

The Modern Divide

Can wars pit the world against the United States just as the French and Indian War pitted France and Great Britain against each other?

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2023 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloo S., The Modern Divide, 2023

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
The Modern Divide

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment
www.centermpa.com

In memory of Georges and Sona Ghurunian

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Definitions

PREFACE

The Modern Divide

- | | |
|-------------|----|
| 1. Problem | 22 |
| 2. Analysis | 28 |

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, his supervisors told him that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national which also

included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave cost him his right to any claim! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations wants people to stop being tolerant to have any rights and wants them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes can have his or her case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

In the year 2022, the corruption ring of those UN managers who were using the UN as a cover to do their evil work in the name of truth and good and who ganged on this UN staff member because he refused to trade his self-interests for the values included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was exposed and

dismantled. Instead of UN member states requesting that those UN managers be imprisoned with the UN Judges who were protecting them, they were fine with the UN ending the contract of those UN managers while keeping all their wealth, health insurance and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member so he could be remunerated for all the pain that they put this UN staff member through because he refused to take part in their wicked plots, the UN member states believe that if they let this intergovernmental organization keep this case in the garbage basket of history, the United Nations would be preserving their power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personalities at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the

Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, and in this way avoiding that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form as the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision-making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the

rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between, nations including failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and are preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century / early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior in the aftermath of World War II in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion's share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or put into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or analyzed were solely used because I felt they contained ideas that could aid me in comprehending better if the decision of the victors of World War II (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scriptures counseled is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

Nations that won WW2: This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2 and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2nd millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The global policeman of the world role that the United States started playing in 1945 is regarded in this work as a functional role that was delegated to this country by the families/dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

Banks, large financial institutions, or Corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties that won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states in the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized

nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

United States: All references to the word “United States ” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If the American culture is endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to avoid losing the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

PREFACE

The historical ties between France and the United Kingdom are long and complex. They include conquests, wars, and alliances at various points in history. Those historical ties ultimately led to the American Revolution. The historical ties between the United States and the western European monarchs and republics date back to 1776. These ties have also included conquests, wars, and alliances at various points in history.

This book will attempt to support that the 2023 ongoing Israel-Hamas war could be events that the great powers of the world (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) machinated purposefully to slow down the Israeli expansion in the Middle East and around the world, in the hope of this latest burst in the overall ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict aiding the Israelis to reflect on the fragility of their power, wealth, and status and how their power on the world stage is worth nothing without their protection. Most importantly, this book will attempt to support that this latest 2023 burst between the Israelis and the Palestinians is another detail in the on-going systematic dismantling of the United States global policemen role in order to install a new global security order after the rulers of this country failed in their appointed role (or God-given role) to lead the international community to secure the UN goals since 1945.

1

Problem

The great powers of the world (the old powers) refuse to put pressure on the state of Israel to let the Palestinians form an independent state as per their wish and what the international rule-based postwar world order dictates. Likewise, the great powers of the world refuse to put pressure on the state of Israel to accept letting the Palestinians integrate into the full life of the political order of this state (i.e., form a single democratic state with equal rights). In addition, since 1948, the year in which the old powers (western European monarchs and republics) helped the Jews expel the Palestinians from their land to install over this territory a Jewish home, and until now, the old powers (who are also the victors of WW2) and their principal allies such as the United States, Russia, Jordan, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Syria, and many others have been counting on the Palestinians giving up their plight to reclaim their land back as the American natives gave up their determination to repossess their land after the European colonists kicked them out (displaced them) from it. This scenario has not happened this time.

The reluctance of the victors of WW2 and the Israelis (the fittest) to kill all the Palestinians (the weak) or to get them (the Palestinians) to turn, once and for all, this page about their right to return to this country that was once called Palestine and in 1948 was conquered and assimilated (i.e., daze them with other incentives) has contributed to the world staying insecure since this aforementioned date. On the other hand, if the victors of WW2 refuse to make the Palestinians understand that throughout history lots of well-known nations have ceased to exist and are preferring that the Israelis and the Palestinians stay entangled in a cat-and-mouse game since 1948, then there must be a good reason that makes the victors of WW2 want that the Palestinians keep alive

this “right to return,” which we know can only happen if the state of Israel is dismantled to raise in its place this country that before 1948 was called Palestine.

I have no way of knowing what this "good reason" that makes the victors of WW2 want that the Palestinians keep alive this prospect about their right to basically dismantle Israel to raise in its place this country that was once called Palestine and in 1948 it ceased to exist, just as the old powers (the fathers of the victors of WW2) kept alive a similar prospect for the Jews until 1948 when they finally helped them to have a home. What I do know is that on October 7, 2023, Hamas, one of the Palestinian political and military organizations governing the Gaza strip of the Israeli-occupied Palestinian territories, launched a military operation in response to Israel's extensive blockade (with Egypt's support) of the Gaza strip since 2007.

The attack that Hamas launched on October 7, 2023, is not any different from previous attacks that this resistance movement or other Palestinian liberation movements launched in the past against Israel, nor is it different from the defending response that the Israeli military launched in response as well (i.e., aerial bombardment or collective punishment). This cat-and-mouse game between the Israelis and the Palestinians has been ongoing since 1948, almost every day, as a result of the Israelis refusing to get the green light from their masters (the victors of WW2) to kill all the Palestinians once and for all or to displace them from Israel to neighboring nations, thus ending the Israeli-Palestinian conflict finally. Only one thing changed or became different in this latest burst of violence between the Israelis and the Palestinians that began on October 7, 2023. This time, the barbarous acts that the Israelis (the fittest) got the green light from their masters (the victors of WW2) to subject the Palestinians (the weak) to endure are being played out on people's TV screens at home, not as per the way such abuse and genocide were being played out on previous rounds in the dark.

In the Bible, it is suggested that the disciples of Jesus came to him and asked him to tell them about how people relations would look at the end of the age. Jesus answered that during this interval, “people's love will grow cold.” [Matthew 24:12].

The total silence or total coldness that all the UN member states are manifesting since the Israeli military began their inhumane and genocidal collective punishment on Gazans following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack is exactly how all UN member states acted from 1948 (the 1948 Palestinian War) until October 6, 2023, when identical horror films unfolded. In fact, this total silence is exactly how UN member states have been reacting since Russia began to collectively punish Ukraine in 2022. The United States (the country) punished Iraq and Afghanistan between 2001 and 2020. Saudi Arabia is punishing Yemen as of 2015. Syria is being punished via proxy wars that some neighboring nations and the international community waged as of 2011. The list can go on and on. The only mistake that the citizens of those countries that were ruined made was becoming mindful of what the victors of WW2 (fittest) invited them to observe instead of continuing to be bound by their personal power and conscience.

Then again, as of the date Russia launched its inhumane and genocidal collective punishment on Ukraine in February 2022, we find that the way the western European monarchs and republics and their principal ally, the United States (the country), dealt with this crisis is exactly the same way they are dealing with the Israel-Hamas War as of October 7, 2023. The western European monarchs and republics, and their principal ally, such as the United States (the country), warned Russia against attacking any NATO member just as they warned individuals (societies, businesses, governments, countries, etc.) against interfering or supporting the Palestinians in the Israel-Hamas war to not risk going to war directly with them if they do.

Energy can flow outward or inward. Strangely, the western European monarchs and republics and their principal ally, the United States (the country), dealt with the Russia-Ukraine war in a parallel and contrariwise way to the Israel-Hamas war.

Euclid was a Greek mathematician who lived in Alexandria, Egypt, around 300 BCE. He is considered to be the father of geometry. Euclid suggested in the parallel postulate that "If a line falls on two other lines so that the measure of the two interior angles on the same side of the

transversal adds up to less than two right angles, then the lines eventually intersect on that side and therefore are not parallel."

I have no way of knowing if what Euclid was suggesting in the parallel postulate is true or not. What I do know is that if we analyze the way the western European monarchs and republics and their principal ally, the United States (the country), are dealing with the Russia-Ukraine war and the way they are dealing with the Israel-Hamas war so far, we find that they have maintained the same parallel position on both, which means that they are dealing with the events that are surrounding each conflict in a non-aligned way (i.e., an equal way). However, throughout history, only a few people (leaders) managed to show that they were capable of prioritizing truth over desires, such as Moses (and his family members), Jesus (and his disciples), Muhammad (and the Rashidun Caliphs), the founding fathers of the United States (and succeeding rulers till 1939), or the founding fathers of the United Nations (the victors of WW2 in 1945), and thus this small number was not sufficient to prevent that world history from progressing crookedly. Therefore, there must be a reason to support why the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) are refusing to put an end to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict (i.e., let the Palestinians form an independent state as per their wish and what the international rule-based postwar world order dictates, or to put pressure on the Israeli government to accept to integrate the Palestinians in the full life of the political order of this state), just as the old powers (or the Christian church) refused to help the Jewish people establish a Jewish state from the year 1200 (i.e., when the Islamic world collapsed) till 1948.

Throughout history, the fittest have been determining the fate of the weak. In the Bible (and in all the religious books), it is suggested that "everyone who is arrogant in heart is an abomination to the Lord; be assured, he will not go unpunished." [Proverbs 16:5] All the rulers (kings) who let their pride and cold-blooded arrogance dictate their actions were punished, and in the course of time and things were resized. Why are the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) manifesting as of October 7, 2023 this haughty heart and letting scores of innocent people on both sides of the Israel-Hamas war die (just as

the case on other wars since 1945) instead of observing and acting on their God-given role (or nature's role) of leading justly (not turn from the law or the values enshrined in the UN Charter and the UDHR)? To put it differently, the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) know that when rulers (kings) turn from the law to the right or to the left," [Deuteronomy 17:20] their will would not be done, and this violation constitutes a serious threat to peace. How are the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) concluding that if they tell people, "Do as I say, not as I do," they will go unpunished?

The apostle Paul commanded Timothy in his first letter to watch his life and doctrine closely. [1 Timothy 4:16] The western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) are depending as of 1945 on how to make people mindful about human worth, social justice, human rights, and so forth, to get them to want to stay in groups with them and to let them have more powers than others. How are the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) concluding that if they allow the Israeli military to kill innocent men, women, and children as per the cowardice way this military has been doing since October 7, 2023 (aerial bombardment instead of direct confrontation) or destroy human progress, that people would not be disdained and frustrated with them? In other words, would people want that new families/dynasties rule over them as the Israelites asked God in the book of Samuel to give them a king to rule over them (lead them in the right path) pursuant to all their rulers acting in the same irresponsible way that the victors of WW2 have been doing since 1945?

Ḥadīth (literally "talk" or "discourse") refers to "what most Muslims believe to be a record of the words and actions of the Islamic prophet Muhammad as transmitted through chains of narrators." Muslim scholars suggest that the Islamic prophet Muhammad said, "Seek help for success or victory in your needs by being quiet. Verily, everyone who is given a blessing will be envied." I have no way of knowing why the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) refused to keep

their support for the people of Israel hidden and out of sight when they very well know that they are the ones who helped the Israelis to expel the Palestinians from their land in 1948 and they are the ones who refused to acknowledge the Palestinians right to repossess their land or to compensate them in lieu.

Two motives could have propelled the western European monarchs and republics (the principal victors of WW2) and their principal ally, the United States, to demonstrate this blunt bias and ill-thought-out attitude in full view of the people (i.e., agreeing to let the Israeli military kill innocent Palestinian people under the pretext of the killing being done to supposedly fight terrorism). The first is if the French expression “la main qui donne est celui qui ordonne” (which means that the hand that gives is the one that also orders) is what has been preventing the western European monarchs and republics and the United States (the rulers of the world) from having the courage to stop this blood bath that has been spilling since 1948 on both sides of the Israeli-Palestinians conflict. The second is if the western European monarchs and republics (the principal victors of WW2) are lying about their pledge to hamper any repressive measure that has the potential of destabilizing the stability and security of the state of Israel.

In this work, I will attempt to support why the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks and the on-going Israel Hamas War could be events that the great powers of the world (i.e., the western European monarchs and republics) machinated purposefully to slow down the Israeli expansion in the Middle East and around the world, in the hope of this latest burst in the overall ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict aiding the Israelis to reflect on the fragility of their power, wealth, and status and how their power on the world stage is worth nothing without their protection. Most importantly, to attempt to support that this latest burst between the Israelis and the Palestinians is another detail in the on-going systematic dismantling of the United States global policemen role in order to install a new global security order after the rulers of this country failed in their appointed role (or God-given role) to lead the international community to secure the UN goals since 1945.

2

Analysis

The western European monarchs and republics have started to dominate the international order since the start of the second millennium (as of the fall of the Islamic world in the 12th century). From the start of the second millennium till 1948 (the year when the state of Israel was created), the western European monarchs and republics (who are also the principal victors during WW2) did not stand with the Jewish people who were stateless and arranged to establish a "national home" for them in Palestine (a region that was under the domination of the Islamic world starting from the second half of the first millennium until basically the end of the second millennium), or anywhere else.

If the Jewish people (diaspora) did not have the western European monarchs and republics back throughout the entirety of the second millennium, it would be naive to suppose that the commitment of the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) in the aftermath of WW2 to protect this newly created state had become sacred (i.e., to have changed). This is because the control of the international order did not change hands after WW2 erupted. Most importantly, two people cannot fill the same void. This means that the close alliance that the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics manifest toward the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel could not have become an open-ended arrangement with unlimited freedom of action as of 1948. Surely, if the western European monarchs and republics wanted that the Jews form alliances with their Muslim neighbors, they would have allowed the normalization of such relations a long time ago and would not have waited until 2023 for the Israeli Prime Minister to show a map during a speech he gave at the United Nations

General Assembly that hailed how far his country has gotten at brokering pacts with its Muslim neighbors.

Indeed, the political reality that is unfolding in the Middle East as of October 7, 2023, cannot be because Russia is using the Israeli-Hamas war to divert the focus from the conflict in Ukraine. Similarly, the Israeli-Hamas War cannot be about relocating the Palestinians who live in Gaza to Sinai in Egypt to finish building the Ben Gurion Canal Project, which would connect the Gulf of Aqaba to the Mediterranean Sea in Gaza. Likewise, the Israeli-Hamas War cannot be used to push the large number of Orthodox Jews who are living on welfare to recognize the importance of serving in the Israeli military to preserve the security of this state.

The Israeli-Hamas War is an event whose details resemble a lot the events that are narrated in the book of Daniel, when King Belshazzar was with the nobles and his wives and concubines, drinking wine, and refusing to humble himself, or recalling who holds in his hand his life, but on the same night he was slain. [Daniel 5:22-30] On October 7, the Israeli people were celebrating Sukkot and were drinking and dancing just as King Belshazzar was doing in antiquity.

The families/dynasties that hold in their hands the lives of the individuals who control the state of Israel did not want to kill them this time. Those powerful families/dynasties did not want that the sovereignty of Israel be dismantled, just as the Babylonian Kingdom was dismantled after King Belshazzar was slain and the Babylonians became stateless. The Jews were stateless before 1948. Could the events that the Israelis passed through on October 7, 2023, be regarded as details that the families/dynasties that control UN member states and the money supply of the world organized to invite the Israelis to humble themselves or to reflect?

Surely, the ongoing bombing of buildings in Gaza and the collective punishment of Palestinians since October 8, 2023, by the Israeli military do not support that the families/dynasties who control the state of Israel are humbling themselves and reflecting on why they need to let the Palestinians form an independent state as per their wish and based on what the international rule-based postwar world order

dictates, or on accepting to let them integrate into the full life of the political order of this state. I have no way of knowing if this barbaric attitude that the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel are depending on to revenge the people who lost their lives as a result of the Hamas attack is making people sympathize with them and with their loss, or if their inhumane attitude is stripping from the state of Israel this overwhelming superiority that this country has enjoyed over the past few decades. Can the ongoing bombing of buildings and the killing of innocent Palestinians make people love them and want to stay with them? Can the collective punishment that the Israeli government is using since October 8, 2023, erase the political and military humiliation that tarnished the state of Israel at the hands of a grass-roots organization to which the Israeli military forces have been applying land, sea, and air blockades since 2007? Is the stubbornness of the leaders of this country throwing away all that their predecessors built toward establishing Greater Israel? Even if the rulers of the state of Israel kill all the Palestinians, can the state of Israel make people around the world not develop prejudice against the Jewish people after the international media portrayed them as modern savages? Can the state of Israel continue to easily sabotage the two-state solution that the entire international community has been recommending to end this on-going conflict as they were doing before October 7, 2023? Most importantly, is there a cabal that wants all those bad things to happen to the Jewish people to limit their ability to have a much bigger role on the world stage?

As the above shows, any way we try to answer any one of the aforementioned questions, we stumble on one conclusion. There appears to be a cabal that decided to stall, on October 7, 2023, the aspirations of the Israelis to create a greater Israel (i.e., the expansion of the state of Israel from the Nile to the Euphrates). This group of people wants to punish the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel and throw away all the efforts they have exhausted since 1948 to stall the establishment of an independent Palestinian state along its borders.

Actually, the cabal that appears to be punishing the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel as of October 7, 2023, is not only limiting his punishment since this date to making the two state

solutions with the Palestinians impossible, but his reprisal is more aimed at shrinking the financial control of the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel on the world stage and making them subordinate or hostages to its will.

If God is not meddling in the sovereignty of the people who control the state of Israel as of 1948, as he was doing in antiquity, then the force that decided to stall on October 7, 2023, the on-going efforts of the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel to fulfill their dream of creating Greater Israel must be from here on earth. Could the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack be a plot that the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) masterminded to stop the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel from continuing to change the political, economic, and social landmarks that they want to be maintained in the Middle East? How do we know if the forces that the United States mobilized in the Middle East and the constant rhetoric that its leaders make about their readiness to deter (defend and strike) “any country, any organization, anyone thinking of taking advantage of the situation (the ongoing war between Israel and Hamas) is not designed to shrink the power, wealth, and status of the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel instead of to expand it? In other words, all the military assistance and weapons that the United States is providing to the state of Israel are not intended to help the Israelis defend their cities and citizens but rather to kill more Palestinians, so deeper rage builds in the hearts of the Palestinians and other Muslims around the world toward the Jews, thus plunging the Israeli people into deeper insecurity. Surely, the Israeli people would not be able to build an inclusive society with their Muslim neighbors and with a large number of societies around the world in the near future, especially after the Israeli Prime Minister justified in a speech on October 29, 2023, the killing of Gaza residents using the 'Amalek' theory, which is based on a nation mentioned in the Hebrew Bible. The 'Amalek' theory calls for the killing of “men and women, children and infants, cattle and sheep, camels, and donkeys be put to death, in a conflict. [1 Samuel 15:3]

People’s hearts are filled with hatred, and lots of rulers and kings behaved barbarically and murdered innocent men, women, and children in the most horrendous way throughout history. The heart-

wrenching and earth-shattering statement that the Israeli Prime Minister used on October 29, 2023, to justify chaos instead of calling for tolerance is not new.

What is new is the animalistic behavior that the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) allowed the entire world to see or become aware of after the Israeli Prime Minister justified, in a speech on October 29, 2023, the killing of Gaza residents using the 'Amalek' theory. The western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and their global policemen (the United States) refused to think about the day after and stopped sending weapons to the state of Israel after the Israeli Prime Minister justified in his speech the killing of Gaza residents using the 'Amalek' theory. That is to say, the western European monarchs and republics and the United States did not think that it was important if people around the world doubted the path they pioneered as of 1945 and began to depend on to rejuvenate their power (i.e., human rights, social justice, love, kindness, freedom, virtue, values, etc.) on the maintenance of their own strength and stability. The western European monarchs and republics and the United States continued to send their weapons over to the Israeli Prime Minister and his government to continue the killing, ignoring in so doing all the international treaties that they themselves legitimized and have been using to let people stay in groups with them and to let them have more powers than others.

One of two scenarios could have propelled this uncivilized attitude that the western European monarchs and republics and the United States portrayed. The first is if the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) are dismantling the power and authority of the United States (their global policemen), or vice versa. The second is if the wealth, power, and status of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) and the wealth, power, and status of the families/dynasties that control the United States (their global policemen) stopped to be of paramount importance to them.

I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforementioned two could have propelled the western European monarchs and

republics (the victors of WW2) to be indifferent about the United States (their global policemen) desecrate in the worst possible way the idea of freedom, social justice, human rights, etc. instead of appealing for restraint just as Abraham was doing when the people of the city of Sodom and Gomorrah were relying on wickedness to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action of his own instead of on values and virtues.

Accordingly, since the decision of the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) to be indifferent about the United States (their global policemen) providing weapons in plain sight of everyone to the state of Israel to defile the idea of freedom, human rights, social justice, etc. as of October 7, 2023, instead of wanting that this country continue to be the city on the hill, one of two scenarios could be motivating this hypocritical attitude. The first is if the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) are letting people around the world distrust the United States so they could reestablish their command and control of the international order as they were doing before WW2. The second is if the Western European monarchs and republics believe that they are invincible.

Once again, I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforementioned two could have propelled the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) to go along with letting their global policemen (the United States) underestimate the damage that the rulers and political class of this country are piling up on the heads of American people for refusing to demonstrate an impartial or unbiased attitude on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict since 1948 unless their motives is to make people around the world distrust the United States to dismantle its global power and authority. What I do know is that the malice that resides in the hearts of people toward each other is intense. People appear to be united, but their hearts are divided [Surah Al-Hashr 59:15].

Accordingly, it would be naive to suppose that the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) appointed the United States in 1945 to play the role of global policemen, so seventy-five years later, most people (societies) around the world become in favor of scrapping or breaking their relations with

those European monarchs and republics instead of wanting to stay with them and to let them have more power than others. Likewise, it would be naive to suppose that the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) appointed the United States to play the role of global policemen in 1945, so most people (societies) around the world watch that the United States is still seventy-five years later demonstrating favoritism in dealing with issues of governance when it is supposed to be leading by example (i.e., driven to serve, showing patience, tolerance, and kindness, sound judgment, and sound moral character). Similarly, it would be naive to suppose that the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) granted the Jewish people the right to establish a "national home" in Palestine, so the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel and others start to have seventy-five years later new aspirations such as forming the greater Israel, the greater Egypt, the greater China, the greater India, etc.

Hence it would be naive to suppose that the victors of WW2 granted right to the Jewish people to establish a "national home" in Palestine so seventy-five years later this country would grow and it would start to compete against the multi-cultural centers that exists in New York, London, but just a home to live in; nor could we say that the victors of WW2 granted right to the United States to develop in the state of Israel a military and technology complex so the Israelis could go afterwards and normalize relations with their neighbors and share it or sell it to them, thus recreating the same challenge that the victors of WW2 are confronting after they transferred their industrial complex to the west on count of the Asian accepting to liberalize their political and economic policies but this integration (incorporation) proved harmful if not fatal; it would be naive to suppose that the western European monarchs and republics (the victors of WW2) are happy with the way the state of Israel and with the way the United States is fulfilling its global policemen role since 1945 if the malice that reside in the heart of people toward each other is intense, and "people appear to be united but their hearts are divided" as suggested in Surah Al-Hashr.

Most importantly, since it is not possible to suppose that the families/dynasties who control the state of Israel would stop to expand

their political and economic policies in the middle east and around the world thus stopping to threaten in so doing the stability, security and strength of the victors of WW2 or their global policemen the United States, nor can we expect that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) or their global policemen (the United States) to be since 1948 sitting idly not doing anything about stopping such an expansion, could this latest round of conflicts between the Israeli and the Palestinians be an event that was programmed to kill two birds with one stone. The first is to show the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel how fragile their power, wealth, and status are. In other words, to stop the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel from continuing to degenerate the power and authority of the victors of WW2 (i.e., stop the Israelis from integrating advanced military and technological systems or potentials with their Muslim neighbors). The second is to continue the ongoing degeneration and dismantling of the power, wealth, and status of the United States so that, in the course of time and things, the United States goes back to playing the same insignificant role it was playing on the world stage before WW1 erupted.

I will give an example to show how the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) carry out the degeneration and dismantling of the societies that they deem are threatening their wealth or technological superiority (i.e., military supremacy), including how they are dismantling the United States by showing how this process is happening in the Russia-Ukraine conflict or the Syrian civil war.

Before Russia's invasion of Ukraine in February 2022, Ukraine was home to much of the world's agricultural production, defense industries, and military. Only one action can stop Ukraine from continuing to forge its own path as a sovereign state in the global security order. This action is to place a bridle on the political and economic expansionism of Ukraine so as to make the citizens of Ukraine discard their aspirations of becoming a superpower and, in this way, fall back into the western orbit or the Russian orbit. Unleashing Russia's military might to do this dirty job of dismantling the human progress of the Ukrainians on behalf of the victors of WW2, and tipping the United States to provide Ukraine with military

assistance to defend itself, makes the withering of Ukraine happen so smoothly.

The chaotic situation that has existed since February 2022 in Ukraine is the same situation that exists in Syria, after Russia rushed to defend Syria in 2015 to supposedly prevent the fall of the Syrian regime (i.e., prevent Syria from joining the Western sphere of influence). Russia has been dismantling the human progress of the Syrians since 2015 by adopting scorched-earth policies that this country suggests are necessary to help the Syrians preserve their sovereignty, but at the same time, this strategy is returning the Syrians to living as was the case in the early Middle Ages (ruling over human debris instead of human progress).

In addition, just as the United States is forming a tag team with the Ukrainians in Ukraine and is helping the Ukrainians to wreck their human progress to supposedly determine if Ukrainians would be better off preserving the idea of freedom, democracy, human rights, etc. while doing it from under their orbit or if they would be better off doing it from under the Russian orbit, the United States is forming a tag team with the different Syrian movements that want to topple the regime in Syria and is helping those movements to wreck their human progress to achieve the same end. This is to determine if the Syrians would be better off preserving the idea of freedom, democracy, human rights, etc. while doing it from under their orbit or if they would be better off doing it from under the Russian orbit. The only difference is that Russia is playing in Ukraine the role of drowner, while in Syria it is the role of rescuer.

In the latest round of hostility that exploded on October 7, 2023, between Israelis and Palestinians in Gaza, the situation is more serious. The victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their five principal watchdogs (the five permanent members of the UN Security Council) are funding the national security requirement of the state of Israel to defeat (wipe out) Hamas (the Palestinians) and vice versa, i.e., arming Hamas to defeat the state of Israel. The only difference is that no player from among those aforementioned actors or those nations that orbit in their sphere is officially claiming that they are arming the Palestinians, and everyone is instead saying that Israel

deserves the right to defend itself following the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks; hence, some UN member states, such as the United States and the western European monarchs and republics, are supplying Israel with weapons publicly.

In mathematics, adding two negative numbers does not give a positive number but will always be a negative number. The silence that UN member states are demonstrating (including in particular the church) by not intervening on the side of the Palestinians to stop the scorched-earth policies that the Israeli military is employing against the Palestinians in Gaza does not help the Israelis or their principal protectors, the United States and the western European monarchs and republics. To the contrary, this inhumane attitude can entangle them in drawbacks that could have consequences that are far worse than the collective punishment that they are letting the Israeli military subject the Palestinians to confront, including the excuses that they are constantly giving to support why their killing or destruction machine should not be lent.

The anger, resentment, antisemitism, and distrust that people around the world are developing after the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen (the United States) used the people who subscribe to the Jewish faith to translate their barbaric behavior (i.e., killing Palestinian men, women and children because they are demanding that they are granted right to form an independent state as per their wish, or to accept to let them integrate in the full life of the political order of the state) are very analogous to the way the Bible depict God was meddling on earth and entangling the Jews in antiquity to confront with their neighbors or to contend with. The hatred that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen (the United States) are letting people perceive about the people who subscribe to the Jewish faith is not going to take years for people to forget the barbaric graphic details that they are seeing occurring or to forgive the Jews for all the heinous acts that they are compelling against the Palestinians since 1948 to let them have equal rights as the UN Charter dictates, but is also making people perceive that the atrocities that the Germans subjected the Jews to deal with during WW2 were justified. In other words, the false claims that

some people make about the Jews being lovers of money, power, greed, etc., which are also daubed in religious and philosophical books, and the reason why the Germans killed the Jews and expelled them from Germany during WW2, were true.

At the same time, when God was in antiquity meddling in the lives of the Israelites and helping them to defeat in some conflicts their neighbors and in others letting them be defeated, he could not have been worried about people around the world beginning to distrust him because he stayed invisible. In other words, God did not have to worry about ensuring the French expression that says “l’ennemi est vaincu quand il est convaincu” (the enemy is defeated when he is convinced), because he did not have to worry about the people who are distrusting him rivaling him for his power, wealth, and status.

In this regard, since all the wars that mankind knew to date, were started by the fittest, but were ended by the weak, and not the other way around, we cannot say that the indifference that the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen (the United States) are demonstrating by not worrying about whether people around the world would approve or disapprove of the genocide that the Israeli military are committing in Gaza (kill innocent Palestinian men, women and children) in plain sight of everyone (or in Ukraine, Syria, Libya, etc.) is a responsible action and one that reflect any consideration to international treaties or standards that they depend on to keep their dominant role.

If the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their principal global policemen (the United States) are not interested in rationalizing the barbarous acts that they are having the Israeli military commit as they did following the Sept. 11 attacks or are willing to take into account the appeal of people around the world to stop this blood carnage and uphold a cease fire, then one of two scenarios could be propelling the victors of WW2 to rely on tyranny to preserve their power, wealth, and status instead of the ideas that are enshrined in the UN Charter. The first is if, as of October 7, 2023, the victors of WW2 turned the page on the international rule-based postwar world order (i.e., liberal interventionism and self-determination), which they designed and were counting on its

principles to aid them in rejuvenating their wealth, power, and status, and are introducing a new global security order since. The second is if the United States stopped to be a vital union for the victors of WW2 after a big share of the tasks that human reason was responsible of doing to protect them (the victors of WW2) was delegated to technology, and therefore, the victors of WW2 would like to dismantle the authority and power of the United States as they did to the Soviet Union. In other words, let one or a few US states control the nuclear arsenal of the United States and the defense industries.

The victors of WW2 cannot make people accept to voluntarily stay with them or to let them have more powers than others if they (victors of WW2) do not covert under aspirations programmed to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom so every member of the human family can enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want.

If any new global security order that the victors of WW2 introduce to rejuvenate their wealth, power, and status must be buttressed on principles that reaffirm faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person, and in the equal rights of all men and women, then only one aspiration can permit the victors of WW2 to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them after the United Nations failed to prevent conflicts between nations and make future wars impossible. This aspiration involves adding thorns ahead of the national and foreign policies that the United States (the only veritable custodian of the idea of freedom since 1945) take until the credibility of this country shrinks and people (societies) start to flee from it or plot against it.

Could the poor political national and foreign policies that the United States has been repeatedly taking since the fall of the Soviet Union, from lying about Saddam Hussein working to produce weapons of mass destruction to derail mankind from securing the UN goals (i.e., improve freedom, democracy, social justice, human rights) to go to war against Iraq and destroy the human progress and civil order of this country, to providing weapons to Ukraine to do the same as of 2022 in this country as in Iraq, and now to permitting that the Israeli military carry out a genocide in plain sight of the whole world including

providing a cover to to this heinous inhumane action, be all thorns that US rulers and political class are stepping on to manipulate American economic and foreign policy on behalf of totalitarianism? The main aim is to curb or dismantle the global policemen role or authority of the United States around the world in order to return this country back to its actual, insignificant role on the world stage, which it enjoyed until the date when WW2 was regarded as having ended.

The historical ties between the United Kingdom and France “are long and complex, including conquest, wars, and alliances at various points in history.” The French and Indian War (known also as the Seven Years’ War) is a widely forgotten event in American and world history (1754 – 1763), but it was “a conflict that pitted two of history’s greatest empires, Great Britain and France, against each other for control of the North American continent.” Historians suggest that “the war provided Great Britain enormous territorial gains in North America, but disputes over subsequent frontier policy and paying the war’s expenses led to colonial discontent and ultimately to the American Revolution.”

The decision of the victors of WW2 to pause UN member states from seeking territorial gains in the aftermath of WW2 but to carry out territorial adjustments in accordance with the wishes of the peoples concerned has been conflating different tensions (financial, economic, industrial, technological, etc.) between UN member states in the search of each society extending its own sphere of influence.

The character assassination process that the United States (the idea of freedom) has been contending with, especially since the fall of the Soviet Union in the 1990s, has been reinvigorating year after year. Could this character assassination or reputational damage that the United States have been subjected to contend with since the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) permitted that the international rule based postwar order which was supposed to be the final development of a full and complete structure of a world order under law convert into a cadaver that they have been sitting around with the rest of the UN member states to apply, metaphorically speaking, makeup to it to make it look as if it is alive, be a design programmed to strip away from the United States the global policemen

role or authority so the western European monarchs and republics go back to playing directly this role?

The founding fathers of the UN counselled the victors of WW2 (the western Europeans and republics) to stay faithful to the principles included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), including letting UN member states determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social, and cultural development.

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the victors of WW2 to deviate away from the work program which the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to stay faithful to its principles in the UN Charter and the UDHR, and to task governments and international institutions such as the United Nations with determining a “one-size-fits-all” type of political and economic policies, but without demanding that those servants (governments and international institutions) practice the standards or norms that they were legitimizing or preaching, was a policy that was adopted to dismantle the United States to etch them closer to the establishment of a new world government in the course of time and things, or if this shift was caused on its own due to the international order operating on auto-pilot. What I do know is that Chapter 23 in the Gospel of Matthew describes the spiritual corruption of Israel’s leaders. This chapter depicts the various ways in which the teachers of the law and the Pharisees “have failed in their God-given roles in leading the people.” This chapter, which presents in its entirety the words spoken by Jesus, highlights how the teachers of the law and the Pharisees were categorically prioritizing how to sit, metaphorically speaking, in Moses’ seat instead of focusing on how to practice what they were preaching (i.e., justice, mercy, and faithfulness). For this reason, Jesus asks people to be careful of the teachers of the law and the Pharisees and to do everything they tell them. He also asks people not to do what they (the teachers of the law and the Pharisees) do, for they do not practice what they preach. Jesus then says that the teachers of the law and the Pharisees tie up heavy, cumbersome loads and put them on other people’s shoulders, but they themselves are not willing to lift a finger to move them. [Matthew 23: 1-4]

At the end of WW2, the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) sat, metaphorically speaking, in Moses's seat. The victors of WW2 appointed the United States as global policemen. Then, the victors of WW2 created an international intergovernmental organization, which they named the United Nations, to help them with building and maintaining post-conflict peace and stability. Next, the victors of WW2 freed all the societies that they were dominating. Then, they (the victors of WW2) invited all the societies that they freed and the societies that they vanquished in order to promote, under the auspices of the international intergovernmental organization that they created and named the United Nations, social progress that would lead to the advent of a world in which all people would enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want.

This recognition of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family to freedom by the victors of WW2 exemplifies an unprecedented milestone in the history of human rights and affirms an unequalled degree of mindfulness to improve justice and peace in the world. In fact, the resolve of the victors of WW2 to ensure that man does not be compelled to have recourse to any form of rebellion in the aftermath of WW2 did not stop at just championing progressive resolve to secure the ends stipulated in the preamble of the United Nations, but their mindfulness about human rights reached higher heights. The victors of WW2 decided to support the Israelites to establish a "national home" in Palestine, then a region that was under the domination of the British empire.

As the above shows, all the deeds or war aims that the victors of WW2 took (adopted) in the aftermath of WW2 support that those victors chose justice, mercy, and faithfulness over greed or self-indulgence, as the Bible suggests Jesus perceived the teachers of the law and the Pharisees were doing in antiquity. Did the project of the victors of WW2 to reduce fear and want fail because the victors of WW2 refused "to lift a finger to secure them", or did the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who pledged to practice what they were preaching the ones who betrayed them fail?

I do not know the answer to the above questions. What I do know is that to make the territorial adjustment required to establish a national

home for the Jewish people in Palestine, for example, the victors of WW2 had to pick between two choices to achieve this goal. The first is to use the same strategy that the European colonists used to displace the native Americans in the Americas from their land to occupy this social space. The second is to make the territorial adjustment in concert with the Palestinian people, who were the rightful owners of the land (i.e., since the 7th century or the rise of the Muslim world), in which the victors of WW2 decided to establish a "national home" for the Israelites. Why did the victors of WW2 refuse to redraw the map of the Middle East after they gave the Israelis in 1948 territory to establish a national home so the Palestinians form a neighboring state, when they are the ones who drew the geographical boundaries of all the existing Arab states that surround this disputed territory, and all the regimes that control those nations or monarchs are client states to them to this day? How did the victors of WW2 conclude that the Palestinians would be ready to give up their right to repossess their land as the Indians did in the Americas and that they (the Palestinians) would not be committed to this cause as the Jews have been doing from before the birth of Christ two thousand years ago until 1948? Most importantly, how did the victors of WW2 conclude that all the abuse that they knew well in advance that the Israelites would be propelling, that is, to kill Palestinians to stop them from making progress on their cause, would not arouse the human conscience of people around the world, as happened after the Germans killed many Jews during WW2?

The Jews and the Palestinians who inhabit Palestine (Israel as of 1948) are descendants of the prophet Abraham. It would be naive to suppose that the Jews are people who are holy in the eyes of God and that God has chosen them to be a people of his own possession out of all the nations that are on the face of the earth [Deuteronomy 14:2], and as far as the Palestinians are concerned, God wanted them to be human animals, as Israel's defense minister suggested at the start of the Israeli-Hamas War. What if the Palestinians are also holy in the eyes of God? Can the victors of WW2, with all their wealth, power (military), and status, and with all the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) that they have gathered, some of whom are supporting them implicitly and others explicitly in the genocide that they are propelling in Gaza, defeat God (i.e., defeat

the awareness that resides in the hearts of people about how their bias and partiality are repelling people from them instead of attracting them)? Surely, if the victors of WW2 put pressure on the state of Israel to let the Palestinians form an independent state as per their wish or to accept letting them integrate into the full life of the political order of this state, the victors of WW2 would have avoided that the size of the two populations (the Israelis and the Palestinians) and the size of the military arsenal that each faction possesses would become what they are today, which makes the problem a lot more complex to solve.

Likewise, the victors of WW2 were aware in 1945 that human nature has not changed from before and after WW2 and that the environment is constantly changing, as is human progress and awareness. In other words, the victors of WW2 were aware that in the course of time and things that the Arab nations that Israel managed very successfully to tame its rulers in the same way a person tame a dog would one day backstab them as they backstabbed the Ottoman Empire during WW1, and the silence that the Arab leaders are maintaining in front of this genocide can support, including their refusal to open the borders so the Palestinians can flee.

As the above shows, the decision of the victors of WW2 to prioritize economic solutions that entangle nations in debts, social and cultural liberal solutions, human capital flight, civil wars, instability, exploitation, unemployment, appointment of corrupt rules and political class, etc., instead of focusing on promoting the political, economic and social solutions which the founding fathers of the UN (i.e., the fathers of the victors of WW2) suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and counselled them to be faithful to its principles to rejuvenate their power increased the wealth, power and status of the victors of WW2 but on the back drop of transforming the world into a group of states and regions that prioritizes tribalism instead of the standards and norms that makes people want to stay with them and to love them. Are the calamities that have befallen the Israelis as of October 7, 2023, pursuant to their servants (the rulers of the different Arab regimes) refusing to open their borders to let the Palestinians flee a mutiny? Are the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their global policemen (the United States) backstabbing the Israelis by refusing to put pressure on their servants

(the rulers of the different Arab regimes) to open their borders to let the Palestinians flee?

Indeed, the conspiracy that the Israelis are knowing on the hands of their masters (the western European monarchs and republics), their godfather (the United States), and their servants (the rulers of the different Arab regimes) will not help the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel achieve their dream of building Greater Israel any time soon if they do not kill all the Palestinians or topple the different Arab regimes that are conspiring against them.

However, the question that begs answers boils down to one: The conflicts and hatred between people are caused by the victors of WW2 refusing to adopt political and economic policies and operational standards (term limits, checks and balances, voting standards, etc.) that would make it difficult for their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions such as the United Nations, IMF, World Bank, etc.) to regard themselves exempt from observing the standards legitimized. The unwillingness of the victors of WW2 to improve accountability resulted in people staying pitted against each other and being unable to have peace. Evidently, the victors of WW2 can make any place their homeland and are capable of managing their business from any place on earth as well. But for the victors of WW2 to get their way despite the resistance of others, they need to depend on critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions such as the United Nations, IMF, World Bank, etc.) to ensure that their officials observe the standards and norms that they are legitimizing and enforcing. If the rejuvenation of the power of the victors of WW2 depend on the preparedness of their critical thinkers and servants to refrain from being bound by their personal power and conscience and to abide by the standards of the political order legitimized, just as citizens are restricted by the possibilities that their government or the state provide to them, how are the victors of WW2 concluding that their critical thinkers and servants are facilitating for them the right political and economic conditions that could permit them to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others, when none of those critical thinkers and servants (starting with the rulers and political class of Great Britain and the United States) are observing the common standard that are suggested in the UN Charter

and the UDHR and everything they do is done to make people repel away from them instead of to be attracted? In other words, how are the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) and their global policemen (the United States) counting on staying seated on Moses's seat when they are letting as of 1945 the rulers of the sovereign states that existed before WW2 erupted, the rulers of the new sovereign states that they created in the aftermath of WW2, and the staff members of the international institutions that they constantly create and grant right to interfere in the social (civil) order provide parameters for every day behavior while regarding themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in international conventions? To make matters worse, grant all those critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions such as the United Nations, IMF, World Bank, etc.) privileges and immunities. The victors of WW2 should have known better that if they permitted their critical thinkers and servants to use the idea of good (democracy, human rights, freedom, social justice, etc.) to control through such ideas violence for their own benefits, they (the victors of WW2) would be increasing violence and death around the world instead of creating ordered relations, which is what helps them to rejuvenate their powers.

As the above shows, all the state of chaos that has existed in the world since 1945 occurred as a result of the political decisions that the victors of WW2 took, starting from picking to let the Israelis and the Palestinians resolve their conflicts in the same way the European colonists defeated the native Americans to occupy their land in the Americas to the way they allowed that they do not remain the only group that is not practicing what they were legitimizing in constitutions, conventions, treaties, etc., as was the case before WW2 erupted. In other words, the victors of WW2 could have easily avoided all the chaos that has existed in the world since 1945 if they had just observed the work program that the founding fathers of the UN suggested and not let the United States (the global policemen as of 1945), the different UN member states, the different international institutions such as the United Nations system, and non-state actors refuse to practice the standards that are being legitimized in constitutions, conventions, treaties, etc. just as they are doing.

Accordingly, if the victors of WW2 preferred that all the critical thinkers (mathematicians, physicists, philosophers, historians, etc.) and servants (governments and international institutions) that they want provide parameters for everyday behavior for citizens get together and ruthlessly fight with one another to determine who could succeed at getting in control of violence wielding ideas such as the idea of freedom, democracy, human rights, freedom, social justice, etc, or apparatuses such as governments, international institutions, etc. to make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on this own, instead of how to use such ideas or legal entities to maintain international peace and security, means that the victors are not fully working to take effective measures to prevent and remove the threats to the peace, but are busy doing what the teachers of the law and the Pharisees were doing in antiquity with their God-given roles. This was to increase spiritual corruption instead of reduce it.

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the victors of WW2 to let all their critical thinkers (mathematicians, physicists, philosophers, historians, etc.) and servants (governments and international institutions) not observe the appeal suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR and to keep preoccupying themselves with what their neighbors are doing instead of leading by example is helping the victors of WW2 to keep their dominant role intact or not. What I do know is that in the Quran in Surah Al-Anfal, it is suggested that “the worst of all beings in the sight of Allah are the ‘wilfully’ deaf and dumb, who do not understand.” [Al-Anfal 8:22] The next verse in the same Surah suggests that “had Allah found any goodness in them [the people who preach one thing and practice another], He would have certainly made them hear.” [Al-Anfal 8:23]

Indeed, the constant wars that have swept the world as of 1945 are due to the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) regarding themselves “the measure of all things” and always looking for ways to outsmart the truth or the rule of law instead of leading by example, so people do not have a reason to be against doing everything they are being told to do.

If for as long as the victors of WW2 let their critical thinkers and servants preach one thing and practice another (i.e., tie up heavy,

cumbersome loads on other people's shoulders, but without observing the principles that they are legitimizing or enforcing) it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to find any goodness in those helpers or gain any benefits from having ended domination in the aftermath of WW2, and then inviting people back to cooperate to prevent wars through collective security or settling disputes through negotiation and arbitration, then the victors of WW2 have no other choice but to rely on pre-1945 political and economic strategies to rejuvenate their power.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 (the old powers) were rejuvenating their power before WW2 erupted. In other words, how the old powers were preventing that corruption, disregard, betrayal, division, etc. from growing and shattering the institutions that they were depending on to get their way despite the resistance of others. That is also to say, to prevent the rock that Daniel interpreted for King Nebuchadnezzar from growing and then striking the kingdoms that would rise after his death, and its rulers would be prioritizing greed and self-indulgence over justice, mercy, and faithfulness. What I do know is that ever since people began to rely on the power of human reason (i.e., or Aristotelian sciences) to explore, none of the moral clarity approaches that the fittest employed to improve social relations helped the fittest to preserve his power perpetually. If people continue to alternate between force and mindfulness to determine who could make the weakest consider his opinion before taking action on his own instead of placing war and dynamism in the rearview mirror, it would not be possible to make the weak want to surrender freedom voluntarily to the fittest and to stay in group with him or to let him have more powers than others.

Accordingly, if the victors of WW2 continue to fail at making their critical thinkers and servants pivot to secure the UN goals suggested in the preamble of the UN Charter or the UDHR and newborns (new people) continue to rely on the idea of human rights, freedom, democracy, social justice, etc. to grow economically and increase their happiness in all sorts of ways instead of staying focused and concentrating on how to create ordered relations, then it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to keep their dominant role intact or to avoid that the international order becomes like a ship that is out of

balance and the wind is tossing in all directions. In other words, when people use the idea of competition or cooperation to increase their own happiness instead of to reduce fear and want, the human rights violations that people would be propelling would be swelling the balance of power between people in a disproportional way. When the architecture of the international order becomes corrupt and people start to use the idea of good (i.e., freedom, human rights, social justice, etc.) to scramble (rival or cooperate) for water, food, mates, wealth, power, status, prestige, and fame to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on his own instead of staying in group with the victors of WW2, then it would not be possible for the families/dynasties who control the United Nations (i.e., the victors of WW2) to avoid that their power, wealth, and status be knocked down and new families/dynasties starting to play their role. This is because the victors of WW2 conserved their wealth, power, or status by conserving the people who were ready to stay with them and letting them have more powers than others, including the number of people who were ready to betray or deceive their masters into staying with them instead. If the number of people who are working to preserve their self-help rises, then the victors of WW2 cannot avoid sinking deeper into the mud if every member of the human family is rivaling to conserve his happiness and not to create ordered relations.

Actually, when we factor how the decision of the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) to dismantle all their empires, and to let the United States play the role of global policemen and with sovereign governments building and maintaining post-conflict peace and stability in co-operation with the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations has cordoned them (the victors of WW2) off, but without allowing them to peacefully sit yet, metaphorically speaking, in Moses' seat, we can say that the victors of WW2 must be under enormous pressure due to their critical thinkers and servants depending on the standards and norms suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR to protect them, but without observing the principles that they are preaching. That is to say, their critical thinkers and servants are creating a zero-sum game. Likewise, we can say that if technology (artificial intelligence, computers tools, etc.) does not help the victors of WW2 to pivot their

critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to start to observe the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, thus permitting them (the victors of WW2) to stabilize the international order, they (victors of WW2) risk becoming the first casualty of their decision to free people and to enlarge and expand the role of international institutions and non-state actors to include providing political, economic and social solutions in UN member states but without observing the principles that they are preaching just as government do since antiquity already. This is because the political, economic, and social policies that the victors of WW2 (i.e., western European monarchs and republics) adopted since 1945 are what persuaded people around the world to start demanding that outcomes be shared on equal rights (perceive that what is good for the goose is good for the gander) instead of creating ordered relations with such policies. This also makes the victors of WW2 the owners of the political mess that exists in the world.

In addition, because the victors of WW2 were not against slowing down the rate at which technology was being injected into the social order or concerned with the implication of limiting the role that human beings were programmed to effectuate in nature, tools became in charge of a big share of creating change. Instead, we now have a situation in which the victors of WW2 are limiting the role of the human body as an instrument of change in our constantly changing world. The decision of the victors of WW2 to free a large number of people does not help the victors of WW2 preserve their power but accelerates its shrinking. This is because the people who were freed by technology became spectators instead of players. And hence this game that all members of the human family are playing as of 1945 is no longer about how to build a world in which all people shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want, but about becoming preoccupied with whether or not their neighbor is observing the principles legitimized in the UN Charter and the UDHR or not, then it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 to preserve their power or avoid that all the disregard and contempt that their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are effecting close in on them and revert them to a simpler form in the course of time and things if they do not start to shrink the role of international institutions and non-state actors in UN member

states and strengthen the role of governments, or vice-versa. This is because human reason controls human ingenuity and human imagination. And since human imagination is a function of the rise in awareness and knowledge, the more people become aware that the victors of WW2 and their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) are not being mindful about human rights to improve the collective happiness of every member of the human family but to shrink it, the more the struggle between people to know what is the truth or to know who to believe and so on deepens, the more the tensions, wars, and conflicts that people would be knowing would be pushing the individuals involved to make agreements that would not be real because humans refuse to be ethical. In other words, people, and in particular the rich, refuse to confront the truth because social justice shrinks their wealth, power, and status.

Accordingly, we can say that if power, wealth, and status is still of paramount importance to the victors of WW2 in the aftermath of WW2, then the victors of WW2 must be, as of 1945, devoted like everyone else to shrinking the happiness of the people (societies, businesses, nations, kingdoms) they freed and the ones they vanquished to stop the heedless way in which those people (societies, businesses, nations, kingdoms) are refusing to give up their natural freedom to obtain the benefits of the international order or are turning away from them to stop them from swelling the balance of power in disproportionate ways that can effect the maintenance of their strength and stability. In other words, the victors of WW2 are, as of 1945, devoted to pausing people (societies, businesses, nations, kingdoms) from wanting to challenge their power, wealth, and status by adopting pre-1945 political solutions in the hope of such a tactic bringing back the command and control of the international order to being administered in the same way they were doing it before WW2 erupted.

If the above analysis contains any elements of truth so far, this means that the victors of WW2 must be adopting policies that weaken the United States in order to dismantle this country and all the client states that this country controls or orbits in its sphere to stop them from continuing to weaken the power, wealth, and stability of the victors of WW2.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 are weakening the authority of the United States around the world. What I do know is that in the book of Matthew, it is suggested that Jesus said that the teachers of the law and Pharisees were “traveling over land and sea to win a single convert, and when they were succeeding, they were making them twice as much a child of hell as they are.” [Matthew 23:15] Since for as long as the victors of WW2 allow their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to regard themselves exempt from observing the work program suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR, it would not be possible for those helpers to be working to preserve the power of their masters (the victors of WW2) or to help them to behave righteously, the longer the victors of WW2 take to engulf the world in a new global war to create a new reset, the more people’s distrust of the progressive measure that the United States (the global policemen) is taking to secure the UN goals would be growing. Accordingly, the more people around the world distrust the national and foreign policy strategies of the United States, the more people will perceive that the United States is using the idea of freedom (a mean) to create political transformations (goals) that deepen its hegemony instead of securing the war aims that were enshrined in the aftermath of WW2 in the UN Charter and the UDHR. Likewise, the more the international community distrusts or isolates the United States, the more the lack of international support or respect that the United States would be knowing would be slipping the United States out of its glory to let the United Nations reign in its place, thus securing the implicit war aims of the victors of WW2 to build a global government that would permit them to depend on to sit in Moses’s seat indeterminately.

To aid in depicting how the victors of WW2 could be trying to get out of the difficult situation that they are in pursuant to their decision to dismantle their empires, and to grant right to critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to regard themselves exempt from observing the standards and norms legitimized in the UN Charter and the UDHR instead of pinning those helpers down to observing the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them (the victors of WW2) to stay faithful to its principles to keep their dominant role, I will represent how this change of guard or hands (slip-in / slip-out of power) from the United States to

the United Nations could happen, by forming an analogy with how this process happens in atoms. This could help readers easily establish the connection and later reflect.

Let us consider the international order to resemble metaphorically the way atoms are structured. Scientists suggest that an atom is composed of a nucleus in which protons and neutrons are housed, and on the outside of this nucleus dwell or revolve electrons. In an atom, electrons do not mix with the protons that are with the neutrons staying inside the nucleus. This is because the merging of electrons with protons would result in the creation of a neutron, which is heavier than a proton and an electron combined. Splitting neutrons requires a tremendous amount of energy (i.e., a fission reaction).

If the victors of WW2, want to keep their superiority or preserve the international order in the same way atoms create balance, this means that the individuals who are administering the institutions that they are depending on to foster cooperation between existing national governments must stay loyal to them in the same way neutrons in atoms do it, or the disciples of Jesus were loyal to him before he was betrayed by one of his disciples. The United Nations is by far the largest and most powerful international intergovernmental institution, and the victors of WW2 depend on its stated purposes to maintain international peace and security, develop friendly relations among nations, achieve international cooperation, and serve as a centre for harmonizing the actions of nations.

I have no way of knowing if the United Nations officials who the victors of WW2 are depending on their wisdom at this international institution to lead by example, so it would be possible that UN member states observe the set of norms and rules meant to govern the behavior of states and other actors in the international system to stay stable. What I do know is that in 2013, a UN staff member was working in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) for UNOPS (a UN agency), and when he refused to go along with the decision of his senior managers using the UN bank account as a means to launder money, his senior managers asked him to pick between two choices: the first is to transfer temporarily to Sudan or wait in the DRC until his contract expires to go home permanently. In Sudan, this UN staff

member committed the same mistake. He refused to prioritize the business interests of the United Nations (as his senior managers were using this legal entity to achieve) over the collective interests of humanity. He refused to accept the request of a DFID (the British government) official in Sudan to violate the UN financial rules and regulations on a project that Dfid was financing, and he was negotiating with this agency because this action could destabilize the credibility and security of Great Britain and, in particular, the British Crown. The same UN senior managers devised a plot to accuse him of being a threat to the interests of the United Nations to sideline him and, in this way, not lose this business. In the same way the United States started detaining people on the ground of being a threat to its interests following the Sept. 11 attacks and then freeing them at its convenience, the United Nations placed this UN staff member (who is actually a US citizen) on administrative leave on the ground of being a threat to its interests. Next, the United Nations canceled the investigation without telling this UN staff why he was placed on administrative leave and who filed a complaint against him, which is against UN rules and regulations. To show the ill will of the United Nations, while on administrative leave, the United Nations informed this UN staff member that it was restructuring and ended his contract. When this UN staff member filed an application in the UN courts, the UNOPS senior managers and lawyers offered to regulate his pension and health insurance, pay him a sum of money, and even offer him a two-year retainer contract if he agreed to drop the application he filed. When this UN staff member refused the offer of the UN lawyers because it did not include helping him resume his career development goal of becoming a UN Resident Representative, which he was working with his senior managers on attaining, he confronted a development that he was not at all expecting. After the UN judges listened to all the background and details of this case, they ganged with the UN senior managers and UN lawyers and pulled from their bag of rules a technical penalty to dismiss the case of this UN staff member, thus ensuring that the whole world knows about how the United Nations transformed after the victors of WW2 granted this organization the right to be an economic operator. That is to say, a temple that is not any different from the way Jesus found the teachers of the law and the Pharisees had converted the house of God in antiquity into a place to change money and sell doves. The only

difference is that instead of the UN executives (UN Secretary General, UN senior managers, UN lawyers, and UN judges) changing money and selling doves at the United Nations, they are using the ideas of equal rights, freedom, democracy, social justice, and so forth as commodities.

In fact, the supervisors of this UN staff member who were injuring with impunity were finally caught in 2022. The UN Secretary General did not make any efforts aimed at rebuilding the credibility of the United Nations. Sadly, the organization did not reopen and review the cases of UN staff members that the UN senior managers who were involved in the 2022 UN scandal abused, denied rights, or mistreated to compensate the innocent ones. This UN staff member whose story is depicted in this present work wrote to the United Nations (UNOPS) to request that his case be reopened and reviewed considering that the individuals who were implicated in the 2022 UN scandal were the same supervisors who ganged on him between 2013 -2017 and used their authority to deny him rights and get their way despite the resistance of others. The reply this former UN staff member got was that “this case was closed from the point of view of the UN.”

As the above shows, the international intergovernmental institution that the victors of WW2 are depending on for its stated purposes to maintain international peace and security, develop friendly relations among nations, achieve international cooperation, and serve as a centre for harmonizing the actions of nations is not preserving the power, wealth, and status of the victors of WW2 in the same way neutrons in atoms do it or the disciples of Jesus were doing it before he was betrayed, but is instead diminishing it gravely.

In as far as the UN member states or the international military institutions that dwell or revolve around the victors of WW2 and the victors of WW2 depend on to maintain their strength and stability, the United States, along with the rest of the four other permanent members of the UN Security Council (China, Russia, Great Britain, and France), represent the first line of defense. The conflicts that ensued as of 2022 between the permanent members of the UN Security Council after Russia invaded Ukraine show that there is a big schism in the way

those five countries are creating balance between each other and with the victors of WW2.

States and armies are nothing more or less than systems of command and control. If the victors of WW2 cannot leverage power to command and control the five permanent members of the UN Security Council, this means that their capacity and ability to command and control the international order are in steep decline.

Accordingly, since the victors of WW2 (the western European monarchs and republics) did not build the military superiority or authorities of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council at the same level after they dismantled their empire and beefed the power, authority, or military superiority of the United States above and beyond the rest of the other four members, this means that the United States is providing the security detail for the victors of WW2. Most importantly, we can say that since the United States is permanently assigned to protect the victors of WW2 against any type of threat, this means that the United States has been playing since 1945 a critical role in protecting the international postwar rule-based world order that the victors of WW2 legitimized and enforced in the aftermath of WW2 and depended on to keep their dominant role. In other words, the US institutions are not only protecting or safeguarding comprehensively key facilities that the victors of WW2 depend on to maintain their strength and stability, such as the integrity of the financial system, the industrial military complex, the technological complex, the energy complex, etc., from any attack, but their power and authority extend to providing intelligence protection as well.

The total protection of the victors of WW2 consists of preserving (protecting and safeguarding) their power, wealth, and status against any threat and carrying out constant intelligence protection to identify and deter any potential threat that can harm their global role.

If the above analysis about how the United States is providing total protection to the victors of WW2 after those western European monarchs and republics dismantled their empires as of 1945 contains any elements of truth, this means that there must be a rule that its impact could be what is preventing the victors of WW2 from

stabilizing their command and control of the international order as of the date the United States started to play the role of global policemen on their behalf. This rule is above and beyond the threat that the United Nations and the rest of the international organizations are encumbering in the international order.

I have no way of knowing what this rule is, but what I do know, as I said before, is that in an atom, the electrons dwell or revolve around the nucleus, which houses the protons and neutrons in sequential orbits. That is to say, the electrons that are orbiting in the first shell stay revolving in the same shell and do not jump shells, as humans spend all their time jumping up and down the hierarchical social classes that they depend on to dominate each other. Put differently, the relations between the electrons and the protons or neutrons depict the relations that people were adopting when the caste system was legalized and used to rank people's social, political, and economic relations.

If the victors of WW2 are having difficulty stabilizing the international order as they were capable of doing before WW1 or WW2 erupted, this dynamism that ensued could be due to a large number of people from lower social tiers rivaling to displace the victors of WW2 from their glory (i.e., sitting on "Moses's seat"). In other words, a large number of people are, as of 1945, refusing to cope as they were doing before WW2 and are all wanting that outcomes be shared on equal rights in accordance with their legal rights, as stipulated in the UN Charter and the UDHR. That is to say, the bottleneck that this phenomenon is creating could be depicted to resemble a scenario in which all the electrons that revolve on the outer shells of an atom are all creeping (including jumping shells) to enter the nucleus of an atom, or people from lower social classes are all creeping to slip into the Brahmins social level (the top slot in the caste social stratification system). The space inside the nucleus of an atom can perhaps converge, but the space outside appears to extend to infinity.

I have no way of knowing how this slipping of people (societies) that are supposed to be servicing the victors of WW2 is happening (i.e., staying exploring and creating change from the outside of the nucleus as electrons keep doing). What I do know is that electrons do not get

together, and postulate that it would be much easier for them to increase their happiness if they moved from the orbit that they are occupying to where the protons are staying in the nucleus to stay with them or to displace them so they can stay in the nucleus themselves instead. Likewise, the animals do not get together and pledge to stay together in a group or to let one (or some) in the group have more power than others, but each breed stays with its own kind. If the victors of WW2 are not reaping substantial benefits from the international postwar rule-based world order they legitimized as of 1945, the restraint could be due to the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who are protecting them slipping into the nucleus where they are staying from lower social stratification tiers instead of continuing to service them from the outside (i.e., exploring and creating change).

Humans adopt a social relationship that functions in the same way comets form tails (i.e., client states) and do not stay like electrons, animals, numbers, trees, etc. to preserve their unique identity. In other words, people relations trickle in the same way baby ducks follow their mothers or a staircase looks.

If the aforementioned relationship about how protons, neutrons, and electrons interrelate is analogous to the way human relations also form, we can say that the glitch that is preventing the victors of WW2 from stabilizing the international order could be due to the United States allowing client states to slip into the nucleus with them since they started to safeguard the total security of the victors of WW2 in 1945.

Could the October 7, 2023, Hamas attacks be part of a series of plots that the victors of WW2 designed to evict the Israelis from continuing to be in the core after they began to change the Islamic world in ways that contradict the plan that the victors of WW2 have for the people who subscribe to this faith? In other words, could this latest Hamas attack be part of a retaliation plan that the victors of WW2 designed to rebuke the United States for having allowed that its principal client state in the middle east (i.e., Israel) succeed at dissolving the Palestinian problem and forming a union with its own client states, i.e., the Muslim nations, thus in this way getting them to begin to slip-in

into this core as the United States slipped the Israeli when its rulers and political class began to put their self-interests over the self-interests of the US constitution? Most importantly, could the October 07, 2023, Hamas attacks be part of the ongoing series of plots that their events started to play out as a result of the collapse of the Soviet Union in the 1990s, in particular to continue to assassinate the power or authority of the United States until this country slips out of its global policemen role and the United Nations slips, instead, in?

In conclusion, if, as I said before, the bitterness and malice between people is intense, and as of 1945 most rulers and political class are united, but their hearts are divided, this means that all the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who are staying with the victors of WW2 in the nucleus already cannot be focusing on how to secure the UN goals or “looking to what ‘deeds’ their soul has sent forth for tomorrow” [Surah Al-Hashr 59:18] but are all “tying up heavy, cumbersome loads and put them on other people’s shoulders, but they themselves are not willing to lift a finger to move them.” [Matthew 23:4]

The word Al-Hashr in Arabic means cramming together in a compact mass. Since all the critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) who are already staying with the victors of WW2 in the nucleus are crammed together, and the majority are not focusing on “important matters of the law—justice, mercy, and faithfulness” [Matthew 23:23], but on just doing the opposite, i.e., appearing to people as righteous but on the inside they are full of hypocrisy and wickedness [Matthew 23:28], this means that all the constant wars, betrayal, and disregard that the world is knowing as of 1945 could be due to those individuals involved working on expelling each other from the nucleus, as I said before. [Surah Al-Hashr 59:2]

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 would manage to expel all the individuals (critical thinkers and servants) who are already staying with them in the nucleus and who are already using the political order as a means to an end (i.e., to benefit the individuals involved), or if those individuals in question (i.e., governments and international institutions) would gang on them and betray them so they can start to play their role. What I do know is that in the same second

verse of Surah Al-Hasher, it is suggested that “the disbelievers believe that they can never be banished from their strongholds, but then the decree of Allah came upon them from where they never expected. And Allah casts horror into their hearts, so they destroy their houses with their own hands and the hands of the believers.”

Once again, I have no way of knowing if Allah is meddling on earth and if his will has been responsible for disgracing or expelling all the rulers and political class who are not practicing what they are preaching from their glory or not. Likewise, I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 would manage to avoid being banished from their stronghold if they continued to allow their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) to cram up with them in the nucleus without observing the principles suggested in the UN Charter and the UDHR. What I do know is that the Pottery Barn rule is an American expression alluding to a policy of "you break it, you buy it". " This expression suggests that “if an actor inadvertently creates a problem, the actor is obliged to provide the resources necessary to correct it.” I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 would be able to fix the international order without their power, wealth, and status being stripped from them and handed to new families/dynasties to play their role. In the religious scriptures, it is suggested that all the people who defy the truth (conspire, disobey, etc.) will be debased, just like those who committed immoral acts before them [Surah Al-Mujadila 58:5]. For this reason, only time will prove if the victors of WW2 will be able to defy the fate reserved in religious scripture for people who defy the truth or not!

In the interim, could we say that the calamities that the families/dynasties that control the state of Israel are knowing as of October 7, 2023, cannot be events that the Palestinians masterminded alone? In the animal kingdom, when two animals fight to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the others, the animals who are sidelining with the fittest do not tell the fittest to spare the weak animal, but they all gang and kill the weak. If the western European monarchs and republics and the United States refuse to let the Israelis kill all the Palestinians or put pressure on their client states to open their borders so the Palestinians could flee into, then either the western European monarchs and republics and the United States are

conspiring against the Israelis, or the international rule-based postwar world order is operating on auto-pilot and no one is in control, which would really be peer if this were the case. Similarly, we can say that if the victors of WW2 do not resize the United Nations and stop the UN member states from continuing to regard themselves exempt from observing the principles suggested in the UN charter and the UDHR and let the authentic custodian of the idea of freedom (i.e., the United States) start to stabilize peace and security around the world, then either the western European monarchs and republics and the United States would have a date with the calamities that the Iraqi, Afghani, Ukrainian, Syrians, and now the Israelis are going through, or the history of the world is fake.