

The Cost of Silence

**Are the leading families in the Arab
World dismantling their power by
remaining neutral on the Israel-Gaza
War?**

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2023 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloo S., The Cost of Silence, 2023

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
The Cost of Silence

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment
www.centermpa.com

In memory of Muhammad and Saadiyah Shaban

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Definitions

PREFACE

The Cost of Silence

1. Problem	23
2. Analysis	42

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, his supervisors told him that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national which also

included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave cost him his right to any claim! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations wants people to stop being tolerant to have any rights and wants them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes can have his or her case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

In the year 2022, the corruption ring of those UN managers who were using the UN as a cover to do their evil work in the name of truth and good and who ganged on this UN staff member because he refused to trade his self-interests for the values included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was exposed and

dismantled. Instead of UN member states requesting that those UN managers be imprisoned with the UN Judges who were protecting them, they were fine with the UN ending the contract of those UN managers while keeping all their wealth, health insurance and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member so he could be remunerated for all the pain that they put this UN staff member through because he refused to take part in their wicked plots, the UN member states believe that if they let this intergovernmental organization keep this case in the garbage basket of history, the United Nations would be preserving their power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personalities at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the

Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, and in this way avoiding that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form as the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision-making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the

rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between, nations including failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and are preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century / early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior in the aftermath of World War II in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion's share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or put into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or analyzed were solely used because I felt they contained ideas that could aid me in comprehending better if the decision of the victors of World War II (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scriptures counseled is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

Nations that won WW2: This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2 and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2nd millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The global policeman of the world role that the United States started playing in 1945 is regarded in this work as a functional role that was delegated to this country by the families/dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

Banks, large financial institutions, or Corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties that won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states in the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized

nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

United States: All references to the word “United States ” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If the American culture is endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to avoid losing the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

PREFACE

Law and order is a knife (dagger) with two edges. It is a means toward an end. Law and order can dismantle the power, wealth, and status of the societies the fittest wants that their people stay eating from his provision, or the fittest can use law and order as a weapon to grant him the right to use force to dismantle the power, wealth, and status of the societies whose people refuse to surrender freedom voluntarily to the political order he legitimizes. As of 1945, the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) are the fittest on earth. In other words, the victors of WW2 are the puppeteers of law and order.

At the end of WW2, the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) partitioned the Middle East and North Africa into mutually exclusive territories and political structures and appointed Muslim families/dynasties to command and control over them.

This book will try to show that the decision of the Muslim families/dynasties that are administering on behalf of the victors of WW2, the societies that populate the Middle East and North Africa, to adopt neutrality in the face of the barbarity and colossal disregard for human values that the Israeli government is employing during the ongoing Israeli-Hamas war against Palestinian civilians was wrong. The book will try to show that this neutrality leaves no choice ahead of the victors of WW2 but to accelerate the overthrow of the power, wealth, and status of the actual Muslim families/dynasties that control Muslim nations in the Middle East and North Africa through the aggression of grass-root movements such as ISIS, Hamas, Hezbollah, Muslim Brotherhood, etc. to preserve their own dominant role, especially after the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations became a den of thieves and its arrogance surpassed the evil committed during the colonial past.

1

Problem

In biology, taxonomic rank is “the relative level of a group of organisms (a taxon) in an ancestral or hereditary hierarchy.” A taxon is “a group of one or more populations of an organism or organisms seen by taxonomists to form a unit.” In other words, the taxonomic rank is the arrangement in which an organism is named, defined, or classified based on shared characteristics. For example, in biological taxonomy, the word “kingdom” was, until 1735, the highest taxonomic rank of a group of organisms in an ancestral or hereditary hierarchy. Scientists have added two ranks above “kingdom” since 1735. Those two ranks are domain (which refers to a superkingdom or empire) and life.

In political science, political scientists use similar taxonomic arrangements to rank, name, define, and classify people in a given society based on shared characteristics such as mate, wealth, power, and status. For example, in political jargon (i.e., taxonomy arrangement), a “kingdom” is a form of government in which a person, the monarch, is the highest rank or the head of state for life or until abdication.

In many historical societies, the person who has the highest position in the kingdom is the king (or queen) and people normally accept his or her role as that of a high priest and judge. In antiquity, people believed that kingships were handed down by God for the good of mankind. For example, in the Bible, it is suggested that during the exodus of the Israelites from Egypt, Moses was acting as the high priest and judge. In fact, to this day, many people believe that Moses was endowed by God with wisdom and justice.

No one knows if Moses was doing his job efficiently and peacefully, including keeping the people content and under control. The Bible states that Moses's father-in-law perceived that Moses was wearing himself out sitting to serve as judge for the people from morning till evening, and he suggested to him that he appoint representatives (judges and officials) to help him judge between people fairly. [Exodus 18:13-23]

The Bible suggests that Moses's father-in-law suggested to Moses to appoint capable men from all the people - men who fear God, are trustworthy, and hate dishonest gain (i.e., men who have the grit to stand the strain) - so that the people who go to them with disputes will go home satisfied afterwards because they would have judged fairly.

Strangely, and based on what is depicted in the Bible, right after Moses listened to what his father-in-law suggested and appointed men to serve as judges for the people, the covenant relationship that he (Moses) had established with his dependents turned upside down. The disorder or confusion that ensued did not only completely change their current way of life, including overturning their understanding of each other and of how their relationship with one another should stay ordered, but according to the Bible, God had to interfere in order to make them see eye to eye.

Regretfully, the human condition under the rule of all the kings who adopted this suggestion that Moses's father-in-law made to Moses to not wear himself out has been sour to this day. In other words, all the kings (chiefs, presidents, rulers, etc.) that the world has known failed to select capable men from all the people—men who fear God, trustworthy men who hate dishonest gain - to decide between parties, and when this was the case, they failed to teach those judges and officials to judge based on the decrees and instructions of God (or constitutions) and not the decrees and instructions of their perceptions.

For example, the concept of the modern-day kingdom (or government) appears to consist of the same set up that Moses's father-in-law suggested to Moses in antiquity to use to avoid that his job of serving as judge for the people does not wear him out. The only difference between the two is that in the case of Moses and his critical thinkers

and servants (chiefs, judges, law enforcement officers, military officers, and human development experts), those ancestors managed to triumph over their will and reach the promised land, which was the end of their journey. However, in the case of modern-day kings, presidents, chiefs, judges, law enforcement officers, military officers, and human development experts who have been leading people on the journey to secure the UN goals since 1945, they have been using their roles to humiliate, condemn, mistreat, abuse, and steal each other's land, wealth, power, status, mate, water, food, etc. instead of judging between parties justly so people could go home satisfied with them. To make matters worse, the kings, presidents, chiefs, judges, law enforcement officers, military officers, and human development experts who have been leading people on the journey to secure the UN goals since 1945, are teaching people their own habits (i.e., to relate to each other in the same animalistic way they use to create ordered relations).

It is important that readers keep in mind that the only reason why people (the weak) bring their cases to the fittest (kings, presidents, rulers, officials, priests, judges, etc.) and do not settle their disputes and business between themselves is because the fittest pledge to be trustworthy and an individual who will not accept dishonest gain in the exercise of his duties. In other words, the fittest pledge to the weak (the people) is that when the weak voluntarily surrender part of their freedom to him, he will protect, in return, their remaining rights.

It is also very important that readers keep in mind that if the weak (the common people) refuse to voluntarily surrender freedom to the fittest (kings, presidents, rulers, officials, priests, judges, etc.), the fittest uses the instruments of this apparatus that we call the kingdom (government) to make people (the weak) involuntarily surrender freedom to him. However, because the fittest (the officials, priests, judges, etc.) who want others to come to them to resolve their problems refuse to admit that this apparatus that we call kingdom (government) is like a mechanical bull, it throws off any person who refuses to observe the principles that Moses father-in-law suggested when listening and deciding people's cases, the individuals (kings, presidents, the officials, priests, judges, etc.) who tried to listen to

people's grievances and abused their role were thrown off in the course of time and things.

For example, as of 1945, the people (the weak) who are bringing their disputes or business to the individuals (kings, presidents, officials, priests, judges, etc.) who want to decide on them, just as people were doing in Moses's days, refuse to admit that this apparatus that we call kingdom (government) is like a mechanical bull, it throws off any person who refuses to observe the principles that Moses father-in-law suggested when listening and deciding people's cases. In other words, acts in accordance with "God's decrees and instructions." [Exodus 18:16] The decision of the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) to refuse to admit that this apparatus that we call kingdom (government) is like a mechanical bull; it throws off any person who refuses to observe the principles that Moses father-in-law suggested when listening and deciding people's cases has kept the world dynamic since 1945. In addition, because the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants are not using their godly given role as of 1945 to aid mankind in progress toward the UN goals suggested in the UN Charter, as the judges and officials that Moses appointed were doing in antiquity to achieve similar goals but are using their role to avoid that the command and control of this legal entity that we call kingdom (or government) switches hands and new people start to control it, mankind could be doomed if the victors of WW2 continue to refuse to play their godly given role. This is because this legal entity that we call a kingdom (or government) is a violence-wielding apparatus that gives the weak (the species that are naturally weak) power to become fit (the species that are naturally strong). And since there are more people who are naturally weak than naturally fit, this means that when a greater number of weak than fit people get in control and use the apparatuses of this legal entity that we call the kingdom (or government) to direct its violence at everyone else, as the case has been since 1945, this then means that the bottleneck between people must be running deep. And hence, the people who are battling to stay in power are not depending on their natural dexterity and knives, swords, etc. to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action of their own, as was done in Asia till the end of the 19th century, but only on intrigue and on guns, explosives, etc., then the

more the victors of WW2 refuse to play their godly given role and allow their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions) to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the more the world would be spiraling toward the “great war”.

I have no way of knowing if a great war (a conflict of truth) would erupt between people or not in the future if the victors of WW2 continue to refuse to play their godly role. What I do know is that if the victors of WW2 continue to refuse to observe the concepts that Moses’s father-in-law suggested to Moses to not wear him out, it would not be possible for them (the victors of WW2) to maintain a stable social order. This also means that the victors of WW2 would not be capable of making people form groups on the basis of similarities in appearance, organic structure, and behavior. Instead, people would continue to create ranks and hierarchy, as they have been doing since 1945, based on adopting the same methodologies that biological organisms use in biological taxonomy.

Two thousand years ago, Jesus Christ, who many people believe to be the incarnation of God the Son, warned, in all his teachings, against living under manmade kingdoms (i.e., the kingdoms that other biological organisms depend on to establish hierarchy). Jesus Christ appealed to people to prioritize the concept of the kingdom that Moses’s father-in-law suggested to end conflicts and wars, but unfortunately, his appeal continues to be disregarded to this day. In other words, people are preferring to continue to depend on the notion of the survival of the fittest to make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest first instead of emphasizing the importance of living under the kingdom of God, which is what Jesus suggested would happen when people observe the principles Moses’s father-in-law suggested.

In the book of Luke, Jesus said, “For your heart will always be where your riches are.” [Luke 12:1] It is not possible to lay down anxiety or expect that people will start to trust each other when civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, etc. are granted wide powers and great prestige and their hearts melt for power, fame, and wealth instead of how to

effectively fulfill their responsibilities fairly. Accordingly, instead of people building relations based on the principles suggested in biblical scriptures, constitutions, etc., so newborns (new people) can live peacefully with one another, newborns (new people) spend their lifetime legitimizing how legal and natural rights should be administered and distributed to address the constant refusal of the fittest (rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings) to use law and order to serve dishonest gains.

Indeed, the decision of the fittest (rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, and kings) to let their riches center on how to accumulate wealth, power, and status instead of how to redress wrongs has prevented newborns (new people) from letting their riches center on how to practice tolerance and how to live together in peace with one another as good neighbours throughout history. This strategy has thrown mankind into total confusion by the struggle for power, especially as of 1945, which is the date when World War Two (WW2) was regarded as having ended. This is because it is not the fittest (rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings) who are letting their riches center on how to use evil practices and wrong procedures to increase their access to food, clothes, mates, etc. and to deny it to others as of 1945, but every member of the human family is also doing it. In other words, had the existing people or the fittest (rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings) accepted to practice moderation in all things, including dealing fairly with newborns (new people), so this weaker group stays pursuing the middle course (i.e., let the notions that appear in all Abrahamic religions on the kingdom of God, Confucianism, Buddhism, etc. be what this group is valuing the most in their hearts), world history could have been written differently as of 1945. If the existing people (the fittest) had practiced moderation and dealt fairly with newborns (new people), this would have kept the field clear for them (i.e., the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings) to settle their inherent animalistic convictions between themselves in private and away from everyone's sight. However, the decision of existing people or the fittest (rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings) to let the financialization of the world instead of moderation structure people relations, to let new people (the weaker group) join in the fight to freeze social space and accumulate material things on a cumulative basis and go to death to determine who

could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest first, has turned peoples relations into total confusion and disorder so far.

The preoccupation of people (kings and chiefs mainly) with how to amass riches instead of how to amass clarity and wisdom, as Moses and his successors such as Confucius, Buddha, Jesus, Mohammad, and many others were doing and suggesting to kings and chiefs to do, has blinded their eyes and made them incapable of practicing moderation in all things (i.e., pursuing the middle course in affairs). Most importantly, the blindness or arrogance of the fittest (i.e., the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, presidents) made them perceive that human beings are wiser than the rest of the living organisms (people or animals) with whom this group in question shares the earth. In other words, the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, presidents (the fittest) believe that the thought that they are capable of formulating and translating into results is not something that other people or other living organisms, such as animals, are capable of also expressing or doing. That is to say that their potential is not unique, and even if it were, it was not them who built it.

Surely, the unpreparedness of kings and chiefs, mainly to recognize that they are better off amassing clarity and wisdom instead of being devoted to amassing power or wealth when they are in power or to recognize that “competition occurs in nature between living organisms that co-exist in the same environment,” has been making all those who believe that they are mightier than the kings and chiefs that preceded them learn the hard way.

In other words, the people (i.e., the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, presidents) who thought that they could daze others into believing things that are not true in their ongoing search for better ways to deny them food, water, mate, social space, material things, etc., including to transfer their wealth, power, and status to designated individuals, have later discovered that they are not the only ones who know how to use deceit or force to get their way despite the resistance of others. That is also to say, instead of the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, presidents (the fittest) favoring practicing moderation in all things to avoid that the common people (the weak) do not withdraw their obligation to obey and natural and legal rights become a concept

that would be legitimized in the same way this process was done in antiquity or is done in the animal kingdom, the fittest believe that their knowledge and understanding of all kinds of literature and learning make the lowliest people incapable of stripping their power, wealth, and status from them.

It is important that readers keep in mind that in antiquity, the wealth, power, and status of the fittest were transferred to the fittest and not to the heirs of the fittest or to designated individuals. Similarly, in the animal kingdom, when a dominant animal dies, the fittest animal presides. Accordingly, every person has an interest in keeping law and order strong because this is the instrument that allows every individual to preserve the wealth, power, and status that he has accumulated, including guaranteeing that its transfer occurs according to his will.

Indeed, the decision of the fittest (kings and chiefs mainly) to be against controlling reproduction makes it impossible to avoid that people stay competing fiercely for water, food, mate, power, and wealth if in the system (the world) everyone is busy freezing social space and accumulating material things on a cumulative basis, including ensuring that his assets get transferred to designated individuals before his death or after. This is because it is not possible to make people practice tolerance and observe law and order (standards and norms) in a finite system if everyone is freezing social space and accumulating material things on a cumulative basis at the same time. Most importantly, if everyone is at the same time using the resources that everyone wants to accumulate and freeze, not necessarily out of a need to use them to sustain their own survival and earthly desires, but to make the weakest consider their opinion before taking action on their own.

Certainly, the solutions that the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, presidents (fittest) adopt to keep their dominant role or free themselves from this challenge of having constantly to kill people or to incarcerate new ones to prevent them from rivaling them for their wealth, power and status has not been as effective to maintain their strength and stability as the methods other species in the animal kingdom or in biological processes are successfully using. For example, in the animal kingdom or in biological processes the fittest or

the weak do not legitimize and enforce laws (standards and norms) but protect their territory by directly devouring intruders. This solution spares the fittest or the weak in the animal kingdom to constantly be devoted to coming up with codified rules to keep their dominant role, and makes every animal know based on his size his limit. Most importantly, this solution that other species in the animal kingdom or in biological processes use has been successful at creating ordered relations, which is what the fittest (the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, presidents) want be done to help them to keep their dominant role.

Indeed, the decision of the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, presidents (the fittest) to rejuvenate their power through the use of codified rules or force (through killing) but without observing the standards and norms that they are legitimizing has not closed the doors on corruption, confusion, and discord, or stopped betrayal, deceit, wickedness, from hindering fairness but kept it wide open. This practice prevented the fittest (the rich, civil officials, judges, rulers, kings, presidents) from preserving any form of ordered relations and led people to become divided into two groups to rejuvenate their power, wealth, and status. One group relies on competitive behavior to increase happiness and denies others access to water, food, mate, power, and wealth in the system (the world), and another relies on anti-competitive behavior. Evidently, this competitive and anti-competitive practice makes it impossible to create ordered relations because all properties can exist in two ways: ordered or equal. For properties to be ordered, people need to accept that some people, for example, are privileged or served sooner than others, and all cannot be served at the same time. When people refuse that some people, for example, are privileged or served sooner than others, then people can only exist in an equal state. It is possible to make a fly and a needle pass through a key hole (i.e., partial equal), but it is not possible to make a fly and an elephant do the same act. That is to say, the decision of people to be divided into a group whose members want to increase their happiness competitively and another who is doing it by adopting anti-competitive methods to achieve the same goal (increase happiness and deny others water, food, mate, power, and wealth) makes it impossible to create ordered relations because the relation that people are looking to build is not ordered but equal. This is impossible to

build naturally because good and evil do not behave like magnets whereby two sides with opposite sides can attract each other but repel each other. Accordingly, the challenge with the way people want to be organized makes it impossible that people do not transfer this erroneous practice to their heirs, which is something that animals do not do. For example, hyenas do not take their newborns to lions, tigers, or buffalo to raise them, create an ordered relationship with them, or establish balance with them; they raise them themselves and teach them the predators they can attack and the ones they need to stay away from. The unpreparedness of humans to adopt the same strategy that animals adopt makes newborns (new people) under every generation use competitive and anti-competitive behavior to limit, restrict, or gain an unfair advantage as they compete for wealth, power, water, food, and mates.

For example, before the Quran was revealed, there were among the people who inhabited the Arabian Peninsula lots of infidels, traitors, arrogant, rebellious, and hypocritical individuals who were making it impossible to make progress on the conceptual ideas that people fix to reduce unfair, unethical, and harmful competition. In fact, the Islamic prophet Muhammad warned Muslims in the Quran about trusting such behaviors that people trickle from one generation to their children. [Surah At-Tawbah 9:97].

I have no way of knowing if the descendants of those families/dynasties that the Islamic prophet Muhammad warned in the Quran about trusting them continued to follow in the footsteps of their fathers or forebears or not. What I do know is that the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) found that a vast amount of oil deposits were located in the Middle East at the start of the 20th century. Consequently, the race between the old powers to determine who could control this energy resource led them and their allies to be divided into camps in order to let the one who was the fittest (mightier) make the weakest consider his opinion before taking action on his own.

To defeat the Ottoman Empire, which was controlling the Middle East and, in this way, commanding and controlling the vast oil reserve, the old powers employed a tactical strategy that hardly fails to achieve

desired goals. This strategy is a bullet that barely misses its target. The old powers employed the same tactics that Judas Iscariot used to betray Jesus and hand him to the chief priests. The old powers did not promise the different Arab societies to give them thirty pieces of silver for betraying their masters (the Ottoman Empire) but promised to help them establish their own absolute kingdoms – and lots of them.

It is important that readers keep in mind that at the start of the 20th century, the degree of infidelity, hypocrisy, arrogance, betrayal, disregard, corruption, etc. that the old powers were depending on to preserve their power, wealth, and status was not comparable to the level that the people who inhabited the Arabian Peninsula were manifesting. The people who were living in the Arabian Peninsula were not educated and were relying on camels as a mode of transportation and living in tents. In other words, the people who were living in the Arabian Peninsula did not have an intellectual atmosphere, educational institutes, or a city life as existed in the western European cities at the start of the 20th century. This means that the manner of living and the means of livelihood between the people who lived in western Europe and the people who lived in the Middle East were not comparable. The knowledge of the people who were living in the Arabian Peninsula was significantly inferior in comparison to the societies that were living in the different western European monarchs and republics. This also means that the superficiality in the thinking of the societies that were inhabiting the Arabian Peninsula at the start of the 20th century makes the degree of their deception, wickedness, and mockery at the level of the maturity of their awareness or conscience.

In fact, to give an example of the gap in bad faith (deception, wickedness, mockery, hypocrisy, and infidelity) that the western European monarchs and republics were manifesting at the start of the 20th century and the bad faith of the people (societies) who were living in the Arabian Peninsula at that same period, it is important to recall that the British Empire and the rest of the western European monarchs, empires, and republics had grown and transformed into constitutional monarchies by the start of the 20th century, yet they were mounting (installing) absolute kingdoms in the Middle East. In other words, the people who live in the Middle East today cannot free

themselves from the political authoritarianism that the western European monarchs, empires, and republics placed them under (tied on their neck) unless they relive the same insecurity that the western European monarchs and republics passed through so citizens can have certain freedom.

“You reap what you sow is a proverb that says future consequences are inevitably shaped by present actions.”

The societies that the old powers granted independence in the Middle East and North Africa as a token for their readiness to betray the Ottoman Empire, including even appointing some to be their gas station attendants in the countries that oil was found in them (i.e., the GCC countries), managed to put “The Parable of the Bags of Gold” that Jesus narrated regarding work or talent to the test. [Matthew 25:14-30]

That is to say, the societies that the old powers granted right to form absolute kingdoms and republics, and the families/dynasties that were ordained kings and chiefs among them, were not competing in the aftermath of WW2 to determine who would be the first to uphold the values enshrined in the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), the Quran, the Bible, etc., but were competing to determine who could be the first infidel, traitor, arrogant, rebellious, and hypocrite to the values enshrined in those aforementioned documents or religious textbooks, just as their masters (the victors of WW2) were doing.

In the Epistle to the Galatians, Paul the Apostle suggests to the early Christian communities in Galatia not to be weary about doing good, for at the proper time a man has to reap a harvest. He also suggests that “whoever sows to please their flesh, from the flesh will reap destruction.” [Galatians 6:8]

If the heirs of the old powers (i.e., the victors of WW2) did not use the last seventy-five years to teach the kings and presidents they ordained over the different sovereign nations (kingdoms and republics) they created in the Middle East and North Africa to observe the values enshrined in the UN Charter, the UDHR, the Quran, the Bible, etc.,

and used their (the victors of WW2) God-given role to please their flesh instead, then there is a good chance that they could reap destruction from the kings and presidents they appointed to rule on their behalf in the Arabian Peninsula and North Africa if the warning that Paul the Apostle suggested to Galatians could happen. In addition, because those kings and presidents that the old powers appointed to rule on their behalf in the Arabian Peninsula and North Africa (i.e., this entire manmade belt of Muslim countries that extends from Iran through the Arabian Peninsula and North Africa) did not uphold the values enshrined in the UN Charter, the UDHR, the Quran, the Bible, etc., we cannot say that they matured and began to behave contrary to what their fathers or forebears were behaving like in antiquity, and the Islamic prophet Muhammad cautioned Muslims and others to be very careful when they establish relations with them.

In the gospel of Luke, it is suggested that Jesus told a crowd, “No pupil is greater than his teacher; but every pupil, when he has completed his training, will be like his teacher.” [Luke 6:40] Surely, the kings and presidents that the old powers appointed to rule on their behalf in the Middle East and North Africa while granting them right to regard themselves like them exempt from observing the principles suggested in the UN Charter, the UDHR, the Quran, the Bible, etc. did not only prove that what Jesus suggested in the Gospel of Luke about how a student could be like his teacher, but their students (the kings and presidents that are ruling in the Middle East and North Africa) are demonstrating that they are far smarter than their teachers (the heirs of the old power) on all fronts. Be it in infidelity, betrayal, insubordination, disregard, etc., or wealth accumulation, the kings and presidents that are ruling in the Middle East and North Africa confirmed, oddly, another one of Jesus’s parables, i.e., The Parable of the Workers in the Vineyard. In the Parable of the Workers in the Vineyard, Jesus suggests that “the last will be first, and the first will be last.” [Matthew 20:16]

For example, in the middle of the 20th century and in the Middle East specifically, Egypt, Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, and Palestine were first, and the UAE, Oman, Kuwait, Bahrain, Qatar, Jordan, and Saudi Arabia were last, but now the wheel has turned. Most importantly, in the middle of the 20th century, the societies that lived in Saudi Arabia, the

UAE, Oman, Kuwait, Qatar, etc. were living in mud houses and relying on camels as a mode of transportation, while people in Europe, the United States, and Japan were living in skyscrapers and traveling in luxury cars. Today, Saudi Arabia, the UAE, Oman, Kuwait, Qatar, etc. are among the top futuristic cities around the world, and the western European monarchs and republics, the United States, Japan, and others, need decades to catch up.

Indeed, the constant wars that have swept the Middle East and North Africa as of 1945 are mainly due to the Muslim kings and presidents that the old powers appointed to rule on their behalf in those new sovereign nations focusing on how to let wealth and property stand between them and their dependent people instead of focusing on behaving in accordance with the UN Charter, the UDHR, or Surah Al-Hujurat in the Quran, which teaches the Muslims the manners worthy of true believers. Likewise, the refusal of the heirs of the old powers (i.e. the victors of WW2) to guard themselves from every kind of greed led them to continue to build the same kingdom that their fathers were building before WW2 erupted instead of building the kingdom that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to build no matter how rich they may get to keep their dominant role and ensure lasting peace.

Therefore, since the heirs of the old powers (i.e. the victors of WW2) and their servants in the Middle East and North Africa (i.e., the Kings and presidents) stayed unprepared to understand the importance of observing the principles suggested in the UN Charter, the UDHR, constitutions, or religious scriptures in the aftermath of WW2, so the present time would not have stayed laden with insecurity as existed before WW2 erupted, we can say that the old powers had to have known that they could not trust those kings and presidents that they appointed to be watchful servants in the Middle East and North Africa at the start of the 20th century. The old powers knew that those Muslim kings and presidents would ultimately rival them, and may leave them in a worse state after they opened the door for them to wealth and knowledge.

In the parable of the weeds that Jesus narrated to his disciples in private, he explained the shrewdness of people when handling their

affairs. The parable of the weeds illustrates the account of a sower who “has sown good seed in his field for a healthy wheat harvest. But in the dark of night, an enemy came and sowed weeds among the wheat.”

Indeed, the decision of the old powers (the western European monarchs and republics) to uproot the Palestinians from their land when WW2 was regarded as having ended in order to plant a weed (a Jewish home) in the heart (midst) of the field or territory that the Arab nations (Muslim nations) have asserted authority over since the middle of the first millennium exemplifies an allegorical connection with the moral story that Jesus illustrated in the parable of the weeds.

People are divided over the reason that led the victors of WW2 (i.e., the old powers) to be in favor of uprooting the Palestinians from their land to plant a weed (install a Jewish home) in the midst of the territory that the Arab nations (Muslim societies) assert authority over instead of letting the Jews integrate into the full life of the Palestinian political order of this state, so both form a single democratic state with equal rights. Most importantly, people do not know why the victors of WW2 (i.e., the old powers) refused to partition some territory from nations that they created in the Middle East and gave it to the Palestinians to form an independent state as per their wish and what the international rule-based postwar world order dictates.

I do subscribe to the school that believes that there are two forces that are commanding and controlling the world. One is the victors of WW2 (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) with all their critical thinkers and servants (i.e., governments and international institutions). The second is the “hand of God.”

I do not know what each force has achieved so far. However, it appears as if the victors of WW2 disobeyed God and uprooted the Palestinians to plant a weed (i.e., to install a Jewish state) in the Middle East because they conjectured that such action would help them to make friends for themselves with worldly wealth. It was not suggested in religious books anywhere that God transgressed against people and displaced them from their homes for no reason or without compensating them. I also believe that the purpose of this weed (the Jewish home) as far as God was concerned was to determine if the

families/dynasties that control the Jews have changed and begun to appoint among themselves judges and officers who are trustworthy men who hate dishonest gain, as the father-in-law of Moses suggested, or if they are still appointing corrupt judges and officers. Likewise, I believe that the purpose of the weed as far as God was concerned was to permit the Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW2 appointed to administer the different nations they created in both the Middle East and North Africa to learn from the Jewish people about fidelity and unity after having endured centuries of abuse at the hands of the Ottoman Empire.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the purpose of this paper is not to investigate the reasons that motivated the victors of WW2 (the fittest) to uproot and displace the Palestinians (the weak) from their land (i.e., render them homeless) in the aftermath of WW to give it to the Jewish diaspora to form a Jewish home in Palestine (called Israel by the international community as of 1948). Likewise, the purpose of this paper is not to investigate if the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel are appointing judges and officers who are trustworthy men who hate dishonest gain, as the father-in-law of Moses suggested, or if they are appointing corrupt judges and officers.

The past seventy-five years are a snap shot of how peace and security changed in the Middle East and North Africa, thus enabling every person to interpret on his own if the victors of WW2 (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) would have been better off fulfilling their godly role and had the Palestinians and the Jews integrate into the full life of the political order of this state (i.e., form a single democratic state with equal rights) or if the events that transpired are contributing to the collapse of the international rule-based postwar world order, which the victors of WW2 legitimized and started to depend on to rejuvenate their power after they dismantled their empires.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the UN Charter grants people right to make territorial adjustments in accordance with the wishes of the people concerned. In other words, if the Jews who were living in Palestine in the aftermath of WW2 wanted to be independent and form their own nation, this right was something that they were

eligible for as of 1945, and so was everyone else. That is to say, any group of people are eligible to form their own sovereign nation if they are capable of carrying out the obligations contained in the UN Charter and the international community accepts their sovereignty (i.e., their political referendum). In this context, the Israelis or the Palestinians cannot be blamed for their failure to end their ongoing conflict through negotiation and arbitration. The principal culprit for the inability of the Israelis and the Palestinians to settle their disputes through negotiation and arbitration is the victors of WW2 for refusing to fulfill their godly-given role.

It looks as if the victors of WW2 do not want that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict ended by design and not necessarily because they (the victors of WW2) do not have influence over the Palestinians and the Jews to let them form a single democratic state with equal rights, or over the Israeli and other neighboring states so each gives land so the Palestinians form an independent state, or compensate the Palestinians for the land they lost as per what the international rule-based postwar world order dictates since they (the victors of WW2) do not want them to stay in Palestine (Israel as of 1948).

Throughout history, the fittest relied on plots and on their personal power and conscience instead of the laws they legitimized, and the victors of WW2 are not behaving any differently as of 1945. In other words, the victors of WW2 believe that they can make people want to stay with them and to grant them more powers than others, despite their constant unpreparedness to discharge their duties correctly and according to God's command or the command of the founding fathers of the UN (their fathers).

In this context, since for as long as the victors of WW2 refuse to discharge their duties in accordance with the principles suggested in the UN Charter, the UDHR, or according to God's commands, it would not be possible for them to stop relying on wrong practices to rejuvenate their power, then we cannot say that the victors of WW2 would be stopping to listen to their own voice any time soon. In addition, we can also say that the longer the victors of WW2 listen to their own voice and prevent people from exercising their right to cooperate in accordance with the work program suggested in the UN

Charter and the UDHR, the more the evil practices and wrong procedures that they would be endorsing and allowing their critical thinkers and servants to practice would repel people away from them and threaten their power, wealth, and status. Furthermore, the more confusion and discord make the victors of WW2 incapable of distinguishing between who might be their friends and who might be their foes, the harder it would be for them to know the right conduct and the path of good government. Additionally, when the victors of WW2 stop to properly know the right conduct and the path of good government, they will also be aware that nobody can be trusted to be either loyal or honest, then they will have no choice but to change the executives (kings, presidents, chiefs, judges, etc.) they rely on to rejuvenate their power to stop them from gaining power or overstepping their bounds and backstabbing them.

The purpose of this paper is to understand if the decision of the Arab leaders to convert themselves into spectators following the barbaric collective punishment (mass murder and genocide) that the Israeli government carried out in Gaza against Palestinian civilians subsequent to the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks is something that the victors of WW2 are admiring or if this cold-blooded attitude that those Arab leaders have demonstrated is scaring them (the victors of WW2) and making them incapable of trusting them or relying on them anymore.

To put it differently, Muslims are bound by a very basic principle that prohibits witnessing a grave injustice against another Muslim and not opposing the tyranny, either by speaking up bluntly against the injustice (cruelties committed) or joining in the fight if necessary. Likewise, the victors of WW2 know that the Muslim families/dynasties that they appointed to be their local policemen in the Middle East could be holding lots of grudges in their hearts against the bias and blind support that they (the victors of WW2) are demonstrating toward the state of Israel, including how they could be plotting to backstab them in due time.

In this work, I want to understand if this spectator role (attitude) that the Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW2 appointed to be their local policemen in the Middle East are exhibiting in the face of

the cruelties and atrocities that the Israeli military is making the Palestinian civilians in Gaza in full sight and consent of the victors of WW2 endure is something that makes the victors of WW2 want to keep retaining them in their service, or if this arrogant and hypocrite attitude that those Muslim families/dynasties demonstrated scared the victors of WW2 and left them with no other choice but to replace them.

2

Analysis

People refuse to accept that they are not privileged with any status above and beyond what the rest of the living organisms with whom they co-share the earth were also granted. In addition, to avoid that people let their relations be based on total anarchy, or as the expression that has come to describe a scenario where anything goes, i.e., as happens in the jungle, those who are strong (the fittest) legitimize and enforce laws (standards and norms) that they hope that the weak or disobedient would observe to avoid that they unleash their ruthless animalistic behavior (i.e., force) on them to make them obey against their will.

Before World War I erupted, the chieftains of the Muslim societies in the Middle East weighed the offer that the western European monarchs and republics made to them to betray the Ottoman Empire and agreed to make the switch to this new master. In other words, the chieftains of the Muslim societies in the Middle East found the offer of the western European monarchs and republics more advantageous to increase their power, wealth, and status if they took this leap and betrayed the Ottomans.

After the western European monarchs and republics, with the support of Muslim societies in the Middle East, defeated the Ottoman Empire, the Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW1 and WW2 ordained kings and presidents and appointed to administer the different nations that were created did not begin to race to determine who could be the first to comply with the principles suggested in the UN Charter, the UDHR, the Quran, or their constitutions, but rather to determine who could be the first to have four wives as the Quran grants Muslims the right to have and who could build a tower that reaches to heaven.

In other words, instead of the Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW2 appointed to administer the different nations in the Middle East and North Africa (following how the weed (i.e., Jews) that the victors of WW2 planted in the middle of their field were living, being united in all matters of political, social, and economic development and reproducing it (i.e., relating like them with their dependent people), they began to be mindful about everything, minus how to make themselves look right in other people's sight.

For example, the rulers that control the state of Israel managed as of 1948 to start from zero until becoming among the nations that are believed (but not verified) to possess nuclear weapons and to have the country with the greatest military power (i.e., the United States) allied with this country and its military. The Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW1 and WW2 ordained kings and presidents in 1945 and appointed to administer the different nations in the Middle East and North Africa (i.e., the Muslim elites) have not yet managed to fabricate an assault weapon that can rival the one in use despite being the wealthiest.

In the Bible, it is stated that Jesus suggested that “no servant can be the slave of two masters” (i.e., God or the power of human beings). I have no way of knowing why the Muslim elites decided to be slaves to power, status, and wealth just as their masters (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics) continued to be doing in the aftermath of WW2, instead of slaves to the UN Charter, the UDHR, the Quran, or their constitutions. What I do know is that the Bible narrates that when our ancestors decided to disregard moral clarity and focus on how to make a name for themselves, God came down and “confused their language so they would not understand each other.” [Genesis 11:7]. This is exactly what happened to the Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW1 and WW2 ordained kings and presidents over the mutually exclusive territories and political structures they created in the Middle East and North Africa in the aftermath of WW2. Those Muslim elites turned themselves and their citizens from being among the best communities ever raised for humanity to becoming scattered in the same way the Jewish people were scattered before the victors of WW2 helped them form a Jewish state in Palestine.

Once again, I have no way of knowing why the Muslim elites refused to encourage good, forbid evil, and chose to become fully insane and unwilling to speak the same language after the victors of WW2 freed them from continuing to live under the domination, exploitation, and subjugation of the Ottoman Empire. In other words, I have no way of knowing why those powerful Muslim families/dynasties refused to do as the Jewish diaspora was doing, speaking the same language despite the miseries that they knew, so they could avoid having others inflict harm on them and on their citizens, thus propelling only the people on their payroll to be ready to defend them. What I do know is that the word division of labor refers to “the way that different tasks are apportioned to different people in a given society.” The society that its rulers (judges and officers) orient its citizens and newborns to specialize in who can pride himself with marrying the maximum number of wives or the society that its rulers orient citizens to pride themselves with managing to build a much bigger house or skyscraper than what their neighbor owns as the Muslim elites were doing in the aftermath of WW2, instead of racing to have their citizens organize relations based on the discipline and instruction suggested in the Quran or the UDHR to create a community similar to the one the Jews managed to build in the aftermath of WW2, or the Rahsidun Caliph after the death of the prophet Muhammad, cannot rise to acquire immense strength or potential.

To give an example, the Dalits (or untouchables) are people who lived in India and, until the first half of the 20th century, belonged to the lowest stratum of the Indian caste-class system (social hierarchy system). In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that controlled India abandoned the caste-class system that existed in this country for 3,000 years and started to let the Dalits struggle for supremacy. Presently, the Dalits (or untouchables) live in skyscrapers, drive cars, and use modern methods to communicate, but this is only the extent of the immense strength or potential they possess in the country. In other words, the Dalits do not control and command India.

The weight of the Muslim elites (the families/dynasties that the victors of WW2 appointed to administer exclusive territories and political structures in the Middle East and North Africa) on the world stage

does not differ sadly from the way the Dalits started living in India as of 1945. Whatever power the Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW2 appointed to administer exclusive territories and political structures in the Middle East and North Africa are acquiring on the world stage is not due to the understanding that they are gaining of themselves but rather to the foolish and ill-advised political and economic policies that the societies that surpass them are committing.

Farmers use weeds to control environments where their absence can negatively impact the overall functioning and biodiversity of the ecosystem. The decision of the Muslim elites to specialize in putting the cart before the horse as of 1945 (i.e., representing unfulfilled potential and disappointment) instead of being a foothold for the victors of WW2 (i.e., representing potential and creation) obliged the victors of WW2 to depend on the weed (the Jewish home) that they planted in the midst of the Arab societies to control the arrogance and hypocrisy of the Muslim families/dynasties that are playing the role of local policemen in those mainly Muslim societies on their behalf.

Accordingly, in the same way farmers intentionally cultivate weeds to control agricultural settings, the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel were capable of living up to their potential and thrived in the invasive environment that the victors of WW2 planted them in, thus managing to successfully command and control the twenty-two mainly Muslim nations in the Middle East and North Africa in ways that are unheard of in the history of this region.

For example, following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack, the government of Israel alleged that Hamas soldiers were using Palestinian civilians as shields and began to mass murder Palestinian men, women, children, and even babies by adopting atrocities and horrendous cruelties. This inhumane behavior was not any different than when parents before the rise of Islam buried their baby girls alive to prevent other tribes from stealing them or maybe their daughters later shaming parents. [Surah At-Takwir 81:8-9]

Yet despite all the cruelties, atrocities, and graphic images of innocent Palestinians (and Israeli) men, women, children, and babies being killed in the most horrific ways by the Israeli soldiers, which violate

the UN Charter, the UDHR, and all the international (humanitarian) treaties, declarations, and laws, none of the Muslim elites found the courage to stand up and to demand that the Israeli government stop its genocide - not necessarily to spare the lives of Palestinians (or Israeli hostages helped by Hamas), but so the truth could prevail.

In fact, the rock-bottom moral courage and chivalry of the Muslim elites were demonstrated at the 2023 Cairo Summit for Peace, which the Egyptian government organized to de-escalate the Israel-Hamas War. At the end of the 2023 Cairo Summit for Peace, the Arab leaders failed to reach a consensus on containing the violence. In other words, no Arab or Muslim leader had the courage to stand up against the foreman (the state of Israel) that their masters (the western European monarchs and republics) appointed to command and control them for fear of being punished. (i.e., being stripped of their power).

Actually, it is very important to command the state of Israel to keep all the Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW2 appointed to administer nations in the Middle East and North Africa on such a short leash.

As a matter of fact, people around the world condemned and protested the tyranny, arrogance, and disregard for human values by the Israeli government following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks, yet no Muslim ruler (king or president) from among the Arab or Muslim nations had the courage to act rightly and to denounce the barbarity, horrendous cruelties, and ensuing genocide by the Israelis. The authority of the Israelis is very commendable and worthy of praise.

Put differently, if a few thousand Israeli politicians, government officials, security officers, military officers, etc. can manage to frighten 22 Muslim leaders (governments) who control over 500 million people so no one would have the courage to slam his hand on a tabletop and threaten Israel of going to war against it if its rulers would not stop the collective killing of innocent Palestinian men, women, children, and babies just as the United States threatened any country around the world of risking going to war against them if they interfere in the Israel-Hamas war and help the Palestinians, then the state of

Israel should be appointed as the global policemen of the world in place of the United States.

At the same time, people were not granted right to predict the future. That is to say, people were not granted natural power to know the consequences of the course of action they take in a given situation at an appropriate time. If people were not granted natural power to discern the consequences of their actions, then we have no way of knowing if the temperance (self-control) that the Muslim elites adopted was wise. Most importantly, we have no way of knowing if the non-objection that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) granted to the Israeli government to kill innocent Palestinian men, women, and children since October 7, 2023, and the non-objection that the victors of WW2 granted to their global policemen (the United States) to threaten and stop any nation that intervened to stop the genocide of Palestinian civilians were not programmed to test the fairness or righteousness of the Muslim elites and their “manliness” also termed balls to confront injustice, intimidation, etc. In other words, test the fortitude of the Muslim elites to stand up for the truth.

In the book *Meditations* by the Roman Emperor Marcus Aurelius, this Roman Emperor suggests that the “goods” that a person should identify with should be mind (prudence, temperance, courage, and justice) as opposed to "wealth or things that conduce to luxury or prestige."

I have no way of knowing what the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) promised the Muslim chieftains that they appointed kings and presidents in the Middle East and North Africa in exchange for betraying the Ottoman Empire. It would be naive to suppose that the old powers proposed to the Muslim chieftains to compensate them with wealth and prestige (i.e., power) for their cowardly action of betraying the Ottoman Empire without expecting them to be servants that demonstrate constant prudence, temperance, courage, and justice. In other words, it would be naive that the old powers (the victors of WW1 and WW2) wanted the Muslim kings and presidents that they appointed in the Middle East and North Africa to be like them, violating the UN Charter, the UDHR, the religious

scriptures, constitutions, etc., because this strategy would accelerate the collapse of the victors of WW2.

In addition, since it would be naive to suppose that the victors of WW2 do not know that people and the international order behave in the same way the sea, saharan sand, quicksands, etc., behave (i.e., switch constantly between calm and rough), it would be irrational to suppose that the victors of WW2 are finding the prudence (silence) that the Muslim elites beneficial to help them count on those Muslim families/dynasties to rejuvenate their power. This is because the victors of WW2 do not rejuvenate their power when their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) do wrong, but when they do right.

To put it differently, the victors of WW2 preserve their power, wealth, and status when people observe the ideas (natural and legal rights) they legitimize, and not when people depend on their personal power and conscience to increase their happiness. That is to say, the victors of WW2 rejuvenated their power by conquering people's minds, not their bodies.

When the people that the victors of WW2 depend on to lead by example (i.e., governments and international institutions) do not observe the ideas that the victors of WW2 depend on to get their ways despite the resistance of others, as the rulers of the Israeli government are doing as of October 07, 2023, or the Muslim kings and presidents that the victors of WW2 appointed over the Arab nations are also doing since the same date (keeping silent and concurring with the genocide that the Israeli is compelling against the Palestinian civilians or blunt violations of the UN Charter), the victors of WW2, cannot be welcoming the behavior of such servants, because this conduct makes people distrust the ideas that they depend on to rejuvenate their powers.

Hence, the victors of WW2 need newborns (new people) to constantly have faith in their determination to reduce fear and want, it is not possible to make new people imbibe that the victors of WW2 are working to secure the UN goals when the individuals who the victors of WW2 need to depend on to lead by example (i.e., governments and

international institutions), so newborns (new people) do not have a reason to distrust because they are not observing the ideas legitimized or standing up for the truth.

In addition, because the victors of WW2 use wealth, power, status, knowledge, etc. as a stratification tool to create social hierarchy, just as in business it is the employees who are not selling or commanding who are let go first, the same tactic should also be how the victors of WW2 rejuvenated their power in the international order. That is to say, if the victors of WW2 have to choose between letting the Israeli government stand down or the families/dynasties that control the rest of the nations in the Middle East and North Africa, common sense dictates that the victors of WW2 keep the Israeli government despite all the atrocities that the leaders of this country are committing. This is because the role that the Muslim elites are playing is expandable. Second, those Muslim elites have been incapable of generating any social revenues (benefits) for the victors of WW2 since 1945, nor can they have a path to change. In fact, the inutility of those Muslim elites is so vast that, despite the Israeli government employing barbaric actions against the Palestinians, no king or president had the courage to act rightly and defend the UN Charter, as I said before.

In this regard, since law and political order are a knife (dagger) with two blades, i.e., a mean towards an end, and the victors of WW2 have no choice to preserve their power, wealth and status except to let law and order be displacing the aggression of the people who are in power through the means of others, this means that if the Muslim elites continue to refuse to practice the political order that the victors of WW2 laid out in the aftermath of WW2 so law and political order dismantle their wealth, power and status (i.e., the principles of equal rights), then the victors of WW2 have no other choice but to rely on force (i.e., the aggression of others) to push those Muslim families/dynasties from power and in this way stop them from threatening their wealth, power and status.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the victors of WW2 can rely on the intergovernmental organization that they created in the aftermath of WW2 and named the United Nations as a means to repel through its instruments the Muslim families/dynasties that control

nations in the Middle East and North Africa, but this global legal council has already failed its primary purpose and converted into a den of thieves after the victors of WW2 granted this organization right to become an economic operator, and its officials refused to observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR.

In fact, to support that the meddling that the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations is effecting in the international order is irreparable and is damaging the maintenance of the strength and stability of the victors of WW2 in a manner that is far worse than the genocide that the Israeli government is perpetrating against Palestinian civilians, or the apathy that the governments that control the Arab or Muslim nations, I will share a case that exemplifies how the officials of the United Nations are rejuvenating the power of the victors of WW2 after those great powers dismantled their empires and began to depend on the wisdom of UN officials (executive, judges, lawyers, administrators, managers, etc.) to keep their dominant role.

In 2013-2015, a UN staff member was working in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) for UNOPS (a UN agency), and when he refused to go along with the decision of his senior managers using the UN bank account as a means to launder money, his senior managers asked him to pick between two choices: the first is to transfer temporarily to Sudan to give them time to investigate and take action, or wait in the DRC until his contract expires to go home permanently. In Sudan, this UN staff member committed the same mistake. He refused to prioritize the business interests of the United Nations (as his senior managers were using this legal entity to achieve) over the collective interests of humanity. He refused to accept the request of a DFID (the British government) official in Sudan to violate the UN financial rules and regulations on a project that Dfid was financing, and he was negotiating with this agency because this action could destabilize the credibility and security of Great Britain and, in particular, the British Crown.

The courage that this former UN staff member demonstrated cost him dearly. When he refused to trade the values enshrined in the UN Charter and the UDHR to stay in his job, his senior managers contrived a plot and accused him of being a threat to the United

Nations, including using their power to end his contract and to trample on his dignity, values, and everything he stands for. Next, the UN senior manager, UN lawyers, and UN judges ganged on him and dismissed the application he filed in the UN courts in 2017 on technical grounds.

It is important that readers note that the UN senior managers who abused their authority and trampled on the career, dignity, and values of this former UN staff member continued on using their power to serve their own propensity at this global council until the year 2022, when they reached the end of the line. In the year 2022, the UN senior managers who were using the United Nations to run their own businesses were finally caught (refer to the 2022 UNOPS scandal, which Mukesh Kapila exposed by visiting www.mukeshkapila.org). The UNOPS scandal permitted that the entire world mock the United Nations and mock the countries that the UN officials who were using this organization to do their business came from.

The UN staff who the United Nations trampled on his livelihood, his dignity, and even the UN Charter, the UDHR, Great Britain, the US, and all the UN member states when this organization cancelled his application in the UN judicial system on technical grounds wrote to the UNOPS new executive director and his senior managers to request that they (the United Nations) reopen and review his case, and the answer he got was that this case is closed.

As the above shows, until the United Nations develops the moral authority or courage that this former UN staff showed and reopens and reviews his case, this organization will be burying its credibility and image (and that of the victors of WW2) in the sand in shame in the same way ostriches appear to be doing when they are digging a hole to serve as nests for their eggs.

That is also to say that since it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to depend on the United Nations (i.e., organizations or UN member states) to repair the international order for as long as this organization is not replaced by a new organization, the victors of WW2 will have no other choice but to engulf the world in a global war in the hope of them walking out victors (keeping their dominant role),

as happened when WW2 was regarded to have ended, or dismantle the power, wealth, and status of the families/dynasties that control regimes and refuse to surrender freedom voluntarily to the political order legitimized through the means of other people or their own citizens.

Accordingly, in the context of this present paper, we can say that for as long as the Muslim elites refuse to use their role to prioritize moral authority over personal business and affairs, those Muslim families/dynasties would be a weight and a detriment to the victors of WW2 instead of a benefit to rejuvenate their power. In other words, if those Muslim families/dynasties do not accept to let law and order (the principles of equal rights) dismantle their power, wealth, and status (i.e., so new families/dynasties administer the government and, in this way, break the continuity of the power of the families/dynasties that are in executive positions and are not observing the UN Charter or the UDHR in those countries), they would be a threat to the power, wealth, and status of the victors of WW2. Most importantly, the longer the victors of WW2 keep on depending on those Muslim families/dynasties to rejuvenate their power, the more they will be living with the dynamism that exists in those Arab or Muslim societies instead of enabling them to secure their aspirations. This is because governance is an institutional structure of political thought that gets built from the bottom up, not from the top down.

In addition, hence, the Muslim elites failed to build with the United Nations the political thought that was enshrined in the UN Charter and the UDHR in the last seventy-five years, and all that those critical thinkers and servants (governments and international organizations) have been devoted to doing is justifying why they are not able to reduce dynamism in the Middle East or North Africa when the changes that are ensuing in the social order are supporting that the two (i.e., cause and effect) are totally not interconnected. Likewise, since the justifications that the United Nations and the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations are always giving to justify the dynamism that the Middle East and North Africa is constantly knowing will not end or help to eliminate the threats or dangers that this region is already knowing for as long as the individuals involved at those legal entities (the UN and Arab/Muslim governments) continue to regard themselves exempt from observing the principles

that they are preaching, then we cannot expect that peace and security in the Middle East or North Africa would be any different in the next seventy five years than the case was already like in past seventy five years, for as long as the United Nations is not replaced by a new intergovernmental organization just as happened when the League of Nations was replaced by the United Nations, and the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations are not replaced with new families/dynasties.

Are the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) repelling the aggression of the families/dynasties that control the nations in the Middle East and North Africa and the aggression of the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel by means of the many grass-root movements such as Hamas, Hezbollah, Muslim Brotherhood, Houthis in Yemen, Iraqi popular mobilization forces, the Taliban, etc. to keep their dominant role?

Are the victors of WW2 (western European monarchs and republics) repelling the aggression of the families/dynasties that control the nations in the Middle East and North Africa and the aggression of the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel by covertly relying on the political thought that grass-roots movements such as Hamas, Hezbollah, Muslim Brotherhood, Houthis in Yemen, Iraqi popular mobilization forces, the Taliban, etc. promote to create the flushing of the families/dynasties that are administering the Muslim nations in the Middle East and North Africa and replacing them with new ones?

I cannot answer the aforementioned questions. However, what I do know is that the courage that this former UN staff member showed when he confronted United Nations senior officials who were violating the UN Charter and the UDHR and the cowardliness that the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations are portraying since the Israeli military began to collectively kill innocent Palestinian men, women, and children entail two behaviors that are totally opposite to each other. In the case of the former UN staff member, his refusal to mind his own business and to let his senior managers continue to violate the UN Charter and the UDHR ended up costing him his job, his career, and his dignity. In the case of his

corrupt UN senior managers, they stayed in their positions and in their glory until they reached the end of the line.

In the case of the Muslim elites, those Muslim families/dynasties picked both a cowardice and a prudent attitude when they decided to do exactly as per what the Israeli Prime Minister counselled them to do to stay in power: “I say to the Arab leaders, if you want to preserve your interests, you must do one thing... Remain silent.”

Surely, the decision of the Muslim elites to stay neutral has so far spared them from being trampled upon, as happened to this former UN staff member by his senior managers when he decided to prioritize the UN Charter and the UDHR over his self-interests, and has kept them (the Muslim elites) in their role and with their power, wealth, and status intact.

Should this former UN staff member have done as the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations did and kept silent in front of all the corruption that his senior managers were depending on to stay in power, thus keeping in so doing his job, career, and dignity and sparing himself all the humiliations and defeat that his senior managers and United Nations lawyers and judges put him through when they all ganged on him and stripped him of any rights?

I do not know the answer to the above question. What I do know is that the opposite attitude that this former UN staff member showed when compared to the attitude that the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations took is a dilemma. This is because each attitude offers two possibilities, neither of which is unambiguously acceptable or preferable.

At the same time, what the victors of WW2 proposed to all members of the human family at the end of WW2 was not any different from what Jesus Christ told a crowd during a sermon he was given. Jesus said, “Anyone who wants to come with me must forget self, take up their cross every day, and follow me. For whoever wants to save their own life will lose it, but whoever loses their life for my sake will save it. [Luke 9:23-24]

To put it differently, in 1945, the people of the whole world proclaimed that they would be striving to promote and secure the universal and effective recognition and observance of the common standards included in the UN Charter and the UDHR, both among the peoples of member states and among the peoples of territories under their jurisdiction.

What this former UN staff member did was in accordance with what the victors of WW2 asked people to start doing to secure the UN goals. In other words, he lost his job, career, and dignity for the sake of the victors of WW2. The families/dynasties that control the Arab or the Muslim nations did not lose their lives for the sake of the victors of WW2 so they could stay easily in power and, in that way, continue to work to secure the UN goals. The Muslim elites chose to save their lives and remain silent in face of all the violations of the UN Charter, the UDHR, and international laws that the Israeli government was propelling. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, Jesus once told a crowd, “whoever is not against you is for you.” [Luke 9:50]. As of October 7, 2023, a large number of TV channels are transmitting the killing and aerial bombing of civilians living in Gaza by the Israeli government (military). In other words, it is not possible to argue that the cruelties, atrocities, and bombing of buildings over the heads of their occupants that the Israeli government (military) is causing are not real.

If the families/dynasties that the victors of WW2 appointed to administer the different Arab nations in the Middle East and North Africa and expect to be taking effective measures both among the peoples of member states themselves and among the peoples of territories under their jurisdiction to secure the UN goals or preserve the power of their masters (the victors of WW2) are not showing the same grit that this former UN staff member demonstrated when he confronted United Nations officials who were violating the UN Charter and the UDHR and even paid a big price for his courage, then we cannot say that those Muslim ruling families/dynasties in the Middle East and North Africa are for the victors of WW2 but against them. In other words, the behavior of those Muslim ruling families/dynasties in the Middle East and North Africa cannot assure

their masters (the victors of WW2) that they are striving and doing everything possible to preserve the values enshrined in the UN Charter and the UDHR, but their apathetic attitude makes them an accomplice in the dismantling of the international order (the wealth, power, and status of the victors of WW2) just as the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel are. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, the silence, cowardliness, cold attitude, and no minimum consideration to the human worth that the victors of WW2 are demonstrating since October 7, 2023, and its effect on the international order (i.e., the maintenance of their strength and stability) is something that no person can challenge for the mere fact that they are the fittest. That is to say, it would be God or divine karma who would be in charge of determining the type of punishment (penalty) to inflict on them (the victors of WW2) for all the disregard that they are committing pursuant to allowing the Israeli government to perpetrate atrocities and horrendous cruelties in plain sight of everyone. This is because it is not possible to defeat the fittest (the victors of WW2). The victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) can only be defeated naturally. Their decline and fall will happen as a result of their aging (i.e., common problems include maintaining huge intelligence systems, huge armies, and supporting large bureaucracies) or illness (common problems include a rise in corruption, betrayal, backstabbing, deceit, confusion, and discord), just as happened to the Soviet Union.

As far as the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations are concerned, membership in the United Nations entails accepting the obligations contained in the United Nations Charter and the UDHR, and most importantly, carrying those obligations out. When the Muslim elites take lightly the killing of innocent Palestinians when the UN Charter, the UDHR, and even their religion forbid them to accept or to tolerate such disregard, this means that the victors of WW2 are counting on hypocrites to protect the maintenance of their strength and stability and not on loyalists and friends (i.e., virtuous men). This is extremely serious.

Put differently, there are two motives that could be thrusting the silence, cowardliness, cold-blooded attitude, etc. that the Muslim elites

are portraying while Palestinian men, women, and children are being killed in front of their own eyes.

The first is that the Muslim elites are acting obediently and refusing to interject to avoid being stripped of their glory and their power handed to new families/dynasties as I said before. The second is if this cold-blooded behavior that the Muslim elites have demonstrated so far is motivated by acts of inconsideration or preoccupation with world affairs that are distracting them.

If the first motive is the reason, then the victors of WW2 cannot be relying on the Muslim elites to protect them because this cold attitude that they are demonstrating in front of all the injustice that is happening in front of their own eyes means that their hearts, hearing, and sight are sealed. In other words, if the Muslim elites are not seeing the painful torment that the Palestinian men, women, and children are confronting, it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to count on those Muslim families/dynasties to guide (be good shepherds to citizens) if they cannot hear, comprehend, or understand. If the second motive is the reason, then the victors of WW2 cannot be relying on the Muslim elites to protect them either. This is because the issues that are diverting them or distracting them from having the courage to stomp their feet and demand that the victors of WW2 instruct the Israeli military to stop with the killing of Palestinian civilians can only be because they are like them, focused on buying and selling instead of being loyal servants to them to secure the UN goals.

Indeed, the inexplicable attitude that the Muslim elites have taken since the October 7 attacks does not include deeds that can assure the victors of WW2 that those servants (the Arab or Muslim families/dynasties) could be trusted or are in any shape or form ready to lay down their own lives in difficult situations for the victors of WW2. If those Arab or Muslim families/dynasties are refusing to have the courage to step in and stop the unjust killing of innocent men, women, and children by the Israeli military, or to stop the Israeli military from violating the UN Charter, it would be naive to suppose that they would have the courage to do it when it would be required of them to be strong and to step up to handle things on behalf of the victors of WW2 when their security is threatened.

For example, Harold Richard Dickson (1881 – 1959), was a British Colonial administrator in the Middle East and author of several books on Kuwait. In the book *Kuwait and Her Neighbours*, first published in 1956, Harold Dickson narrates that Ajmi Al-Saadoun (1883 -1960), an Iraqi militant and leader who was fighting on the side of the Ottomans during WW1, caused many British casualties. In fact, at the end of WW1, the Ottoman Empire granted Al-Saadoun the military title of Mirmiran (which translates to commandment over commandments) for his heroic fighting, military tactics, and courage. He was also buried in the martyr's cemetery and was led to the cemetery in solemn military possession by the Turks due to how much his courage was respected. Harold Dickson suggests that the British government attempted to facilitate for Al-Saadoun to consider immigrating to Great Britain due to the exceptional chivalry, principles, skills, etc., he demonstrated during the fighting against the British Empire during WW1. In fact, Al-Saadoun was asked in the aftermath of WW1 about the reasons that motivated him to stand with the Ottomans against the British and why he refused to betray the Ottomans in exchange for an offer that the British empire made to him to appoint him as governor of Iraq after the end of the war [suggested in Thomas Edward Lawrence, known as the Lawrence of Arabia historical document], but the latter strongly refused, and he said that he refused to do it because it was against Arab traditions and Islamic customs. Al-Saadoun said that he had sworn allegiance to the Caliphate and the Ottoman Empire in the Qur'an.

Another example is Mohammed Amin al-Husseini (1897 - 1974) was the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem from 1921 to 1937. Mohammed Al-Husseini was an important ally of the British Empire in the region until 1937. In 1937, he started to oppose British policies and fled Palestine until he established himself in fascist Italy and Nazi Germany. During World War II, he collaborated with both Italy and Germany to recruit Muslim fighters. He worked with Adolf Hitler to get his backing for Arab independence and support in opposing the establishment in Palestine of a Jewish national home, which the allies were to the contrary supporting. Upon the end of the war, the allies did not prosecute him for war crimes (which is a mystery to this day) and

continued to let him play a role in Arab nationalism against Zionism until he passed away.

As the above two examples support, the British Empire was keen to ensure that all types of minds and opinions contributed to the maintenance of its strength and security, even if they were opposed to this realm.

If, in theory, all types of minds and opinions contribute to the maintenance of the strength and security of any society (business, nation, monarch), then it would be naive to suppose that the victors of WW2 are expecting that the Muslim families/dynasties that they appointed to administer Arab or Muslim nations in the Middle East and North Africa on their behalf be all cowards and not even one of them possessing the courage to stand with the truth, that is, if they do not want to stand with Hamas or the Palestinian men, women, and children who are being murdered.

Many questions beg answers. For one, if the cowardliness that the leaders of the Arab or Muslim states in the Middle East and North Africa demonstrated as of October 7, 2023 does not support that they are worried about the future of the victors of WW2, could the victors of WW2 have any use for them in the future any more?

To put it differently, a person relies on the support of another person to increase his happiness. A person can increase his happiness in two ways. The first is through observing the political order, and the second is by circumventing the political order.

For example, before WW1 and WW2 erupted, the Muslim chieftains (the fathers and forebears of the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations in the Middle East and North Africa) helped the western European monarchs and republics defeat the Ottoman Empire by betraying the Ottoman rulers (i.e., they circumvented the political order).

On October 7, 2023, the world learned two truths. The first is that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) with all their allies, such as the United States, Russia, China, etc., are all

cowards and that they are like everyone else, using the idea of good (human rights, democracy, etc.) as a tool to deepen their hegemony rather than to secure the UN goals. The second is that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and all their allies, such as the United States, Russia, China, etc., have no sense of mindfulness about human worth, thus inviting many people to question if human beings are really “human animals”.

As far as the first truth is concerned, this truth about men has been known since antiquity. As far as the second truth is concerned, we know that in the jungle, the fittest stay with the fittest and not with the weak. In other words, the lion does not bring a chicken along to go attack another lion, but a lion.

For example, before WW1 and WW2 erupted, the western European monarchs and republics did not contact the weak in the Ottoman Empire to betray the Ottomans but contacted the Muslim chieftains (the fathers and forebears of the families/dynasties that control the Arab nations). The western European monarchs and republics contacted those Muslim chieftains (the fathers and forebears of the families/dynasties that control the Arab nations) because they were the fittest.

If the western European monarchs and republics can only rejuvenate their power by counting on fit people rather than weak ones, then we cannot say that anyone of the Muslim elites that are acting as their policemen in the Middle East or North Africa showed that they are fit. This is because there is no difference between being a coward or being courageous, as both are a function of fear. If shortness of fear increases courage and excess of fear decreases courage, then the victors of WW2 cannot count on any Muslim family/dynasty from among the ones that control the Arab or Muslim nations to protect them, because they can be useful to them in only two cases. The first is to deceive and betray, and the second is to uphold the truth.

As far as the reliance of the victors of WW2 on the aptitude of those Muslim families/dynasties that are acting as their policemen in the Middle East or North Africa to deceive and betray, this aptitude cannot help the victors of WW2 increase their power because they are the

fittest already. This means that those Muslim elites are only useful to the victors of WW2 if they are capable of upholding the truth.

If the genocide of Palestinians that ensued following the October 7, 2023, attacks showed that the Muslim families/dynasties that are acting as the policemen in the Middle East or North Africa on behalf of the victors of WW2 fear to uphold the truth, then if the victors of WW2 keep them in their roles, they would be accelerating the collapse of their power, wealth, and status. This is because if those Muslim elites are capable of standing with truth instead of falsehood, then those Muslim families/dynasties would have converted their absolute monarchs and republics by now into liberal democratic republics and would not have been prioritizing authoritarianism and militarism since 1945. Authoritarianism and militarism weaken the victors of WW2 because those political methods increase deceit and betrayal. In other words, the Arab leaders could be nauseated by the atrocities that Israel is perpetrating against the Palestinians but are humoring the victors of WW2 by going along with just expressing idiomatic appeals to stop the killing until the right day to sting them as they stung the Ottomans.

If the hearts and eyes of the Muslim families/dynasties that the victors of WW2 appointed to administer the Arab or Muslim nations on their behalf have been away from the truth since the Israeli military began to kill innocent Palestinian men, women, and children, then those servants (the Arab or Muslim families/dynasties) must be pretending to be doing everything to rejuvenate the power of their masters (the victors of WW2) or are loyal to them. To the contrary, the behavior of the Arab or Muslim leaders since October 8, 2023, shows that they are spiteful, mocking the victors of WW2, and only staying with them to share in the benefits, gains, spoils, etc.

It is suggested in the Quran that God appoints for leaders (chiefs, rulers, kings, etc.) enemies—devilish humans—who would be whispering to them with elegant words of deception [Al-An'am 6:112], and some succeed at displacing arrogant leaders by means of others, and others fail, until, in the course of time and things, the truth succeeds.

I have no way of knowing if this abnormal rise in insecurity that has swept the world in recent years is due to divine justice (or God) punishing the victors of WW2 for refusing to stay faithful to the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR or not. What I do know is that the deviant attitude that the families/dynasties that control Israel and the deviant attitude that the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations are expressing as of 1948 and not necessarily as of October 8, 2023 only, is mainly due to the whims of the victors of WW2. This is because the families/dynasties that control Israel and the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations are nothing but dummies that the victors of WW2 control, just as a chess player controls chess pieces. In addition, just as in any chess game a spectator does not know if a player moves a piece to either an unoccupied square or one occupied by an opponent's piece, which is captured and removed from play, is done out of ignorance or a calculated move, we have no way of knowing if the deviant attitude that the victors of WW2 are portraying as of 1945 by refusing to hold all UN member states accountable for not observing the UN Charter is due to their whims or out of mere ignorance. What I do know is that the command and control of the international order function in the same way a chess game works. Chess players suggest that chess is an abstract strategy game that involves no hidden information and no elements of chance.

If the command and control of the international order function in the same way a chess game works, this means that the victors of WW2 must be making decisions in the same way traders make decisions in markets. In a market, all consumers and producers “have complete and instantaneous knowledge of all market prices, their own utility, and their own cost functions.”

If the victors of WW2 command and control the international order in the same way consumers and producers buy and sell goods in markets, then we can say that the victors of WW2 are choosing their actions based on all the events that have previously occurred in the hope of making decisions (initialization events) that they believe give them the highest return (i.e., rejuvenate their power).

At the same time, since humans are not like chess pieces, inanimate objects, but are the opposite, i.e., animate, this means that humans are objects, and their actions are not determined only based on perfect information as played out in a chess game but on imperfect information as well. In other words, people can conceal information, and most importantly, their randomness makes it impossible for others to establish definite patterns or predict behavior.

In conclusion, I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 or their critical thinkers are now capable of identifying the “devilish humans” that the Quran suggests in Surah Al-An’am that God places in the societies that its leaders (chiefs, rulers, kings, etc.) refuse to prioritize reason (truth) over desires (greed) to destroy them, thus distinguishing between who is plotting or conspiring against them and who is not. What I do know is that if humans are a species that can conceal and their randomness makes it impossible for others to establish definite patterns or predict behavior, then the victors of WW2 could be subjecting their wealth, status, and power to great risk if they continue to depend on the actual families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations to help them rejuvenate their powers after they refused to stand up against this flagrant violation of the UN Charter by the state of Israel, the United States, and all their allies (western European monarchs and republics), including even going to war against those countries to restore international peace and security.

Finally, the good news is that no one needs to be worried about whether or not the silence that the families/dynasties that control the Arab or Muslim nations are exhibiting in front of the genocide that the Israeli army is effecting against the Palestinian men, women, and children in Gaza is because they are plotting against the victors of WW2, or if this lack of condemnation is helping the victors of WW2 to rejuvenate their powers. This is because if the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) continue to let wealth and power divert them from their responsibility to fulfill their god-given role to secure the UN goals, it is they who would be the only true losers.