they would not be protecting their citizens more but rather increasing their insecurity. This is because not all the citizens would be in favor of surrendering freedom to the work program of the government. If we also add all the money that the rich nations

¹³³ <https://www.rosemedicalgroups.org/blog/difference-between-brand-name-and-generic-drugs#:~:text=While%20brand%20name%20drug%20refers,as%20the%20brand%2Dname%20drug.>

would be clandestinely injecting in each other's social order to keep its people confused and unable to establish any stability, the circumstances that are playing out in Lebanon in recent years are a vivid illustration of how such scenario occurs. For example, in Lebanon every major faction that is disputing with the other is being financed by a foreign country. Each faction is working to resize the other to strengthen fraternity, democracy, justice, etc., yet no faction is ready to be the first at practicing what it is preaching.

Furthermore, if we consider that man rely on two products to build relations and solidify his power and those two products are tools (markets) and the truth (loyalty), and we know already that truth is a constant (would not change in the future), when the families/dynasties who won WW2 let governments build physical and invisible border walls between societies, they would be giving a chance to many other super-rich families/dynasties who are rivaling them to pen new values that would be competing with the ones they depend on to solidify their power.

For example, I have no way of knowing if all the countries who became divided into two opposing military alliances during WW2 were before this global war erupted controlled by military complexes who acted in those societies as the single employer in all matters of economic, industrial, and scientific capabilities as the case is like now in Egypt as of 1952, where the military complex is the principal employer if not the only one. Indeed, throughout history the profession of soldiering was regarded as a career but for the most part this profession attracted those who wanted a share in the war plunder and spoil. Surely, with all the new technologies that was developed since WW2, most future wars are not going to be involving conventional combat as WW2 was fought if the players who would be fighting possess nuclear weapons and one decides to use them on the other. This is to say that all the soldiers that are active in any military would not make any difference. Furthermore, since any modern wars would be fought differently in the future, we can say that between now and when such a war occurs, most nations are going to be doing what most nations do before a war erupt: prepare and carry out non-nuclear preemptive attack.

However, hence no nation from among the great powers is ready or equipped today to fight in a war that is at the scale of WW2 (i.e., a global war) and/or knows how to prepare itself to go to such a scale war anymore, most UN member states would be relying on non-nuclear preemptive attack to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

To put it differently, weaponry are of two types: simple weaponry and complicated weaponry. Simple weaponry are all the weaponry that was fought with during WW2 (minus the nuclear bomb), and complicated weaponry are all the modern ones such as guided missiles, smart weapons, etc.

Presently, few people in few nations still possess knowhow on how to produce simple weaponry. Besides, all the factories that were setup during WW2 to produce simple weaponry were dismantled.

In as far as complicated weaponry are concerned, this type of weaponry is very complicated to build. Also, because of globalization and the fact that the material of terrestrial origin that goes into the production of such technology is not abundant, no technological product is produced from A to Z in a single country.

Before, any one of the most powerful nations decide to go to war, they must be able to become self-sufficient in as far as resources are concerned, and in the production of simple weaponry and complicated weaponry in the same way they were before WW2 erupted. If the United States, for example, decides to wage a war against another great power on condition that no weapons of mass destruction would not be involved, this county will need at least a good quarter of a century of preparation.

Given the high likelihood of most UN member states adopting punctual non-nuclear preemptive attacks to solidify their power instead of an all-out war in the near future, this means that the prevailing punctual attack (or gangster style attack) on trade, industry, power plant, banking system, cyberwarfare, etc. that has been going on between nations in recent years would continue to be widespread. This also signify that this abnormal rise in surveillance by government on citizens and between nations would continue to go on as well. However, because most nations would have no choice but to begin to build physical and virtual walls

between each other if everyone continue to refuse to cooperate in accordance with the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, this means that nations would be, in the course of time and things, obliged to adopt the same economic and social programs that is being used in Egypt post 1952.

Likewise, because the nations who already possess weapons of mass destruction and are territorially capable to ensure self-sufficiency such as the United States today do not need to have the military complex become the principal employer in the country, then those countries who can afford to let their internal or external economy be free from the direct control of the government would be at a disadvantage. This is because those nations would be missing the elements of discipline. In Egypt, for example, the power of this country is not in its material wealth but rather in the discipline of its citizens. The benefit of having the military complex control every phase of a society (economy, culture, health, education, governance, etc.) as the case is in Egypt since 1952 gives the families/dynasties who control this culture the benefit of both worlds. Those two are discipline and combat preparedness.

Furthermore, since whenever a disciplined player competes in a game or profession against a non-disciplined player, the chances of the disciplined player to win are higher, we can say that if today an American company competes against an Egyptian company in any liberal interventionism (i.e., capitalism) business for example, the Egyptians would most likely produce better revenues. This is because in Egypt, for example, when a supervisor (an officer) asks a subordinate (soldier) to carry out a task, the subordinate would execute the order first and then complain. The supervisor of the bank, factory, school, etc. in Egypt (military officer) does not follow the bureaucracy that is followed in non-military settings. This means that the families/dynasties who won WW2 must ensure that no government who hold political power over all aspects of the economy (in a given country) become a potent engine in the course of time and things. This is because such development could threaten the power, status and wealth of those super-rich families/dynasties.

Since the families/dynasties who won WW2 do not only need to have governments protect citizens from one another within different

territorial and demographical boundaries only, but they need to organize societies in such a way to prevent that the super-rich in those different social settings do not rival them, this means that when the level of disciplinary and awareness preparedness in any society rise, it is necessary that the families/dynasties who won WW2 resize the superrich in those rising societies (i.e., rulers and political class) to prevent those members from continuing to threatening them. In other words, avoid that the superrich in those rising societies (i.e., rulers and political class) do what the rulers and political class were doing in Germany before WW2. This was rival to rival the superrich in other neighboring western European nations using the same invincible and constant argument that all people adopt to get their way despite the resistance of others. This argument is to improve the idea of good (values, protection, order, etc.) to strengthen fraternity, democracy, justice, etc.,

I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 gage or know when a government (society) poses a threat on the maintenance of their strength and stability thus they deem is necessary that the progress of the rising society should get resized to prevent the knowhow that its members gain from impacting negatively on the stability of their power and status. Of course, this is done in two ways. The first is through direct confrontation using any pretext as happened very recently when the families/dynasties who won WW2 used the United States to wage a war on Iraq. The second is through injecting money in the country that they want to become unstable by preoccupying its citizen with strengthening fraternity, democracy, justice, etc. This second course is very effective to create civil unrest and disintegrate the power of the families/dynasties who exercise complete control over the functions of the economy (government) in the country. The political situation in Lebanon is a vivid example.

For example, I do not know if the complete control of the people and functions of government that Hosni Mubarak¹³⁴ (1928 – 2020), Egyptian politician, was exercising in Egypt till 2011 came to an end, because the families/dynasties who won WW2 gaged that it was becoming overly potent and thus accelerated its collapse, or if the

¹³⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hosni_Mubarak

disintegration of the regime happened naturally. If the collapse of the regime was provoked, this means that the families/dynasties who won WW2 (international community) had to have begun injecting a large sum of money in Egypt in the years prior. This money (investments) which had to have been destined to strengthen fraternity, democracy, justice, etc., in the country provoked as expected the brutal suppression of freedom and persecution of citizens and political opponents by the regime. Ultimately, the civil unrests that was waging accelerated the collapse of Hosni Mubarak, or generated the sharp fall of the regime.

Then again, if the collapse of Hosni Mubarak and his Junta happened naturally on its own in 2011, the implications are very serious and worrisome. If the collapse of the Egyptian regime in Egypt happened naturally in 2011, this signify that when people become no longer capable to tolerate the control over freedom of expression, association, assembly, and movement that a regime exercise, it would collapse on its own. This also means that the brutal suppression of freedom and persecution of political opponents that is ongoing in Egypt and in all the UN member states could be a warning to the families/dynasties who won WW2 to show them that they could eventually face the same end, if they continue to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers counselled them to stay faithful to its principles to solidify their power and help people (societies) arrive to cooperate based on the principles of equal rights to ensure lasting peace.

Therefore, since I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 gage that a regime in a given country is becoming overly potent, thus necessitating that the individuals who are exercising complete control of the people and functions of government be reverted to a simpler form through a coup d'état or popular revolt, or if this outcome happens naturally when people no longer become capable to tolerate the control over freedom of expression, association, assembly, and movement that the regime was exercising, I suggest to construct a logical framework to determine if there are criteria that the families/dynasties who won WW2 have no power naturally over to determine if they can make an individual be unprepared to obey. This is because if such criteria exist, and its benchmarks need to be kept within specific guidelines, or it gets affected in the same way a weight that hangs in a pendulum does (or a console), this means that the way the

families/dynasties who won WW2 operate this criterion could determine whether they would be able to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them and avoid that another expanding authority would absorb them or not.

To put it differently, we have no way of knowing if the war that the United States, Great Britain and other allies launched against Saddam Hussein in Iraq was a result of those afore mentioned countries failing first to oust this regime by insurrection or revolt (i.e., through the money that they injected to strengthen fraternity, democracy, justice, etc. in this country). In other words, the United States, Great Britain and other allies used this idea that Saddam Hussein was building weapons of mass destruction to build the necessary propaganda they need to limit the power of the regime. So far, the United States, Great Britain and other allies managed to end the power of Saddam Hussein and his regime in Iraq, but failed to have a replacement government stabilize the country till today. This war helped the United States, Great Britain and other allies to eliminate the threat that the awareness of Saddam Hussein and his associates were posing against those countries. However, the failure of the United States and the rest of the international community to stabilize Iraq or install a government that is capable to control the country weakened the families/dynasties who won WW2. This is because the instability that Iraq is still knowing to this day is evidence to support that the international postwar social order is not relevant to satisfy the best interests of mankind. This perception is totally erroneous, and very serious if it continues to develop.

Therefore, since I have no way of knowing what are the criteria that on their premise the families/dynasties who won WW2 gage that a civilian / military regime who is exercising authoritarian or totalitarian rule has become overly potent thus necessitating that its rulers and political class be ousted (i.e., either through injecting money to have a dominant political party win fanatical levels of popular support through the electoral process as happened in Egypt in 2012, or through the use of force as per the way how Saddam Hussein or Muammar Gaddafi¹³⁵ (1942 - 2011) were ousted), I plan to examine how the strength and stability of the families/dynasties who won WW2 gets affected when

¹³⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muammar_Gaddafi

they program that a standing government be ousted, and then their efforts gets botched.

If we take the regime that came to power in Egypt as of 2013 (i.e. civilian but fully militarily controlled), as an example, we can say that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 deem (according to the measures they have) that the civilian / military regime who is exercising authoritarian or totalitarian rule in Egypt as of 2013 has become a potent engine that is threatening their interests (those powerful families/dynasties) and they decide to overthrow it or get it replaced, this action would produce two outcomes. The first is either the transition would be peaceful as happened when the civilian government that was ruling Egypt (2012-2013) was ousted by the military in 2013, or the transition would happen through the use of force as happened in Iraq starting from 2003. The second option would be as happened during the failed coup d'état attempt that was attempted in Turkey against state institutions, including the government and President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan,¹³⁶ (born 1954) in 2016.¹³⁷

In as far, as how the Iraq War¹³⁸ has been impacting negatively as of 2003 on the idea that the families/dynasties who won WW2 supported about the international postwar social order they proposed in 1945 being relevant to satisfy the best interests of mankind and used force to achieve this end, we now know how this is not the case. Iraq is worse today and therefore, we cannot say that the war benefited the families/dynasties who won WW2 (i.e., made the Iraqi be ready to be tolerant). Likewise, we now know that the 2013 Egyptian coup d'état¹³⁹ that the families/dynasties who won WW2 supported so it comes to power is not satisfying the best interests of mankind, or the ideas that those powerful families/dynasties said the international postwar social order they proposed in 1945 was going to advance. This is because the rulers who are controlling Egypt are not cooperating based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights as

¹³⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Recep_Tayyip_Erdoğan

¹³⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2016_Turkish_coup_d%27%C3%A9tat_attempt

¹³⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iraq_War

¹³⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2013_Egyptian_coup_d%27%C3%A9tat#:~:text=The%202013%20Egyptian%20coup%20d,the%20Egyptian%20constitution%20of%202012.

of 2013. Egypt is much better off today security wise, but we cannot say that the tolerance that citizens are manifesting is voluntarily.

To the contrary, it is the failed coup d'état attempt that was attempted in Turkey against state institutions including the government and President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan in 2016 that we do not know how its outcome is impacting on the strength and stability of the families/dynasties who won WW2. In other words, we do not know if this outcome is solidifying or weakening the power of the families/dynasties who won WW2.

In theory, or based on what I said earlier, this failed coup that was attempted in Turkey should be impacting equally negatively on the strength and stability of the families/dynasties who won WW2, because the people in Turkey did not start to cooperate after this attempt based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Earlier, I said that only if the families/dynasties who won WW2 increase death rates, or if they put people to start praying if they cannot make them observe the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights could they continue to solidify their power.

In what follows, I plan to investigate how this botched or failed coup d'état that was attempted in Turkey could be weakening the strength and stability of the families/dynasties who won WW2. In other words, I plan to show how the interception of the forces loyal to the state in Turkey to stop the coup d'état from occurring is weakening the strength and stability of the families/dynasties who won WW2. This is because it prevented Turkey from increasing death rates, which is necessary for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them, as well as from compelling the population to be ready to cooperate in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which is what is being sought ultimately.

It is important to keep in mind that this afore suggestion about how the botched coup d'état that was attempted in Turkey could be weakening the families/dynasties who won WW2, is also how the 2013 Egyptian coup d'état that the military complex in Egypt succeeded in

orchestrating against the civilian government is impacting on the families/dynasties who won WW2. This is because this 2013 Egyptian coup d'état did not produce significant number of death rates or destruction, nor compelled the population to be ready to cooperate in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which is what is being sought ultimately. The two coup (the failed one and the successful one) complement each other because they create a balance in the concept of duality (yin yang).

I strongly believe that what aided the forces loyal to the state in Turkey to prevent the overthrow of the regime was a “criteria” (rule) that despite the soldiers knowing that the regime they were protecting was autocratic, they were against seeing it collapse, to protect this criterion. I also strongly believe that this same “criteria” is what prompted the people in Egypt to be ready to accept they go back to living under an autocratic regime instead of continuing to try to build a country in which Egyptians would finally cooperate in it in accordance with the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Most importantly, I strongly believe that this same “criteria” is also what led the people in Egypt to revolt against the regime of Hosni Mubarak.

To determine what this “criterion” that could be making people become unwilling to take advantage of the reset (the opportunity) that revolts or coup d'état produce to help the (citizens) to start to strengthen fraternity, democracy, justice, etc., I plan to construct what this criteria (rule) might be through searching for it in the chaotic political and economic that exist in Lebanon.

Lebanon is a country that is controlled by different warlords, as I said before. The majority of the money that entered into the country after the Lebanese civil war was spent on reconstructing the buildings that were damaged during the civil war including paying salaries, and not on rebuilding the basic social infrastructure that was destroyed during the civil war such as water, electricity, transportation, health, sanitation, etc. The Lebanese do not have a functioning basic social service system since 1975. In addition, because no company in Lebanon can be successful unless the national or international company who wants to do business in the country pick a warlord as a local partner, this has aggravated the problem significantly. The warlords control all the

populace, the military complex, and all the businesses that those corrupt and ineffective warlords or their civilian politicians operate. Each warlord controls a territorial and a demographic section in the country.

It is not possible to ask why the Lebanese tolerate to live under such deteriorated social conditions, and do not revolt, because as I said, the warlords control the entire country. The national and international companies who do business in Lebanon give them legitimacy.

The question that begs an answer is why do the international community tolerate this political status quo in Lebanon? Evidently, the simple answer is because each warlord in Lebanon is also a local agent to each one of those foreign governments who could help Lebanon to get out of the problems that it is confronting, as I said before.

Then again, there are certain phenomenon that can perhaps provide clues to understand what could be making the Lebanese against engulfing this country in a new civil war to create balance and end the misery that they are knowing since 1990, that could help us to isolate this criterion (rule) that I am searching for.

Since 1975, the warlords in Lebanon agreed to not agree on anything. For example, the US government classify or list both Hezbollah (one of the faction that control the political order in Lebanon), and ISIS as two terrorist organizations.

In the case of Hezbollah, the US government has designated this organization (political faction) as a Foreign Terrorist Organization since 1997.¹⁴⁰ The US imposes economic sanctions against Lebanon regularly, and has conditioned the lifting of the majority of the sanctions that this country has placed on Lebanon on condition that the Lebanese disavow Hezbollah.

Since 1997, the Lebanese have been divided between one group who is in favor of disavowing Hezbollah and another who is against. Most importantly, the principal issue that the Lebanese media report about in the last few years is nothing other than about the divide that exist

¹⁴⁰ <https://www.state.gov/foreign-terrorist-organizations/>

between the warlords those who are in favor of Hezbollah and those who are against. In fact, a large number of warlords (and their supporters) argue that Lebanon is stateless mainly because one group refuse to disavow Hezbollah.

Interestingly, in 2014, ISIS who is also another organization that the US classify as a terrorist organization like Hezbollah, began to carry out attacks in Lebanon.

Strangely, all the warlords who theoretically are major enemies of Hezbollah in the country, cooperated jointly against this eminent threat. In fact, Hezbollah led the military interventions that led to the defeat of ISIS in the country.¹⁴¹

This begs a question. For one, why did the warlords in Lebanon (including Hezbollah) were able to put their differences apart and to cooperate jointly against the threat of ISIS, but are not able to do the same to lift the country from the chaos that those same warlords have been keeping this country under since 1945? This is because those two threats are one and the same.

I do not know the exact answer to this afore question. However, what I do know is this answer can be due to any motive except for one. This motive must not be one that should be weaved in a natural criterion that man has no power over. If this motive is a criteria (rule) that man has no power over, this means that the families/dynasties who won WW2 stand a big chance of becoming unable to prevent its impact from weakening their status. This is because this criteria (rule) made warlords who are normally major enemies become easily allies.

Then again, I cannot think of a natural criterion that would have prompted the Lebanese warlords who are normally major enemies to become allies other than to attribute this change in attitude to an incremental rise in awareness or knowledge. This is very serious and worrisome.

¹⁴¹ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Arsal_\(2014\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Arsal_(2014))

Awareness and knowledge are “two words that can be used interchangeably in certain contexts. However, there is a distinct difference between awareness and knowledge. Awareness is perceiving, knowing, feeling, or being conscious of events, objects, thoughts, emotions, or sensory patterns. Knowledge is facts, information, and skills acquired through experience or education. The key difference between awareness and knowledge is that knowledge is associated with deep understanding and familiarity with a subject whereas awareness does not imply a deep understanding.”¹⁴²

If we put the way, the two words awareness or knowledge can be interpreted in the context of our work, we can deduce the following two conclusions.

First the warlords (and all the Lebanese) perceive (awareness) and know through experience (knowledge) that ISIS is as a threat, and for this reason agreed to put aside their differences and join efforts to defeat ISIS together or jointly.

Second, the warlords (and all the Lebanese) do not perceive or know through experience that Hezbollah is a threat, because if this was the case, they would have disavowed Hezbollah as the US is suggesting to them doing to lift all the sanctions that this country placed on them. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, Lebanon is controlled by many warlords, and each warlord is working for a foreign country. For example, one warlord (and his supporters) are working to promote the agenda or interests of the Vatican in Lebanon (Christianity), one warlord (and his supporters) are working to promote the agenda and interests of the US in Lebanon (ideas related to freedom), another for Great Britain (ideas of hierarchy), another for Iran (ideas of Islam), Russia, France, Saudi Arabia, etc.

If the US (the global policemen of the world) who regularly publically announces that it wants Hezbollah dismantled, and all the other countries who are also maintaining a warlord in Lebanon and are of the opinion that Hezbollah should be dismantled, but to this day, no warlord

¹⁴² <https://www.differencebetween.com/difference-between-awareness-and-vs-knowledge/>

in the country dares to wage an offensive to dismantle Hezbollah, then one of two scenarios is true. The first is if all those foreign countries have huge investment in Lebanon that they do not want to see it destroyed. The second is if the awareness that the warlords are perceiving, and knowledge that they are knowing through experience is motivated by some natural instincts that is preventing them from waging an offensive against each other.

Simply put, before a dog fight, each camp (foreign country) keeps the dog (warlord) attached to a leash. The dogs are usually barking and bucking but no fight is happening. When the two dogs are left to fight, it is no longer the trainer who controls how each dog will behave but the dog instinct.

I do not know if Hezbollah is protected by the Vatican, Great Britain, Norway, Denmark, the Netherlands, the US, Russia, Israel, etc. What I do know is that if neither one of those countries is protecting Hezbollah, then the US (the global policemen) and all the countries who are financing warlords in Lebanon could be underestimating the power of the natural instincts on the continuity of their strength and stability.

In other words, if the awareness or knowledge that the Lebanese have gained since 1975 is empowering them to make changes that build on their areas of strength only, and to reject the ones that improves the happiness of others, then the self-awareness and self-knowledge that they are developing could be helping them to develop endurance. If self-awareness and self-knowledge are what is making the Lebanese endure all the hardships and tensions that the US sanctions is levying on them including preventing that Lebanon be engulfed in a new civil war, then this endurance or self-control that the Lebanese are manifesting could be the biggest threat to the families/dynasties who won WW2.

Put differently, if the Lebanese decide to put the better understanding of themselves that they gained over the years to identify areas where they would like to make improvements, they may pick increasing their happiness. Unfortunately, it is not possible for humans to fill the same void space. This means that one person must forgo his security for the other. If the Lebanese are not taking action on what the US and other countries are telling them is best for them to improve their social

conditions, because their awareness and knowledge are not transmitting this conviction, then the families/dynasties who won WW2 could be underestimating the impact of letting the UN system be devoted to teaching people why everyone should be devoted to ensuring that outcomes are shared on equal rights instead of teaching people to learn how to be tolerant as the founding fathers of the UN counselled that everyone should be devoted to doing. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because the families/dynasties who won WW2 can control the motion of a person (control knowledge) but cannot control his perception. In other words, the families/dynasties who won WW2 can introduce laws which seeks to stamp out any kind of influence that a person gains from knowledge but not from awareness. The latter is a function of instinct where the former is a function of the senses.

Furthermore, just as awareness and knowledge are “two words that can be used interchangeably in certain contexts, awareness and consciousness manifest the same interchangeability. “Consciousness is defined as a state of awareness, or of being aware of an external object or something within oneself. Awareness, on the other hand, is described as the state or ability to perceive. Awareness is the ability to feel, to be conscious of events, objects, thoughts, emotions, or sensory patterns.”¹⁴³

If consciousness can include such things as pleasure and pain, then it becomes very important to ensure that the degree of pleasure and pain that a person knows stays under control. This is because if awareness can include such things as nerve stimuli and neurological processes, then if the families/dynasties who won WW2 want to play the deputy of God role on earth, and do not want that the pleasure and pain that people are continually knowing does not change their nerve stimuli and neurological processes wrongly, they need to change what people perceive and not want knowledge a person gain.

Put differently, until not too long ago, a Saudi could with one dollar make any Lebanese sell his loyalty to his country. Today we find that despite all the money that the international community has injected to

¹⁴³ <http://www.differencebetween.info/difference-between-consciousness-and-awareness>

make the Lebanese disavow Hezbollah, for example, the self-control that the Lebanese are manifesting is abnormal.

I cannot think of a natural construct that could build or get rid of tolerance or self-control in a person except trust or distrust. In other words, the stimuli that a person who experiences distrust, and which normally makes a person instinctively react arrogantly, awareness and knowledge makes the person manifest self-control and endurance instead.

If the afore suggestion contain any element of truth, this means that the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to remain faithful to its principles to continue to solidify their power in favor of tasking the intergovernmental organization named United Nations with teaching people why they should be devoted to securing that outcomes are shared on equal rights could be what is building this distrust that is making people be unprepared to take advantage of the resets or transformations that usually coup d'état facilitate to help people begin to strengthen fraternity, democracy, justice, etc..

Could the distrust that people are perceiving about the ideas that were stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights being programmed to secure the outcomes that are stipulated in this document be the criteria that is making people be unprepared to surrender freedom to help attain.

In other words, could this self-control that people who live in countries that are knowing crisis, such as Lebanon, Venezuela, Syria, Afghanistan, Iraq, Haiti, Yemen, and so forth, and which is not fracturing their will but rather strengthening it be a result of all distrust they are developing? Could their distrust with the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights being programmed to lift all boats be what is compelling people in countries who are knowing crisis to give up their life for their nations or their leaders' and to fight among each other to figure out who would martyr himself first to make their cause succeed. If we take 100 people from an industrialized nation and ask them to martyr themselves on behalf of their leaders or nation (civilians and not soldiers who are paid to do it),

the reverse happens. Could the reason for this reverse behavior in industrialized nations be because the people in those societies still trust the rule of law? How would peace and security look like around the world when more people become conscious that the rule of law is benefiting the individuals involved only.

I do not know if the criterion (rule) that is making people around the world distrust the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and consequently, thrusting them to not be in favor of surrendering freedom to secure its standards is tied to the pain that their knowledge about the families/dynasties who won WW2 not fulfilling their part of this agreement to obey those guidelines is causing them. In other words, the people's distrust with the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is predicated on their deep understanding or conviction of their coming to cooperate in the economic field with the families/dynasties who won WW2 not intended to satisfy the best interest of society but to satisfy the benefits of those powerful/families.

This conviction could be what is making the poor in Lebanon to be unprepared to disavow their warlords. This conviction could also be what is making the warlords (government and military complex) in Lebanon to be unprepared to disavow Hezbollah. Likewise, this conviction is what made the soldiers in Turkey despite knowing that the regime they were protecting was autocratic not be ready to disavow it. In the case of Egypt, it was people's (the soldiers) distrust with the regime of President Mohamed Morsi what prompted citizens to disavow his regime as well.

If we take the way, the soldiers behaved in Turkey (preferring to keep the country under an authoritarian regime instead of being in favor of prioritizing democracy) and the way the Egyptian soldiers behaved (preferring to return the country to being an authoritarian instead of an Islamist) shows that the net gain is not zero. This is because the families/dynasties who won WW2 gain when Turkey becomes democratic and when Egypt becomes an Islamist, and not when the two countries continues to be controlled by a standing army.

Then again, the perplexing development that happened around the world from 1945 to now in the ongoing pursuit by the families/dynasties who won WW2 to make people around the world be ready to obey the international postwar world order that they laid out at the end of WW2 happened in Egypt in my opinion.

In 2013, the Egyptians decided to disavow the democratic regime that their own choices at the ballots picked in order to go back to living under the domination of the Egyptian military. This is very serious and worrisome.

In other words, if as most media outlets in 2012-2013 reported that after Mohamed Morsi¹⁴⁴ (1951 – 2019), Egyptian politician, was elected president of Egypt, the Egyptians support of his work program was opposed, because he was pushing through an Islamist agenda disregarding the predominantly secular opposition or the rule of law, this distrust or fear that the Egyptians who were against this program succeed is incomprehensible. This is because theoretically there is no difference in the values that Islam teaches, and the ones that the founding fathers of the UN were proposing that people cooperate together to achieve.

For example, the Society of the Muslim Brothers¹⁴⁵ (better known as the Muslim Brotherhood), which Mohamed Morsi was affiliated with, was originally founded in Egypt in 1928, and its motto "was traditionally Believers are but Brothers."¹⁴⁶ This is exactly what the founding fathers of the UN are working to have people secure. In the preamble of the UN Charter, it is specified that the principal end of the United Nations is to have people "practice tolerance and live together in peace with one another as good neighbours."¹⁴⁷ Being a good neighbor is all about being courteous.¹⁴⁸ No man can be more courteous to another fellow man than the relation that a person normally manifest toward his brother or parents.

¹⁴⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mohamed_Morsi

¹⁴⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muslim_Brotherhood

¹⁴⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muslim_Brotherhood#Mottos

¹⁴⁷ <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/un-charter/full-text>

¹⁴⁸ <https://redbudgroup.com/5-qualities-of-a-good-neighbor/>

Then, again, let us put aside how it matters a lot to the Arab monarchies in the Gulf and the rest of the constitutional monarchies around the world that the Muslim brotherhood is banned (or fail) because of its threat to their authoritarian rule, and analyze differently.

The Egyptian military reported that before President Morsi was ousted, its force calculated that 32 million protesters participated in demonstration calling for the government to step down.¹⁴⁹

If 32 million Egyptians decided to disavow the “broad set of political ideologies that utilize and draw inspiration from Islamic symbols and traditions in pursuit of a sociopolitical objective,”¹⁵⁰ in order to go back to living under the domination of the Egyptian military, this theoretically or indirectly means that 32 million individuals were in Egypt in 2013 disavowing the international postwar world order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 made as their war aims.

In other words, if 32 million people in Egypt from their oldest to their youngest are all unprepared to cooperate on the basis of the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights or based on Islamic principles, then this signify that all what this mass is interested in doing is to be devoted to rivaling the families/dynasties who won WW2.

Simply put, a human being can either be passive or active. Religion teaches tolerance. If those 32 million people in Egypt do not want to be tolerant, then they can only be active (intolerant). Hence all what people do from birth to death is change matter to increase happiness, and two people cannot fill the same void space, then those 32 million people who demonstrated calling for the government of President Morsi to step down were theoretically letting the families/dynasties who won WW2 know that they are against the international postwar world order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 made as their war aims. That is to say, if human nature has not changed, there cannot be any other reason that would be prompting those 32 million to be in favor of disobeying the political order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 proposed that every member of the human family obey to “live together in peace

¹⁴⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/June_2013_Egyptian_protests

¹⁵⁰ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Islamism>

with one another as good neighbours” except if those individuals who were participating in the protests were doing it to forgo the wealth, power or status of those powerful families/dynasties.

Then again, I do not know how those 32 million people in Egypt plan to rival the families/dynasties who won WW2, except through being devoted to fighting corruption, injustice, inequity, and so forth. This is very serious and worrisome.

Put differently, the population of Egypt was about 85 million in 2013.¹⁵¹ The military leaders who exercise complete control of the people and functions of government in Egypt announced in 2019 that the population in the country voted to extend their power to 2030.¹⁵² This means that the families/dynasties who won WW2 have no objection with having 85 million people plus new birth rates since 2013 remain unprepared to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights until this 2030 date.

Once again, I do not know how the families/dynasties who won WW2 count on continuing to solidify their power through the use of technology if when President Morsi tried to increase authoritarianism and the rule of law, 32 million people protested calling for him to step down.

In other words, I do not know how the families/dynasties who won WW2 count on continuing to solidify their power when the autocratic form of government who is in Egypt supposed to be protecting them its members perceive that the way they are being asked to cooperate is unjust.

Then again, I do not know if the continuous threats or dangerous ideologies that the families/dynasties who won WW2 must continually inject to prompt civil unrests so the unbalance or the breakdown that their interference create is a strategy that could solidify their power indeterminately, or if this strategy is making people around the world realize that it is not their governments who is treating them unjustly, in as much as the problem lying in the unpreparedness of the powerful

¹⁵¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_Egypt

¹⁵² <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-48035512>

families/dynasties who won WW2 to fulfill their part of the agreement. In other words, the families/dynasties who won WW2 wants the United Nations and the families/dynasties who are acting like them as the deputy of God in UN member states have “right to all things.” What I do know is that the self-control that the Lebanese are showing in recent years despite all the pain that their nerve stimuli is undergoing as a result of the deteriorated social and economic conditions that exists around them is not normal. This tolerance or endurance can only be generated because they are feeling undignified, or they are being asked to cooperate unjustly. If when people feel undignified or are asked to cooperate unjustly, they develop instinctively self-control and fearlessness, then the issue becomes, in my opinion, about principles (a philosophical question). I suggest continuing to investigate if the remedies or tricks that man can develop to control mistrust have helped those who felt undignified, or the one who were asked to cooperate unjustly to tolerate the injustice that they were perceiving, or if this perception made them to be devoted to fighting corruption, injustice, inequity, and so forth.

14

Man's eccentric habits not compatible with societal norms

No one knows if humans are conditioned to feel sympathy, or become aggressive when certain philosophical ideas are desecrated and/or created things are violated. Distinction such as race, colour, sex, language, political opinion, property, birth, status, national origin, honor are examples of natural and manmade features that people relied on throughout history to deny each other rights and fuel dynamics. Animals do not spend their lives abusing each other, denying each other rights, crisscrossing, betraying, stealing, cheating, or placing traps in each other's path so we could say that there is a lot that we could learn from the way animals behave to comprehend why humans do it. Humans do share with animals this naturalistic instinctive reaction that happens when an impending threat is eminent. This lack of sympathy that people manifest against infamous individuals who abuse others, deny other rights, crisscross, betray, steel, cheat, etc., could be a natural counter reaction that people's devotion to trap each other yield, or it also could be a chore that humans perceive that when they devote time to it they create the necessary balance. Nonetheless, we can say that awareness and knowledge are the parameters that determines the degree of attention we bring to it. This means that when people's awareness and knowledge is high, people's preparedness to tolerate immoral actions or injustice decreases. Most importantly, when people's awareness and knowledge is high, it becomes utterly difficult to convince others to tolerate to be immoral easily. This is because if the other way was the case, the world would have been out of control since a long time ago already. For example, the unpreparedness of the Lebanese to agree with the claim of the United States (as global policemen of the world) and

other UN security council members about Hezbollah being a terrorist organization without the US leading by example so the Lebanese can take its claims seriously support that it is not very easy to make a society that its knowledge has increased to accept any truth or false.

If the self-control that the Lebanese are exhibiting against disavowing Hezbollah or their leaders despite the call of United States (as global policemen of the world), UN security council members and many other countries from the international community is linked to distrusting that the families/dynasties who won WW2 are fulfilling their part of the agreement that they invited all people to cooperate in the political and economic field to attain, then their non-stop exposure to fighting, corruption, injustice, inequity, and so forth since 1945 should be diminishing their conviction (perception) about the purposes of the international rule based postwar world order that those families/dynasties who won WW2 proposed for them being to attain the goals set forth in the UN charter. If so, this signify that the families/dynasties who won WW2 do not have lots of options to pick from to solidify their power if they want to continue to be insisting on wanting to unite the human race while playing its custodian role, or the deputy of God role. One option would be to abolish religion and erase history so people stop to have identity including increasing death rates. The second option is to return people to live under domination as was the case pre-1945.

To put it differently, the philosophical ideas that the founding fathers of the UN proposed to build a just and peaceful world can only be achieved through two conduits and there is no third. The first is if either the families/dynasties who won WW2 play the deputy of God role, and everyone else cooperate based on the principles of equal rights. The second is if mankind continue on the path that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is leading everyone onto since 1945. This path is to arrange that everyone becomes the deputy of God on earth, meaning deepening crises and conflicts.

Hence it would be anti-logic to suppose that the founding fathers of the UN proposed that people get together to build a just and peaceful world based on the path the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is leading mankind on since 1945, but instead proposed that

people be thrust to be tolerant as the preamble of the UN Charter suggest until the families/dynasties who won WW2 figure out how to secure the advent of a world in which human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief, and freedom from fear and want, then mankind has to pick between two choices: The first is to continue to let the UN system plunge the world in the insecurity that the world is knowing. In other words, continue to let the UN system get its way by leading people erroneously into believing that its efforts are helping mankind to secure that outcomes be shared on equal rights. The second is to stop to secure the building of a world in which we have the UN system and the rich families/dynasties who are controlling the distinct UN member states play the deputy of God role while everyone else is required to cooperate based on the principle of equality of opportunity. In this way, we go back to letting the families/dynasties who won WW2 be the only one who play the deputy of God role and everyone else is cooperating based on the principle of equality of opportunity. The families/dynasties who won WW2 do not need to be known and can be imaginary just as God is. When every person starts to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the understanding people would be getting of themselves would be helping them to translate their actions into politics that would be forming governments who would be neither a tyranny nor a democracy. However, if people continue to build a just and peaceful world, whereby the families/dynasties who won WW2, the United Nations system and the different families/dynasties who are controlling the distinct UN member states playing the deputy of God role, and everyone else cooperate based on the principle of equality of opportunity, it looks as if the historical dynamics that the world always knows will go indeterminately. Evidently, the biggest losers would be the families/dynasties who won WW2, because they are the ones who everyone else wants to resize to have access to all the good things they are denying others of, and everyone wants to put his hands on to start to enjoy.

Then again, since it is no longer possible for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to play the role of the deputy of God, or let's say the father role that every human being is ready to surrender freedom to such figure after they (the powerful families/dynasties) decided to deviate away from the initial work program that the founding fathers of the UN

counselled them to remain faithful to its principles in order to delegate to the United Nations tasks related to ending poverty, injustice and inequality so technical progress not run to waste, the philosophical ideas (aspirations) they laid out in the UN charter have now become a gridlock and a potential threat for them.

To put differently, the level of power that a society (nation) acquire is not determined based on a nation relative military, economic, and political capabilities mainly, but by its capacity to keep its populace and the society that it wants to be devoted to considering its opinion before taking actions of its own ignorant. If the families/dynasties who won WW2 want the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to be teaching people why everyone should be devoted to securing that outcomes are shared on equal rights instead of teaching people to be tolerant as per what all the religious doctrines teach (apart from the existence of a God), then the families/dynasties who won WW2 are delegating the UN teaching people disobedience.

I do not know how the families/dynasties who won WW2 expect people around the world to perceive that they are devoted to securing the goals set forth in the UN charter if the UN system is teaching people civil disobedience, and everyone knows that there would have not been any scarcity if the rich do not freeze social space and accumulate material things on a cumulative basis.

To give an example, the preparedness of the Brits to accept that a royal family transmit hereditarily the position of head of state in this country is buttressed on the conviction of citizens that the royal family does not interfere in questions related to the work program of the government in this country. If the UN system is teaching people around the world, why everyone should not be restful until securing that outcomes are shared on equal rights (social and economic justice), this means that the UN system is building people awareness. When people's awareness is awakened, it becomes very difficult to convince others easily. If the Brits start to dig to confirm if the Royal family gets involved in the work program of the government or not, they are going to get the shock of their lives!

The founding fathers of the UN did not propose that people in Great Britain get together at the end of WW2 to build a just and peaceful world whereby the position of head of state in this country would start to be shared on equal rights as the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is teaching how all outcomes should be dealt with around the world, nor did they council that British citizens start to be the partners of the royal family's on any decision they take related to how the British government internal and foreign policies should be determined and enforced, but rather proposed that everyone in Great Britain cooperate based on the principles of equality of opportunity, and in the case of the royal family, they continue to play the role of the deputy of God (the head of state). And so many questions beg an answer. For one, can the royal family in Great Britain continue to play the deputy of God role in a world in which the UN system is teaching every member of the human family in it why he or she should be devoted to realizing the full development of his personality to be nothing less than a deputy of God? Worst, when the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is regularly fixing dates to achieve this goal, and the new date to arrange that all outcomes would be shared on equal rights in the world is 2030 (i.e., end of poverty and injustice).

I have no way of knowing if the intergovernmental organization named United Nations will end poverty and injustice in the world by 2030. What I do know is that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 want to continue to go ahead with their idea of uniting humanity, it is not going to be as easy as was the case in 1945 to make people be ready to surrender freedom to them, or after they decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to follow to continue to solidify their power. Subsequent to all the awareness that the UN system raised around the world, the families/dynasties who won WW2 cannot expect people to have faith in the common standards that they asked every member of the human family to surrender freedom to secure if they do not lead people into believing that they are leading by example.

In fact, I strongly believe that the sooner the families/dynasties who won WW2 go back to being the only deputy of God, and not as the case is like now where the UN system and distinct families/dynasties in UN member states are also doing it, the easier it would be for them to

continue to solidify their power. This is because what is at stakes if the social order spirals out of control is not which family/dynasty could start to play this deputy of God role afterwards, but rather if the idea of freedom which our predecessors gave up everything to secure for us would survive or not.

Indeed, only the people who are locked up in prison know what is it really like to be living without freedom. The people who live on the outside of prison walls, read about how it is like to live in a dystopic world, but do not know how it really feels like to be living in one, because the majority of people live in societies who abandoned this practice post 1945. The people who lived in the Soviet Union before it collapsed in 1990, or in Sudan until 2019 when Omar al-Bashir,¹⁵³ the president of Sudan was ousted know that it was not possible to travel from one city to the next, unless granted clearance first from the security services. In fact, the Palestinians, to this day, need to be cleared by the Israeli security forces when they move around in Israel, including emptying what is in their pockets each time they cross from one neighborhood to the next.¹⁵⁴

The way people around the world are taking lightly the implications of mankind going back to establishing relations while security forces (uniformed and plainclothes soldiers) are a common sight as the case is like now in some countries is strange. In other words, the indifference or lack of preoccupation of people around the world with the social order plunging into becoming totalitarian as the case is like in many countries around the world today is clear evidence to support that the biggest threat to mankind is freedom.

For example, Lebanon is the smallest country in mainland Asia by Area.¹⁵⁵ This country was recognized as an independent state in 1944. Before 1944 Lebanon was administered by France. France started administering Lebanon in 1922. Before 1922, Lebanon was part of the

¹⁵³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Omar_al-Bashir

¹⁵⁴ <https://www.refworld.org/docid/3df4be9010.html#:~:text=Since%20March%201993%20Palestinian%20residents,%2C%202001%2C%20Section%20d>.

¹⁵⁵ <https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/the-10-smallest-countries-of-asia-by-area.html>

Ottoman Empire. The ottoman Empire was founded in 1299, and collapsed in 1922.¹⁵⁶

Until the nations who won WW2 freed the people of Lebanon in 1944, the Lebanese lived under total subjugation, domination and exploitation.

Since 1945, instead of the Lebanese people being grateful that the families/dynasties who won WW2 did not continue to want them to live like their fathers under domination, and to do as per way the families/dynasties who WW2 suggested to them, and that was to be devoted to cooperating with one another and with people from other countries based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, they converted Lebanon into becoming a den of thieves instead. Most importantly, the more the Lebanese plunge this country (Lebanon) seem to dwell in insecurity, the more their conviction about securing that the families who won WW2 share with them outcomes on equal rights grows. Actually, the Lebanese even appointed themselves as the defense attorney of the Palestinians on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, when, in theory, it is none of their business how the families/dynasties who won WW2 decide to divide their plunder and spoil (war gains). In other words, whether the families/dynasties who won WW2 gave to the Jews the state of Palestine that existed before 1945 legitimately or illegitimately, is none of the business of anyone. Simply put, the Lebanese do not want to admit that in 1945, the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 could have exploded an atomic bomb over their head and over the head of the Palestinians, and wiped out everything that has any reference to those two societies from this land, thus becoming able to start fresh with this social space.

If human nature has not changed from before 1945 to post, then only the taste of freedom that the nations who won WW2 granted to the Lebanese could be what could has made them become among the most conflictive societies in the last 70 years instead of remaining passive as they were doing from 1299 to 1945. In fact, the disobedience that the Lebanese people are manifesting since 1975 in particular, is not only preventing mankind from making progress on its aspiration to build a just and peaceful world, but its impact could be weakening the power or status

¹⁵⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Empire

of the families/dynasties who won WW2 beyond how they could be perceiving.

For example, a high-speed camera is a device capable of capturing moving images with exposures of less than 1/1,000 second or frame rates in excess of 250 frames per second.¹⁵⁷ High-speed cameras are widely used for scientific research, military test and evaluation, and industry. Investigators use high-speed cameras to characterize events which happen too fast for traditional film speeds. For example, when a bullet impacts an object, it is not possible to see with the naked eye or a regular camera the exact detail of how the smashed objects breaks up or the shape of the cloud that simultaneously forms behaves. However, if we take a high speed camera and play back in slow motion how the impacted object splits in all direction including how some shrapnel move in the direction of the person that was firing the bullet, we get a totally different picture. For instance, the second the United States bomber released the atomic bomb on Japan in 1945, the bomber made a 180 degree turn and flew as far as possible away from the point of the blast. In fact, after the detonation occurred, the brilliant flash that happened overwhelmed the vision of even distant observers and blanked out photographic lenses.¹⁵⁸ This shows that no person can claim that he is safe.

I have no way of knowing if the reason why the families/dynasties who won WW2 are not taking seriously the unpreparedness of the Lebanese to cooperate based on the principle of equal rights despite the US government (the global policemen of world) imposing on political members in this country economic sanctions to that effect, is principally motivated by their conviction that such disobedience will not threaten their status and power, or it is motivated by other reasons.

For example, researchers and military experts state that after the US detonated an atomic bomb over Japan in 1945, the inertia from the explosion expanded or flew outward (shock wave). This inertia later slowed down due to the air that is pushing up against it. I do not know if the families/dynasties believe that the disobedience that the Lebanese

¹⁵⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-speed_camera

¹⁵⁸ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CHUTOj1QE0&ab_channel=AtomicTestsChannel

are manifesting will not affect their status or power, because it would eventually die out in the same way this phenomenon happens in the five elements of nature.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 are determining that the disobedience that the Lebanese are manifesting would not weaken their power or status. What I do know is that Historians claim that Thales of Miletus¹⁵⁹ (624/623 – 548/545 BC), Greek mathematician and pre-Socratic philosopher, who was regarded as one of the Seven Sages of Greece,¹⁶⁰ was a hylozoist¹⁶¹ (one who thinks that matter is alive).¹⁶²

I do not know if matter is alive, but what I do know is that the words people speak appear to have attractive powers just as magnets do behave like. In other words, if I take two tuning forks¹⁶³ and place them next to each other, then take a rubber mallet and strike with it one tuning fork, we observe that the fork rings that was not contacted start to ring.^{164,165}

Thales believed that matter is unified with life or spiritual activity.¹⁶⁶ If as Thales, Anaximenes,¹⁶⁷ and Heraclitus¹⁶⁸ all taught that “there is a form of life in all material objects,” then it is highly possible that there is form of life in the words people speak even though they are not made of matter, but they make matter move. If there is a form of life in the words people speak, then it is highly possible that the disobedience that the Lebanese are manifesting could be spreading outward in the same way the inertia from an explosion does. In other words, the disregard and contempt that they are compelling or self-control or endurance that their increased awareness and knowledge is making them tolerate, could

¹⁵⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thales_of_Miletus

¹⁶⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seven_Sages_of_Greece

¹⁶¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hylozoism>

¹⁶² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thales_of_Miletus#Theories

¹⁶³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tuning_fork

¹⁶⁴ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rYrdiQckGhw&ab_channel=GoExperimental

¹⁶⁵ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vNuDxc9tZMk&ab_channel=ArborScientific

¹⁶⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hylozoism#Ancient_hylozoism

¹⁶⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anaximenes_of_Miletus

¹⁶⁸ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heraclitus>

be flowing outward as happens when the inertia or shock wave from an explosion expands.

Many questions beg an answer. For one, could the families/dynasties who won WW2 prevent their collapse, if this inertia spreads and becomes as Thales maintained “full of gods?” In other words, if we use the Gregorian calendar as a time scale, the Lebanese are disregarding the milestones that mankind spent the past two thousand years to elevate the idea of freedom to what it is today from an area of 10,542 km² only (roughly the size of the state of Connecticut in the United States). Could the families/dynasties who won WW2 prevent their collapse or eventual reversal to a simpler form, if more people around the world start to believe that they should have a say in how the international order should be organized. Put differently, in how natural or legal rights should be legitimized.

To put it differently, the population of Lebanon is between 6 to 7 million. Can the international order tolerate the existence of another 6 million or 60 million people stalling mankind’s determination to build a just and peaceful world in the same way the Lebanese people have been doing since the last 70 years? Simply put, can the international order tolerate that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations continue to lead the Lebanese people (and others) into believing that its efforts are going to end poverty and injustice in Lebanon in 2030, when this international organization failed to do it upon the expiry of the last deadline of 2015, or the deadline of 2000 and so forth? Likewise, can the international order tolerate that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations interfere in any business around the world before figuring out how it plans to get rid of the corrupt politicians that its utopic policies created in this 10,542 km² area first and to put this country back on the right track? Can the international order tolerate that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations interfere in any business that is on the outside of this 10,542 km² other than in figuring out first how this global council plans to make the Lebanese establish relations with their closest neighbor the Israelis first. Most importantly, can the international order tolerate having another country converted into becoming ruled militarily as the case is like today in many countries to stabilize Lebanon? Will the families/dynasties who won ww2 be safer if Lebanon is ruled autocratically (militarily) as the

case is like in Egypt, Russia, China, etc., or would they be creating the same gridlock that nations who are ruled liberally and nations that are ruled autocratically (militarily) are confronting presently?

Indeed, the irrational behavior of the Lebanese from 1975 till now, support that it is not only ignorance perhaps what gives rise to disobedience but increased awareness and knowledge as well. If increasing the awareness and knowledge of people makes them stop to know their limits, this means that the coming together of all the people (societies) to cooperate in the economic and political field according to the way the UN system is going about doing it to build a just and peaceful world, which is not as per the way the founding fathers of the UN suggested, could be contributing to disintegrating the common standards that all people agreed to strive to secure on the aftermath of WW2 rather than to strengthen it. In other words, if the behavior of people manifests in the same way salt changes patterns,^{169,170,171,172} when the frequency of the tone on a vibrating generator is changed, is exactly how the behavior of people varies when their awareness or knowledge is raised, then it is highly possible that the geometric patterns that occurs at high tone (vibration) frequency could be manifesting as well. When the excitatory state of salt on a vibration generator is low, the geometric pattern that form is a simple one at 689Hz (a circle), but when the frequency of the sound is high (7000 Hz), the geometric pattern that form become very complex (multiple circles and other patterns).¹⁷³ In fact, some researchers obtained patterns that looked like possessing satanic images to them.¹⁷⁴

If every society has a characteristic frequency, and the behavior of its member's changes best when awareness or knowledge is kept with the least input of excitation (energy), then I cannot suppose how the human relations patterns that are ensuing to be any different than the complex ones that occurs when salt is excited at higher frequencies. In other words, awareness and knowledge are making people back away from

¹⁶⁹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cymatics>

¹⁷⁰ <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/making-sound-waves/>

¹⁷¹ <https://frugalfun4boys.com/science-demonstration-kids-sound-vibrations/>

¹⁷² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ernst_Chladni

¹⁷³ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rYrdiQckGhw&ab_channel=GoExperimental

¹⁷⁴ <https://www.sciencefriday.com/articles/seeing-the-patterns-in-sound/>

wanting to secure the work program that was fixed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in order to make people acquiesce in the deposition of their own ego. Simply put the awareness that people are developing could be making them want to protect their traditions and values. This also means that the awareness and knowledge that the UN system want all people to develop could not be helping mankind to strengthen the single culture that the founding fathers of the UN counselled us to obey to avoid wars and ensure lasting peace, but rather to cultivate multiple culture. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because if the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is provoking people to cultivate multiple culture, this means that the awareness and knowledge that the efforts of the UN system are prompting since 1945 are not aiding people (societies) to build the just and peaceful world that the founding fathers of the UN counselled us to erect to prevent wars, but rather to figure out which nation could use the awareness and knowledge of its populace to resize the traditions and values of a neighboring society (state) faster.

“Eccentricity is an unusual or odd behavior on the part of an individual. This behavior would typically be perceived as unusual or unnecessary, without being demonstrably maladaptive.”¹⁷⁵ Indeed, the unconventional way or odd approach that the families/dynasties who won WW2 want the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to adopt to attain the goals set forth in the preamble of the UN Charter are strange. In other words, they contrast with the nearly universal approach by which our predecessors solved their social and economic problems till 1945. Simply put, no society tried to solve its social and economic problem by preaching idiosyncratic approaches such as the one the UN system is adopting to see that outcomes be shared on equal rights including fixing date to end poverty, injustice, etc. when the only constant in life is change as Heraclitus suggested.

I have no way of knowing if the constant changes in culture and technology that mankind knows is what led the families/dynasties who won WW2 to suppose that there are parameters beside the ones that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to obey to solidify their power and help people (societies) arrive to cooperate based on the

¹⁷⁵ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eccentricity_\(behavior\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eccentricity_(behavior))

principles of equal rights to ensure lasting peace, thus they began to perceive that if they do not start to think out of the box they would not be able transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them.

John Stuart Mill (1806 – 1873), English philosopher and one of the most influential thinkers in the history of classical liberalism, who contributed widely to social theory, political theory and political economy,¹⁷⁶ wrote that "the amount of eccentricity in a society has generally been proportional to the amount of genius, mental vigour, and moral courage which it contained," and mourned a lack of eccentricity as "the chief danger of the time."¹⁷⁷ In the next chapter, I will continue to investigate if the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to deviate away from the work program the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to remain faithful to its principles to continue to solidify their power in favor of tasking the intergovernmental organization named United Nations with teaching people why they should be devoted to securing that outcomes are shared on equal rights is the "chief danger of the time," or if it is helping to strengthen fraternity, democracy, justice, etc..

¹⁷⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Stuart_Mill

¹⁷⁷ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eccentricity_\(behavior\)#Depictions](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eccentricity_(behavior)#Depictions)