

# THE WRITING ON THE WALL

The ramifications of dismantling the  
United States after WW2

**The Dismantling of the  
World Political Order**

**Salim Maloof, Ph.D.**

Copyright 2021 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloo S., The Dismantling of the World Political Order, 2021

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof  
THE DISMANTLING OF THE WORLD POLITICAL ORDER  
The Writing on the Wall

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,  
go to:

Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment  
[www.centermpa.com](http://www.centermpa.com)

In memory of my beloved parents  
with special dedication to my late uncle Melhem Maloof

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work  
Special Note to All UN Member States  
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations  
Special Note to All People of Faith  
Special Note to All Readers  
Special Note to the People of Lebanon and People of Egypt  
Special Note on the meaning of the term “United States”  
Definitions

## PREFACE

### PART 1

1. In the Eye of the Storm
2. The United States: A healthy social culture
3. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights: the end of the line
4. The rise of Individualism
5. Making changes in an ever changing world
6. Importance of doing things right to minimize threats
7. The future of the idea of freedom unknown
8. Could the world be in the midst of a global counterculture?
9. Price to avoid a zero net sum game
10. Conviction and courage are two innate ferocious abilities

### PART TWO

11. Good pollination versus bad pollination
12. Unconventional approach to maximize happiness and well-being
13. Odd idiosyncratic behavior of man
14. Man’s eccentric habits not compatible with societal norms

### PART THREE

15. Importance of fear and faithfulness for the success of any movement
16. Danger of trapping the idea of freedom in a mangle
17. Are people living in an Age of ignorance?
18. Are people chasing after wind?
19. Are humans wandering as Moses and his people were doing in antiquity?
20. What can Moses's decision to listen to the advice of his father in law teach us
21. Is the international rule based postwar order a philosophy that constantly course-correct itself?
22. The threats that are weakening the idea of Freedom

### PART FOUR

23. The political security problem

### PART FIVE

24. A look into how human imagination is threatening the idea of Freedom
25. The mind and Newton's 2nd Law of Motion
26. The Character Assassination of the United States

### PART SIX

27. Can mankind limit the abomination and desolation that the victors of WW2 compelled worldwide?
28. Did people stop to write the same history together
29. Is the world engulfed in a class conflict?
30. The threats Challenging the Anglosphere
31. A man reaps what he sows

# Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservation against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC which UNOPS negotiated directly with the Prime Minister.

The government of the Democratic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the money of the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo gets deposited in the UN bank account, the United Nations would withdraw its administrative fee, then the United Nations would use its procurement rulers to justify waving open competition, and recruit a line ministry within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to implement the same project back (redeposit the money back in the bank account of the DRC government minus the UN fee). The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent, because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry who received the money becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency run its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paper works to make everything become legally clean. The project involved opening 2000kms of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national signaled the presence of irregularities on this project to his supervisors, he was told by his supervisors that the organization was going to review and take action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home, or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if in Sudan he succeeds in growing the Sudan Office, the organization would help him to achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC as negotiated after his departure to Sudan.

However, few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 Million Euro, and in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fee after Dfid (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for are met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staffs.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS telling this US national what were the charges leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring, and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill for the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what were the charges leveled against him, who filed a claim against him, interviewed him, or followed any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hopes to make his supervisors reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel and not for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with the US national outside the UN court. The United Nations (UNOPS)

made an offer to the US national which also included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions, health insurance, including offering him a new two-years retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS), because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that require that any staff who rejects any decision taken by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national because he did not put into question within 60 days the decision of the organization named United Nations placing him on administrative leave, and waited until his supervisors inform him that they cancelled the investigation to question his rights, he was denied right to any claim! Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsel, and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave! That is to say that, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure his rights would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations want people to stop being tolerant to have any right and want them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations immunities. No UN staff and no person who the United Nations victimizes him [her] can have his [her] case heard in a civilian court. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right for as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN charter!

Throughout history, the people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so, the way the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case is not new. What is new, though, is that this case confirms once again that the search for shared values through tasking a formal intergovernmental organization to play the custodian

of morality and human rights while its administrators are seeking to realize the full development of their personality at the same time is elusive. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers did not get the outcome that they liked.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to on the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), this then signify that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties who control the United States (the custodian of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties who won WW2 (the custodian of the Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them while the administrators of the United Nations (organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taken place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those afore mentioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN charter thus granting any person who the general organization named United Nations victimized right to have his case be heard in civil courts, and in this way avoid that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorb them and start to build on top of their civilizing mission.

# Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts, and to make future wars impossible after World War Two (WW2).

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued on the aftermath of WW2, or any government that came to power on the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states ideas on what its leaders, thinkers or its populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts, or to strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give best results, nor it was prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted right to the international intergovernmental organization named United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social and cultural solutions in UN member states while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named United Nations could revert to a simpler form the victors of WW2 in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staffs of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability, while UN systems' are feeding part of their decision making process, or if the strategies that the UN systems' are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor are using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed, instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested and that was to let governments provide parameters for every day behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all its form by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such dossier on the maintenance of the families/dynasties who won WW2 is also studied.

As the afore shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise or criticize any UN member state. Nor the intentions of the author are to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, ideology, including who governments decide should live or die, or whether mankind should go back to adopt pre 1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes, or certain countries are mentioned and others are not, has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon.

The author goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between nations including failure to make people surrender freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim being to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that in the opinion of the author they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire happens to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only, and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

# Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea is not made of matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “*United Nations*” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN) who the different UN member states created on the aftermath of WW2, and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) are threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world), and is preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

One should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the author recognize that many UN staffs are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter, and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contribution to deepen peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (herein the organization) made to contribute to human development are impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staffs, and henceforth the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staffs also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international

order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, that its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihood just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15<sup>th</sup> century / early 16<sup>th</sup> century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good, because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want including justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre 1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (herein the organization) to be operated in the same way people, on the outside of the UN fence, do.

# Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not), and man's on-going search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is in the religious scriptures already.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures, and at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures says would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuously work programs that prioritizes the idea of good, and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify ones' own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is looking into the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool to control behavior on the aftermath of World War 2 in order to let technology (machinery) do the lion share. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person right or if a real person does it (i.e., enforce the law); the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being, would help the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of WW2 to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures, could help them to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

One should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intention of the author is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, disprove

any faith, tell readers to have faith or not to have faith in a particular religion over another, or putting into question any fact presented in any religion or any religious book. Likewise, I am not trying to tell what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. All the biblical references I picked or I analyzed were solely used, because I felt contain ideas that can aid me to comprehend better if the decision of the victors of World War 2 (i.e., the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) to act contrary to what those chosen scripture counsels is smart to help them maintain their strength and stability or not.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase ‘upon him be peace and blessings.’ This phrase is not included in this work whenever the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and Blessings) is mentioned. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

# Special Note to All Readers

All people are plugged in life (i.e., reality). After birth, all newborns find the world inhabited by existing people, and all the social space is either frozen by living or dead people. In other words, the social space is partitioned and different people declare proprietary ownership over the partitioned social or void space. Hence there is no free social space (including a sea or air space) today on earth that no person or no nation who does not claim right to it, we can say that no newborn is free today to dispose of any natural resource that exist in this prevailing space according to his own personal power and conscience. Furthermore, hence law and political order are not natural but human creations, and all the people depend on the idea of government to legitimize natural and legal rights, it is evident that the governments who amass the most wealth and who invest the most to develop militarily are the ones who wield the most power.

Furthermore, hence a government is a legal entity formed by a group of individuals to engage in leading and in protecting members from “each other,” and all the people whose wealth, status or power is vaster, must logically then wield the vastest power.

Moreover, because status or power need time under normal conditions to wield class (i.e., wealth), but class (wealth) can wield status or power in no time, we can then say that the people who possess class (wealth) possess more power than people who possess status (prestige, social honor, popular) or power, which they gain from engaging in social change or a government job.

In addition, since a person's class (i.e., economic position in a society), is based on birth and individual achievement, we can say that because humans delegate the wealth and the social space that they freeze hereditarily or to individuals who they themselves designate, this means that any individual or a legal entity that is capable to preserve its class the longest, this entity (family, dynasty, religion, race, organization, etc.) must be possessing the largest slice of power. To give an example, all people die but the idea of God lives forever. This means that the people (organizations, associations, etc.) who use the idea of God or religion as a tool to solidify their wealth, power, and status, must be the

most affluent among all the people, because they are able to change through the religious institutions that they build the history of the world as they please.

Moreover, because there are two ways that any entity (family, dynasty, religion, race, organization, etc.) can solidify its power: one of which is through the idea of good, and the other is through the idea of evil which people reject, this means that the families, dynasties, religions, race, organizations, etc. who promote itself as being a force for good, or as being a force for good but oppressed must be wielding power more than other families, dynasties, religions, race, organizations, etc. who just use their wealth to increase their domination.

Additionally, because the individuals or legal entities who promote themselves as a force for good cannot be promulgating that they are dedicating their life to serving all other living beings, and at the same time working on increasing their wealth, status, power, or happiness, this means that the only way to do it (serve their self-interests) is if they increase their power with limited involvement.

For example, the Protestant church could be the authority who provide the legitimacy of the British monarch. The British monarch is just a tool that enforces the spheres of the Protestant church. Likewise, the other way around could be the case. The British monarch could be the authority who provide protection to the Protestant church. The job of the protestant church is to provide the legitimacy of the British monarch. The two authorities could also be partners. Also, because the Protestant church or the British Monarch are not as old as the Christian church, Roman Empire, Greek Empire, or the Jewish Empire, there is a good chance that the world could still be controlled to this day by the religious institutions who survived from those four authorities especially that all four have been involved in politics and business to this day but under a different nomenclature.

This means that world affairs (be it politics or business) can be concentrated in the hands of specific power-houses (families/dynasties, institutions, organization, a club, an association, a religious faith, etc.) who keep limited involvement. This also means that the elected officials who administer governments may not be the ones who the buck stops

with them, but rather it is the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world who take all ultimate decisions. In other words, elected officials (Kings, Presidents, Ministers, Parliament members, governors, etc.) play role exactly as law enforcement officer, judges, etc. do. For example, the people who work in law enforcement (government jobs) wield considerable power, but the buck does not stop with them on when to effectuate a reset of the political, economic and social order of the world.

That is also to say that it is highly likely that the United States, for example, is not the country who decide which country is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to remain peaceful as some people think, but another group of men with much higher authority who do it. The global policemen of the world role that the United States is playing as of 1945 could only be a functional role that was delegated to this country to fulfil by the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world (the real victors of WW2). The United States is just fronting for the group of men who regard themselves the deputy of God on earth.

This work was prepared for the sole attention of the group of men who regard themselves as the deputy of God on earth. They are the ones who all the nations and peoples of every language dreads and fears them, due to their power to spare or put to death any ruler or king, or promote or humble any ruler or king, as King Nebuchadnezzar greatness and splendor covered. [Daniel 5:18].

In this spirit, it is very important that readers note that all the families/dynasties, institutions, organization, clubs, associations, religious faith, etc., mentioned by name in this work are only used due to the relevance of their historicity and their relevance to permit me to draw conclusions or to move forward. In other words, it is not my intention to discredit those mentioned, institutions, organization, clubs, associations, nations, kingdoms, families/dynasties or the people of those nations, or the leaders of those nations past or present.

# Special Note to the People of Lebanon and People of Egypt

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the cause of the failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts between nations and to make future wars impossible. This work was prepared for the sole attention of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world). The goal of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 could continue to play the deputy of God role, after they approved that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations (UN) become an economic operator who buys and sells as most people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Presently, the world is composed of 193 UN member states. This signify there is today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architecture does not resemble the other. In principle, all the UN member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the rule of law using the liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies).

A pendulum is a weight suspended from a pivot so that it can swing freely. A political spectrum is a pendulum. A pendulum is like a compass. When the weight (the bob) is not in motion, this is called the rest position or the mean position. Let the international rule based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2 to be the rest position or the mean position of the weight. Ideally, all the nations should have their political status either aligned with the mean position, or positioned on either side but not too far from it. The furthest position the weight oscillates in either direction from the mean position is called extreme. The goal is to avoid that the weight (the international order) oscillates toward the extreme position. When the international order tilt toward either extreme, this means that the power and status of the victors of WW2 (the custodians of the liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy) is weakening. Let one extreme refer to a

chaotic state (or a democratic state) and the other to a stable state (or repressive state).

After careful considerations, I picked the social order that exist in Lebanon to represent the chaotic/democratic state. In my opinion, Lebanon is chaotic, because it is the most democratic and free country that exist today. That is to say that any country whose political system is not polarized cannot be a democratic and its people cannot be free country! For example, the United States is polarized because it is a democratic country and its people are free. I picked Egypt for the second extreme, i.e., stable/repressive. Egypt was not my first choice for this extreme, because there are many “many” countries that its regimes are a lot more repressive, and by a big distance. For example, I consider the political system in the Scandinavian countries which the United Nations (the intergovernmental organization) classify as the most democratic since the UN started to make such surveys as the total opposite or the least democratic. That is to say, any country that its people cooperate using a hierarchical political system (constitutional or absolute monarchy) do not know what democracy is. In other words, the maturity that the Scandinavians show is not the outcome of democratic awareness, but the result of many years of repressiveness blended in wealth (i.e., the way horses are tamed). If the people in the Scandinavian countries were truly (naturally) democratic or free, the state of the political situation in those countries, would not be any different than the case that exist in Lebanon (chaotic), Egypt (no freedom of expression), the United States (partially chaotic), etc.,

However, I picked Egypt because the citizens of this country believed that they deserve to cooperate with one another based on the principle of equal rights (2011 Egyptian revolution), but instead of the families/dynasties who won WW2 (the owner of the international postwar world order) standing up with the people of Egypt to see that their wish gets honored as the international rule based postwar world order call for, they (the victors of WW2) decided to let the military complex return back to power. The way the victors of WW2 (the owner of the international postwar world order) handled the Egyptian up rise is not any different in complexity and contradiction than the way they have handled the annexation of Russia of Crimea in 2014. Those two afore mentioned events are two blunt violations of the international rule

based world order. If they remain uncorrected, this signify that the victors of WW2 could be building for destruction. This is because if those two events are not corrected, there is a good chance that mankind could be working toward building the political status that the Pharaoh erected, and not the one that the founding fathers of the UN suggested. We already know how the fate of the Pharaohs ended.

The goal from the idea of having nations come together on the aftermath to promote collective security on the aftermath of WW2 was not to replace the oppression of the French government in Lebanon with local chieftains who would convert this country into becoming a chaotic state, nor the idea was to replace the oppression of the British monarch in Egypt, with local chieftains who would rebuild the history of the Pharaoh. The goal was to have the Lebanese, the Egyptian, the French and the British cooperate in accordance with the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in order for all of them to develop, in the course of time and things, the understanding or happiness that the Americans managed to achieve by 1945.

It is very important that readers from Lebanon, Egypt, or any other country mentioned in this book to always keep in mind while reading this work, that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

As I said before, the goal of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 could prevent their collapse after they decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to remain faithful to its principle to solidify their power and ensure lasting peace (i.e., which was to let governments develop their own political status and their own solutions for as long as they respect democracy and the rule of law) in favor of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations along with human development organizations interfere in the social order, and to begin to teach people democracy, human rights, rule of law, while their staffs are pursuing the full realization of their personality (i.e., toppling the work of governments).

# **Special Note on the meaning of the term “United States”**

All references to the word “United States” in this book could mean (i) the United States as a philosophical idea as penned in the US constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country which they named United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as United States, and which its inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others.

This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind to strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world.

Then again, it is not possible to know if this mindfulness to improve freedom that the American people are depending on to get their ways despite the resistance of others as of 1945 is motivated to deepen the idea of good in the world as their predecessors did, or to enable them to do exactly what the rest of the people around the world are to this day still doing, and that is to designate themselves as the deputy of God on earth, but while regarding themselves exempt from the appeal to obey the principles that are legitimized. This is because the people who are living in this country (the US) as of 1945 are new people, and the attitude that leaders and political class are showing does not resemble the one that the founding fathers of this country have shown. As of 1945, the leaders and political class are behaving in a manner that is not any different than the one the leaders and political class in all UN member states are depending on to solidify their power. The US leaders and political class are legitimizing their personal power and conscience instead of legitimizing the working program that the founding fathers of the UN specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and counselled them to obey.

Many reasons could be compelling the new people who are living in the United States to use force as of 1945 to get their ways despite the resistance of others, instead of using the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to achieve the same end. I do not believe that greed is precipitating the abnormal political choices that US leaders and political class are taking as of 1945. To the contrary, I believe that the American people are confronting the same circumstances that the native Americans underwent when those indigenous people were conquered by the European settlers.

To put it differently, when the European settlers conquered the Americas, they waged wars against the native Americans, removed them from their land, discriminated against them, and even tried to assimilate them by force. Today, the case of the native Americans (values, wealth, power, traditions, etc.) is not any different than the case of any endangered species.

That is to say, it is highly likely that the American people are confronting the same challenges as of 1945 that the native Americans confronted after the Ottoman Empire made it difficult for the European settlers in the middle of the second millennium to go to Asia on overland routes and they began migrating to the Americas. In other words, the Americans and the rest of the existing societies are entangled in the same circumstances that appear in American Western film, where native Americans were waging wars to prevent the European settlers and Mexican armies from stripping them from their values and land and vice versa. The only difference this time around is that the wars that UN member states are waging against the United States and vice versa are not centered on acquiescing material gains but philosophical gains. At the center of those philosophical gains stand whether or not the idea of freedom should get dismantled or not. In other words, whether or not all men should continue to be regarded as equal.

If UN member states continue to be in favor of letting the American values to continue to precipitously decline, and/or to let the behavior of the American people fragment, which mankind invested thousands of years to cultivate, then our successors could relive the same difficulties that the Native Americans and the European settlers were clashing with one another to determine who would get his ways despite the resistance

of the other. The only difference is that the assimilation would not be to deepen the idea of freedom, as the settlers were claiming their reliance on their personal power and conscience was doing to the native Americans, but to shrink it. This is because when freedom is curtailed, mankind would be throwing away the American culture which was acquired in the course of generations through individual and group striving, in order to embrace a human life that is “solitary, poor, nasty, brutish, and short” as the case has been like since 1945.

Hence without the American culture, later generations could not prosper, it is incumbent on every member of the human family to protect the American people to ensure that they do not become an endangered species. This is because if mankind does not preserve the American values and the American people later generations would become incapable to preserve the idea of good that everyone at the end of WW2 agreed to keep in mind and to work to strengthen. When the idea of good shrink, it would not be possible to prevent people from being devoted to pursuing their own self-interest. Thus compelling our successors to live the same circumstances that occurred on earth after we decided to build a tower that reaches to the heavens, and then stopped to speak the same language.

In this spirit, it is very important that readers keep in mind that all the fear that I express to ensure that the “United States” does not become destabilized or reverted to a simpler form, shall not be construed to mean that I am promoting nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, rather to avoid that the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world does not get lost. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) what led them to be in favor that the WW2 war aims be about securing that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

# Definitions

*The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties):* This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercise their power through presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the architecture that gives any money any worth, including seeing that no money changes hands on any operating platforms beside the one that those individuals' (members) control. To perceive how this group exercises its controls over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they do not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the member of this club can only be the families/dynasties who preside over the different religions, hence no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elite families/dynasties control the international order or the money supply of the world through the rich countries or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

*Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council:* This word shall refer to the countries who provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties who won WW2 want that every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries who legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are the group of rich and poor nations who the families/dynasties who won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinion before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties who control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world.

*Rich nations (rich countries):* This word shall refer to the countries who provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties who won WW2 want that every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries who own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in western Europe and the families/dynasties who won WW2 used to make those countries as their home base. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere which will determine market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers which determines which nation to have power or wealth, and which one to be engulfed in instability.

*Banks, large financial institutions or Corporations:* This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things generate through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions or corporations and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

*Governments:* This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determine and enforce the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions or corporations regulate the power of governments and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquire gets determined by the families/dynasties who won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties who won WW2 decide which country is to inject in it money to increase its productivity might, and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations in function of progress.

*Global policemen of the world:* This word shall refer to the United States. In this work the United states is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and

the powerful families/dynasties control. On the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties who won this global war took this global policemen responsibility that Great Britain was playing till 1945, and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States (the global policemen) is to secure that the social model that the families/dynasties who won WW2 wanted to install or extend in the different UN member states on the aftermath of WW2 be adopted. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

*Leading by example:* The word “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, Germans, British, the Americans, Chinese, French, Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized nations and the rich nations recognize that all what people do on earth is create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, food), transportation and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that if exploited and inhabited, less people would live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations that its people are idle, the blue print (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines or pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains, or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.) thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping from them their power.

# PREFACE

In mathematics, curve fitting is “the process of constructing a curve, or mathematical function, that has the best fit to a series of data points, possibly subject to constraints.” Humans took it upon themselves to explore how to fit each other’s behavior to fixed ethical values that they do not even know if they are the exact answers to the outcomes and conditions that matters to them. Regrettably, despite all the ideas that was introduced throughout history to help people to agree to fit behaviors to ethical values that the majority believe are the best fit to achieve the outcomes and conditions that matters to everyone, the end result has been a roller coaster.

Likewise, in the mathematical field of numerical analysis, interpolation is a “type of estimation, a method of constructing new data points within the range of a discrete set of known data points.” For this reason, humans have been interpolating ideals to construct behavior that they believe could fit as closely as possible the ethical values that they consider interpret best how they would like their interdependence or relations to be structured like since antiquity.

In botany, a tree is “a perennial plant with an elongated stem, or trunk, supporting branches and leaves in most species.” All the ideals that man determined to persuade each other to engage in virtuous behaviors or prudent actions can be said to be concepts that has been branching out in the same way this process occurs in trees. The new ideas that people have been coming up with has helped the social order to alter between periods of peace and wars. However, no one knows if the reason why the social order behaves as such is due to the new rules introduced aiding, or if this alteration is due to the world knowing rulers who rightly guide and others who use their power to get their way despite the resistance of others as most historians suggest.

Then again, humans came up with many new ideas and created many nations in modern history. One of the newly created nation that its rulers managed to make its inhabitants become capable to prevail over the weakness of their will more than in other social agglomeration in modern history is the United States of America. From 1776, when the

United States was founded, to 1945 when WW2 ended, the people of this country managed to show that it is possible for mankind to be mindful about the dignity and worth of the human person while everyone is working to realize the full development of his personality. The people of this nation (despite all their internal problems) managed to transform the United States from a country that in 1776 was full of uncertainty to a nation that its nationals became the happiest in 1945.

No one knows how the people in the United States managed to take all the conclusions from all the ideas that existed up to 1776 and to apply them to possess understanding of themselves to the extent of becoming ready to voluntarily surrender freedom to achieve practical results for the greater well-being of their society. Many scholars suggest that this idea that was penned during the beginning of the American Revolution about all men being born free and equal to have created the impetus. Irrespective of what motivated the American people to accept cultural diversity, we cannot disregard that the behavior that the American people exhibited from 1776 to 1945 represent a sample of the “best type of person” that mankind’s search to arrive at political and ethical truths had produced to date. In other words, the Americans were the frontier of mankind’s ongoing search to improve morality.

Indeed, the attitudes and values that are explicit or implicit in the American culture were acquired in the course of generations through individual and group striving. They are the deposit of the cultivated behavior that mankind invested thousands of years to reach.

In 1945, on the aftermath of World War II, the families/dynasties who won this global war determined that if all people in all nations cooperate based on ideals that are not any different from the one the American people used to increase their happiness, mankind could ultimately reach “the end of the historical dynamic with the perfection of a civil society.” The work program that is stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, represent the blue print that the families/dynasties who won WW2 expected that every member of the human would observe to secure the happiness that the people in the United States achieved. In other words, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights are the ideals that the families/dynasties who won WW2 wanted that every member

of the human family use to preserve freedom, reduce fear and want, or help later generations to prosper through using.

From 1945 to now, progress in human development has been dramatic. However, the nations that the families/dynasties who won WW2 tasked with preventing wars through collective security failed to prevent conflicts between nations or to make future wars impossible. In fact, the peace and security situation in the entire world appear to have deteriorated to a low level that a good number of people are questioning if the international order was not better off before humans began to cooperate collectively to achieve greater stability in the world. In fact, in recent years, the nations that are not normally known to have been in crisis such as Great Britain or the United States (including the Vatican) are beginning to show some evidence of probably being in crisis.

It took the people who formed the United States 169 years (from 1776 to 1945) before this country became a superpower. During this period the people who formed this country dealt with lots of ups and down in their search to create conditions of stability and well-being. In fact, racial discrimination was not theoretically fully abolished till the middle of 1960s in the United States.

No one knows if the deteriorated peace and security situation that emerged in the world is due to the reorganization that existing nations are undergoing or if the abnormal rise in intolerance that emerged is due to a rule that is prompting this unwillingness by people to be tolerant to secure the results that the American people managed to achieve from 1776 to 1945.

From 1945 to now, lots of people are struggling terribly to understand what could be prompting this rise in intolerance. Many scholars claim that the rates of population growth, knowledge, technology, communications, commerce, environmental degradation, and many other relations are hindering people ability to fit their behaviors within the guidelines that were proclaimed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Human nature has not changed pre and post 1945. None of the arguments that scholars give can be regarded as principal motives for

this abnormal rise in intolerance that people are expressing post 1945, because tolerance is a function of perseverance. Throughout history, whenever people were pushed against their limit, their sense became one of empowerment. The American people were not dealing with an existential threat from 1776 to 1945 when they managed to show that it is possible for a human being to give up some of his natural freedom (or tribalism) to obtain the benefits of the political order and still be happy. If existing people are not able to demonstrate the same will or grit that the American people managed to show is possible from 1776 to 1945, what could be provoking this wavering attitude that emerged post 1945 can only be a rule that its drawback is making people become incapable to naturally model their behavior according to the courage that the people who lived in the United States from 1776 to 1945 showed is possible.

This work tries to support that if nations are not able to speak the same language post 1945 and/or apply the same principles that the political leaders employed in the United States to make their nationals become capable to surrender their natural freedom, that this powerlessness is due to the families/dynasties who won WW2 having preferred to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to stick to using to secure the goals that those same powerful families/dynasties deeply care about, i.e., power, status and wealth. The work tries to show that the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to permit the intergovernmental organization which they created to coordinate the activities of governments on a global scale and named United Nations provide solutions alongside existing nations to accelerate the attainments of the outcomes and conditions that matters to them, could be the rule that instigated this rise in intolerance that emerged around the world.

The work tries to show that the families/dynasties who won WW2 (and the families/dynasties that own the companies that through them most of the world's trading is now handled) need to choose between continuing to let the United Nations foster human rights to create an egalitarian world thus continuing to pile ahead of them vast problems to deal with and solve, or choose to go back to implementing the initial work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled be followed to avert that the international organization named United

Nations and human development organizations such as NGOs, charities, etc., revert them to a simpler form.

Furthermore, the history of human progress is all about expanding limits to increase happiness. Unfortunately, when it comes to governance, this idea is not something that man can explore in the same way mankind uses his human ingenuity to find significance in created things. There is no understanding or principles that mankind can reorganize or build upon beyond recognizing that to ensure lasting peace it is important to cooperate based on the principles of equal rights.

The families/dynasties who won WW2 failed to say if this common standard that they wanted that on its premise people cooperate post 1945 is a rule that they would be adhering to it themselves also, or if this standard is something that the people who they freed should adopt only. The challenge with allowing the United Nations to foster human rights to create an egalitarian world and/or to fix dates to end poverty or injustice as if the international order is a bottle of milk that would expire if not consumed before a set date is that it makes most people believe that the purpose of the coming together of people to cooperate in the economic field post 1945 is to make every person realize his dreams (or realize the full development of his personality).

It is wonderful to reframe adversity as adventure and to bring seemingly impossible ideas into the world of possibility. Unfortunately, not every drowning man can learn to swim, and therefore, there are certain experiences that the odds of defying them is not an undertaking that everyone possesses the right mental balance to walk intact from. The words "The end of the line" or "dead end" are two expressions that humans use to express what a person is obliged to do when located at an end of a road from which no exit is possible. The person is obliged to turn around and head back to where he came from, or wait for a miracle to happen. The families/dynasties who won WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world) can continue to let the international organization named United Nations and human development organizations such as NGOs, charities, etc., foster human rights to prevent technical progress (created things) from running to waste in the hope that they could find a way to stop the awareness that those actors are awakening in the heart and mind of people make them

be ready to surrender freedom to them, or they could brace and hope that their heirs would be able to find an elusive line of holds that would enable them to stay in power when the socio-cultural patterns that emerged post WW2 continue to regress to reconstruct the in-bound world events in reverse order.

This book shows that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) do not stop the international organization named United Nations from continuing to be an economic operator who is using the ideals that are stipulated in the UN charter as a ladder to develop power, the American culture or Anglosphere power that mankind invested the last thousand years to build could collapse thus giving rise to new families/dynasties starting to play their role.

## 23

# The political security problem

---

There are two ways to exhort the civilization mission that existing people usually indoctrinate newborns into adopting for the sake of benefiting respective spheres of influence. One way is to adapt the philosophy that Rudyard Kipling<sup>1</sup> (1865 – 1936), British poet and novelist, manifested in the poem “the White Man’s Burden”<sup>2</sup> which he composed in 1899, and which many imperialist understood justifies imperial conquest (i.e., a mission of civilization). The second way is to adopt the approach that the Yamabushi<sup>3</sup> warriors (Japanese Buddhist who lived in the 8<sup>th</sup> century) and many other warriors adopted to get their ways despite the resistance of others. The Yamabushi warriors solidify their powers by serving humanity through living in peace and providing help, guidance, and support to anyone seeking or requiring their help.

Throughout the second millennium (and until the date when WW2 erupted), the old powers (western European powers) including the Japanese the White Man’s Burden or manifest destiny approach to get their way despite the resistance of others, or when they were interfering in the political status of less powerful societies.

Indeed, the way of “testing and training” that the victors of WW2 are adopting to solidify their power post 1945 and ensure that their status and wealth remain intact is not any different than the one our predecessors used before WW1 or WW2 erupted. The solutions

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rudyard\\_Kipling](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rudyard_Kipling)

<sup>2</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The\\_White\\_Man%27s\\_Burden](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_White_Man%27s_Burden)

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.yamabushiryu.com/about/history/>

employed are not making people become patient, and a large number are considering the measures proposed as rhetoric. If people continue to regard that the political, economic and social reforms that the victors of WW2 are adopting are not meeting their expectations, then the costs that those powerful families/dynasties may pay could be much bigger than they are supposing. In other words, if the systemic political corruption that has overwhelmed the world is not reduced, and the number of people who believe that they are the deputy of God on earth, and therefore, have right to everything, is also not shrunk, it would not be possible for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to make people start to have trust in institutions. When people distrust the political choices that governments take, it would not be possible for the families/dynasties who won WW to endure to build the international rule based postwar world order that they were determined to see be mounted on the aftermath of WW2. This means that the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 could be the only party today who is convinced that the international order that they want to build is possible. This is exactly what previous kings or leaders who introduced reforms that did not incorporate true improvement in civil liberties faced. The reforms that those kings or rulers introduced, complicated the social order rather than to reduce its tension. Only when the leadership was replaced did business go back to “business as usual” status.

Then again, no man was aware of how much boasting and jingoism were inappropriate and vain in light of the permanence of God than Rudyard Kipling himself. In 1997, he composed for Queen Victoria’s Diamond Jubilee a poem which he titled *Recessional*.<sup>4</sup> In the poem *Recessional*, Kipling seem to have understood the values of sacred traditions and processions including the power of mother nature just as Ross Edgley recognized while he was doing his Great British Swim in 2018, as I mentioned in part III of the book *The writing on the Wall*.

I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world are aware that if they continue to be in favor of UN member states violating the decrees and laws that are prescribed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, that they could pay the same price that the people who Moses

---

<sup>4</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Recessional\\_\(poem\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Recessional_(poem))

was leading from Egypt to take possession of new land paid when they refused to stay faithful to the rules he was dictating on them.

It is important to mention that when the awareness of the people who Moses was leading from Egypt to take possession of new land grew and they all became lost in the desert, it was God who helped them to get back on the right track according to the Bible. I have no way of knowing if the awakening that people are manifesting post 1945 can be blamed on the decision of the victors of WW2 to grant right to the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to interfere in the social order (so created things do not go to waste), or if this intolerance that all people of ages are exhibiting at the same time post 1945 and around the world is being instigated by other rules. What I do know is that till today we cannot say that the Gods are telling the powerful families/dynasties what they need to be doing to get back on the right track (calm people down). It looks as if the families/dynasties who won WW2 are on their own, and it is going to be the satellites, video cameras and computers who is going to be providing them (the powerful families/dynasties) with the different point of references they will need to judge that their civilizing mission is always on track. This is very serious and worrisome.

In other words, if the Gods programmed the social order to run on auto-pilot, i.e., the Gods do not see a reason to interfere as they did when Moses was launching his own civilizing mission, because the decrees and laws that are included in biblical scriptures are clear on what a man needs to be doing to live free from fear or want, then the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 could be taking a big risk by letting governments around the world use the Universal Declaration of Human Rights as a tool to legitimately deny their populace right or freedom that can come from democracy or a revolution. In other words, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 lets the Universal Declaration of Human Rights function like a tool that people (and governments mainly) surrender freedom to it only when the issue (the law) serves one's best interests, but not when the law elevates the common good, then the disenchantment of people could be making things more complex ahead of the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 if all people would be focused on asserting their legal rights at the same time. This is because if the awareness of people continues to grow and to make

people be unprepared to surrender freedom to obtain the benefits of the political order unless their legal rights are satisfied, the satellites, video cameras and computers may not be an effective tool to fight with the cleverness or wickedness of the mind. All the kingdoms and empires that were resized occurred because its rulers waged against the cleverness or wickedness of the mind.

To put it differently, all the things in nature grow in different shapes and textures, but in theory, almost all manifest two principal forms. One form is a dense head like shape that resemble potato apple, carrot, watermelon, beet head, banana, etc. The other form is a notched, scalloped, frilly or ruffly leaves of leaf varieties such as onion, lettuce, broccoli, cactus, etc.

In addition, if we compare how the texture of the international order is growing as of 1945, we find that it is not developing in the same robust way that potato, apple, etc. grow but rather, in a notched, scalloped, frilly or ruffly leaves of leaf varieties such as how onion or lettuce grow. In other words, the social order appears to be composed of layer of leaves that are piled up on top of each other. Most importantly, instead of all the advances in technology aiding to serve the layer of leaves that are piled up on top of each other to become robust like a potato head, the advances in technology is peeling back the layers.

I do not know if all the guardrails (counterintelligence) that the victors of WW2 are allowing governments or people with money and political power to use to deny with it others rights to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is solidifying their power, or if it is peeling their power in the same way the removal of a lettuce leave expose the heart to decay faster. However, we can say that if the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world (the victors of WW2) continue to let governments use their power to impede the tools of transparency (i.e., science and technology) that every man rely on to sustain his survival needs and sustain his earthly desires, then we cannot say that mankind is building for peace but rather building for destruction.

To put it differently, we cannot say that the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world are building for peace when they are allowing the five nations who are granted permanent membership at the UN Security Council to let the Lebanese government continue to deny citizens in this country right to cooperate based on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights pursuant to the Lebanese civil war which erupted in 1975, or after the Lebanese revolted against their own social order in 1975 because they believed that every citizen in the country deserve right to cooperate based on the principles of equal rights. Likewise, we cannot say that the five nations who are granted permanent membership at the UN Security Council are building for peace when they let the military complex in Egypt deny the Egyptians right to cooperate based on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights since 1950. or after the Egyptians revolted against their government in 2011 because they believed that they deserved right to cooperate based on the principles of equal rights as well.

Scientists say that we live in a world that is constantly changing. Scientists also classify the continuous ongoing changes into physical and chemical.<sup>5</sup> Scientists say that physical and chemical changes are all around us, and what varies is only the rate (speed) at which those two changes are occurring. Physical change refers to changing the shape, size of something without changing what that thing is (i.e., without changing the stuff the thing is made of). An example, would be like whenever we take a paper and crumbling it up, or shred it into pieces. This afore process changed what the piece of paper looked like, but does not change what it actually is. Chemical changes refer to changing what something looks like including what that thing is. An example, would be like whenever we take a paper and burn it. We changed what the paper looks like including changing what it is. We started with a piece of paper and ended up with a black char. This process created a new substance.

---

5

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gSmW8YiJWfw&ab\\_channel=funsciencedemo](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gSmW8YiJWfw&ab_channel=funsciencedemo)

5

For example, when Oliver Cromwell<sup>6</sup> (1599 – 1658), English General and statesman, led armies against King Charles I<sup>7</sup> (1600 – 1649) during the English Civil War,<sup>8</sup> and overthrew the king and converted the British Monarch into a new republican commonwealth, what Cromwell did was create a physical change and a chemical change. Cromwell changed the government from being a monarch to a republic (physical change), and changed the identity of the authority from Charles I to Cromwell (chemical change). On the aftermath of WW2, the British monarch experienced a physical change but not a chemical change. The British Empire was partitioned (physical change), but the power or wealth of the British monarch did not change (no chemical change). The Queen of England (Great Britain) remained post WW2 in the role of head of state of the majority of the societies this monarch (empire) was administering before WW2 erupted.

Throughout history, all the wrath that encumbered the people who violated the idea of good happened through a change that occurred either fast or slow. If, as I said before, the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world could not be building for peace but for destruction by allowing that governments disobey the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, this signify that those powerful families/dynasties are hoping that whatever changes affect the international order would reset the political status or economic system of nations, but not alter their own status or power.

Unfortunately, this outcome is not something that the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world could decide, because there is a good number of physical and chemical process that happens that are invisible to man. In other words, man does not have a way of seeing how certain changes are occurring.

For example, if we take a glass of warm water that contain a spoon of sugar and add to it a spoon of yeast, this solution produces carbon dioxide gas (a new substance) that can be collected if we were to carry out this experiment in a closed system. This reaction creates heat as

---

<sup>6</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oliver\\_Cromwell](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oliver_Cromwell)

<sup>7</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles\\_I\\_of\\_England](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles_I_of_England)

<sup>8</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/English\\_Civil\\_War](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/English_Civil_War)

well. In fact, the same things happen when we add a quantity of baking soda to a glass of vinegar. The only difference is that the carbon dioxide gas that we collect when we add a quantity of baking soda to a glass of vinegar happens fast. In the case of water and yeast, this process is slow. Most importantly, if the quantity of carbon dioxide gas generated in the closed system is greater than what the system capacity can tolerate, the system would burst (pop) in the same way the material from which a balloon is made of burst when it stops to be able to stretch to accommodate more air.

I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world are capable to determine if the destructive energy that is building up in the different UN member states would not swell the international order to a point that it would burst in the same way that happens when a balloon cannot be inflated any further if (i) UN member states are exercising self-control and are not engulfing their societies in civil wars to increase death rates or reduce the stresses and strains that government's unpreparedness to lead by example generate, and (ii) the rich nations that protects them (Great Britain and the western European nations including the United States post 1945) are not being as successful as they were before to create the atmosphere of charged discontent or hostility necessary to push nations to self-destruct or engulf themselves in a civil war. This is very serious and worrisome.

Earlier I said, that in a chemical change apart from the new substance that can be formed, the chemical reaction generate heat. This heat is either created or taken away.

For example, when we add a quantity of baking soda to a glass of vinegar, we observe the chemical reaction that would be taking place to generate bubbling, fizzing, but the temperature of the solution drops. To the contrary, when we add yeast to a glass that contain hydrogen peroxide, we observe the same bubbling, fizzing, but the temperature of the solution goes up. This solution of yeast and peroxide produces oxygen as well.

If I recall, when we added sugary water to yeast, this chemical reaction produces carbon dioxide gas. However, when we added yeast to

peroxide, this chemical reaction produces oxygen. Candles need oxygen to burn, but when a candle burns, the hydrogen and carbon from the wax combine with the oxygen in the air to become carbon dioxide. Humans, are also a species that needs oxygen to live but breathe out carbon dioxide.

Heat moves in three ways, “conduction, convection, and radiation. Heat moves when things are at different temperatures, always moving from hotter to colder things. Heat can also travel as invisible waves through the air or empty space. This is called radiation.”<sup>9</sup>

If heat travels from hotter to colder things and so do human conflicts from intense (hot) to indifferent (cold), then it is highly possible that this destructive force that is being manifested in poor nations and citizens are doing their best to not let it burst in the form of civil unrest or civil war on their own territory to be nesting (fomenting) in UN member states in the same way carbon dioxide gas bubble manifest itself in the form of air pocket in the dough before it is baked (it is invisible) and after it is baked (it is visible in the form of void).

When we take a slice of bread and zoom into its texture (i.e., how the air pockets are interconnected), we find that the air pockets (i.e., interconnectivity) in certain areas homogeneous, and in others the air pockets are large. Any fabric that has a large hole makes it much easier for things to pass through. When a fabric is well knitted nothing can pass through. When the rich nations allow that the interconnectivity of citizens (i.e., social fabric) to contain large open spaces (i.e., lots of corruption, lawlessness, etc. as the case is like in Lebanon), or small open spaces (i.e., no freedom, no right, etc., as the case is like in Egypt), what they are in so doing creating is accelerating the damage of their own social fabric. In other words, when the rich nations allow systemic corruption, lawlessness, to flourish in a nation as the case is like in Lebanon (i.e., let the social fabric contain lots of large air pockets), what this strategy is doing is corrupting the integrity of their own social fabric, because corruption travel like heat from hot to cold. Likewise, when the rich nations allow a government to deny its citizen freedom or right as the case is like in Egypt, what this strategy is doing is stressing

---

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.dkfindout.com/us/science/heat/how-does-heat-move/>

their own social fabric, because it is not allowing the heat that their own actions are generating from moving. When a system does not permit the energy that it is creating to move, this phenomenon resolve itself in two ways. The first is that it burst (i.e., in the same way a balloon burst when it is inflated beyond the elasticity point of the balloon material). The second is that it stagnates and decay (i.e., in the same way a culture is left in an open or closed container for a long time).

Indeed, the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world and their thinkers can control everything that is visible, but they are not capable to control what is not visible.

To put it differently, energy can be either pointing inward or outward.<sup>10</sup> If we take an empty bottle of water, place a deflated red balloon in it, then fill this balloon with water and seal it, we would not be able to add a blue balloon that is filled with water and sealed in the same bottle, because the volume inside this boundary is limited. The red balloon must come out first from the bottle for the blue balloon to fit in its place. Scientists say it is impossible to make this switch happen by trying to force the blue balloon in. However, scientists say that if the temperature of the red balloon that is inside the bottle is raised, this phenomenon makes the red balloon slip out easily, allowing the blue balloon to slip concurrently in its place easily. This is very serious and worrisome.

Simply put, if the social order is a system that resemble a bottle, and in this bottle we can fit one balloon (i.e., one political system), and the 2011 Egyptian revolution produced a scenario (destructive heat) that made it possible to get the balloon that was on the inside of the bottle out (i.e., the military regime of Hosni Mubarak), so a new political system (i.e., the democratically elected regime of President Mohamed Morsi) slip in, then we can say that this 2011 revolution was fulfilling the war aims of the victors of WW2. However, when the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five permanent members of the UN Security Council allowed the military complex in Egypt to stage a coup d'état to slip the democratically elected regime of President Mohamed Morsi out so the present Egyptian military regime (wearing a civilian hat) slip in, what those powerful families/dynasties and

---

<sup>10</sup> [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Grziaq-caVE&ab\\_channel=funsciencedemos](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Grziaq-caVE&ab_channel=funsciencedemos)

powerful nations were also giving their non-objection to happening is letting the military complex that slipped back in, slip them out in theory with the political regime that was democratically elected (i.e., the regime of Mohamed Morsi). As of 2014, the present political regime that control Egypt (i.e., the balloon that is inside the bottle) is not a system that is observing the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that the founding fathers of the UN laid out for the families/dynasties who won WW2, but pre 1945 human rights program that led to the collapse of all the kingdoms and empires that adopted its principles.

It looks as if the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five permanent members of the UN Security Council to rush into being in favor of having President Mohamed Morsi be ousted is not helping those great powers to solidify their power since 2014, but rather it is theoretically diminishing their status. This decision is not helping the Egyptian people to cooperate based on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which is the only framework that can solidify the power of the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the five permanent members of the UN Security Council as well. Most importantly, the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five permanent members of the UN Security Council to rush into being in favor of having President Mohamed Morsi be ousted is helping the military complex to grow and to solidify its power. Theoretically, the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five permanent members of the UN Security Council do not solidify their strength and stability when a nation is controlled by the military and this complex is solidifying its power (such as Egypt, Russia, China, etc.), but from nations who are engulfed in civil wars and/or are stateless (such as Lebanon, Libya, Haiti, etc.). This also means that when the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five permanent members of the UN Security Council favored that the people of Egypt spare each other from becoming engulfed in violence when calls to have President Mohamed Morsi step down augmented, what those great powers were also agreeing to happening was validating their non-objection to letting the Egyptian people (military and the general public) continuing on weakening them. In other words, if the people in the nations who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council are not obeying the principle set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to attain a complete reconstruction of social life and the people in Egypt are also doing the same, then no one

among them is working to change the hierarchical social order to make subjects become equal before the law, but they are united (organized) to deepen restlessness and rivalry.

Actually, the decision of the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five permanent members of the UN Security Council to rush into favoring that President Mohamed Morsi be ousted has lots of invisible complex implications on their strength and stability that is above and beyond who shall get in control of the political system in Egypt, i.e., a democratically elected regime control this country or the military. Let us say that the five permanent members of the UN Security Council agreed to let the military complex slip back in power on humanitarian ground. In other words, those great powers agreed to let the military complex slip back in power, because they wanted to spare Egypt from the atrocity that engulfing this country in a civil war could levy. We have no way of knowing if Egypt was indeed going to be engulfed in a civil war as the people who were going to gain the most if the democratically elected regime was ousted were saying the truth or not. Man has no power to determine if an event is going to happen or not until the event happens. When an object is about to fall it is not man who decide if it would fall or not, and when. Those who were going to gain the most if the democratically elected regime in Egypt was ousted, i.e., the military complex, could have fabricated this conviction on purpose. It looks as if the emotions of those individuals who were taking decisions on behalf of those five permanent members of the UN Security Council was interpreting reality abnormally. This is very serious and worrisome. If man has no way of knowing if an event is going to happen or not except until the event occurs, this signify that those individuals who were taking decisions on behalf of those five permanent members of the UN Security Council were basing their recommendations on imagined perceptions. Those perceptions are what motivated those advisors (thinkers) to be in favor of the democratically elected regime of President Mohamed Morsi be ousted. If this conviction about Egypt slipping into war had the democratically elected regime was not ousted was fabricated, this means that those individuals who were taking decisions on behalf of those five permanent members of the UN Security Council were being conned. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, let us assume that the five permanent members of the UN Security Council agreed to let the military complex slip back in power in Egypt on humanitarian ground. We know that this decision prevented “something” from happening in Egypt, and even though we have no way of knowing if the civil war that the military complex was worried about breaking out if President Mohamed Morsi was not ousted was within this “something” that was prevented or not, let us go along and consider that this was the case. Then again, what this act prevented is permitting that Egypt be engulfed in a civil war, but it did not tell us if the democratically elected regime of President Mohamed Morsi would have protected better the interests of the families/dynasties who won WW2 or the military complex that came to power in 2014. In other words, we have no way of knowing if the faction who gained the most from the decision of President Mohamed Morsi becoming ousted (i.e., this is the faction that is presently in power) would be a faithful servant in the future. As I said before, this faction that is presently in power, is not cooperating inside Egypt based on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights since 2014. Humans are known to betray their masters when their master stops to meet their needs, or when they consider that their master is weak. This means that this threat is real and present till today.

Furthermore, because no one wants the violence directed at them and everyone wants it to be directed at everyone else, this means that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council continue to be unprepared to lead by example as they have been doing since 1945, they (those great powers) need to ensure that two conditions are happening at all the time in the social order of the weaker nations, i.e., the nations who they want its populace to consider their opinion before taking actions of their own, to avoid that the envy and jealousy that boil in the heart of man does not resize them in the course of time and things. Those two conditions are also actions that the five nations who are permanent members of the UN Security Council need to also be doing to one another. This is because the five nations who are permanent members of the UN Security Council are also “competing” against one another. In other words, the five nations who are permanent members of the UN Security Council need to be provoking the two conditions that I would be listing in the social order of the weaker nations to avoid that

the envy and jealousy that boil in the heart of those poor nation not resize them in the course of time and things. In addition, each one of those five nations who is permanent member of the UN Security Council need to be provoking the two conditions in the social order of the other in order to avoid that the envy and jealousy that boil in the heart of its leaders (or its people) not resize them in the course of time and things. Simply put the relations is both vertical (rich → poor) and horizontal (rich ↔ rich).

Before I list what those two conditions are, it is important that readers keep in mind that the word “competition” does not mean here competition between two strangers. Rather the word “competition” here refers to the one that occurs within families. Perhaps the story of Cain and Abel (the sons of Adam) in the bible [Genesis 4: 1-10], or the story of the sons of Jacob [Genesis 37:1-10] can depict the idea.

To put it differently, the families/dynasties who won WW2 are the ones who control all the UN member states including the five nations that are permanent members of the UN Security Council. Furthermore, because all the UN member states for the exception of the five nations that are permanent members of the UN Security Council obtain protection from any one of those five nations, let us consider that the world is composed of only the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five nations that are permanent members of the UN Security Council only. Let us also consider that the five nations who are permanent members of the UN Security Council are five sons of the families/dynasties who won WW2, as Cain and Abel were to Adam, or Joseph and his brothers were to Jacob.

We can say that those powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 should be connecting with those five nations in the same way Adam or Jacob did. If the families/dynasties who won WW2 manifest human emotions as Adam or Jacob did, this means that those families/dynasties need to make sure that two relations are happening at the same time and without one overtaking the other. One relation concerns the conservation of the “philosophical idea” that they want live forever, and the other concern the “identity” of the person who would be protecting the philosophical idea.

For example, if we assume that the United States is the favorite child of the families/dynasties who won WW2 just as depicted in the bible Joseph was for Jacob, this signify that the families/dynasties who won WW2 needs to make sure that the power of Great Britain, China, Russia or France, does not grow above and beyond the power of the United States so the American ideals and Anglosphere culture that this country protect today does not get weakened and absorbed by another one of those parallel culture (i.e., British, Chinese, Russian or French).

In addition, the families/dynasties who won WW2 needs to make sure that the line of succession of the American ideals and Anglosphere culture always stays in the same household, tribe, and in the hands of the favorite “son.” In other words, the families/dynasties who won WW2 need to make sure that their ideas evolve or change hands in the same way how God was running around determining and enforcing that his ideas evolve in the hands of the person he picked. In the Bible, we read that it was always God who was interfering and picking which son should carry forward the evolution of his ideas which later became the Jewish faith. Simply put, God did not go to China or to Mexico and picked another family when the family that he said was his favorite was not listening to him. This means that we cannot say let us go ahead and let the British, French, Chinese or Russian start to implement the American ideals and Anglosphere culture, if the American people fail to protect this philosophy.

I will list next what are the two conditions that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council need to be continually provoking in the social order of the weaker nations to avoid that the envy and jealousy that boil in the heart of those poor people not resize them in the course of time and things, if they want to continue to be unprepared to lead by example. The same needs to be happening between the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council.

The first condition is easy. The first condition requires that the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council keep the people who live in the poor and weak nations divided. The five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN

Security Council should also be doing the same meddling in each other's social order, as the cold war that existed between the United States and the Soviet Union during the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, or the ongoing trade war that exists between the United States and China is trying to accomplish for each country, i.e., determine who could weaken the other first, as of the start of the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the division, unrest, violence, civil wars, etc., that poor nations perpetrate can never be actions that the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council condemn implicitly. Those five nations know that if the violence is not directed at someone else, it would be directed at them. Therefore, those five nations cannot pause from encouraging violence implicitly even if they are religiously against it. Likewise, the vigilance of those five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council to ensure that when violence erupts in a poor country that it is stopped is not done on humane ground, but rather it is done to stop its snowball effect from destabilizing their strength and stability.

In as far as the meddling that the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council effectuate in each other's social order, this provocation is done clandestinely.

It is important to also mention that the ongoing stripping of knowledge that the great powers are effecting through the collection of the knowledge that is published in books and journals throughout history in order to place it in digital form which a handful of individuals could control is a brilliant and an ideal way to turn any society into becoming slaves faster. For example, when all the knowhow that is included in the mathematics, physics or chemistry book that poor and weak societies (nations) possess is collected and placed in the hands of a handful of individuals only, those poor societies (nations) would not know how to count to ten never mind planting wheat, kneading dough or fabricating guns or powder gun.

As the afore shows, the employment of the afore endeavors preempt and prevent any society from growing strong. Those operations are manmade actions, and therefore, they are easy and straightforward. It is

the second condition that no men, tribe, nation, kingdom or empire managed to prevent its provocation from growing strong since the appearance of the second man on earth till today. It is the ramifications of this second condition what have prompted the collapse of all those who tried to rely on the usage of the first condition to get their way despite the resistance of others.

This second condition involves a force (the force of God) that behaves in the same way an object reflect a duplication when placed in front of a mirror, i.e., an image mirror problem. A mirror image is a reflected duplication of an object that appears almost identical, but is reversed in the direction perpendicular to the mirror surface.<sup>11</sup> The way this force works is simple. Let the mirror be the rules that are depicted in the Biblical scriptures or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The harder a person tries to let his actions stay within a reach from this mirror, i.e., morality, the higher his chances becomes at living a healthy and happy life. Likewise, the farther a person lets his actions keep him away from this mirror, i.e., morality, the harder it gets for him to prevent his own collapse.

In addition, the way this force works is like magnetism. In magnetism two objects do not have to be touching each other to stay attracting or repulsing one another, but rather the force of attraction or repulsion is at play at all the time.

Throughout history, all the men, tribes, nations, kingdoms or empires who tried to not stand within a hands reach from this mirror, i.e., behaved immorally or tried to get their ways despite the resistance of others by denying other rights, they failed to make this force from provoking their collapse.

In other words, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council do not stand within a hands reach from leading in accordance with what the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to be doing in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, then they are going to have to be able to defeat this force – the force of God – from reverting

---

<sup>11</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mirror\\_image](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mirror_image)

them to a simpler form in the same way, this force reverted all the men, tribes, nations, kingdoms or empires who waged against it learned the hard way.

I will explain how this force works, which shall also give a general idea on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council could prevent this force of God from reverting them to a simpler form.

Furthermore, hence we are talking about a force here, i.e., the force of God, this means that this force works invisibly.

I suggest to take Lebanon (a chaotic and failed states), the United States (the global policemen of the world and the custodian of the American ideals) and Norway (a very rich country), and review how this force could work invisibly to render to a simpler form, any person, society, nation who goes against it. I will list first the way those three actors (the Lebanese, the American, and the Norwegian) are interrelating with each other. Then, I will show how this force can weaken the United States (the global policemen of the world and the custodian of the American ideals) and Norway (a very rich country), if those two countries (or any rich and powerful country) continue to be unprepared to do more to help the Lebanese to resolve their crisis or any crisis around the world.

Politics in Lebanon is based on a religious sectarian power-sharing structure that divides the country into Christians and Muslims mainly. France was the patron of this power sharing structure after the collapse of the Ottoman empire during WW1 despite France having separated the church from the state<sup>12</sup> on its territory in 1905. Just as France underwent a series of power crises before this country passed a law separating the church from the state, the Lebanese began to manifest the same distribution of power challenges after Lebanon gained independence in 1945.

---

<sup>12</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1905\\_French\\_law\\_on\\_the\\_Separation\\_of\\_the\\_Churches\\_and\\_the\\_State#:~:text=The%201905%20French%20law%20on,established%20state%20secularism%20in%20France.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1905_French_law_on_the_Separation_of_the_Churches_and_the_State#:~:text=The%201905%20French%20law%20on,established%20state%20secularism%20in%20France.)

The Lebanese civil war erupted in 1975 to change the balance of power in the country, which the Christians who were a minority by this date controlled. Since 1975, Christians and Muslims warlords control the political system in Lebanon. The wealth, status and power that the warlords amassed during the Lebanese civil war permitted those men to divide the government and its resources among themselves. When the fighting seized in Lebanon, the warlords turned themselves into becoming head hunters, and they made the political ideas they were defending into becoming an employment agency. Presently, we have in Lebanon a political feudal system (government and military) that works just like the social feudal system that existed in the middle ages and in which landholders provide land to tenants in exchange for their loyalty and service. A good number of feudal systems were successful throughout history. The feudal system that exist in Lebanon has not been as lucky.

In particular, the feudal systems that the Christian warlords adopted in Lebanon has been a total mess since 1975. If, once the Lebanese civil war was regarded to have ended, the Christian warlords were devoted to reproduction in the same way they were devoted to fighting for the distribution of power among one another, they would have not continued to become a minority. A large number of the political instability that Lebanon has been knowing since the start of the 21<sup>st</sup> century has been compelled by the Christian warlords and their foreign protectors. The refusal of the Christian warlords and the faction that protects them from thinkers, soldiers to regional powers to admit new realities has made them live in denial. Then again, instead of the Christian warlords becoming devoted to increase reproduction to change the balance of power, they are using the idea of democracy and equal rights as a tool to help them score. The biggest tragedy that is happening in Lebanon today is that the Christian warlords do not want to abandon the social and political feudal system that they operate, yet they preach democracy and rule of law. Muslims and Druze, on the other hand, have no objection with continuing to live under the feudal system that exists.

The United States (the global policemen of the world and the custodian of the American ideals) has permitted the political power sharing gridlock that France masterminded in Lebanon as of 1918 to remain

unresolved after 1945. Evidently, as the years were going by, the political situation in Lebanon continued to spiral downward. Instead, of the United States (the global policemen) assuming its responsibilities and in 1975 stopping the Lebanese civil war from erupting including putting an end to all the political power masquerade that was ongoing in Lebanon as of 1945 (when this country gained independence), the United States (the global policemen) reasoned that the political instability that Lebanon is knowing is business as usual. In other words, instead of the United States (the global policemen of the world) encouraging the Christian warlords to change the balance of power by increasing reproduction instead of using the idea of democracy and equal rights as a tool to help them score thus keeping themselves (the Christians) and the entire world instable, the United States (the global policemen) wants to turn the feudal system that exist in Lebanon to start to work democratically!

If the meddling (complex web of alliances) that the foreign or regional powers are exercising in Lebanon would go on, only two interventions can stabilize the political situation in this country, absent of any voluntary readiness by the warlords to cooperate based on the work program fixed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The first is if a new civil war erupt to establish a new balance, which most likely the Christians would be its biggest victims. The second is a new occupation. Of course, the option of testing with converting the country into a federation, spares the spill of blood. Likewise, turning around the position of head of state between the different political warlords as the case is in Malaysia between different royal households, or the placing of the entire political system of Lebanon in the hands of the Muslim Shia are also solutions that has the potential to stabilize this country.

It is important to note that this last suggestion to place the entire political system of Lebanon in the hands of the Muslim Shia is justified on the basis of the following two arguments. The Muslims in Lebanon have demonstrated, since 1945, that they are tolerant, and that they are prepared to live under a political feudal system, unlike the Christian warlords who refuse to be tolerant and who have been jumping from one crisis to another in search of a better grip on power. Second, money solve all problems! All the countries who do not want the Shia in

Lebanon to be allied with Iran, they only need to pay the Shia warlords more to secure their loyalty.

Likewise, Norway and Lebanon are 5,000 kms away from each other. The Norwegian are occupying a social space of about 385,000 km<sup>2</sup> and the population of Norway is about 5,3 million. The population of Lebanon is 6,1 million and the Lebanese are all jammed in about 10,400 km<sup>2</sup> (i.e., in less than 1 percent of the social space of Norway). Norway has not offered to take one (1) Lebanese over since 1975 to help the Lebanese government have some breathing space to stabilize this country.

Evidently, the complex manner that the United States (the global policemen of the world) and the apathy that Norway (one of the wealthiest countries in the world) are adopting against being in favor of stopping, once and for all, the disregard and contempt that France's overseas expansion strategies continue to create in Lebanon since 1945m could be emanating from a conviction that those two countries have constructed about the destructive forces that the Lebanese are generating being something that could not be weakening their strength and stability.

It is important that readers keep in mind that I am not talking here about the material gains that the United States or Norway could generate or lose from letting the political and economic situation remain unstable or last for a long time in Lebanon. Herein, I am talking about the drawback of the negative forces (corruption, betrayal, deceit, disregard, contempt, etc.) or instability that the Lebanese are producing (releasing) on the capacity of the United States to remain playing the global policemen role (status) and on Norway to continue to enjoy its wealth.

In addition, it is important that readers keep in mind that we do know that eventually the instability the Lebanese are knowing will stabilize. This balance will happen through the medium of a civil war, an invasion (occupation), or anyone of the other suggestions I mentioned. Therefore, it is important that readers keep in mind that we are talking here about the share of destructive forces the Lebanese are generating only. The total destructive force is the sum of the shares. Therefore, when the

Lebanese political situation stabilizes, this stabilization will affect this 10,452 km<sup>2</sup> area within the total land area (or total population) only.

Furthermore, what we are looking for is how to not let the total share of destructive forces destroy the human progress or weaken the idea of Liberalism and self-determination as a mean to improve democracy and human rights (rule of law). In other words, the goal here is to make sure that the United States (both as a country, as global policemen, or as a philosophy) does not get weakened no matter how stupid this country or its leaders behaves. The United States (both as a country, as global policemen, and as a philosophy) is the only ticket that can ensure for our successors that the world will not plunge back into any pre 1945 political system. Simply put, our goal is to let the United States (both as a country, as policemen, and as a philosophy) evolve in the same way the Jewish faith evolved but without the errors that the Jews committed from the date when they left Egypt to when they arrived to the promised land.

If the United States (the global policemen of the world) and Norway (wealthy nation and with lots of empty social space) continue to refuse to be serious about helping the Lebanese to stabilize their political and economic order, then the only force that can stabilize the political and economic order would have to come from two sources. The first would require that the Lebanese accelerate anyone of the different solutions I mentioned to create a new balance such as plunging this country in a civil war, federation, occupation, etc., or go back to their senses, and agrees to start to practice tolerance. The second is if God hears their suffering or neglect and He decides to help out in the same way He helped the people that Moses was leading to the promised land.

I have no way of knowing if God thinks that the Lebanese (warlords, rulers and political class) merit to be helped, or if the cries and pleas by the population for a solution would touch his heart and he decides to help out as he did when he helped Moses and his people. However, what I do know is that God (nature) works in mysterious ways. In other words, if God (nature) lets the destructive forces that the Lebanese are generating be processed in the same way matter works in any air and air pressure problems, then the Lebanese case may not be a lost cause perhaps.

To put it differently, when we explore air and air pressure problems, we learn that if one person wants to displace air so new fresh air occupies the air that was displaced there are many ways to do it. For example, a person can open a window, turn a fan on, or set the room on fire.

Said differently, the reason why the Lebanese are knowing lots of political and economic problems since 1945 is because the countries who won WW2 such as France, Great Britain and the United States, and the superrich nations such as Norway, Belgium, the Netherlands, Japan and Germany would not let the United States (the global policemen of the world) let the Lebanese get their ways. In other words, if the countries who won WW2 and the superrich nations which Norway form part thereof would let the United States (the global policemen of the world) let the Lebanese to cooperate politically or economically with rich nations such as China, India, Brazil, Nigeria, Israel, Iran, etc., the Lebanese would not be knowing all the political and economic problems they are knowing.

In the book *Reflections on how the United Nations is weakening Republicanism and Liberalism*,<sup>13</sup> I supported how the new post-war world governance system that the nations with great and soft powers mounted on the aftermath of WW2 could be an arrangement that resemble the governance that is employed in a prison system. I tried to show how the independent nations could be arrangements that are a representative model of how inmates are incarcerated in prison cells. The international families of financiers are the ones who administer the new postwar international system (or prison); the prison guards are the five permanent members of the UN Security Council, and the United Nations is the entity that is running the prison common services.

Generally speaking, the wealthy are the ones who own and administers companies and institutions in real life. In addition, the wealthy usually form (place) around them fire wall for protection. For example, Great Britain who was the mightiest monarchs throughout most of the second millennium is protected by a wall of superrich nations (Norway, Sweden Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium, France, Spain, Portugal and

---

<sup>13</sup> <http://centermpa.com/book/b1index.html>

the sea) who together form an orbit. Let us assume that Great Britain is the nation who control all those superrich nations (the administrator of the companies and institutions), the guard (the United States), and the United Nations is the entity that is running the prison common services.

If we assume that Norway is the party who administer the prison in the afore mentioned analogy, the United States is the guard and Lebanon is the prisoner who the superrich nations (the families/dynasties who won WW2 are incarcerating), then if the Lebanese need to free themselves from this prison, they would need to revert the guard (the United States) into a simpler form, and then weaken the prison administrator (Norway).

When the guard (the United States), and one of the superrich nations who together form an orbit around Great Britain is penetrated (weakened), then the collapse of Great Britain (the nation who controls both the guard and all the superrich nations) becomes very eminent.

To my knowledge, there are only two solutions that the Lebanese could use to revert to a simpler form the guard (the United States) or Norway (the wealthy nation) thus enabling them to escape from this prison. The first is if the Lebanese put their hands on a nuclear weapon, and the other is if God helps them. Hence, the reason why the Lebanese are in this prison in the first place is because they are not ready to tolerate each other (i.e., involved in corruption and in betraying each other), this first option is not feasible. This signify that only God could help the Lebanese to free themselves from the political and economic hardship that they are knowing.

I have no way of knowing how God could help the Lebanese escape from the prison that Norway (the Prison administrator) has locked their political and economic freedom in, and brought the United States (the guard) to guarantee, because it is not mentioned in the Bible that God was also possessing nuclear weapons so He could threaten the United States (the guard) or Norway (the administrator) with. To my knowledge, (God) could rely on one solution only should He decide to help the Lebanese to free themselves from the political and economic limitations that the victors of WW2 placed on them (Great Britain in our example). This solution is if he confuses the guard (the United States) thus provoking the reversal of this country to a simpler form.

I have no way of knowing how God could confuse the guard (the United States) except as I said He relies on air and air pressure problems.

For example, if we take an empty glass bottle, remove its cap, and call the volume of air that would be inside the bottle (not visible) as system 1 (i.e., society 1). Let us place (rest) a boiled egg at the entrance of the bottle. Let us call the boiled egg system 2 (i.e., society 2). Let us before we place the boiled egg at the opening of the bottle take a piece of paper set it on fire, drop it in the bottle, and then place the boiled egg at the bottle entrance. In the course of time and things, the air that is in the bottle (i.e., system 1) will push (walk) itself out from the bottle so the boiled egg (i.e., system 2) slips in. This is very serious and worrisome!

Could God's mysterious ways to help the Lebanese free themselves from the political and economic grip of the prison administrator (Norway) and the prison guard (the United States) be something that is as simple as doing how He (God) arranged that air and air pressure problems works? This is very serious and worrisome!

If it does not require for God to directly interfere so the United States (as a nation and as a global policemen) be reverted to a simpler form in the course of time and things except securing that the confusion (input) that the Lebanese are generating from 10,000kms away continue to go on, then this signify that the United States (the global policemen of the world) could be threading through lots of uncertainties if the rulers and political class of this country continues to be unprepared to resolve the political problems that the Lebanese and others are knowing. This is because if all what is required of God to be doing is to take the confusion (input) that the Lebanese are generating and then to reflect it back in the form of an output, this is a simple problem that even a child could do.

To put it differently, if all what the Lebanese and other societies around the world need to be doing to get their ways is nothing other than to continue on generating destructive force (disregard and contempt) as they are doing; then when God receives this input (i.e., the destructive forces), he could do one of two things. He (God) could take a "mirror" and reflect back the issues that the Lebanese and others are fighting over, i.e., human rights, corruption, betrayal, hypocrisy, deceit. etc.,

toward the territory of the United States (the global policemen of the world). Alternatively, he could choose to do nothing, because it is not possible to control communications or media, then the disregard and contempt that the Lebanese and others are compelling will act as a vehicle that provide disenchanted citizens in the United States with the overall performance of the US government with the knowhow they need to begin to use such wrong conduct to exploit each other and the government.

Simply put, governments control all media in any country. The media does not report the corruption, abuse, etc., that governments prompts. Most governments do not reprimand or hold personnel accountable for serious and significant violations, inappropriate activities, and systemic and individual management failures so citizens do not get disenchanted with their screw ups (poor judgments). The acts of corruption or abuses that the media report were committed by governments are only the ones that governments release or what gets leaked (unauthorized disclosure). As people awareness grows, citizens learn about how governments mislead them and give inaccurate information to obtain approval, and stops to tolerate the mistakes that governments all the time acknowledges.

In the course of time and things, and if the way matter displaces itself through heat is true, then the more those destructive forces (i.e., human rights, corruption, betrayal, hypocrisy, deceit. etc.) that “God” reflected strike the heart and mind of the Americans (or the citizen of any society), the more the citizens of the United States (or any nation) would become unable to speak the same language. The more the people in the United States (or any nation) stops to speak the same language, the more citizens would be compelled to withdraw their obligation to obey. The more the people in the United States (or any nation) become compelled to withdraw their obligation to obey, the more citizens become bound by their personal power and conscience. The more people in the United States (or any nation) become bound by their personal power and conscience, the more the unpreparedness of citizens to obey the political order grows. The more people in the United States (or any nation) refuse to obey the political order, the more the chances of the United States (or any nation) becoming resized grows. The more the chances of the United States to be resized grows, the more this transformation would

be confirming to the Lebanese that the human rights abuse, corruption, betrayal, hypocrisy, deceit. etc., (i.e., destructive forces) that they are provoking is rewarding and contrary to what they think. This is because when the Americans are going to be busy doing what the Lebanese have been devoted to doing since 1975, i.e., backstabbing and betraying each other, the Americans are going to be, at the same time, finding themselves, stripping themselves out from the glory they possess. When the Americans (or the citizen of any society) start to become unable to prevent political corruption, deceit, betrayal, etc. from rising in the United States (or any nation), the United States (as a nation and global policemen) would be confirming to the whole world that it is not a country who is capable to play the global policemen role. When the United States begins to show that it is not capable to play the global policemen role, then the Lebanese (or the citizen of any society) stand a good chance of overtaking the United States so they (the Lebanese or the citizen of any society) can start to play themselves this global policemen role. This is very serious and worrisome!

Simply put, in this afore narrative on how the United States could be stripped from its glory (i.e., wealth, power, status) if its leaders and political class continue to want to play the global policemen role and not lead by example, the Lebanese did not play a single role in the challenges that could encumber the United States if this country remains treating their difficulties as business as usual or lightly. The two countries stayed 10,000 kms away from each other as they are. The Lebanese did not need to threaten the United States, program any meddling in the US, compete economically, fabricate guns or aircraft carriers, fabricate gun powder or build an atomic bomb, have an army, have spies, build a complex web of alliances or alignments, or build computers and robots. All what was incumbent on the Lebanese doing, was continuing on doing what they have been good at doing since 1975, and that is to deceive, betray, provoke disregard and contempt, etc. In other words, all what the Lebanese need to be doing, and as crazy as what I have to say next may sound, to strip the United States (any nation, monarchs, etc.) from its glory (i.e., wealth, power, status) is just be patient, and to continue on doing what they have been good at doing since 1975, and that is to deceive, betray, provoke disregard and contempt. In the course of time and things, the destructive forces that the Lebanese are creating would begin to start to produce the same

confusion and distrust that is happening in the Lebanese social order. When power vacuum begins to emerge in any country, distrust rise. When distrust rise, disregard and contempt, political corruption, deceit, betrayal, etc. rise. When corruption, betrayal, etc. rise, the distrust would fragment the governance structure of any nation, monarchs, etc. When the governance structure of a nation becomes rotten, this phenomenon permits the weaker one to overtake the wealth, status and power of the strongest. This is very serious and worrisome!

To put it differently, in 2014, the United States (as a nation) published a report on its 'brutal' post-9/11 interrogation, and in this report the US government concluded that this programme failed to secure information that foiled any threats.<sup>14</sup> If in principle, all the 'brutal' interrogations that the US government carried out post-9/11, or new security agencies this country created did not save any lives except enhance the knowledge of the individuals involved about how terrorists and terrorist cell works, this then signify that all the prisoners who are locked in US prisons from 9/11 onward on charges of being a threat to the national security of the United States are serving nothing other than pleasing those individuals involved (CIA officers, military officers, law enforcement agents, judges, etc.), while the problem is elsewhere. This is very serious and worrisome!

Said differently, if the international rule based postwar world order was designed to operate in the same way a prison function, and this international order is one that behaves in the same way air and air pressure problems works, this means that the United States (the prison guard) need to use all its brutal interrogation knowhow post 9/11 attacks and security agencies (CIA officers, military officers, law enforcement agents, judges, etc.) to make the superrich nations (the prison administrators who in this example I said is composed of Norway) and the United Nations who is the entity that is running the prison common services, and not the prisoners (i.e., the Lebanese). This is because it is the superrich nations (France, Norway, Great Britain, Japan, Germany, Sweden, Denmark, etc.) and the United Nations who are helping them (the United States) to run the prison (international rule based postwar world order) and not the Lebanese.

---

<sup>14</sup> <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-us-canada-30401100>

In the example in which I tried to show how a boiled egg (society 1) can slip into a bottle so society 2 (the air that is in the bottle) slips out, the Lebanese were society 1 (they are not in the system), and the nations who are in the system (society 2) were the guard (the United States), the superrich nations (Norway, Sweden Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium, France, Spain, Portugal), the victors of WW2 (Great Britain) and the UN system who is the entity who is running the prison common services. The Lebanese are not inside the system.

In other words, the United States (the prison guard) should use its brutal interrogation knowhow post 9/11 attacks and security agencies (CIA officers, military officers, law enforcement agents, judges, etc.) to secure that the societies who are in the system the superrich nations (Norway, Sweden Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium, France, Spain, Portugal), the victors of WW2 (Great Britain) and the UN system who is the entity who is running the prison common services cooperate on the principles of equal rights and not the Lebanese (the prisoners) or Chinese, Russian, Saudis, Egyptians, Argentinians, etc. All those aforementioned countries are not inside the system.

Said differently, if the United States (the prison guard) does not compel the superrich nations (Norway, Sweden Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium, France, Spain, Portugal), the victors of WW2 (Great Britain) and the UN system who is the entity who is running the prison common services to cooperate on the principles of equal rights (i.e., the prison administrator), it would not be possible to narrow the gap between the rich and the poor nations (who are not within the system). This signify that the United States (the prison guard) would not be able to create balance in the same way air and air pressures naturally do it.

Most importantly, we could also say that if all what the Lebanese (and other societies) need to be doing to strip the United States (any nation, monarchs, etc.) from its glory (i.e., wealth, power, status) does not require to lift a finger to get their wish done other than to keep on creating confusions, and for as long as the United States (the global policemen of the world) refuses to point its brutal interrogation knowhow post 9/11 attacks or security agencies (CIA officers, military officers, law enforcement agents, judges, etc.) in the right direction (the

prison administrators and not the prisoners), this country would be digging its own grave, then it becomes important that this country decides or reexamines if it really wants to continue to play the global policemen role without leading by example before it is too late.

In other words, if since 1945 the prison guard (the United States) is not able to control the prisoners (the Lebanese, Chinese, Syrians, Iranian, Russians, Haitian, Venezuelan, etc.), and this relationship is getting sour year after year; and if the longer the United States (the prison guard) continue to fail to stabilize the prison environment (international rule based postwar world order), the more this country (the United States) would not be of any use to the prison administrators (the superrich countries and the victors of WW2), this means that if the Americans (the global policemen of the world) do not stop the superrich nations (Norway, Sweden Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium, France, Spain, Portugal), the victors of WW2 (Great Britain) and the UN system from continuing (i) to confuse the Lebanese, or (ii) cooperate with the Lebanese on equal rights to stop confusing them more than what the UN system, in particular, has confused them with already, or (iii) compel the prison administrators (the superrich nations, victors of WW2, UN system) to cooperate in accordance with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights which also include the rulers and political class in the United States, so the American ideals prevail (i.e., the idea of good), mankind is doomed.

That is to say, the life span of humans in years is short compared to the life of the earth. New humans are all the time being injected, while existing one are purged out from life. I have no way of knowing how much the existing rulers and political class in the United States value the United States ideals. Nonetheless, the more the United States (the global policemen and nation) refuses to lead by example, the harder it would be getting for this country (the global policemen) to criticize brutal and dictatorial regimes. This signify that it is incumbent on the United States (the global policemen) to avoid that authoritarian regimes justify their atrocities by pointing to its double standards. The only way to enable a person to not exhibit double standard is to have one standard.

This means that if the existing rulers and political class in the United States do not continue to build on the same standards that their

predecessors achieved from 1776 to 1945 (and not the standards of the old powers), it would not be possible for this country to adopt political and economic methods that are consistent with its values. Once the United States stops to be able to recognize that skyscrapers are nothing but small grains of sand placed one on top of the other, this country would not be able to abstain from being drawn into beginning to follow short sighted issues like difference between small and big, tall or short, cold and hot, white and black, etc., knowing that those words are nothing but inventions that man came up with.

I have no way of knowing if the growing political, economic, religious and racial problems that most people around the world including the Americans are confronting and are disenchanted with is due to the poor nations having falling into a trap that the victors of WW2 contrived for them or not. In other words, the victors of WW2 and the superrich nations (the old powers) used the United States ideals as a bait to get them to hand them their political and economic freedom in order to attain goals that those victors of WW2 and the superrich nations (the old powers) are themselves violating. One thing is clear so far, the United States ideals (i.e., as a legal entity or artificial person) cannot resolve for mankind its political, economic and social problem while everyone and in particular rulers and political class are violating the work program of the founding fathers of the UN. This means that people are not cooperating so everyone could begin to realize the full development of his personality as of 1948, but rather to strip each other's wealth, power and status in the name of democracy and the rule of law. The results that nations accomplished so far has been mixed. But there is clear evidence that the American ideals (legal entity or artificial person) that people (nations) are united around, in the first place, is in crisis.

I have no way of knowing if the United States (the American ideals) is in crisis or if there are powerful forces who are working toward rearranging the international order differently, after technology (artificial intelligence) showed that man is no longer essential. However, what I do know is that if the distrust that is growing in the United States (the social space named United States) continue to develop (in the form of an onion and not an apple), the Americans are going to be drawn against their will into starting to focus on how to strip each other out from their wealth, power or status more. As the recourse

of people in the United States to disregard and contempt grows (i.e., corruption, betrayal, distrust, etc.), the Americans are going to start to find that fewer nations are going to be considering their opinion before taking actions of their own. When the countries who the United States expect them to consider its opinion before taking action of their own start to disobey and belittle the US, and the countries that the United States maintains with them a web of alliances and alignments start to perceive that the failure of the leadership to control the social order in the country is due to the US lacking the right leadership, then the United States would start to lose its status. When the United States start to lose its status (reputation as a force for good and open to hypocrisy charges), the Americans would not be able to continue to play the global policemen of the world role that they have been playing since 1945. When the Americans lose this delegation (faculty) to play the global policemen of the world role, they would no longer be able to benefit from this privilege of continuing to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them, i.e., from the inside of the glass bottle, while everyone else is doing it from the outside. As the right of the Americans to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others would be continually diminishing, i.e., against their will, then the group of men (nation) who would be portraying themselves as being qualified to play the global policemen role of the world would absorb this country (this artificial person). When this delegation of global policemen of the world role is transferred from the United States (i.e., as a legal entity or artificial person) to those new people, then it would be the turn of those new people to start to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them.

I have no way of knowing if the Lebanese are going to be the one who would be playing the global policemen of the world or a different society (power) would be doing it if the Americans continue to manifest behavior that make nations around the world perceive that the United States lacks the right prestige (moral fiber). Then again, the question that begs an answer is not to know if the Lebanese, Egyptians, Brazilian, Japanese, etc. would play the global policemen role of the world if the Americans are flushed (pushed) out from this position, but rather what would happen to the idea of liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to provide democracy and rule of law that the American people are acting as its custodian.

To put it differently, if there are powerful forces who are working toward rearranging the international order differently, after technology (artificial intelligence) showed that man is no longer essential, then there is a good chance that those people may not be in favor of wanting that people continue to adopt the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to provide democracy and rule of law.

Said differently, in the 2014 report that the US government published about its brutal' post-9/11 interrogation programme, it was observed that the more prisoners cooperated the more they were tortured by the US security and military agents. If humans are a natural specie that uses immorality (torture) as a tool to strengthen morality, this then means that humans are going to continue to rely on torture (immorality) as a mean to get their ways despite the resistance of others whether torture does work or not. Let us not forget that the individuals who are being tortured would also be obliged to make up lies (immorality) in order to appease interrogators.

If there are powerful forces who are working toward rearranging the international order differently, after technology (artificial intelligence) showed that man is no longer essential, this means that those powerful forces have to employ methods to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others that cannot be morally reprehensible to avoid that people become unprepared to obey. The only solution to reduce immorality when people become bound by their personal power and conscience is to reduce reproduction. This means constricting freedom. This signify that it is imperative that the American people be conserved (preserved), no matter how much stupidly they act (i.e., fail to regulate political life and preserve the rights of people around the world), because they are the one who is the custodian of the American philosophy (the artificial person). The United States (both as a country and as a philosophy) is the only ticket that can ensure for our successors that the world will not plunge back into any pre 1945 political system. Simply put, our goal is to let the United States (both as a country and as philosophy) evolve in the same way the Jewish faith evolved but without the errors that the Jews committed from the date when they left Egypt to when they arrived to the promised land.

If the American people are weakened and new people begin to play their global policemen role, we have no way of knowing if those new rulers would be conscience about the human worth as the Americans became as of 1945, or if they would want to be in favor of continuing to use the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to provide democracy and rule of law, and/or to regulate human relations. After technology (artificial intelligence) demonstrated that man is no longer essential, if powerful forces are working on rearranging the international order contrary to what the American people are pursuing, i.e., let the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to provide democracy and rule of law, the project that all people are pursuing to deepen social cohesion could be in vein.

In the Bible, it is reported that God instructed man to “be fruitful and increase in number” [Genesis 1:28]. Technology exists to wipe out any race already. If the United States is stripped from continuing to play the global policemen of the world role and the group of men who would be doing it, decide to put an end to the Asians and Blacks and keep the white race, or put an end to all the whites and Asians and keep the black race, no one could stop them. In addition, if evil (immorality) continue to triumph over good as the case has been like since 1945, mankind is doomed.

To put it differently, if equality, justice, fairness and harmony was strong in the world till 1945, then the corruption, betrayal, crime, violence, etc. that existed in the world till this date would have been low. If man failed till 1945 to uphold independent, honest, transparent and righteous governments, this means that the old powers (Great Britain, France, the Netherlands, Spain, Belgium, the Scandinavian countries, Germany, Japan, etc.) were stealing, cheating, betraying, backstabbing, etc. The corrupt political class that was ruling those old powers during the second half of the 2<sup>nd</sup> millennium is the one who engulfed the world in two global wars, and they are the same ones who delegated to the United States the role of playing the global policemen of the world. Those old powers did not let the United States play the global policemen role on the aftermath of WW2, because they were afraid of the United States, or because they did not possess the knowhow to continue doing it and the United States stripped it from them, etc. The

old powers allowed the United States to be the global policemen of the world, because they could not get to agree among themselves on who between them should play this role. The United States was an honest broker.

Most importantly, those old powers, i.e., the corrupt political class who ruled the world till the date when WW2 is regarded to have ended, handed to the United States the state of the international order reset. In other words, they handed to the United States the international order in the same way when a new ship is commissioned. Said differently, the old powers, i.e., the corrupt political class handed to the United States the Captainship function of the international rule based postwar world order predicated on their preparedness to be ready to observe the principles set forth in the UN charter (i.e., settling disputes through negotiation and arbitration, disarmament, collective security, etc.) The job description of the United States was to sail with the old powers, i.e., the corrupt political class on board, and the poor nations aided by the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to secure that the goals set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights are observed by everyone on board.

Unfortunately, the United States failed to prevent or stop the corrupt political class (the old powers) from continuing to provoke the disregard or contempt that they usually depend on to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others. Most importantly, the United States failed to remain an honest broker, which the main reason why this country was picked to play the global policemen of the world. To make matters worse, the intergovernmental organization named United Nations who is supposed to also be leading by example, converted this global council into becoming a courtyard to buy and sell exactly as Jesus found happening in the temple that he visited.

As of 1945, the only victor from people's unpreparedness to obey the Universal Declaration of Human Rights has been "evil" so far. The corrupt political class (the old powers), and the corrupt political class in the United States, and the intergovernmental organization named United Nations triumphed over the mindfulness that was established at the end of WW2 to strengthen democracy and human rights.

Those afore mentioned actors (the old powers, the corrupt political class in the United States, and the intergovernmental organization named United Nations) prevented mankind from building an independent, honest, transparent, and righteous international rule based postwar world order. Likewise, the preoccupation of those afore mentioned actors with how to increase their wealth, stealing, making illegal deals, bypassing procurement rules, etc., instead of being devoted to teaching people to be tolerant as the founding fathers of the UN counselled so political corruption, betrayal and deceit does not rise, created two problems. We now have two “factions” who live with no fear. The first faction is the old powers, the corrupt political class in the United States, the intergovernmental organization named United Nations and the different rulers and political class in UN member states who refuse to relinquish control of their regimes to the voting public, and the second faction is the general public.

Sense of direction is the ability to know one's location and perform wayfinding. Experts say that sense of direction can be impaired by brain damage, such as in the case of topographical disorientation.<sup>15</sup> Topographical disorientation is the inability to orient oneself in one's surroundings, sometimes as a result of focal brain damage.<sup>16</sup> Experts say that topographical disorientation form from the inability of an individual to orient specific cognitive strategies such as the ability to form a mental representation of the environment, also known as a cognitive map. Experts also say that topographical disorientation may be part of a syndrome known as visuospatial dysgnosia.

A cognitive map is a type of mental representation which serves an individual to acquire, code, store, recall, and decode information about the relative locations and attributes of phenomena in their everyday or metaphorical spatial environment. We can say that a cognitive map is a mental representation of an individual perception or a person's perception (i.e., a mental map<sup>17</sup>). We can also say that the decision of man throughout the history of the world to be in favor of wanting to stay together in groups is to ensure that the mental map that an individual lets his personal point of view builds, does not prompt him to rely on

---

<sup>15</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sense\\_of\\_direction](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sense_of_direction)

<sup>16</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Topographical\\_disorientation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Topographical_disorientation)

<sup>17</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mental\\_mapping](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mental_mapping)

his own self help to secure his own survival. We can also say that to avoid that people's own point of view or perception be used to deny other rights, man determined that if a "mental model" is built so everyone can adopt it, then it would be possible to not live in fear and want, and avoid that lots of people have more powers than others.

I have no way of knowing if the wars that "man" waged throughout history was a result of few political leaders losing sense of direction and choosing to depend on violence to determine who could make others consider his opinion first, or if the wars that ensued were a result of mankind venturing into periods in which the sense of direction was lost by everyone in them. Indeed, the loss of direction that seems to have struck mankind as of 1945 is strange. The rates at which scourge, misfortunes, calamities and tragedies are stifling fear is abnormal.

If the fear that people are knowing as of 1945 continues to stifle from the mind objective mindfulness or objective awareness and to trade this brut (natural) mindfulness with animalistic behavior as the case has been like since 1945, mankind could be in vain coming up with solutions to improve connectedness. To put it differently, in the animal kingdom, two lions could be minding their own business, and in peace with one another, until they work together and put their hands on a prey. Their selfish behavior become visible. If man is unable to cooperate without letting his personal interests and selfishness get in the way, then we could not be cooperating to build a better world but rather to build a more dangerous one. Simply put, we never saw in the animal kingdom a case in which the lions, the rabbits, alligators, giraffe, birds, fish, etc. came together and formed a global council to improve collective security, animal rights, rights for humans, or to develop rules to use when each kind prey on the other. In fact, even when we cut a pomegranate in half we find that membranes (inner walls) divide and keep the fruit seeds in sections.

I have no way of knowing if all the animals decided to live unorganized and uninterested in establishing an international animal rule based world order, because they wanted to avoid that the cockroach or the sheep tell the lion or the elephant what natural right or legal right those two could have. Definitely, the decision of the old powers (the corrupt political class) to establish an international human rule based world order on the

aftermath of WW2 that they knew from the beginning that they were not going to obey, and to draw the United States (the American ideals and people) into their dirty games (i.e., WW1 or WW2), has generated a much more complex problem for them to resolve today.

So far, the intergovernmental organization named United Nations (UN system, NGOs, and others) finished with making every member of the human family abandon the “mental model” that the church spent two thousand years to build, and every member of the human family can form his own mental map on how natural and legal rights should be determined and enforced.

The international organization named United Nations (UN system, NGOs, and others) succeeded in making every member of the human family lose confidence in their rulers and in most of their political class as well. There is a gap (schism) between the work program that governments (rulers and the political class) are trying to put together and what citizens are immersed in pursuing.

Perhaps the goal of the old powers (the corrupt political class) from engulfing the world in this state of discord is part of a plan to weaken all the standing governments to form a central government. Then again, the baffling part is how do the old powers (the corrupt political class) expect to achieve their goal when they are not employing strategies that relate from the mind to the mind or from the heart to the heart with people around the world.

In other words, how do the old powers (the corrupt political class) expect to get their ways after The international organization named United Nations (UN system, NGOs, and others) succeeded in making every member of the human family stop to be interested in adopting a “mental model” that teaches giving instead of taking (i.e., the church) in favor of a mental model of taking (individualism) instead of giving (collectivism).

Simply put, man relied on betrayal and backstabbing throughout history to get his ways. Those who were not relying on betrayal and backstabbing to get their ways were relying on the idea of good or God to do it. For example, the early Christians relied on the idea of Jesus and

God to get rid of the Romans. The Arabs agreed during WW1 to backstab the Ottoman Empire because the British promised all the traitors who were supporting this monarch to help them form their own kingdoms. Likewise, during WW2, the Lebanese authorities agreed to accept the British support to help the Israeli seize and occupy Palestine in 1948 in exchange of helping them to get rid of the French mandate that was administering them, and so forth. And, the question that begs an answer is how do the old powers (the corrupt political class) expect to succeed at putting together a common political authority over the entire human world that their heirs would rule over, knowing that man is a specie that backstabs and betray. Most importantly, it is no longer the rulers and the political class in governments who are backstabbing and betraying but all the common people. And first and foremost, all those who are not relying on backstabbing and betraying to get their ways are preoccupied with how to improve human rights and justice.

The word formula is defined as a statement intended to express some fundamental truth or principle especially as a basis for negotiation or action.<sup>18</sup> Scientists use the right formula to construct the relationship or produce the product that they are seeking. If the principal ingredient in the formula (man) that the old powers (the corrupt political class) are depending on to get their ways despite the resistance of others is incommensurable, the question that begs an answer is how do those old powers expect to reach their goal of creating a global government, if this idea happens to be their goal, or after they compelled all people around the world to prioritize individualism over collectivism.

To put it differently, we know very well that there are two political lives (legal entities) that we could use to structure on their premise relations. One is the Liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to provide democracy and rule of law, and the other is any one of the pre 1945 philosophies.

If all people continue to be as they have been increasingly since 1945 doing and that is weakening the United States and weakening Liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to provide democracy and rule of law, then all the UN member states would have

---

<sup>18</sup> <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/formula>

no choice but to go back to adopting authoritarian type regimes (i.e., the state determines rights). For example, in Egypt, the military is principally the largest employer today in this country. The western European countries, the United States, etc., are all in the midst of becoming flipped.

When the state starts to be the entity that determines rights, then the understanding that we have of global policemen of the world would no longer be a role that any nation could play. When nations become totalitarian, the governments would be forming part of complex of web of alliances and alignments, but not the people. For example, Lebanon would no longer be a country that the French, the Russian, the Americans, the Iranian, etc. would all be controlling a section of the population and then colliding them with one another. When the military rules Lebanon, the people would be divided into two factions. One faction would be waiting to find out who would be paying it more to betray its rulers, and the other faction would be ready to do it for free.

When the social order reaches this afore mentioned state the old powers (the corrupt political class) will have two choices ahead of them. To engulf the world in a global war in the hope that no traitors or no anti-war activists from them are among the population who they want to shrink its size. The second option would be to be patient, i.e., ride the wave in the hope that all the turmoil that people would be committing would pass and they would go back to continuing to transforming the conditions and outcomes that matters to them.

I have no way of knowing if the old powers (the corrupt political class) and all the people who are continually getting corrupted would be able to avoid that mankind would not stumble on a global war or a social revolution (class conflict) if the United States and the Liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy continue to be weakened. What I do know is that if evil triumphed over good, we would still be living under the rule of the Pharaoh. Hence evil has never triumphed over good, then there has to be a way that mankind could do so evil does not continue to weaken the United States (the legal entity or artificial person) or the Liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy.

Then again, all what humans do from birth to death is to change matter. The changes that people do not like they call evil and the changes that they like they call good. In other words, there is nothing that is called evil or good in reality. If evil and good are one and the same, then the only way to get rid of the rulers and political class who are preventing mankind from deepening good is deepening evil. When people deepen evil they would be deepening good, because good and evil are one and the same, as I just said. This is very serious and worrisome!

The afore means that if people around the world are not happy with their status, or with how corruption, betrayal, violence is blocking them from increasing their happiness, all what they need to be doing to improve their status or to increase their happiness is to continue to do evil as I said before. Simply put, the more evil people provoke in the world, the more they would be improving good in the world (i.e., helping humanity, the United States or the Liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy from getting weakened to not get weakened). This is very serious and worrisome!

The afore is anti-logic. The rulers and the political class in every UN member states are against that democracy and the rule of law improves in the world, because when justice (egalitarianism) improves, it would not be possible for them to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This signify that rulers and the political class cannot increase their wealth power, status and wealth without depending on the power of corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., to help them to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others. But if provoking citizens to do good (i.e., practice democracy) or to do evil (i.e., provoke people to increase corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc.,) weakens rulers or any political class, then those afore actors could be accelerating their reversal to a simpler form by accepting good or evil in the world.

To put differently, the military complex in Egypt prevent the Egyptians from strengthening democracy to prevent that the individuals who control the military complex become unable to solidify their power. When the military complex in Egypt prevent the Egyptians from deepening corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc. in the country, this means that the rulers and the political class in Egypt have managed to

make the Egyptians not any different than the people who are living in Norway or Sweden (Scandinavian monarchs). The people in the Scandinavian monarchs are able to live in peace with one another, because the rulers and the political class who control those states is acquiring the wealth those monarchs needs to keep their populace under control by forgoing it from the wealth of others. This means that when the military complex in Egypt is preventing the Egyptians from deepening corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., what the military complex is in so doing is increasing its reversal to a simpler form. This is because the more the military complex build prisons to lock up criminals, the more the people who are locked up would be accelerating the reversal of the regime to a simpler form. Likewise, the more the military complex prevent the Egyptians from deepening corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., the more this strategy would be making it difficult for the rulers and the political class that control the Scandinavian monarchs to generate the wealth they need to keep their citizens happy (under control) and which they obtain by forgoing others from their own wealth. This also means that the more the military complex in Egypt tightens its grip on security, the more the rulers and the political class that control the Scandinavian monarchs would be determined to resize them (the Egyptian military complex).

On the other hand, if the military complex in Egypt keeps corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., uncontrolled, the Scandinavian monarchs would be unable to get their ways despite the resistance of others because negative (-) plus negative (-) is added negative. When added negative is added into a system, it would not possible for the Scandinavian monarchs to continue to get their ways despite the resistance of others. When chaos in the system prevail (i.e., corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc.,) then it is the false teacher who would be the winners. In other words, the military complex stands a much better chance at resizing the Scandinavian monarchs by keeping corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., uncontrolled as compared to tightening their grip on corruption violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., because positive (+) plus positive (+) is added positive. In other words, when the military complex in Egypt tightens its grip on security, it would be doing exactly what the Scandinavian monarchs manage to be able to keep their nations stable.

All the violence and wars that mankind knew happened because people did not want to live with no right. In other words, people did not want to live in a world that is without a faction violating others rights, compelling corruption, betrayal, hypocrisy, deceit, etc. Put differently, the only reason why the Lebanese are not able to improve morality in Lebanon is not because they are less wise or less judicious than the people who live in the Scandinavian countries. Rather because the Norwegian leaders and the political class in Norway, for example, have been refusing since 1975 to take one (1) Lebanese to go live in this spacious and unpopulated country and in this way give the Lebanese government some breathing space to stabilize this country.

And so, if for as long as the Scandinavia monarchs remain unprepared to take one (1) Lebanese to go live in this unpopulated and spacious social space that they are freezing due to the evil nature of man, we cannot expect that the Lebanese would stop with all the backstabbing, betrayal, corruption, etc. that they are knowing, then we can say it would remain intangible if the world remain partitioned as per the current global structure, or people would be voluntarily ready to live under a single state or policy with jurisdiction over the entire human world. In other words, even if we remove all the borders and unite people under a common political authority for all humanity, people are not going to stop to backstab or betray each other, this means that when the Lebanese dispute among each other to improve morality they would be doing the rulers and political class of those Scandinavian monarchs a favor because they would be delaying the reversal of those individuals (nations) who are denying them right to improve morality or increase happiness to a simpler form.

Then again, because the Lebanese are divided into two factions. One faction is profiting from all the pervasive corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., and another one is fighting this ongoing precarious disregard and contempt, and both are employing violence to reach their goal, then the longer they remain divided, the longer the rulers and the political class that control the Scandinavian monarchs would not be able to generate the wealth they need to keep their citizens happy (under control) and which they used to obtain when the government was able to keep security under control (thus enabling them to steal the wealth that exist in Lebanon as they are doing presently in Egypt). This also

means that the more the Lebanese plunge Lebanon in insecurity, the more the rulers and the political class that control the Scandinavian monarchs would be determined to improve the social order to start to profit from it. This is very serious and worrisome!

To put it differently, the afore analysis revealed that it is not the Egyptians who are better off today but the Lebanese despite all the difficulties that they are going through. In other words, if the Lebanese amplify the degree of corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc. they are entangled with, they stand a better chance of having the Scandinavian monarchs (i.e., rich countries) be ready to assist them or to develop mindfulness to help them to create conditions of stability much better than if they remain maintaining the same skirmish they are entrapped in. Simply put, the more they (the Lebanese) impede the international order, the more the crises or the conflict that they would be provoking would be threatening world peace. This is because in real life if a conflict is not resolved in 6 days' max (which is the duration that it took Israel to defeat the Arabs in 1967)<sup>19</sup> then it becomes impossible to fix a date to know when the conflict would end or who would be the winner if anyone of the two factions refuses to surrender to the other, or one faction does not annihilate the other. This is because people would start to take side, and if the conflict erupted in Lebanon, then the people who live in Argentina would eventually find themselves divided as well. In theory, the victor of the conflict is the one who determines what should happen to the loser.

If the world is going to become engulfed in a new global war, it is the nation who would be the first at launching a pre-emptive strike who would be the winner. That is why there are about close to 2000 nuclear bombs on high alert around the world.<sup>20</sup> There is no guarantee that the Scandinavian monarchs and the countries who protect those Scandinavian monarchs would walk out victors in the event of a new global war. This means that the Lebanese stand a better chance of stabilizing this country if the corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., that they are provoking continue to threaten world peace than to resolve their own crises among themselves (if they continue to refuse to surrender freedom to one another).

---

<sup>19</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Six-Day\\_War](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Six-Day_War)

<sup>20</sup> <https://www.bbc.com/news/av/world-49755995>

The evidence to support that the Lebanese stand a better chance of stabilizing this country if the corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., that they are provoking continue to threaten world peace than to resolve their own crises among themselves, is the milestone that they achieved after their own civil war was regraded to have ended in 1989 upon the Signature of the Taif Agreement. The Taif Agreement<sup>21</sup> which the Lebanese took for granted is a major milestone, because if it was not for this accord which the international community helped them to sign in 1989, the country would have been engulfed in a civil war till today. Therefore, if Lebanon is a chaotic country today, the person to blame is not the Taif Agreement or the international community who is to blame but the unpreparedness of the Lebanese to obey the Taif Agreement.

In fact, to show how the rich nations (Scandinavian Nations, United States, Great Britain, etc.) are behaving in the same irresponsible way that the Lebanese people are adopting since the signature of the Taif Agreement, we know very well that when our predecessors increased corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc. to the point of provoking WW2, it was the old powers who were the biggest losers from this global war. In other words, irrespective of whether the old powers wanted that all humans cooperate together to create a common authority for all humanity or not, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is a milestone document unheard of in the history of human rights and mankind. The readiness of the old powers (the rich) to agree to such a document is a victory for human rights (American ideals), but a defeat for the rich.

That is also to say that if the Lebanese devote themselves to corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc. it would be the international community (the old powers) who are going to have to come to their aid whether they like it or not to stop them from continuing to indirectly threaten their power, wealth and status. The question that begs an answer, is who is going to come to help the old powers, if they continue to be doing as the Lebanese are doing since 1945, and that is to refuse to obey the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (i.e., to lead by example). In other words, if God does not come to aid the old powers

---

<sup>21</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taif\\_Agreement](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taif_Agreement)

as he was doing when Moses was leading his people from Egypt to the promised land, then there is no option ahead of them to continue to solidify their power except to increase death rates (start a global war, recolonize nations). The longer the old powers delay to engulf the world in a global war, the greater the potential of the Lebanese to reverse them to a simpler form would be getting in the course of time and things, if they continue to threaten (along with other societies) world peace.

Then again, the old powers (victors of ww2) could be waging on striking a new milestone (new reconciliation Accord similar the Universal Declaration of Human Rights) with the poor nations if the peace and security in the world continue to deteriorate around the world, that see to it that they stay in their power. I do not see how this solution could be possible if those old powers (victors of ww2) continue to be unprepared to lead by example. In other words, I do not see how can people trust the old powers (victors of ww2) without the old powers being ready to start to surrender freedom to them, or without those powers leading by example.

In addition, if the more the old powers (victors of ww2) refuse to lead by example, the more the individuals involved would be weakening their own strength and stability, then their collapse is eminent. In other words, the old powers can avoid their collapse by either engulfing the world in a global war in the hope they would end up a victor, or weaken the idea of liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy to go back to letting people live at the mercy of the state as the case is like now in Egypt. I already said the longer the military complex in Egypt reduce corruption, violence, betrayal, rivalry, etc., the more the military complex in Egypt would be serving the best interests of the Scandinavian monarchs (i.e., rich countries) but at the same time, accelerating the preparedness of the Scandinavian monarchs (i.e., rich countries) to resize this country. And, because the longer the Scandinavian monarchs (i.e., rich countries) take to resize the military complex in Egypt, the harder it would be getting for the rulers and the political class that control the Scandinavian monarchs (i.e., rich countries) to resize the military complex in Egypt, this means that the longer the Scandinavian monarchs or the rich countries take to engulf Egypt in a global war, the surer the likelihood of their reversal to a simpler form would be getting.

This is because the longer the Scandinavian monarchs or the rich countries take to resize Egypt the longer Egypt would be seeking to become like Russia today. The more Egypt evolves and grows to become like Russia, the more the Scandinavian monarchs or the rich countries would be distrusting Egypt in the same way they distrust each other today. The more the distrust between nations grows, the more the formula the individuals involved depend on to protect themselves from one another breakdown. The more the formula nations depend on to protect themselves from one another breakdown, the more the individuals involved would be obliged to fight between one another in the name of protection and order. The more nations stop to relate from the mind to the mind or from the heart to the heart, the more the discord that would be engulfing the world would be making people become unprepared to accept that the same individuals (nations) who provoked all the fear and want that the world is knowing be also the one who would be in charge of saving it. The more the efforts proposed to save the social order by the rich nations fall apart, the more the collapse of the Scandinavian monarchs or the rich countries becomes eminent.

In fact, earlier I said that it is possible that humans' relations could be organized to operate in the same way air in air and air pressure problems works.

If we take the empty glass bottle that we said we slipped into it a boiled egg just by taking a piece of paper setting it on fire, dropping it in the bottle, and then placing the boiled egg, and assume that boiled egg that is already in the bottle refers to system 2 (i.e., the Scandinavian monarchs and the rich nations). If we want the boiled egg to get pushed out of the bottle all what we need to do is to have system 3 (all the societies who are located on the outside of the bottle such as the Lebanese, Egyptian, etc..) blow enough air into (or around) the bottle (increase dynamics). The air (disregard, corruption, contempt, betrayal, violence, etc..) that is pushing against the boiled egg that is sheltered on the inside of the bottle will push the boiled egg from the bottle so system 3 (i.e., Lebanese, Egyptian, Mexican, Mongolian, etc.) slip in. This is very serious and worrisome!

Once again, if human relations are organized in the same way air and air pressure problems works, it looks as if the Scandinavian monarchs or the rich countries do not start to lead by example, they could be stripped from their power, status and wealth if they continue to be using their money to make people be devoted to doing “good” (i.e., indirectly evil), as they have been doing since 1945. Said differently, the afore illustration means that if the five permanent member of the UN Security do not start to assume their responsibilities and lead by example to stop the disregard and contempt that people are prompting in Iraq, Lebanon, Haiti, China, the United States, etc. those five countries would not be safe. In other words, even if Great Britain is minding its own business for example, and is not interfering or meddling in the disregard and contempt that the Lebanese are knowing, the mere fact that this monarch is a country that is rich, when violence, corruption, betrayal, etc., rise in the world, this country would be stripped from its wealth against the will of its leaders or political class, if evil is a human relation that works in the same way matter displaces itself.

The afore also means that it is incumbent on the rich nations to establish balance with the weak nations in the same way they establish balance among themselves. If the rich nations and in particular the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council do not establish balance with the weak nations, it would not be possible for them to make the nations who they want to consider their opinion before taking action of their own to obey them. When the rich nations and in particular the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council stop to be able to make the poor nations obey, those rich nations would not be able to make their citizens obey them. If no one is obeying the international rule based postwar world order who is the regulator of the human relations in the same way how the natural world makes objects establish balance with one another, then it would not be possible for the rich nations to prevent the disregard and contempt that their citizens and people around the world effectuate from resizing them. When the wind blows, the air does not distinguish between people. In addition, when the air blows, it is not the poor who stand to lose the most when the air resets them and their material interests back but the rich.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 are protecting the international rule based postwar world order if neither the nations who are protecting them are being faithful to it or the nations who are supposed to be considering the opinion of those great powers before taking action of their own are also not doing it. In other words, I do not know if the tactics that the rich nations are using to prevail over “good” could be powerful enough to prevent their collapse if human relations displace each other as happens or in the same way the natural system appear to have designed matter to displace itself. Simply put, if human choices continue to be motivated by the desire for happiness as they appear to be formatted (programmed) like, then it would not be possible to end this historical dynamic that has been accompanying man throughout history. In other words, if the poor nations want to swap role (wealth, power and status) with the rich nations; and if the rich nations, want to swap role with the five countries who serve as permanent members of the UN Security Council; and if the five countries who serve as permanent members of the UN Security Council want to swap role with the families/dynasties who won WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world); and if the more the families/dynasties who won WW2 want to swap role with God, the more the awareness that would be driving the other would be displacing the one ahead of it, then if the families/dynasties who won WW2 do not figure out how to be immortal, there would be nothing that those powerful families/dynasties could be looking forward to gaining if they continue to allow disregard and contempt to rise in the world then their collapse (their heirs), This end would be unavoidable.

Simply put, if people’s tolerance around the world has been shrinking since the political and economic reset that WW2 prompted, this withdrawal can only be due to all the different rulers and all the political class in UN member states continuing with carrying on with the same degree of corruption, backstabbing, betrayal, etc. that was prevalent before WW2 erupted.

If corruption, backstabbing, betrayal has entrenched the world post 1945, this means that rulers and the political class are not doing what the founding fathers of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights urged them to be doing and that was to “keep this Declaration constantly

in mind” as the followers of Jesus or Muhammad in Islam were doing so those two religions flourish.

Then again, if Muhammad was competing to strengthen “good” and he managed on his own and within 20 years to make all the people in his tribe be ready to voluntarily surrender freedom to him, and the rulers of the world and the political class have been doing the same during the last 70 years, and so far they are not capable to make the people who live in a street block to surrender freedom to them under force (never mind voluntarily), then one of those two was doing something that the other was not doing.

I have no way of knowing what Muhammad was doing in his 20 years of ministry of “good” that the rulers of the world and the political class are not doing. What I do know is that a person can either regard himself as a “repairer” (reformer, renovator) of righteousness or a “servant” of righteousness (goodness).

If mankind is entrenched in corruption, backstabbing, betrayal, etc. as of 1945, this phenomenon can only be due to the rulers of the world and the political class prioritizing how to reform righteousness (goodness) instead of prioritizing how to be a servant to righteousness (goodness). In our case herein, a servant to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

In fact, the political and economic situation that exists in Lebanon today is not any different than what Queen Saba said would happen when “kings” invade other monarchs. The “kings” ruin the monarch that they would invade and debase its nobles. [Surah An-Naml 27:34].

Indeed, this is exactly what happened in Lebanon and around the world, when the victors of WW2 sent their “repairer” (the staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations) to repair righteousness in the different UN member states, instead of being a servant to righteousness, i.e., the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in the countries that those teachers (the staffs of the organization) entered.

In other words, if corruption, backstabbing, betrayal deepened in Lebanon on the aftermath of the political and economic reset that WW2 effectuated, the recourse by the Lebanese to such immoral mean to improve their happiness cannot be due to nothing other than to all the persuasion that the artificial person (the intergovernmental organization named United Nations) who the “kings” of the world (the victors of WW2) created and used to invade with its staffs their land in order to prompt them (the Lebanese) to start to repair righteousness (goodness) instead of continuing on keeping on doing what they were doing before 1945 and that was being a servant to righteousness (goodness). In other words, this rise in disregard and contempt that the Lebanese started exhibiting can only be due to all the awareness that they were prompted by the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to start doing, i.e., improve “good” instead of continuing on doing good as they were already doing.

Surely the decision of the kings of the world (the victors of WW2) to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers counselled them to remain faithful to its principles to continue to solidify their power in favor of sending false teachers (the staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations) to teach the Lebanese and the people of other nations to stop to prostrate to God (the good that is in the religious scriptures) or the good that their colonizers taught them in order to start to prostrate to them (i.e., the good that is in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights), created a major problem around the world. The false teachers (the staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations) who the kings of the world (the victors of WW2) sent to Lebanon and to other nations ruined the social fabric that existed initially. In other words, the false teachers (the staffs of the organization) converted the social fabric from one that was growing as apple to one that looks like pomegranate or an orange. In a pomegranate or an orange each fruit is independent (individualism). In a pomegranate, the size of each fruit segment is small, whereas in an orange the size of fruit segment is big. That is to say that today the social fabric is a mixture of small and large independent segments of attitudes (individualism) whereas no one wants to submit to the kings of the world (the victors of WW2) or to the values that existed before WW2.

For example, the rulers and the political class in Lebanon in 1950 were mainly the one who were corrupt, backstabbing and betraying each other, Now, after seventy years (70) of exposure of the Lebanese to the false teachers that the kings of the world (the victors of WW2) sent to this country, the entire population is now doing it. The only change that occurred in Lebanon from 1950 to now is that instead of the rulers and the political class in Lebanon in 1950 being the one who is relying on corruption, backstabbing and betraying to solidify its power, we now have the UN system who the kings of the world (the victors of WW2) brought into Lebanon to solidify their own propensity instead of solidifying the power of the victors of WW2 (i.e., solidify the preparedness of the Lebanese to cooperate based on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights) are also doing it.

In other words, the preoccupation of the Scandinavian countries, Great Britain, the United States, the rich nations, the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, NGOs, charities, etc. with how to “repair” (reform) the norms (political and economic status) that the Lebanese were depending on to establish relations instead of being a servant to the principles that were set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights as Moses, Solomon, Buddha, King Nebuchadnezzar, Jesus, Muhammad, etc., were doing to make the philosophies that they were preaching succeed, resulted in one outcome. We now have half the population in Lebanon deepening corruption, backstabbing, betrayal, etc. under the pretext that the reform that this faction is introducing are intended to strengthen the idea of good. The other half is also using the idea of good as a pretext to refuse to obey. The net gain has been so far zero.

Said differently, after the Scandinavian countries, Great Britain, the United States, the rich nations, tasked the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, NGOs, charities, etc. to focus on how to not let technical progress go to waste (i.e., in how tools can improve morality in Lebanon instead of focusing on how to lead by example so the Lebanese people and others be pollinated from their behaviors), we now have the whole world divided into two factions. One faction is deepening corruption, backstabbing, betrayal, etc. under the pretext that the reform that its members are introducing are intended to

strengthen the idea of good. The other half is also using the idea of good as a pretext to refuse to obey. The net gain has been so far zero.

In the Quran (or Bible), it is reported that a Queen (her name was not given) who ruled over a very wealthy kingdom that possessed everything was with her people prostrating to the sun and not to God. Muslim scholars, suggest that this was Bilqīs, Queen of Sheba, ruler of the kingdom of Sheba. The legend says that King Solomon asked two of his associates on how to make Queen Bilqīs, surrender freedom to him. In the Quran, it is stated that one jinn (angel) said to King Solomon that he was capable to bring to the King the throne of Queen Bilqīs, before the King rise from the council he was having with his chiefs on this issue. [Surah An-Naml 27:39] The Quran also says that one of King Solomon thinkers said I can make Queen Bilqīs, surrender freedom to you with the blink of an eye. [Surah An-Naml 27:40]

In the Quran it is reported that Queen Bilqīs was a very wealthy kingdom and that Queen Bilqīs had “been given everything” [Surah An-Naml 27:23]. The Quran also says that the chiefs of Queen Bilqīs had advised her that if she was unprepared to establish relations with King Solomon that the Kingdom of Sheba possessed a great military might. [Surah An-Naml 27:34], and could use it against King Solomon.

If we assume that both Queen Bilqīs and King Solomon possessed nuclear weapons that they could use against each other (reason why their chiefs were so convinced they could make either leader surrender to the other), it would not be possible for the thinkers who said to King Solomon that they were capable to make Queen Bilqīs, surrender freedom to him “with the blink of an eye,” because it would take more than few seconds for a nuclear bomb to reach from Jerusalem where King Solomon was staying to where Queen Bilqīs was staying in Yemen.

Man knows of only one force that can travel at the blink an eye. This force is electricity. Could the thinker that said to king Solomon that he was capable to make Queen Bilqīs, surrender freedom to the king with the blink of an eye, perceived that because he might of have possessed technology that could disable all the banking system, internet connection, industrial plants, telephones, etc., that the kingdom of Sheba

relied on to have might, and therefore, once they are switched of, Queen Bilqīs would run to the king for help?

The victors of WW2 could be thinking that when their thinkers' finish with digitizing and locking up the world's entire scientific and cultural heritage published over centuries in books and journals, thus transforming all the societies who its rulers and political class refuse to cooperate in accordance with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights into possessing no worth, that they could force those societies into doing it, in the same way Queen Bilqīs and her people, and for unknown reasons later surrendered freedom to King Solomon.

Then again, if corruption, backstabbing, betrayal, etc. were aptitude that man was able to turn away from (distance himself from), then Aramaic should have been today the lingua franca and not English as of 1945. If no kingdom managed to establish itself on earth, then it is highly possible that the ongoing disregard and contempt that people are stirring around the world could be because the decline of the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world is underway.

To put it differently, if all what humans do from birth to death is explore (i.e., create technical progress) to produce material good, and the more material good people assemble, the more the unpreparedness of people to triumph over their desires grows, then it is highly likely that it is the Scandinavian countries and the rich nations who would be provoking this eventual collapse of the victors of WW2. This is because it is those afore mentioned nations who are financing the work of operators such as the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, NGOs, charities, etc. to translate the benefit of such material goods into human rights. Hence, it is those afore mentioned nations and operators who are compelling people to focus on human right, justice, egalitarianism, democracy, etc., but at the same time are unable to practice what they preach, then it is highly likely that those nations and operators could be the mentors who the Quran said are placed in every society to conspire in it. In the Quran, it is stated that God had placed false teachers in every society to conspire in it, and that those false teachers plot only against themselves, but they fail to perceive it. [Surah All-Imran 6 -123] Hence those afore mentioned nations and operators are the one who knowledge

came to them, and they are the one who are compelling the Lebanese and all the people around the world to start to be devoted to doing “good” post 1945 i.e., focus on human right, justice, egalitarianism, democracy, etc., and in the Quran it is stated that people did not dispute among themselves out of mutual envy until knowledge came to them, it is highly likely that those aforementioned nations and operators who the families/dynasties who won WW2 depend on to solidify their power, would also be the one who would persuade their collapse. This is because it is those afore mentioned nations and operators (i.e., those human development players) who are teaching the Lebanese and people around the world to be wicked, to learn how to conspire, or to commit evil so the created things that they come up does not go to waste. This is very serious and worrisome. This is because if the information that is shared with us in the Quran about relativity is true, then mankind is doomed.

In the Quran it is said that if a society had suffered injuries than the society that inflicted the injuries suffered similarly. [Surah Al-Imran 3:140]. In the same verse, it is also written that God “alternate the days of victory and defeat among people so the evil (wrath) that people experience reveal to people that God does not like wrongdoers. The rich societies are not interfering in the political and economic status of poor nations to build those nations but to ensure that their strength and stability does not get weakened. If any person who does not shun any wrongful act, will be punished for what he would commit, then it is highly likely that the power, status and wealth of the victors of WW2 is being chipped at in the same way beavers cut trees.

No one knows why beavers chip trees. The behavior of the beavers could have been programmed in their instincts. Then again, the power of the beavers to, very often, let the tree not fall on their head and in the direction that they want, is not something that we could say that the kings of the world and their thinkers have been able to do since antiquity.

Indeed, mankind could continue to let the social order revolve in the same way the Quran in verse 140 of Surah Al-Imran said will always happens on earth, i.e., victory and defeat rotating between people, nations, kings, etc. However, I strongly believe that this option that is

mentioned in the Quran, i.e. wheel rotation, was possible till 1945. As of 1945, this wheel option rotation stopped to be useful to mankind.

To put it differently, beavers do not spend their life chipping (cutting trees) for the fun of it, but they do it to build shelters. The chipping part of the tree is not the difficult part for the beavers, but rather the transportation of the tree to where they want their shelter to be constructed is the real challenge. Very often, the tree that the bearers cut does not cooperate.

Surely, there are enough beavers and enough trees in the environment, thus permitting the beavers to continue to keep this project of cutting and building on-going. Unfortunately, mankind does not have this luxury post 1945 or after the rich nations decided to invite technology and artificial intelligence so those tools do the bulk of the chipping.

In other words, if mankind has been unable to construct a social order using the power of human reason as a tool, and wants technology and artificial intelligence to help in the cutting and the transportation, then it is highly likely that this option could be building for destruction faster. Most importantly, this option could be aligning mankind to going back to eating bark and twigs just as beavers do if the convictions that are spread about technological singularity is true. Simply put, hence it is humans who are writing the algorithms of those tools, and humans have no evidence to support that tools are not the cause of their problems, i.e., what prompts corruption, betrayal, wickedness and violence, this means that the victors of WW2 would not be able to prevent that the international order collapse over everyone's head if they continue to be in favor of weakening religiosity or the liberal interventionism or the self-determination ideology, who serve as a mean to improve democracy and the rule of law or to attain the principles set forth in the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

It is important that readers keep in mind that machinery and tools do not endanger people, but to the contrary, they help man to improve his happiness. It is the way humans use those created things is where the problem centers. In other words, a knife is a tool to help a man to improve his living conditions and at the same time a tool to kill. Humans have been using tools to drive evil forward in the world faster. The

human body is a tool to kill as well, but the human body cannot overpower a person that is attacking him with a knife (or a gun) very often. This is because when two person fights and one stabs the other and kill him (or fire with a gun), it is not the person who did the stabbing (or shut the bullet) who killed the other person but the tool (the knife or the bullet).

Then again, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 could deepen righteousness if they do not orient the rich nations (i.e., the Scandinavian countries, Great Britain, the United States, etc.) to begin to deepen religiosity or the liberal interventionism or the self-determination ideology, if the more people would be coming up with created things, the more the rich nations would be using those created things to turn people from being tolerant to intolerant.

To put it differently, I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 could continue to let the rich nations (i.e., the Scandinavian countries, Great Britain, the United States [as a nation], etc.) and the operators that those rich nations use such as the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, NGOs, charities, etc. and depend on to weaken the United States (the American ideals), when it is not possible to “call ‘others’ to goodness, encourage what is good, and forbid what is evil” [Surah Al-Imran 3:104] if there is no group who is leading by example in the social order.

Simply put, to make yoghurt a person needs a culture. The best culture (community) that was raised for humanity in the last two thousand years is the American culture. The Americans managed from 1776 to 1945 to encourage good, forbid evil, and improve morality, despite all the internal problems that the United States (nation) knew. As of 1945, the rich nations (i.e., the Scandinavian countries, Great Britain, the United States [as a nation], etc.) and the operators that those rich nations depend on and use to solidify their power such as the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, NGOs, charities, etc. are dismantling under the nose of the families/dynasties who won WW2 what mankind spent the last two thousand years to build.

For example, instead of the families/dynasties who won WW2 instructing the United States (the global policemen of the world) to race

to help the Iraqi, the Lebanese, the Libyans, Haitian, Cuban, etc. to stabilize those countries, those powerful families/dynasties used this country from 1945 to the start of the 21<sup>st</sup> century to topple regimes, and since the start of the 21<sup>st</sup> century to chase outlaws.

I have no way of knowing what good the families/dynasties who won WW2 are gaining from making the United States (the global policemen of the world) race to build nuclear bombs, fighter jets, warships, etc., when none of those tools have managed to help those powerful families/dynasties to reset the behaviors of those who this country interfered in their social order to encourage good since 1945. To the contrary, the more the families/dynasties who won WW2 are making the United States (the global policemen of the world) race to topple regimes or chase outlaws, the more the world is harvesting evil.

I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 could call the storming of the US State Capitol that occurred at the start of 2021 to be an outcome that could be benefiting those powerful families/dynasties when the United States (both as country and as a philosophical idea) are the ransom that those powerful families/dynasties needs to continue to solidify their power. Likewise, I have no way of knowing how the rapid Afghan government collapse before the US, British and NATO troops even left the country in August of 2021 could be benefiting those powerful families/dynasties when a group of amateur (the Taliban) showed the countries that are regarded as the mightiest in the world that all their satellites, intelligence units are worthless. What I do know is that when we study the western religious scriptures, we find many references that highlight how if God had willed, he could have guided everyone; if God had willed no one would be living in fear or want; if God had willed, he would have granted wisdom to everyone; If God had willed, he would have granted wealth to everyone; etc., etc. If the victors of WW2 want to change this formula (i.e., elevate everyone), then it would be important that those powerful families/dynasties be sure that all the servants who are helping them to translate their goals can see and are not blind to the truth. This is because if those servants (the rich nations, UN system, NGOs, etc.) continue to be splitting societies in the name of good or as they have been doing since 1945, then there is a good chance that those servants

could be making the collapse of the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 unavoidable.

To be specific, when we take the Abrahamic religions (i.e., Judaism, Christianity, Islam, etc.), we find that a large number of the ideas that are given revolve around the rejection of man of the existence of God, or the truth that is included in those religions. Let us put aside the part that talks in those religious scriptures about how the world was created aside, and focus only on the human relations aspect. No man can say that the truth that those scriptures state was not something that did not happen to anyone who rejected them. In other words, the authority was always granted and then withdrawn.

For example, in the Quran it is mentioned that God warned Muhammad against appointing himself as the keeper (guardian) or manager of people. [Surat Al-An'am 6:107] It is highly likely, that because Muhammad stayed faithful to this instruction that he did not confront the truth that the Quran said could await those who try to reject its truth. However, when we take the Old testament, we find that God was running around appointing guardian and most importantly, disciplining them.

The founding fathers of the UN counseled the victors of WW2 to let every UN member determine its political status on the aftermath of WW2 for as long as its rulers and its political class obey the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. For one reason or another, the victors of WW2 decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counseled them to remain faithful to its principles and tasked the rich nations, UN system, NGOs, etc. to elevate every member of the human family one so that all the poverty and injustice that exist in the world end by 2030 (see UN MDG). The victors of WW2 want that a good number switch to using green energy as well. The afore means that the victors of WW2 are not in agreement with what the religious scriptures suggest about God being the one who provide for whoever he wants, or that it is him who strips the wealth from whoever rejects the values that are detailed in the biblical scriptures. The afore also means that the victors of WW2 are appointing themselves as keepers or managers of people.

I have no way of knowing if the truth that the biblical scriptures says await any person who appoint himself (herself) guardian or manager of people and does not obey the truth that is included in those textbooks is also in store for the victors of WW2. However, we can say that if the rich nations, UN system, NGOs, etc. continue to lead people away from obeying the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, then either the assumptions that the victors of WW2 are following will fare well for them in the end, or it would be anticipating their destruction.

I have no way of knowing how the victors of WW2 could still reap anything that would be different than what they have already harvested so far pursuant to appointing themselves as managers of people since 1945, but without being prepared to put pressure on UN member states to obey the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counseled them to remain faithful to its principles to continue to solidify their power and ensure lasting peace.

Then again, the decision of the victors of WW2 to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counseled them to remain faithful to its principles to continue to solidify their power and ensure lasting peace in favor of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations look good, while the United States (as a country and as a philosophy) continue to confront unbearable denial, is abnormal.

All the scriptures caution against associating with people who would not miss a chance to harm. Could the victors of WW2 desire to see the United States (as a country and as a philosophy) and other nations fail to let the United Nations become a center for governance?

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 are rejoicing when they see that the Liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy are weakened or not. What I do know is that the western European powers (old powers) were unable to prevent political, territorial and economic competition, militarism, complex of web of alliances and alignments, imperialism, nationalism to develop throughout the entire second half of the second millennium. In 1945 those old powers tasked the United States and the intergovernmental organization named United

Nations to stabilize the balance of power breakdown that exist among them (the old powers). Instead of the United States (the people of this nation) and the intergovernmental organization named United Nations leading by example post 1945 to control the convoluted and fragmented governance system that the old powers created especially in the second half of the second millennium, those two new players (the US and the UN) began to behave worse. In other words, we now have the western European powers, the United States, the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, and the people who those afore actors asked to legitimize new natural and legal rights on the aftermath of WW2 are all not obeying the UN Charter or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and everyone is contending for power, wealth or status, including being bound by his personal power and conscience.

Then again, the question that begs an answer is why the UN member states are allowing the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to be a house of den instead of a house of prayer is perplexing. In other words, in theory every UN member states can be a house of den in the system (the world) minus the intergovernmental organization named United Nations. This is like saying it is okay if God starts to be a villain. When God becomes a villain in the system, the system breaks down because morality would be equal to zero.

To put it differently, the Americans were supposed to be devoted to stabilizing the balance of power between nations on the aftermath of WW2 (i.e., the global policemen of the world). To achieve this goal, the Americans are supposed to remain objective and most importantly, not become a dirty cop (policemen). All people are programmed with two personalities. One personality prioritizes good and the other desires. It is possible to argue that the temptations prevented the United States from remaining an honest broker. In other words, it is possible to argue that the United States failed to prevent to be an honest broker because this country perceived that if it would not resort to corruption, betrayal, violence, it would not be able to maintain a balance of power with other UN member states. The question that begs an answer, is why did the UN member states allow the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to be a house of den instead of a house of prayer. When the victors of WW2 allowed the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to become a dirty copy (i.e., an economic operator who

is buying and selling in the same people are doing on the outside of the UN fence), this means that the victors of WW2 condemned themselves to face the same fate that happened to the families/dynasties who controlled the Roman Empire after they permitted the Christian Church to buy and sell “good”.

Said differently, the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is not a state so we could say that this formal organization need to become a den of thieve to secure its survival. This intergovernmental organization named United Nations is controlled by the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the one who control the money supply of the world). The victors of WW2 are the one who determine the rule (policies) that this formal organization enforces. We cannot have two organizations doing what this intergovernmental organization named United Nations was created to do in the world so we could say that this formal organization need to be involved in corruption, betrayal and backstabbing because its rulers are afraid that those who would be competing against it could be much stronger, and then would take advantage. When the victors of WW2 allow the intergovernmental organization named United Nations become involved in pernicious conspiracy scheme that include abuse of power, those powerful families/dynasties would be crescendoing mankind on a self-destruction path.

That is also to say that when the victors of WW2 granted right to the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to become an economic operator, what those powerful families/dynasties were in so doing seconding is that they do not consider this global council (i.e., artificial person) to pose a threat on their wealth, status or power if it establishes a balance of power with them or not. This is erroneous. This is because the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is a legal entity. There is a group of individuals who manage and support its operations. This also means that in the course of time and things it would not be possible for the victors of WW2 and the nations that protects them to prevent that the UN system gain prominence and to start to contend for power if the actors of this system are solidifying their power in the same manner that their master is using, i.e., compelling corruption, betrayal, violence, etc. Hence, corruption, betrayal, violence, etc., is more profitable in general than honesty,

loyalty, passiveness, etc., and the employees of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations are preaching human rights and rule of law while pursuing the realization of the full development of their personality, i.e., they are not cooperating with their neighbors in the same way how priest do it by abandoning mainstream society to live a life of prayer and contemplation, then it is highly possible that in the course of time and things that they (the UN system) could have aspirations to dominate the world in the same way the Holy Roman Emperor and the Pope struggled in the middle ages to establish world dominion.

If history has a tendency to repeat itself, we can say that it is highly likely that the victors of WW2 could be programming to establish with the UN system a world dominion just as the Holy Roman Emperor and the Pope struggled in the middle ages to establish world dominion. Furthermore, because more than one nation possesses nuclear bombs, then it is possible that the UN system struggle to establish world dominion with one of the superpowers. Then again, if events from the past can become events of the present, those who would be struggling for political supremacy would be undercutting each other in the same way how similar attempts to form a single polity with jurisdiction over the entire human world were botched throughout history. This also means that if a power struggle for world dominion is to ensue in the future, one faction would always be dominant and the other weaker.

In other words, if the victors of WW2 could be programming to struggle for world dominion with the UN system for example, or if a given UN member who possess weapons of mass destruction decides to struggle to establish world power with the UN system instead, it would be possible initially to establish this world dominion or this aspiration to become a *dictator for life* as Julius Caesar (100 BC – 44BC), Roman Emperor, hoped the Roman dictatorship would become before he was assassinated by his most confidants. Later, clashes for supremacy would rise, and it would not be possible to maintain a balance of power between the actors involved. This signify that one faction would undercut the other.

It is important to note that the faction who would undercut the other, would be the one that would be projecting that it is the one who is

prioritizing the importance of letting the spiritual authority dominate over the state authority, i.e., the reverse of the secularism ideas that the victors of WW2 are adopting to solidify their power as of 1945.

Simply put, the rulers of the world combined the social and political power of secular government with religious power in the case of most religions. The religions that were not combined, the rulers of the world stripped them from their power and made secular authority superior to the spiritual authority. In theory, governments and the church were providing the same parameters for everyday behavior for citizens till the outbreak of WW2. Government and the Church were preaching human rights, rule of law, etc., though the rules and responsibilities vary greatly. Governments (Monarchs) started undercutting the church during much of the Modern Age to reduce rivalry, or the corruption, betrayal, violence, etc., that both were perpetrating in the social order in the name of good. The UN system is as of 1945 preaching human rights, rule of law, etc. and perpetrating corruption, betrayal, violence, etc., exactly as the church was doing before it was undercut by governments.

For example, if the victors of WW2 and the UN system manage to become a *dictator for life*, the arguments that either would afterwards be using to perpetrate the collapse of the other cannot center on anything other than the concern of one faction to deepen the idea of good even deeper. Hence the last seventy years have supported so far that secular ideas deepen disenchantment and violence when they are used as parameters for everyday behavior while people are not obeying the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, then only the recourse to conservative or spiritual ideas comprise a viable path to allow one faction to gain the support of people to win.

Actually, the photos that were leaked about how the United States, Great Britain and NATO were torturing prisoners during the Iraq and Afghan war are a good example to support why people would be more in favor of letting conservative or spiritual ideas stabilize political and social power than secular ideas. Said differently, no one can have a reproach against terrorists, mafia, gangs, etc. resorting to torture to get their ways despite the resistance of others, because terrorists and others do not solidify their powers through the intermediary of preaching about how they are a force for good (i.e., showing mindfulness about human rights,

rule of Law, etc.). The countries who project themselves as being a force for good such as the United States, Great Britain and NATO, solidify their power by making nations submit to conventions that they draft. When the United States, Great Britain and NATO make nations submit to conventions such as the Geneva convention relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of Wars for example, and then their soldiers leak photos showing that they are treating prisoners in a manner that is worse than how terrorists treat their enemies, people's distrust in those countries that project themselves as a force for good shrinks. There is no difference between torturing to improve evil or torturing to improve good. Only religiosity managed to create the fear that is required to contain the unlimited potential of human imagination. For example, despite all the shocks that powerful forces employed to destabilize (dismantle) Islam as of the start of 21<sup>st</sup> century all attempts have failed so far. This is because the people who adhere to this religion know that Islam, as in the case of Judaism, Christianity, Buddhism, Confucianism, etc., does not produce the fatalism that the powerful forces who wanted Islam melt down were pushing forward. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, the western European were entangled in wars with each other and in conquering other societies, throughout the second half of the second millennium, because they distanced themselves from religiosity to prioritize secularism. The people who adhered to Islam, Judaism, Buddhism, Confucianism, etc., were not embarking on a civilization mission throughout the second half of the second millennium, and therefore morality remained stronger within those societies. As of 1945, the victors of the world tasked the UN system to elevate the awareness of all people. As the last seventy years support, the UN member states and their citizens (including the citizen of the United States) are not devoted to strengthening the United States (American ideals) and the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy, but to weaken both. In other words, what people are devoted to doing since 1945 is collapsing the legitimacy of the United States and the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to strengthen democracy and the rule of law. However, because the powerful forces who are working on dismantling the United States cannot argue that the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy are evil because this philosophy is from

where those powerful forces draw their power (i.e., the powerful forces need the idea of liberal interventionism and self-determination to further capitalism), then those powerful forces are in crisis.

Said differently, the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy it is a knife with two blades. The victors of WW2 need the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy for capitalism to remain strong. The poor need the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy to remain strong, because it helps them to improve democracy and the rule of law. The last seventy years have supported to the victors of WW2 that the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy cannot be used as a parameter for everyday behavior while people are not obeying the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Hence the victors of WW2 switched from using domination as a mean to solidify their power as of 1945, and failed to make the poor use the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to attain the same after they permitted that the poor not obey the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the victors of WW2 need to come up with a new rule that can aid them to continue to solidify their power.

This rule can only be a new philosophy that would make the poor start to determine on its premise parameters for everyday behavior, or a reason that justifies to the poor why they need to go back to adopting pre 1945 philosophies.

As I said before, there is no philosophy that man can use to improve democracy or the rule of law besides the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy. Instead of the victors of WW2 strengthening the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights as the founding fathers of the UN counseled them to be doing to solidify their power and secure that the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy stays strong, they decided to come up with reasons that justifies to the poor why they need to go back to adopting pre 1945 philosophies. The Islamophobia argument managed to help the victors of WW2 to weaken the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy, but at the same time deepened morality. The liberal interventionism and self-determination

philosophy collapses when morality (democracy or rule of law) shrinks. Hence it is not possible to convince the poor that democracy or rule of law is a threat to world peace, the only solution is to increase death rates (a global war) or the victors of WW2 and the families/dynasties who are rivaling them for their power agree to form a supranational union (a multinational political union where negotiated power is delegated to a single authority).

The European Union is an example of such a supranational union. Great Britain is one of the principal nations who advanced that the international rules based postwar world order be structured on the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy. This country was also a member of the European Union till 2016. I have no way of knowing why Great Britain decided to leave the European Union. I can think of only one reason. This reason was the failure of Great Britain to continue to solidify its power as it was doing pre 1945. In other words, Great Britain failed to stop the other EU nations from under cutting its wealth, status and power.

I have no way of knowing if the complex web of alliances or alignments that Great Britain is building as of 2016 is helping the families/dynasties who control this monarch to continue to solidify their power or not. Then again, if the power of Great Britain is to grow in the world, this means that the power of the nations who this monarch is not forming with them alliances or alignments need to shrink. I have no way of knowing how Great Britain and its allies plan to shrink the power of the nations that this monarch would not be forming alliances or alignments with them, when the only mean to achieve this end based on similar situation that came to pass throughout history happened when one faction managed to convert the citizens of its adversaries into becoming ignorant. The only effective tool that is known to man to convert any society into becoming ignorant is to occupy its citizens with religion or with building. Both methods are ineffective because they increase awareness. For example, in 2019, the United Arab Emirates (a small country that Great Britain and the United States helped built), hired elite hackers to spy on program that included Americans as surveillance targets.<sup>22</sup>

---

<sup>22</sup> <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-emirates-spying-insight/why-the-cia-doesnt-spy-on-the-uae-idUSKCN1VG0V3>

Snooping is natural. The decision of the United Arab Emirates to run a covert operation to spy on the United States is not a pernicious stance. Furthermore, when self-help is perceived as the only mean that states could rely on to guarantee their survival, the obsession of rulers and the political class with wealth, power and status makes them choose strange and unexpected actions. In my opinion, the political ambitions of the United Arab Emirates are not unusual as well. What I find to be unusual is the decision of the victors of WW2 to let the United Arab Emirates become a smart city and a rogue state at the same time just as they allowed the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to become one as well.

To put it differently, monarchs used their influence throughout history to promote their own capital cities as “capital of the World.”<sup>23</sup> For example, the Greeks built capital cities throughout the Greek empire, but Athens stayed the capital of the world before the collapse of the Greek Empire. The Romans did the same. Rome was the capital of the world. After the death of Muhammad, his successors moved the capital of the Rashidun Caliphate from present day Saudi Arabia to Iraq. However, Iraq was part of the Rashidun Caliphate domain. Great Britain promoted many capital cities around the world before WW2 erupted, but London was always the capital of the world for the empire.

I have no way of knowing why the victors of WW2 chose that the United Arab Emirates which is 4,500 km away from western Europe become the capital of the world theoretically presently (or let’s say among the top five). What I do know is that in the same way how the United Arab Emirates transformed from being a nomadic society in 1945 to being ranked as one of the top futuristic cities of the world and a rogue state by many at the start of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the same transformation could have happened at the intergovernmental organization named United Nations. The only difference is that one transformation is material, and therefore, visible for the naked eye, and the other is political, and therefore, it is not possible to detect because it is invisible. The intergovernmental organization named United Nations (i.e., the UN

---

<sup>23</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Caput\\_Mundi](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Caput_Mundi)

system) is now installed in all the UN member states. The UN system operates

Hence the rulers and political class in the United Arab Emirates would not be developing power to spy on the United States, or seek to crush Libya's democratic transition,<sup>24</sup> or be part of a coalition of nations that imposed an economic blockades on Qatar,<sup>25</sup> if it was not for the victors of WW2 providing this country cover (protection), we could say that when the victors of WW2 allow the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to compel political corruption, betrayal, and injustice this formal organization would be conducting in the same dangerous and unpredictable way that the rulers of the United Arab Emirates are behaving.

Till today, one of the principal reason why architectural planners failed to create sustainable cities for unpredicted and uncertain futures has been linked to their inability to predict corresponding behavioral changes. The same can be said about mankind's inability to create sustainable "one size fits all" political, economic and social international or local order.

If, in theory, our living and political conditions within any society are not a consequence of the actions of city planners or political policy makers but a function of our behavioral changes, and our behavioral state is also changing in function of the changes that the natural world is undergoing on its own including manmade ones, and the facilitation of the right stimulus, then we can say that if the victors of WW2 do not want that the rulers and political class of the United Arab Emirates, and the administrators of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to not betray them, thus prompting their reversal to a simpler form, they need to avoid that they do become a victim of the first born / last born syndrome.

The first born syndrome refers to a condition in which the firstborn is often loved and pampered, while the others children do not receive the same attention due to changes in the attitudes of parents as the

---

<sup>24</sup> <https://www.aa.com.tr/en/analysis/analysis-why-uae-seeks-to-crush-libya-s-democratic-transition/1784855>

<sup>25</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Qatar\\_diplomatic\\_crisis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Qatar_diplomatic_crisis)

household gets bigger.<sup>26</sup> The last born syndrome refers to a condition in which the last born tend to be more impetuous and persistent.<sup>27</sup>

In 2017, Scientists at the University of Edinburgh published a paper in which they said that first-borns are usually more intelligent than their brothers and sisters because of parent stimulations in the early years.<sup>28</sup>

If a person cannot give their priorities the same attention or time, in the same way parents are not able to give the same attention or spend the same time with their children, then order (position) becomes critical. That is also to say, if the first born has a better chance at observing his parents than parents have time for themselves to do it, this means that first borns are capable to out-think their parents, predict their next move and create circumstances to elicit the response which is conducive to their growth. Likewise, if last borns are impulsive and love to get their way, then they can create lots of unpleasant repercussions. This is very serious and worrisome.

The afore means that since the victors of WW2 have favored that rulers and political staffs of UN member states or the staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations establish relationship with them, and provoke corruption, betrayal, violence, etc., just as they (the victors of WW2) are doing, then it is possible that the rulers of UN member states and the staffs of this organization out-think those powerful families/dynasties in their own game. This is very serious and worrisome.

In other words, if it is possible for rulers of UN member states or for the staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to outsmart the victors of WW2; and if on the whole, the rulers of UN member states or the staffs of this organization fail to outthink the victors of WW2 alone, this does not exclude the fact that due to how our different societies are at all the times getting smarter that those

---

<sup>26</sup> <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/life-style/parenting/first-year/what-is-the-first-born-syndrome/photostory/73689117.cms>

<sup>27</sup> <https://www.parentingideas.com.au/blog/why-first-borns-fuss-seconds-are-resilient-and-last-borns-like-to-laugh/>

<sup>28</sup> <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2017/04/firstborn-children-smarter-than-siblings-edinburgh-study/>

individuals (the rulers of UN member states and the staffs of the organization) unite in a defensive coalition to force the rich to legitimize new natural and legal rights that increases their happiness, then it is possible that the victors of WW2 could be empowering UN member states and the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to accelerate their demise instead of to strengthen it. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, if the reason why the victors of WW2 created UN member states on the aftermath of WW1 or WW2 (such as the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Lebanon etc.); and the reason why the victors of WW2 gave independence to UN member states on the aftermath of WW2 (such as Egypt, India, all the nations in Africa and Latin America); and the reason why the victors of WW2 created the intergovernmental organization named United Nations; were all ideas programmed to enable the victors of WW2 to share similar set of characteristics that would enable them to solidify their power better; but all what those powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 have reaped so far is the challenge that first born and last born syndrome pose to parents, then the victors of WW2 could be building for destruction if they continue to grant right to UN member states to violate the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and the intergovernmental organization named United Nations right to be an economic operator and to convert this global council into a den of thieves.

Differently put, if parents give the same level of emotional support to all their kids, yet despite children being brought up under the same set of rules and family value system, a good number disavow their parents, murder them, abandon them, then it is possible that the victors of WW2 could harvest the same fate. Furthermore, because children have different personalities, interests, achievements and behavior, and not all the children use their same genetic pool to advance the same values, but the majority compete, rival, and betray each other, that the victors of WW2 could harvest the same fate from letting rulers and political class in UN member states operate rogue states and the staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations preaching human rights and rule of law while pursuing the realization of the full

development of their personality (i.e., involved in corruption, betrayal, violence, etc.) just as people do on the outside of the UN fence.

A safety valve is an object that acts as fail-safe in fluid flow projects in which the medium needs to be regulated, directed and controlled.<sup>29</sup> In engineering, a fail-safe is a design feature or practice that in the event of a specific type of failure, inherently responds in a way that will cause minimal or no harm to other equipment, to the environment or to people.<sup>30</sup> Mankind has relied throughout history on lots of ideas to act as fail-safe when corruption, betrayal and evil deepened in the world. Rising death rates through wars, pandemics, famine etc. have been always an effective way to reduce greed and jealousy. However, in the same way how mankind improved his skills in the art of killing, mankind improved his skills in the art of reconciliation.

Indeed, this shift away from this idea of God controlling the world, to the church controlling the monarchs (state), to the ideas that our predecessors penned in 1776 about all men having been created equal and therefore are being endowed by their creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, to the self-evident truth that our predecessors reached in 1945 about the idea of liberal interventionism and self-determination being the only “safety valve” that can act as fail-safe in social and political power of secular governments, represent an evolution course to improve democracy and the rule of law.

I have no way of knowing why the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) are allowing people around the world to perceive that the United States does not possess the right qualifications to be the global policemen of the world, or allowing rulers of UN member states operate rogue states. or allow the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to be converted into becoming a den of thieves, when mankind know very well that there is no philosophical contribution that people could collectively cooperate together on to achieve a full and complete structure of a world order under law, then the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy. What is

---

<sup>29</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Safety\\_valve](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Safety_valve)

<sup>30</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fail-safe>

also very incomprehensible is why the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) do not want to admit that the United States and the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy are the only ticket that could allow them to continue to transform the conditions and outcomes that matters to them. Thus, instead of the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) preferring to have the United States and this philosophy weakened instead of using this country and philosophy to lead by example, when they know very well that the success that this philosophy managed to achieve in the United States from 1776 to 1945 is what helped the families/dynasties who won WW2 to be the victors of this global war!

Once again, I have no way of knowing why the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) want people around the world to perceive that the United States does not possess the right qualifications to be the global policemen of the world, or that the liberal interventionism and self-determination is not the proper philosophy to tackle with it the impediments that constitute a serious threat to world peace, then to attribute this erroneous behavior, to two motives. The first is if the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) do not want to admit that in 1945 they reached the promised land, i.e., that the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy is the promised land. The second is if the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) want to continue to wander as the people who Moses was transporting to the promised land were doing. [Number 32:13]

Wandering and wondering are two words that are spelled almost the same but have two distinct meanings. Wandering means travelling aimlessly, and wondering means to question or imagine issue.<sup>31</sup> As the afore shows, one word (wander) deals with motion, while the other (wonder) deals with thinking and questioning.

---

<sup>31</sup> <https://www.learnenglish.de/mistakes/wandervswonder.html>

In the Bible, it is said that Moses said to the heads of the tribes of Israel that when a man makes a vow or takes an oath, he must not break his word but must do everything. [Numbers 30 2] I have no way of knowing why the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) are refusing to admit that there is no philosophy that is superior to the liberal interventionism and self-determination to improve peace and security between humans, especially that the success that this philosophy managed to achieve in the United States from 1776 to 1945 is evidence, thus since 1945 they have been wandering looking for another philosophy to produce for them wonders. What I do know is that mind wandering is a broad term with no universal definition currently available.

In 2013, some investigators suggested that mind-wandering consists of 3 different subtypes: positive constructive daydreaming, guilty fear of failure, and poor attentional control.<sup>32</sup> I have no way of knowing what specific subtypes could be prompting the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) to be wandering from the afore 3 different subtypes. What I do know is that there are two types of wandering: Linear wandering and circular wandering.

For example, we now know that the people that Moses was transporting from Egypt to the promised land wandered linearly. They managed to arrive to the promised land after they let their stimulus (internal or external) not distract them. Indeed, this abnormal rise in intolerance that emerged in the world since 1945, does not support that the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) are making us wander linearly as of 1945, but this abnormal rise in corruption, backstabbing, and violence seem to be supporting more and more that we are wandering in circle.

In 2019. Live Science magazine published a herd of reindeer moving together (running) in tight circles like a swirling cyclone.<sup>33</sup> Scientists

---

<sup>32</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mind-wandering>

<sup>33</sup> <https://www.livescience.com/64778-vikings-reindeer-cyclone.html>

say that the reindeer were moving in such a tight circle producing a “cyclonic” effect as their defense strategy to protect their fawn who they place in the center.<sup>34</sup>

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) are making us wonder and wander in circles as reindeers do to serve their defense strategy or not.

I have no way of knowing why reindeer (including other animals such as turkey, chicken) including man run in a circle. For example, Sufi whirling is a form of turning that is still practiced in many countries to this day, in which the spinning of the body in repetitive circles is seen as a symbolic imitation of planers in the solar system, orbiting the sun. At the same time, the aim of the spinning is to reach the source of all perfection or karma.<sup>35</sup> In other words, the circling of the body is regarded as a way to abandon one’s nafs (self), ego or personal desires.

I have no way of knowing if the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) are making us wonder and wander in circles as of 1945 to live and fear and want to make it impossible for us to weaken their strength or stability (reindeer cyclone) or if they are doing it because they want us to abandon our ego and personal desires (Sufi whirling). What I do know is that it is not only animals and people who run in circles but insane people do it and people with Alzheimer’s disease as well.

It is not possible to say that the victors of WW2 (including the rulers and the political class in the United States in their role as global policemen of the world) are allowing UN member states operate rogue states. or allow the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to be converted into becoming a den of thieves, because of old age which researchers regard as the principal known risk factor for this

---

<sup>34</sup> <https://www.republicworld.com/entertainment-news/whats-viral/herd-of-reindeer-form-circles-to-protect-themselves-from-threat-watch-hypnotic-video.html>

<sup>35</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sufi\\_whirling](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sufi_whirling)

disease, because all those individuals who are involved are not determining policies on their own, but have lots of thinkers doing it.

Insanity, is a term that describe a behavior that is characterized by certain abnormal mental or behavioral patterns.<sup>36</sup> Experts say that individuals who manifest abnormal mental or behavioral patterns can become a danger to themselves or to other people. This is very serious and worrisome.

To put it differently, the brain and the spinal cord are the central command of the human body. If the brain or the spinal cord stop to play their role in the human body, the rest of the human organs and the rest of the human senses and systems stop the function or act correctly. The victors of WW2 (and the United States in its role as global policemen of the world) are the brain and central cord in this artificial person (i.e., the international rule based postwar world order) that all people agreed to surrender some of their freedom in 1945 to help succeed. People do not know the difference between sanity and insanity. Could the reason for the decision of the victors of WW2 (and the United States in its role as global policemen of the world) allowing UN member states operate rogue states, or allow the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to be converted into becoming a den of thieves, be because the individuals involved are unaware of whether or not they are ailed with psychosis or schizophrenic disorder. Most importantly, experts say that mental insanity is associated with the biological contagion, i.e. that insanity or mental illnesses are infectious. This is very serious and worrisome.

In other words, if insanity is a disorder that is contagious, this then signify that it is highly possible that the rulers and political class of UN member states who are operating rogue states, or the staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations who are preaching human rights and rule of law while provoking corruption, betrayal, violence, etc., have contracted this abnormal mental or behavioral patterns from the victors of WW2 (and the United States in its role as global policemen of the world). This is very serious and worrisome.

---

<sup>36</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Insanity>

To put it differently, earlier I said that individuals who manifest abnormal mental or behavioral patterns can become a danger to themselves or to other people. If the victors of WW2 (and the United States in its role as global policemen of the world) are allowing rulers and political class of UN member operate rogue states, and staffs of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations preach human rights and rule of law while provoking corruption, betrayal, violence, etc., this signify that those powerful families/dynasties are intentionally compelling those afore mentioned actors to be like them a danger to themselves or to other people as well if insanity is a disorder that is contagious. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, if insanity is a disorder that is contagious; and if the individuals who manifest abnormal mental or behavioral patterns can become a danger to themselves or to other people; and if the victors of WW2 are infecting others with abnormal mental or behavioral patterns (i.e., letting the UN member states and the UN system disobey the work program of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights), this then signify that the victors of WW2 are intentionally prompting their own disintegration by their own unpreparedness to lead by example (i.e., danger to themselves).

The way the victors of WW2 could provoke their own collapse when they allow the UN member states and the UN system disobey the work program of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights happens as follows.

When the victors of WW2 stop to let the rulers and political class of UN member state lead by example (copying the behavior of the victors of WW2), citizens in UN member states become unprepared to obey. This afore operation automatically condemns the rulers and UN political class of UN member states to intentionally be prompting their own disintegration by their citizens due to their own unpreparedness to lead by example (i.e., danger to themselves). However, in the case of the rulers of the UN member states, the danger to others that they would be posing or effectuating when they would be unprepared to obey the prevailing political order (lead by example) would not be a threat that would be pointing in one direction only but in two. One direction would

be downward, in the direction of their citizens, and the other direction could be upward, in the direction of the victors of WW2. The same can be said about how the threat that the international intergovernmental organization named United Nations would be provoking when people's distrust in its values diminish. The threat would be in two directions as well: upward and downward.

The rulers and political class in UN member states are not frightened by the unpreparedness of their citizens to obey, in as much as they are frightened by the power of the wealth of the victors of WW2 to buy the loyalty of their citizen and military leaders, as happened before the Iraqi, and Libyan war. However, because the rulers and political class in UN member states cannot resize the victors of WW2 by force but they can do it by way of betrayal, this means that when the victors of WW2 permit distrust to deepen in the social order they deepen freedom. When freedom rise, it is not possible to create rights and obligations. When societies become unorganized, it becomes taxing to restrict or curtail people's freedom to create a political state without increasing death rates.

To put it differently, tornadoes, dust devils, whirlpools, smoke rings, etc., are all form of vortices (vortex singular) that result from the stirring of winds, water, fire, etc. In fluid dynamics, a vortex is a region in a fluid in which the flow revolves around an axis line, which may be straight or curved.<sup>37</sup> The shape of the vortex is usually conical.

Humans have no power to control the debris, or dust that swirls in the circular column of the vortex in uncontrolled environment. Nonetheless, humans learned to use this natural swirling idea to remove particles from an air, gas or liquid stream. For example, a cyclone is a separator separating product phases mainly on basis of differences in gravity.<sup>38</sup>

Generally speaking, the working principles of cyclone and the working principle of the human life is analogous. For example, in physical separation, products are fed into "the cyclone (separator) chamber tangentially under a certain pressure. This creates a centrifugal movement, pushing the heavier phase outward and downward alongside

---

<sup>37</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vortex>

<sup>38</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrocyclone>

the wall of the conical part. The decreasing diameter in the conical part increases the speed and so enhances the separation. Finally, the concentrated solids are discharged through the apex. The vortex finder in the overflow part creates a fast rotating upward spiral movement of the fluid in the centre of the conically shaped housing. The fluid is discharged through the overflow outlet.”<sup>39</sup>

Humans are plugged in reality in the same way products are fed into the cyclone. After going in circle for a certain period of time (while some are spinning fast and others slow), the human body (mass) is discharged from reality (i.e., death) in the same way products drop to the bottom of the apex. Religious people believe that the soul rise and exit through the outflow outlet of the cyclone to go back to where it came from, while atheists believe that the soul stays with the human body here on earth (i.e., it goes to the grave with the mass).

The working principles of the political system that humans obey on the aftermath of WW2 does not work in a manner that is any different than the way cyclone or the way the human life works. For example, if we take a vertical cyclone and place it horizontal, we will produce the same conical shape that Live Science Magazine said reindeer formed and were moving together (running) in tight circles like a swirling cyclone in it. According to Live science, the apex was where the reindeer were positioning their fawn to protect them against an eminent threat. Let us assume that instead of having a fawn placed in the center of this swirling cyclone, we have the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2. Let us assume that the reindeer who are running in circle around the heirs of the families/dynasties who are staying in the apex (the center) to be the rulers of the UN member states and the populace of the world. The only way that can ensure that the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 are protected is if all the rulers of the UN member states and the populace of the world who are running in circle (swirling) let all their envy, jealousy, betrayal, to exit out in the same way lighter products exist from the overflow of a cyclone.

If the working principles of the political system that humans obey on the aftermath of WW2 can be said to depict the afore analogy, then it

---

<sup>39</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrocyclone#Working\\_principle](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrocyclone#Working_principle)

becomes crucial that all the rulers of the UN member states and the populace of the world obey the political order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 laid out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, just as the products that enters into a cyclone obey centrifugal force and gravitational force to create separation. Most importantly, it is important that all the rulers of the UN member states and the populace of the world stay organized and run in given lanes in the same way runners do to avoid that gravitational forces pull them to the center (apex).

To put it differently, in vortices (cyclone) the flow is turbulent. In fluid dynamics, turbulence or turbulent flow is fluid motion characterized by chaotic changes in pressure and flow velocity.<sup>40</sup> In other words, the fluid flow velocity is greatest next to its axis (i.e., it is turbulent near the center of the vortex) and decreases in inverse proportion to the distance from the axis (i.e., laminar far from the center of the vortex).

Said differently, when a tornado or a sandstorm is rotating, the wind that is rotating throws (tosses) debris and objects in all directions. To the contrary, when centrifugal and gravitational forces are creating swirling effects in a cyclone chamber (a controlled environment), the products that are entering do not go in all directions as they are getting separated. The path stays controlled (regulated) in the same way runners do when they run in their own lanes or when they crowd onto the innermost lane.

This is also to say that if the families/dynasties who won WW2 do not make the rulers of the UN member states and the populace of the world “run in their own lane,” i.e., obey the political order that was laid out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, it would not be possible for those powerful families/dynasties to protect their heirs. This is because when the rulers of the UN member states and the populace of the world do not stay “in their own lane” they would be swirling behaving in the same way how the column of air of tornado lifts and throws objects in all directions. In addition, because the spinning is chaotic, this means that when the rulers of the UN member states and the populace of the world start going in all directions, they cannot avoid not stampeding on each other. Most importantly, when people are spinning and going in all

---

<sup>40</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turbulence>

directions (i.e., chaotic flow), it would not be possible for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to prevent corruption, jealousy, envy, betrayal, etc. from dropping to the apex. This is because man has no power to stop centrifugal and gravitational forces in uncontrolled environment.

To put it differently, tornadoes could be obscured completely by rain or dust. This means it is not possible to see such tornados. Corruption, jealousy, envy, betrayal, etc. are values that people keep hidden and provoke them while maintaining discretion. If the rulers of the UN member states and the populace of the world are running in all directions, this signify that it is not possible for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to detect the corruption, jealousy, envy, betrayal, etc. that is being provoked because it is invisible. A man was not granted right to detect relations that are invisible or obscured.

Once again, I have no way of knowing how the families/dynasties who won WW2 plan to contain the corruption, jealousy, envy, betrayal, etc. that they are nesting in the heart and mind of people by operating on double standards type working principles. In other words, in one hand letting the rulers and political class of the UN member states and the staffs of the intergovernmental organization names United Nations disobey the work program laid out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and in the other, expect that those two actors be loyal servants.

Actually, there is no difference in the evil that the intergovernmental organization names United Nations is compelling and the one that terrorist groups that emerged on the aftermath of WW2 such as the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIS).

The intergovernmental organization named United Nations is teaching people how to be a better person and so is ISIS. The only difference is the working principles that each faction is going about to get its ways despite the resistance of others. ISIS relies on violence, and the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is using non-aggression. The two organizations are nestled in the social order. Both are not obeying the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

One does its teaching out in the open (the intergovernmental organization named United Nations), while the other is doing its teaching in the dark (ISIS). The intergovernmental organization named United Nations and ISS are preaching to a vast multi ethnic multi linguist expanse of people. In fact, ISIS is preaching to a small network of people, but the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is doing it to the entire population of the world. This signify that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is weakening peace and stability by hundreds of millions of folds than ISIS. For example, if we search for entries about the intergovernmental organization named United Nations we will get more than 600 million results. When we do a search for the entries that ISIS puts on the internet, this number should not be above 1% of the entries that are published about the intergovernmental organization named United Nations. Actually, the number of entries that ISIS has up on the internet cannot be 1% because if ISIS has 7 million entries (propaganda) on the internet this means that all the governments who claim that they defeated ISIS would be deceiving the world.

As the afore shows, it looks as if the families/dynasties who won WW2 are missing the big pictures. It looks as if the families/dynasties who won WW2 are valuing the unimportant while ignoring the important. In other words, if we assume that all the people who adhere to the Islamic faith in the world subscribe to the values that ISIS preach. Let this number be about 1,5 billion. The families/dynasties who won WW2 are valuing the importance of the threat that 1.5 billion are posing on their strength and stability for refusing to surrender freedom to them, while ignoring the threat of 5.5 billion that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations is teaching them that they should not surrender freedom to their leaders unless they share with them outcomes on equal rights. Not to mention, that we have 193 world leaders and political class who refuse to surrender freedom to the international rule based postwar order that the families/dynasties who won WW2 fixed as a tool to help them solidify their power. This is very serious and worrisome.

In other words, in 1945 the number of revelations that people were making about leaders, political class, and the rich being involved in corruption, money laundering, betrayal, backstabbing, global tax avoidance, etc. was very low. Presently, the number of entries

(revelations) that people have exposed in the world wide web about leaders, political class, and the rich being involved in corruption, money laundering, betrayal, backstabbing, global tax avoidance, etc. is in the hundreds of millions pursuant to the intergovernmental organization named United Nations teaching people that they should not surrender freedom to their leaders unless they share with them outcomes (justice, material things) on equal rights. For example, the offshore leaks that was published in 2013, shared 2,5 million files.<sup>41</sup> The Panama Papers leaked 11.5 million files in 2016.<sup>42</sup> The Paradise Papers leaked 14.4 million files in 2017.<sup>43</sup> If we add the new revelations that people would be continuing to add in the future in the world wide web about how leaders, political class, and the rich are being involved in corruption, money laundering, betrayal, backstabbing, global tax avoidance, etc., this information would prove two things. The first is how much leaders, political class, and the rich are involved in cases of corruption, money laundering, betrayal, backstabbing, global tax avoidance, etc. or allegations. The second thing that such revelations confirms is that the leaders, political class, and the rich are not using their authority in governments to protect people from conflicts and to provide law and order but to enrich themselves (i.e., control the government for their own benefit).

If the more revelations people release about the involvement of their leaders, political class, and the rich in cases of corruption, money laundering, betrayal, backstabbing, global tax avoidance, looting, etc. or allegations, the more people would be distrusting leaders, the political class and the rich, then mankind is doomed. This means that mankind is building for its own self-destruction.

To put it differently, humans rely on corruption, money laundering, betrayal, backstabbing, global tax avoidance, etc. to forgo each other from wealth, power and status. If the intergovernmental organization named United Nations continue to empower people to leak cases of corruption, money laundering, betrayal, backstabbing, global tax avoidance, etc. about their leaders, political class, and the rich, and we know based on all the cases of wrongdoings that was leaked so far that

---

<sup>41</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Offshore\\_Leaks](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Offshore_Leaks)

<sup>42</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Panama\\_Papers](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Panama_Papers)

<sup>43</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paradise\\_Papers](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paradise_Papers)

no revelation shared helped citizens to condemn the individuals who were mentioned in the leaked papers, because all the documents that were leaked did not contain any legal wrongdoing, then it would not be possible to diminish people's distrust.

However, the more the distrust of people with their leaders, political class and wealthy grows, the more this condition would be demoralizing people. In addition, because leaders, political class and the wealthy cannot solidify their power without raising taxes and exploiting public finances, including being involved in corruption, money laundering, betrayal, backstabbing, global tax avoidance, etc., then the more their irregular actions grow, the more it would be eroding the social order. The more people's frustration with the leaders, political class and wealthy grows, and the more leaders, political class and the wealthy erode the social order, the more the manner that mankind would be using to cope would be degrading the social order. The more people fail to agree on parameters for every day behavior, the more the preparedness of people to obey diminish. The more the preparedness of people to obey diminish, the more the preparedness of people to be bound by their personal power and conscience grows. The more people refuse to obey the political order, the more the leaders, political class and the wealthy would be coming with promises (economic, social and political policies) that they would not be able to keep. For example, the mass immigration that rich countries are slashing to prevent poor people from poor countries to immigrate into those rich countries under the pretext that its aim to avoid sustaining low-wage immigration is an example. As the rich nations would fail to keep the quality of life that they promised their citizens, the leaders of those nations would be obliged to take big and bold decisions. Those big and bold decision cannot be other than going back to how things were one before. Those bold and big decisions can only be calling for more power to be decentralized, or the usage of force as a mean to make people be ready to surrender freedom and obey.

Hence, the leaders, political class and wealthy cannot be in favor of power becoming decentralized, because when power is put into the hands of local governments, mankind would be repeating the same social and political order of the middle ages but with new people. Only two solutions improve the economy and improve the quality of life in any society: Stinginess and lawlessness.

For example, Muhammad was of the opinion that no society can accumulate wealth, power or status except when five criteria unite. Those are preparedness to economize, be hopeful, be eager, severing nepotism, prioritize religiosity (morality).<sup>44</sup>

Indeed, all the suggestions that Muhammad have regarded as important to enable any society to improve the social order are what people refuse to do throughout history.

Considering that people refuse to amass wealth, power or status without resorting to lawlessness (i.e., forgoing the security of others to improve their own, or are ready to share on equal principles outcomes [not to be confused with equal rights which is what the UN is teaching]), this signify then that it is not possible for leaders, political class and wealthy to increase their happiness without spilling blood.

I have no way of knowing if the families/dynasties who won WW2 plan to continue to solidify their power through letting leaders, political class, the wealthy, and the intergovernmental organization named United Nations continue to rely on disregard, contempt, false promises, betrayal, corruption, etc., as a mean to secure that no harm would touch their heirs, or if they would be in favor of going back to securing the maintenance of their strength and stability as per the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to remain faithful to its principles initially.

Zuhayr bin Abī Sūlmā (520 – 609) was a pre-Islamic Arabian poet who lived in the 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> centuries.<sup>45</sup> He is considered one of the greatest writers of Arabic poetry in pre-Islamic times. Zuhayr bin Abī Sūlmā was among the seven poets who Caliphs hanged their poems in the Kaaba (the most important mosque in Islam) at Mecca in order for readers to remember to hang the information (general truth or piece of advice) that they were saying in their mind.<sup>46</sup>

---

<sup>44</sup> <https://www.alkawthartv.ir/news/213914>

<sup>45</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zuhayr\\_bin\\_Abi\\_Sulma](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zuhayr_bin_Abi_Sulma)

<sup>46</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mu%27allaqat>

The poems of Zuhayr bin Abī Sūlmā spoke about the "practical wisdom of a sober man of the world."<sup>47</sup> In the poem that Zuhayr bin Abī Sūlmā is most famous for, he praises two tribes that agreed to reconcile by settling their disputes through negotiation and arbitration. In fact, his poem is very analogous to what the founding fathers of the UN counseled humans to be doing.

Zuhayr bin Abī Sūlmā said that war is not but what people have learnt it to be. When you stir it up, you will stir it up as an accursed thing, and it will become greedy when you excite its greed and it will rage fiercely. It will grind people as the grinding of the upper millstone against the lower, and in the same way how millstone split grains in two or more smaller parts, this is how evil would be spreading in the world. The children who would be conceived from those people who the distress and ruin of the war has affected them, would be breastfed vice who then would be a sorrow for their parents.

I have no way of knowing if the decision of the victors of WW2 to let the Palestinian be kicked out of their land in 1948 to let the Jews form a nation in Palestine is breeding Palestinian monsters to this day. Likewise, I do not know if the decision of the victors of WW2 to let the rulers and political class in Lebanon disobey the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights till the outbreak of the Lebanese civil war, is what bred the monstrous leaders and political class that are ruling this country today. Similarly, I do not know if the decision of the victors of WW2 to dismantle Iraq in 2003 but without helping the Iraqi people to obey the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, is what is making the leaders and political class of Iraq behave today not any different from the way the leaders and political class in Palestine, Israel, and Lebanon are doing. I can go on and on.

If the more the victors of WW2 allow leaders and political class of UN member states to disobey the work program set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the more the unpreparedness of people to obey grow, then the intergovernmental organization named United Nations could be building for the destruction of the powerful

---

<sup>47</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mu%27allaqat#The\\_poems](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mu%27allaqat#The_poems)

families/dynasties who won WW2 and the self-destruction of mankind instead of for their preservation. This is because it is the victors of WW2 who are not assuming their responsibility and making the political leaders of UN member states obey the work program specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and it is the intergovernmental organization named United Nations who is urging people to not obey their leaders, the political class and the wealthy until outcomes are shared on equal rights.

I have no way of knowing if all the men, tribes, nations, kingdoms or empires who refused to uphold the moral principles that are included in the biblical principles or the political constitution they determined, condemned on themselves a copycat suicide. Indeed, the hatred that people are capable to conceal in their heart, is much bigger than any army that man can assemble. For example, Zuhayr bin Abī Sūlmā said in his poem that despite nations maintaining armies for the purpose of protection, and when not assailed serve as a tool to provoke aggression, man was not able to use this complex to serve his needs. He then says that violence, wars, etc., are like a flowing river that is always creating new fracture in the soil to start a new course (river bifurcation).

Surely, this continuous switch between war and peace that man has been knowing could be a result of this continuous bifurcation. Then again, no one can claim that war has not humiliated and softened perpetrators who resorted to its power to help them to get their ways despite the resistance of others. For instance, the money that was disbursed to finance the war in Iraq or Afghanistan has not returned to the nations that were carrying out the aggression many benefit so far. In fact, if as I said before, that it is highly likely that God (the natural force that programmed human relations) fixed relationships to operate in the same way air displaces itself, and fixed morality as the central command, then it is highly possible that the victors of WW2 are building for their own destruction if they do not start to make rulers and political class in UN member states lead by example, resize the intergovernmental organization named United Nations, and go back to implementing the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed.

Zuhayr bin Abī Sūlmā like all people who lived so far, acknowledged that he was blind in as far as the events of tomorrow are concerned.

People will be letting violence and wars continue to debase them including letting death create winners and losers. However, this would not mean that corruption, jealousy, envy, betrayal would cease. Therefore, if whoever, trembles all causes of contempt and disregard would eventually, in the course of time and things, fall, this means that that the victors of WW2 need to decide if they want to be the brain (central command), or the hand, or the liver, or the finger, or the knee, etc., in this journey or collective collaboration to bring the human body with all its organs to the promised land. This is because the last seventy years have supported that a person cannot be playing two roles at the same time. It looks as if no man can conceal truth when the awareness of people rises. In other words, a person cannot be the brain and the hand at the same time in this journey of this artificial person (the international rule based postwar world order) to the promised land. Said differently, a person cannot priority morality and desires at the same time. That is also to say, that if God (the natural world) is working in mysterious ways and is always pushing the rulers and political class who provoke destructive force (disregard and contempt) and the ones who do not say nothing to protect their self-interest from their power to let new people do it instead, then the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council need to be sure that the total disregard and contempt (i.e., total destructive energy) that people (societies) around the world are generating is not stripping them simultaneously from the power they possess against their will.

In other words, if, as I said, the more societies generate destructive forces (disregard and contempt), the more the families/dynasties who control the system (the world) could be condemning themselves to face the same fate (truth) that happens when a system in nature allows heat to rise or wind to blow fast, this signify that the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world could be working (building) toward seeing themselves slipping out from the power, wealth or status they possess so other people (families/dynasties), religion, race, etc., start to play the deputy of God role they are playing today.

Furthermore, if God (the natural world) is operating human relations in the same way this force is operating matter, it looks as if the more the

families/dynasties who won WW2 allow the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council induce an atmosphere of charged discontent in the social order of weaker societies or allow disregard and contempt (i.e., destructive energy) to stagnate in them, the faster those five countries would be speeding the arrival of the conditions that sees to it that they (the families/dynasties who won WW2) get stripped from their power against their will.

Likewise, if no matter how much those five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council try to keep the destructive energy that weaker societies generate on their own or the one that those five nations induce point inward (stay within the territorial boundaries of the nation who is in crisis at all the time) and not outward to not create a snowball effect and affect them, their efforts would be futile because we do not live in a closed system but an open system, then the more those five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council allow confusion and distrust to rise, the more they would be condemning human progress and humanity to deal with the same sorrow that they said outraged their conscience reason why they decided to invite all people to collectively work together so no one lives in fear and want.

To put it differently, humans do not live in a bubble so no society (nation) can say that the disregard and contempt that is happening around the world is not of concern to them or would not affect them. Said differently, no society (nation) can consider itself a non-interventionist, a nation who says that I am not meddling in the political system of the societies whose people are disputing, or in the one that the disenchantment of its citizen is trivial.

For example, Great Britain protected Norway during WW1 or WW2. If a new global war erupts, there is no guarantee that Great Britain or the countries that Norway is forming with them a complex web of alliances and alignments would be the victor. If the new victor is not Great Britain or anyone of those allies of Norway, then the Norwegian stand a big chance of being resized.

Actually, if the destructive forces that human imagination generate attract and repel people like magnets, i.e., man has no power over how

to stop magnetism or repulsion, I think it makes lots of sense that countries with lots of empty social space such as Norway and particularly France (the country who administered Lebanon before WW2 and the one who created all the problems that Lebanon is knowing) take between them 2 million Lebanese to go live in those two countries to help the Lebanese government have some breezing space to stabilize Lebanon.

Of course, the Norwegian and the French can continue to use their wealth to have Syria resized (reset) so this country can absorb part of the disregard and contempt that the Lebanese are prompting, or be doing as the Pope is doing since 1975 for the Lebanese and that is to pray to God so God could guide them.

I have no way of knowing if the Norwegian, French and all the countries that those two are forming with them a complex web of alliances and alignments would manage to resize (reset) Syria, particularly since they cannot reset Israel (the Israeli and the Palestinian are entangled in a problem that is worse than the one the Lebanese are dealing with), since their efforts to do so since 2011 appear to be shrinking year after year. In other words, the Syrians are refusing to betray each other (i.e., the regime) in the same way the Iraqi were deceived into doing by powerful forces who thought they could get their way despite the resistance of others by just offering them (the Iraqi) promises and later backing away on delivering on those promises. Likewise, the Lebanese are refusing to betray the Syrians (i.e., the regime) or Hezbollah in Lebanon despite all the promises that the powerful forces are making to the rulers and political class of this society.

It looks as if the Pope's prayers for the world are being heard by God, especially that we cannot say that God would be inclined to listen to all the Lebanese leadership and others who brought this country into this present state of neglect.

If this ongoing abnormal rise in confusion and distrust that emerged in the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council continue to grow, this means that the more people would be compelled in those societies to have recourse to malice, deceit, hypocrisy, envy, slander, betrayal, to get their ways, the more the natural

and legal laws that those five countries have legitimized to get their ways despite the resistance of others would be getting weakened. The more the natural and legal laws that those five countries have legitimized to get their ways despite the resistance of others would be getting weakened, the more the world would be getting dynamic. The more the world gets dynamic, the more the chances of a global war erupting rise.

Furthermore, if the natural force (i.e., God) that programmed human relations made it to function in the same way matter displaces itself, this means that the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council have a problem in the heir hands if they let peace and security to deteriorate to a low level so increasing death rates (global war) becomes to be the only solution to secure their strength and stability. This is because people go to war to fight for a cause. In other words, people are not going to fight on the side of the United States if this country is playing double standards and not leading by example. Then again, I do not know if the United States can from the White House, or Russia from the Kremlin push buttons and win a street fight never mind a global war. After the failure of the United States, Great Britain, the Soviet Union, NATO and all their allies in defeating the Taliban who possessed nothing but their mind to fight them with, it looks as if the prayers of the Pope, the Imams, the Rabbis, the Buddha monks, the orphans, the widows, etc., is turning the wheel around. In other words, it looks as if the families/dynasties who won WW2 and the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council are going to be the biggest losers if they do not do something to reverse the present trends or do not go back to prioritize and organize relations based on what the biblical scriptures dictates, or the values that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to remain faithful to its principles (i.e., the Universal Declaration of Human Rights) to avoid that disregard and contempt compel their eventual collapse.

I do not know how the victors of WW2 could avoid that the envy and jealousy (or values and goodness) that reside in the heart of people not take them off-guard in the same way this force caught off-guard all the kings who became oblivious to warnings. All the kings of the world who fell in despair, were showered with everything, but none knew the hour

when their power, status and wealth was going to be taken from them. All those kings were caught by surprise, in the same way the whole world witnessed in 2021 how the Taliban slipped back in power in Afghanistan after twenty years of sweat to keep them away from power.

It looks as if the victors of WW2 need to decide if they want every member of the human family to be building for the preservation of his (her) own strength or stability to slip into the role that the Queen of England represents around the world, or if they want people to be collaborating to reduce fear and want. Likewise, it looks as if the victors of WW2 need to decide if they want the governments of UN member states be working to slip into the global policemen role that the government of the United States plays around the world, or if they want nations to be cooperating to build a safer world. This is because if no one is interested in the importance of morality as a tool to increase happiness or a way to build a safer world, then it is highly likely that the envy that could be boiling in the hearts and mind of people (government) could take them by surprise.

For example, we cannot expect that the Egyptian government (the military complex in this country) fixes the status that the Lebanese government as a standard of achievement, but the one that the state of Israel, North Korea, the United States, etc. achieved. Likewise, we cannot say the Lebanese are envying the wealth or happiness that the Egyptians possess, but the wealth and happiness that the people who live in Scandinavian countries possess.

If every member of the human family is not to ready to swap his actual living conditions with that of an inferior level, and everyone is working to swap it with the wealth, status and power, of the families/dynasties who won WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world), then the more those powerful families/dynasties allow people awareness to rise, the more disregard and contempt rise. If the victors of WW2 choose apathy or meddling over truth, the more those powerful families/dynasties would be making the odds change to back how their collapse is unavoidable in the course of time and things. This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, if the military complex in Egypt, and the military complex in Russia, China, Lebanon, etc. etc. are all working to determine who would be the first at defeating the United States first, instead of competing to determine who could be the first to lead by example which is what is required that any person who chooses to be a public servant be devoted to doing, mankind could be doomed. In other words, if UN member states are required to observe faithfully and strictly the provisions of the charter of the United Nations, and therefore, can no longer pursue ambitions that results in territorial gains, then all governments can only seek hierarchical gain. If all governments (UN member states) are seeking hierarchical gain and the idea of government is being used as an apparatus to help a person (group) increase their propensity instead of a tool to increase morality, this then signify that UN member states are not cooperating to build a better world, but rather are cooperating to see who would be the fastest at stripping from the other his status, wealth, and power.

To put it differently, humans want their relations to be fixed vertically in the same way tornadoes form or behave as a rotating column of air, and do not want their relations to be fixed like a shelf cloud, i.e., horizontal. If people flatten their relations and start rotating horizontally, then they would be creating horizontal dynamism and not vertical (hierarchical). This means that people would need to begin to re-evolve as our ancestors in ancient history discovered that protection was easier if they stayed together in groups and if they all agree that one (or some) in the group should have more power than others.

It looks as if the decision of the victors of WW2 to grant right to the intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (and international aid organizations, NGO, charities, etc.) to interfere in the social order to build equality instead of coordinating the work of nations to build democracy, which is what the founding fathers of the UN counselled that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations be only devoted to doing has helped those powerful families/dynasties and the nations that protects them to strengthen their wealth but not to strengthen the preparedness of people to surrender freedom to them since 1945. In other words, the divisiveness that the poor nations are knowing in particular (i.e., disagreement over shares, size of shares, scramble for acquisition and gains, dispute, violence,

wars, etc.,) is satisfying the first condition that I said earlier the victors of WW2, the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council, or the rich nations need to see happening in the social order of the weak and poor nations mainly for them to continue to solidify their power. Said differently, the interference that the intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (and international aid organizations, NGO, charities, etc.) is making in poor nations in the name of good is helping the victors of WW2 to deepening division only. The interference of the intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (and international aid organizations, NGO, charities, etc.) is not making people surrender freedom (be tolerant), which is the ultimate objective.

However, as I said earlier, when the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 and the nations that protects them (i.e., the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council) are permitting that the forbidden become allowed, or the ill-gotten become legit in the poor nations, the confusion that the people of those poor nations are knowing is not staying local. The same division, or confusion is reciprocally emerging in the social order of those great powers (i.e., the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council), thus confirming evidence of perhaps being themselves ailed with the same symptoms. In other words, no matter what type of political, social and economic changes those rich nations (i.e., the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council) introduce in their social order, their citizen(s) distrust with the leadership is not going down, and it is becoming not any different from the distrust that the populace of poor nations are exhibiting toward their own leadership after the intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (and international aid organizations, NGO, charities, etc.) and rich nations meddled in their social order. Simply put, the more the rich nations (i.e., the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council) meddle in the political status of poor nations, or lets the intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (and international aid organizations, NGO, charities, etc.) do it on their behalf, the more their own citizens are questioning them (the leadership), demanding to monitor the government, to make the

government accountable, to enhance transparency, and even to judge the government.

If the meddling that the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 and the nations that protects them (i.e., the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council) need to be continually doing in poor nations to prevent those societies from rivaling them, produces an equal and opposite awakening that appear to be circumventing or subverting the balance of power that those powerful families/dynasties and rich nations would like to see not take place in their own social order, then they have no choice but to adopt one of two solutions to stop the destructive energy that those poor nations are generating from destabilizing them (i.e., shrink it down).

The first is if those powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 and nations that protects them (i.e., the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council) stop the intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (and international aid organizations, NGO, charities, etc.) from interfering in the social order of those nations to stop confusing the people who live in those countries. This solution would shrink the destructive energy that the people who live in those poor nations generate. Of course, this solution would not be effective if the governments of those poor nations refuse to cooperate in accordance with the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

The second solution is if the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 and nations that protects them (i.e., the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council) create a reset through either engulfing the world in a global war to reduce desires, or test with spreading a pandemic in the hope that its effect would preoccupy people with how to start to secure their livelihood rather than how to be devoted to wanting to play a role in how the government should be administered or being vigilant to secure that the rich observe the rule of law.

I have no way of knowing how the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 are planning to address the growing distrust that people are

manifesting. In my opinion, the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 cannot stabilize the social order if they decide to test with spreading a pandemic. This is because it is not the people who are creating the dynamism that the world is knowing but rather it is the governments. The spread of a pandemic alone does not reset a government. Only wars reset governments fully.

If the distrust that people are manifesting around the world continue to grow, the choices that the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 are going to have to pick one from to stabilize the social order could boil down to two. The first is a global war, and the second will involve the return of all the UN member states to implementing the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed.

Once again, I have no way of knowing which choice from the afore two the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 consider employing to avoid that the social order be plagued with deeper distrust.

In my opinion, the longer the powerful families/dynasties who won WW2 remain indifferent about resetting the world through a transformation that centers on making the social order function in line with the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed, the higher the likelihood of this reset happening through the intermediary of a global war deepens.

To put it differently, it would be impossible to suppose that the families/dynasties who won WW2 programmed to let peace and security in the world evolve on the aftermath of WW2 as per the chaotic case that exists in Lebanon since 1975. Yet, year after year, instead of the families/dynasties who control Lebanon (the warlords who control the government) addressing the causes of the crisis and who cause it, the government keeps on looking for a solution to address the consequences of the crisis. Likewise, year after year, the work program of the Lebanese government enumerates the problems, but without seeking to improve accountability and democratic legitimacy. In other words, the work program of the Lebanese government includes aspirations to improve the economic and social situation in the country but without the work program including a diagnostic so one can know that what the government is addressing is solving the problem that is causing the

systemic failure. The danger of the unpreparedness of the families/dynasties who control Lebanon (the warlords who control the government) to stabilize the political order in this country, and most importantly, the unpreparedness of the families/dynasties who won WW2 to do something about it, means that the Lebanese are radiating destructive energy in the social order instead of constructive. The more the Lebanese radiate destructive energy in the world, the more the people of other neighboring nations would be plagued with evil, wickedness, and intolerance. The more the families/dynasties who won WW2 refuse to interfere to lessen the destructive energy that people are releasing or manifesting in the form of disobedience, the more the families/dynasties who won WW2 would be finding themselves left with only one solution to stabilize the social order and maintain their strength and stability. This solution is through the intermediary of a global war.

Likewise, hence it would be impossible to suppose that the families/dynasties who won WW2 programmed to let UN member states become ruled militarily, because this option could convert the world into becoming islands as the case is like today in Egypt, Russia, China and almost all the remaining countries, this means that the more the families/dynasties who won WW2 permit nations to close their borders, the more this situation would be making it difficult for any nation to coerce the other without the flexing of force. The more nations rely on force to coerce each other, the more the families/dynasties who won WW2 would find themselves left with only one solution to stabilize the social order and maintain their strength and stability. This solution is through the intermediary of a global war.

It looks as if the longer the families/dynasties who won WW2 grant people and governments a pass to be the measure of all things, the more this strategy is not coercing those aforementioned actors to surrender freedom so every member of the human family can live in peace, but rather the awareness that those actors are gaining is accelerating the disintegration of those powerful families/dynasties who are playing the principal deputy of God role on earth.

Then again, man has power to program outcome that can create winners only, or winners and losers. I have no way of knowing how the

families/dynasties who won WW2 do their programming to maintain their strength and stability. If the families/dynasties who won WW2 do their programming on the basis of producing winners and losers, this means that the United States is the country that stand to lose the most if the state of peace and security in the world worsens. This is because it is the United States only who wants the idea of liberal interventionism and self-determination be used as a mean to improve democracy and human rights (rule of law) on condition that the idea that pens that “all men are created equal” is integrated within this overall idea. All the other UN member states have no objection with the idea of liberal interventionism and self-determination be used as a mean to improve democracy and human rights (rule of law) but without this idea that pens that “all men are created equal” be integrated in human relations.

To put it differently, Great Britain, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, the Netherlands, Belgium, Saudi Arabia, Oman, etc. should have no objection with letting the idea of liberal interventionism and self-determination be used as a mean to improve democracy and human rights (rule of law), but on condition that this philosophy exclude the idea that “all men are created equal.” This is because when this idea that pens that “all men are created equal” is factored in human relations, then it would not be possible for the families/dynasties who control those kingdoms to justify that the role of the monarchy is largely ceremonial. As the awareness of citizens in those monarchs rise, their citizens will find that the role of the monarchy is not only limited to promoting democracy! This is very serious and worrisome.

Said differently, earlier I said that Joseph was Jacob’s favorite son. He was also the youngest. It was Joseph’s elder brothers who betrayed or tripped him. If we use this analogy, we can say that the United States is the “youngest” son to the families/dynasties who won WW2. The eldest children of those families/dynasties who won WW2 are the monarchs of Great Britain, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, the Netherlands, Belgium, i.e., the old powers. Let us put the monarchs of Saudi Arabia, Oman, UAE, Morocco, etc. aside, because those royals are the “children” of those old powers.

If the central ideas that the United States (i.e., Joseph) is preaching is one that the old powers (Joseph’s older brothers) support in spirit but

not in action, then it is highly likely that the old powers coalesce and betray the United States in the same way Joseph's older brothers did to solidify their power if they continue to come under increasing economic pressure from their citizens to improve democracy and human rights. This is very serious and worrisome.

It is important that readers keep in mind that the word "United States" refers to both the philosophical ideas that are integrated in the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy, which as I said the idea that "all men are created equal" is an integral part, or the American people (the real humans) who are solidifying their power from this idea. If the old powers decide to betray the United States, it would not be the philosophical idea that they would want to betray, but the American people {the real humans} who are solidifying their power from this idea. In other words, the old power would continue to promote free trade, human rights, democracy, etc. (all the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy) but without the idea of "all men are created equal" penned into it. The only change the old powers want happening is strengthening statism without there being any interference of the idea of "all men are created equal" penned into it.

Perhaps the best way to conceive this statism that the old powers would want be adopted could be a modern caste system. The way this modern caste system works is that the state plays as its legitimate political authority. Everyone is permitted to change class but on condition that the slip upward or downward be in function of the created thing that the individual comes up with and not based on what created things already exists can do for him.

In other words, any person (society) from any social class, rich or poor, can build a fighter jet that looks like the British Eurofighter Typhoon jet, or a French Rafale fighter Jet, for example, but for as long as the fighter jet that is proposed does not contain any material interests (technology) that was invented already.

To give an example, let us assume a person has a project and requires glass to make it operational. To produce glass a person needs three materials: sand, soda ash, and limestone. There is already a person who

is claiming ownership to this idea that is involved in the production of glass using sand, soda ash and limestone. The person who needs glass on his project, cannot fabricate glass using the same material of terrestrial origin (sand, soda ash and limestone) because there is already a person who is claiming ownership to this invention. This signify that if this person in this example who is working on this project need glass to complete his project, he must take some other material of terrestrial origin, and produce a material interests that has the same quality or structure of glass if he wants to complete his project, or buy the glass that is made from sand, soda ash and limestone from the person who claim ownership to such an invention.

No one knows how to produce glass using any material of terrestrial origin besides doing it through sand, soda ash and limestone. Therefore, any person who wants glass is obliged to buy it from the person who claim right to this invention. This also means that if this person who is claiming right to how to produce glass using sand, soda ash and limestone possess a nuclear bomb also, mankind is doomed. The scariest part is that this person who is claiming right to how to produce glass using sand, soda ash and limestone and who protect this material right through a nuclear bomb, is also preaching about the importance of the idea of good as a mean to improve peace and security.

To put it differently, the type of statism that the old powers and all the UN member states including the United States want be adopted does not differ from the political and economic gridlock that the Lebanese are working together trying to unblock since 1975. Everyone in Lebanon is ready to provide solutions to the consequences of the problem that has engulfed this country since 1975, but no one is prepared to address the causes of the crisis and who caused it.

Simply put, if for as long as a person fails to figure out how to build a Jet fighter that does not include any technology from the one that other people claim rights to it, then no man can produce a Jet fighter to stop the British or the French, for example, from exercising hegemony. In addition, if we factor that if a person in Lebanon or Egypt, for example, decides to build a Jet fighter the British, French, American, Greeks, etc. would be against it, because they would bunker under the pretext that it is being built to threaten their interests, then no Lebanese or an Egyptian

can never advance or get out from the social class that he is in. Unless of course, if the thing in question is a technology that was not invented yet.

As the present poor state of peace and security in the world support, this strategy that man is adopting to improve connectedness is not working out to reduce evil (i.e., improve morality) because it lets men become equal in their horizontal class, but not in their vertical (hierarchical) aspirations.

Therefore, since for as long as the families/dynasties who won WW2 do not decide on whether or not they want the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council obey the work program that is stipulated in the UN Charter (lead by example); and for as long as the five countries who are serving as permanent members of the UN Security Council decide on whether or not they want the poor governments that they expect them to consider their opinion before taking action of their own obey the work program that is stipulated in the UN Charter (lead by example), then for as long as all those afore actors do not decide if they want to prioritize morality over wickedness, mankind is doomed. Furthermore, we can say that we cannot exclude that the old powers would not be inclined to betray the United States (global policemen of the world) if this country (the US) continue to fail in doing the dirty work of those old powers without this action producing added hatred toward the families/dynasties who control the different western European monarchs. Most importantly, we can say that if people around the world continue to portray the families/dynasties who control the different western European monarchs as being out of touch with contemporary society, then those old powers are going to want that the head of the United States (global policemen of the world) be the one who would be delivered to them on a plate. This is because it is only the United States who is weakening them.

To put it differently, let us consider, for example, that the world (the system) is inhabited by three countries only. Let those three counties be Great Britain, the United States and China. From 1945 to now it is not the population of China who is fueling ideas about “all men having been created equal” thus prompting people in this system to starting to

portray the rich (royal) families/dynasties in Great Britain as humans but it is both the citizens of the United States and Great Britain who are doing it. Then again, the citizens of the United are more than 300 million and the population of Great Britain are 66 million. This means that the percentage of British people who are fueling ideas about the rich (royal) families/dynasties in Great Britain as human is 20% that of the number of American people who are doing it. This also means that if the United States is resized, the American people would stop portraying the rich (royal) families/dynasties in Great Britain as humans in the system that we said was made up of those three countries. The system can easily control the remaining 20%.

The afore example, did not integrate the effect of jealousy or envy as a mean to support why the families/dynasties who won WW2 would be ready to betray the United States. I will give an example.

Let us consider that the world (the system) is composed of the same three countries, Great Britain, the United States and China, plus the western European nations due all their wealth, and power. Let us also assume that China form one camp alone, and Great Britain, the United States and the western European nations form a second camp. Let us assume that those two factions are competing against each other politically and economically to determine who would be successful at getting the weaker to consider the opinion of the strongest. From 1945 to now, despite all the meddling that Great Britain, the United States and the western European nations made in China to dismantle the fabric of this country, they have failed to this day. The ability of the Chinese regime to withstand and to not break up so far can only be due to two factors. Either Great Britain, the United States and the western European nations do not know what they want (power vacuum), or the Chinese are people who knows how to obey.

Hence it is not possible to suppose that all the Chinese (more than 1 billion people) are happy with the performance of the Chinese government, then one of two reasons could be preventing Great Britain, the United States or the western European nations from managing to create a power vacuum in this country. One reason could be due to all three of them (Great Britain, the United States or the western European nations) being not in favor of China becoming a democratic country,

and the other could be due to one (or more) of those nations wanting that China become a democratic country, and all the others against.

Only the United States can be in favor of China becoming a democratic country because it would gain the most when China is partitioned. The reason why UN member states are divided and are not able to control the disregard, contempt, violence, civil wars that has been waging in the world since 1945 is due to the UN member states failing to agree on how shares should be partitioned among them. This scramble (rivalry) has deepened malice, deceit, hypocrisy, envy, slander, betrayal, militarism, nationalism, etc., among nations.

Hence it is highly unlikely that the families/dynasties in Great Britain or the western European nations would be ready to abolish their current monarchial political system in order to make it liberal, then the more the United States promote liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy as a mean to improve democracy and human rights (rule of law), the more this teaching would be making people poke into whether or not the families/dynasties who control the different western European monarchs are indeed not involved in politics as they claim. Then again, the more people would be troubled by the political influence that they would be finding that those powerful families/dynasties exercise to this day, the more people would be devoted to curbing the political influence of the families/dynasties who control the different western European monarchs. The more people succeed at withdrawing absolute power from the families/dynasties who control the different western European monarchs, the deeper the conviction of those families/dynasties who control the different western European monarchs would be getting about why they need to resize the United States to stop its liberal and self-determination philosophy from preventing them from cultivating a good relationship with their dependents. It is important that readers keep in mind that this harm that would be stalking the families/dynasties who control the different western European monarchs would not be actions that the United States would be effectuating against them directly, but they are rather a billiard ball effect.

Robert W. Service (1874 – 1958), British-Canadian poet and writer, said once “It isn’t the mountains ahead to climb that wear you out; it’s the

sand in your shoe.”<sup>48</sup> The more the families/dynasties who control the different western European monarchs and the adversaries of the United States wear this country (the US) out, the harder the position of the United States would be getting to make governments) and allies) become ready to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the UN charter (i.e., which is also what gives power to the United States to get its way despite the resistance of others). This signify that if the United States does not coerce all the governments to change course or to stop them from continuing to violate the ideas that this country (the US) considers important, the collapse of the United States is eminent in the course of time and things.

Put differently, the United States can lead by example so the working class around the world gather around it or around its ideas as people were gathering around Jesus, or continue with going along with pushing forward policies (agendas) that seem to make a person live at the mercy of the state instead of at the mercy of morality. I do not know if the pebbles that the UN member states would be adding up in front of this country’s (the US) path (i.e., jealousy, envy, deceit, betrayal, etc.) would accumulate and start to wear it out, but if everything is relative, then there is no reason why this scenario would not be the case.

In the animal kingdom, the natural world is shaped by brutality and overarching force. Often, animals hunt preys by working together with other members of the same species. I have no way of knowing if humans shape their own natural world in the same way animals do, or adopt a different tactic. At the same time, humans have a much bigger problem to resolve than the one animals confront. Animals do not spend their days exploring to determine how to increase their survival needs and earthly desires (building homes, cars, satellites, making ice cream, etc.) but limit their exploration only to survival needs. Humans, on the other hand, explore how to sustain their survival needs, how to increase their earthly desires, and most importantly, how to deny each other survival needs and earthly desires because it is not possible to produce for every person a car, an airplane, a tall glass, a short glass, a square glass, a round glass, etc. This means that the nature of the problems that humans must deal with and resolve to deepen connectedness are endless

---

<sup>48</sup> [https://www.azquotes.com/author/13338-Robert\\_W\\_Service](https://www.azquotes.com/author/13338-Robert_W_Service)

I have no way of knowing if governments can accommodate people's relentless explorations to sustain survival needs, sustain earthly desire, and most importantly, deny each other rights at the same time. In other words, if it is possible, for example, to narrow the gap, or bring the standard of living in rich nations down to meet the standards of the poor or bring the standards of the poor up to meet the standards of the rich. What I do know, it is not possible to prepare for every threat because there are an endless number of threats that are happening at the same time that ranges from unexpected emergencies, events of human design, environmental events and long-term stressors. In addition, what I do know is that as of 1945, the most powerful families/dynasties (the group of men who all nations and people dread and fear them) have not done a lot to make the governments of the different UN member states relinquish control of their power to the ideas that are prescribed in the UN charter (or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights). To the contrary, the most powerful families/dynasties have been allowing many governments to play like them the deputy of God role over the territorial boundary they control, and are ignoring the implications that such conduct can have on their capacity to maintain their strength and stability. In other words, the powerful families/dynasties have no way of knowing if their decision to not let all the governments (including the United States) to not obey the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to make sure that everyone obeys (i.e., the UN charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights) is stripping them from their glory or not.

In like manner, we can say that as of 1945, most powerful families/dynasties (the group of men who control the international order or the money supply of the world) have not done a lot so all UN member state (governments) delegate or relinquish control to the United States so this country can tell us how it plans to help us reach so no one lives in fear and want as this country was committed to arranging, particularly since the liberal interventionism and self-determination philosophy that we are all practicing is an idea that this country suggested. Herein also, we can say that we have no way of knowing of the drawbacks that could await the powerful families/dynasties after they favored that the United States does not do what this country promised.

In addition, another decision that the most powerful families/dynasties (the group of men who control the international order or the money supply of the world) took as of 1945 that we can say we have no way of knowing how it would be impacting them in the course of time and things, is their decision to have the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to be bringing the standard of living of poor people to meet the standards of the people in rich nations.

When Jesus started doing the reverse of what the powerful families/dynasties (the group of men who control the international order or the money supply of the world) tasked the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to be doing (i.e., bring the standard of living of the rich people down to meet the standards of the poor), the rich people felt threatened by his teaching, conspired against him, betrayed him, and arranged for his crucifixion.

Presently, the most powerful families (i.e., group of men who control the international order or the money supply of the world) are doing the opposite of what Jesus was attempting to do. The most powerful families (i.e., the group of men who all nations and people dread and fear them) want the intergovernmental organization named United Nations to bring the standard of living of the poor nations up to meet the standards of the rich. If everything is relative, i.e., when Jesus wanted the rich to bring their standard down to improve the standards of the poor, the rich crucified Jesus, then if the most powerful families (i.e., group of men who control the international order or the money supply of the world) want the poor to bring their standard up to be at the same level of the rich, then the poor are going to eventually do the same, i.e., crucify the most powerful families (the rich). This is very serious and worrisome!

I have no way of knowing if the poor would resize the most powerful families (i.e., group of men who control the international order or the money supply of the world) in the course of time and things. However, this afore conclusion begs many questions. For one, will the group of men who control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the people who control all the UN member states including the United States) sell (betray) the United States when they start to perceive that people's call to them from around the world to cooperate

based on the obligations contained in the Universal Declarations of Human Rights starts to threaten their power in the same way Judas sold Jesus? If as I said before, presently the most powerful families are doing the opposite of what Jesus wanted happening, i.e., Jesus was poor and Judas was poor, and it was Judas betrayed Jesus, then when the poor start to put pressure on the rich to improve their standards, the most powerful families are rich, and the United States is rich, and therefore, one of those two would betray the other.

Most importantly, after the Jews, the Greeks, and the Romans sold (betrayed) Jesus, they did not know that they were going to be stripped from their power few centuries later. Let us assume that the most powerful families (i.e., group of men who control the international order or the money supply of the world) betray the United States and this country will be resized (reverted to a simpler form). Can the powerful families/dynasties be sure that the intergovernmental organization named United Nations would not strip them from their power, wealth and status, and resize them as happened to the families/dynasties who were controlling the Roman Empire? The Early Christians were not preaching anything that is any different than what the officials of the intergovernmental organization named United Nations are preaching today except the former were preaching “give” and the later “take.” There is nothing called “give” and “take” in reality. I will check to find out if this could be the case in the upcoming sections.