

Word Lost

The Changing Anglosphere
Under the United Nations

Dreaming Wild

Salim Maloof, Ph.D.

Copyright 2022 by Salim Maloof

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without permission of the author

No commercial use could be made of this book and no part thereof should be translated into any language. This book should not be circulated in any binding form by anyone, including an acquirer.

Suggested Citation:

Maloof S., World Lost: The Changing Anglosphere Under the United Nations, 2022

This is a work of non-fiction.

Salim Maloof
WORLD LOST:
The Changing Anglosphere Under the United Nations

For more information on reproducing section of this book or sales of this book,
go to:
Center for Modernity Planning and Assessment
www.centermpa.com

To my friend Bassem Barakat

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work
Special Note to All UN Member States
Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations
Special Note to All People of Faith
Definitions

PREFACE

Part ONE: Man, the Ultimate Source of War and Peace

1. Mirror of reality	27
2. Unstoppable chaos	50
3. Assassinating the concept of diplomacy	73
4. The price of greatness is responsibility	108
5. Even the sun must leave the sky	152

Part TWO: The Human Jungle

1. Impulsive hypocrisy	27
2. Doctrinal dishonesty	45
3. Disordered vertical and horizontal deprivation	69
4. Navigating slippery noble cause corruption	91
5. Power as a vocation for salvation	126

Part Three: A World shaped by stiff-necked people

1. The genie out of the lamp	27
2. Survival of the fittest	45
3. Burning Bridges	73
4. Blind leading the blind	124

Part Four: Conforming reality to our categorization

1. Runaway response reaction 27
2. Hope is in Chaos 56
3. Jigsaw 86
4. Flight to nowhere 127

Part Five: Dreaming Wild

1. Fast and Loose 27
2. Wicked as they come 61
3. The crooked way 100
4. Flood Tide 147

Part Six: Chaos is Everywhere

1. A Matter of Choice 27
2. Salt of the Earth 58
3. Ball of Fires 110
4. Dead Dawn 149

Part Seven: No Road Back

1. The dance of life 27
2. Corridor of mirrors 56
3. Wrong assumptions 98
4. Castle in the air 132

Part Eight: Bitter Harvest

1. Time without pity 27
2. Creating Patterns in Chaos 63
3. The wind cannot read 105
4. Hell is a city 146

Motive that propelled the author to prepare this work

In 2013, a US national was working for UNOPS (a subsidiary organization of the general international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). He expressed reservations against UNOPS approving a project in the DRC, which UNOPS negotiated directly with the prime minister.

The government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo was going to finance the general organization named the United Nations (UNOPS) to implement on its behalf a project worth \$25 million. After the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo deposits the money into the UN bank account, the United Nations will withdraw its administrative fee, and then it will use its procurement rules to justify waiving open competition and recruit a line ministry within the DRC government to implement the project, redepositing the remaining funds back into the DRC government's bank account minus the UN fee. The DRC government does not have to justify in its own financial books how this money was spent because it was converted into a non-audit item. Afterwards, the government line ministry that received the payment becomes accountable to the United Nations. And since each UN agency runs its own legal and auditing house, it is easy to fix the paperwork to make everything legally clean. The project involved opening 2000 km of roads with bridges and culverts in 2 years in the eastern Congo (a war zone area).

When the US national reported the irregularities in this project to his supervisors, they informed him that the organization would review the situation and take appropriate action. Then his supervisors gave him two choices: wait until his contract expires to go home or accept to temporarily relocate to Sudan. He was also told by his supervisor(s) that if he succeeds in growing the Sudan office in Sudan, the organization will help him achieve his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator. UNOPS signed the project with the government of the DRC, which was negotiated after his departure for Sudan.

However, a few months later, the project was cancelled, and the United Nations returned the money back to the government.

In Sudan, the US national committed the same mistake he did in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. This mistake was prioritizing the work program of the founding fathers of the UN over his self-interests. He objected to the decision of the Department for International Development (Dfid) [now called the Foreign Commonwealth & Development Office] of the British government to finance a project in Darfur worth 10 million euros, in which Dfid (the British government) wanted to bluntly violate the UN financial rules and regulations.

To avoid the financial loss that the United Nations (UNOPS) was going to incur in terms of administrative fees after DFID (the British) made it clear that it was not going to finance this project unless the conditions it was asking for were met, the supervisors of this US national placed him on administrative leave in 2015 to investigate a claim that they said was launched against him by one of his staff.

While on administrative leave and before UNOPS told this US national what charges were leveled against him or by whom, his supervisors informed him that the organization was restructuring and that it was not going to be using his services when his contract expires. However, in the same contract termination letter, the United Nations (UNOPS) invited this US national to apply to fill the position he was temporarily filling in Sudan, which was downgraded. Next, the United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS) administrators (i.e., his supervisors) sent the US national a letter informing him that the organization had cancelled the investigation. The United Nations did not tell the US national what charges were leveled against him, who filed a claim, interview him, or follow any of the UN rules and regulations in cases of misconduct while he was on administrative leave.

When the US national lost all hope of persuading his supervisors to reconsider, he filed an application in the United Nations courts seeking damages for libel, rather than for his termination. At the start of the legal proceeding, the UN judge asked the United Nations (UNOPS) to resolve this case with this US national outside the UN court. The

United Nations (UNOPS) made an offer to the US national, which also included regulating outstanding issues related to his pensions and health insurance, including offering him a new two-year retainer contract.

The US national refused the offer of the United Nations (UNOPS) because it did not include assisting him to pursue his career goal of becoming a UN Resident Coordinator, as was initially agreed. The court hearing proceeded. Surprisingly, the United Nations court system, after hearing all the details of the case, dismissed the filed application in 2017. The reason for the dismissal was justified on the basis of a rule that requires any staff member who rejects any decision made by the United Nations to submit his objection within 60 days.

To put it differently, the United Nations court dismissed the application of the US national and denied him the right to any claim because he did not question within 60 days the decision of the organization named "United Nations" placing him on administrative leave and waited until his supervisors informed him that they had cancelled the investigation to put into question his rights. Said differently, the mistake this US national made was his decision to practice tolerance as the preamble of the UN Charter counsels and not use his legal right to put into question why the organization named United Nations was placing him on administrative leave; it cost him his right to any claim! That is to say, had this US national exercised his legal rights (practiced intolerance), his chances to secure them would have been higher! In other words, the United Nations requires individuals to abandon tolerance in order to have any rights and instead encourages them to be intolerant!

The victors of WW2 granted the United Nations privileges and immunities. UN staff and individuals victimized by the United Nations cannot have their cases heard in civilian courts. All cases are heard in UN courts. This US national can go nowhere to claim his right as long as the victors of WW2 do not amend the UN Charter!

In 2022, a corruption ring involving UN managers who exploited the UN as a facade for their malicious activities was exposed and dismantled; these managers had targeted this same UN staff member

who refused to prioritize his self-interests over the values outlined in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights before. UN member states chose not to request the imprisonment of those UN managers alongside the UN judges who protected them; instead, they accepted the UN's decision to end the contract of those managers while allowing them to keep all their wealth, health insurance, and UN pensions. Likewise, instead of UN member states requesting that the United Nations Secretary General reopen the case of this UN staff member to ensure he is compensated for the suffering caused by his refusal to participate in their unethical schemes, they believe that allowing this intergovernmental organization to ignore the case will help preserve their own power, wealth, and status.

Throughout history, people with considerable power have been denying the weak right, and so the way the intergovernmental organization named the United Nations, and in particular, the judges of the UN courts handled this case, is not new. What is new, however, is that this case once again confirms the elusiveness of seeking shared values by assigning a formal intergovernmental organization, like the United Nations, the role of custodian of morality and human rights while its administrators simultaneously pursue their own personal development. Throughout history, kings or kingdoms were reverted to a simpler form when they allowed false teachers to manipulate institutions or whenever those false teachers disliked the outcome.

If everything is relative, the victors of WW2 should be aligned to face the same end that the US national had to yield to at the hands of the United Nations (organization) in the course of time and things. In other words, if the decision of the US national to prioritize the philosophical ideas that the victors of WW2 laid out at the end of this global war over his self-interests led to his reversal to a simpler form by the United Nations (organization), the outcome then signifies that this organization should be reverting the United States and the victors of WW2 to face the same end in the course of time and things.

The purpose of this work is to establish if the families/dynasties that control the United States (the custodians of the idea of freedom) and the families/dynasties that won WW2 (the custodians of the Anglosphere) could continue to transform the conditions and outcomes

that matter to them while the administrators of the United Nations (the organization) have converted this global council into a market to buy and sell that is not any different than the one Jesus found was taking place when he visited a temple. Alternatively, if those aforementioned powerful families/dynasties should amend the UN Charter, thus granting any person whom the general organization named the United Nations victimized the right to have his case heard in civil courts, in this way, it would be avoided that the United Nations (organization) continue to disintegrate their power until a new authority absorbs them and starts to build on top of their civilizing mission.

Special Note to All UN Member States

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the potential causes that triggered the apparent failure of UN member states to prevent conflicts and to make future wars impossible after World War II.

This work was not prepared to criticize the social order that ensued in the aftermath of WW2 or any government that came to power in the aftermath of this global war. This work is also not intended to provide any UN member states with ideas on what their leaders, thinkers, or populace should be doing or not be doing to prevent conflicts or strengthen peace. Likewise, the purpose of this work is not to share a view on how to social engineer the world to give the best results, nor was it prepared to suggest what leaders or nations should do or not do to maintain their strength and stability.

The victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) granted rights to the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (UN) to be an economic operator and to provide economic, political, social, and cultural solutions in UN member states, while UN employees are enjoying immunities and privileges.

This work was prepared for the sole purpose of understanding whether or not the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations could revert to a simpler form, the victors of WW2, in the same way the Catholic Church managed to revert the rulers of the Roman Empire to one. The main aim is to understand whether or not the victors of WW2 would be able to continue to solidify their power while the staff of the organization named United Nations are plundering, abusing their authority, denying people rights, and most importantly, violating the fundamental principles that this organization was created to help protect.

I am, therefore, trying to form an opinion on whether or not the families/dynasties who won WW2 could continue to maintain their strength and stability while UN systems are feeding part of their decision-making process or if the strategies that the UN systems are determining and implementing on their behalf in UN member states

could result in their resizing. Specifically, I want to know if the heirs of the families/dynasties who won WW2 could consolidate their wealth, power, and status while the international intergovernmental organization named the United Nations (along with international aid organizations, NGOs, charities, and all those who believe that they should dedicate themselves to the service of their neighbor) is using their awareness to put into question how natural and legal rights should be distributed instead of doing what the founding fathers of the UN suggested, which was to let governments provide parameters for everyday behavior only. The implications of letting the intergovernmental organization named United Nations promote that it was going to end poverty and injustice in all their forms by 2030 (or at any later date in the future), and most importantly, letting this authority be in charge of such a dossier on the maintenance of the security and power of the families/dynasties that won WW2 are also studied.

As the above shows, this work was prepared for the sole benefit of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and their heirs, and its content should not be interpreted to mean that it was prepared for the attention of any person, society, government, monarch, or nation, or for the intention of criticizing anyone.

Furthermore, one should, while reading this book, bear in mind that the intentions of the author are not to discredit, appraise, or criticize any UN member state. Nor are the intentions of the author to criticize the performance of any UN member state, regime, government, or ideology, including who governments decide should live or die or whether mankind should go back to adopting pre-1945 political dogmas or continue to strengthen democracy.

In addition, it is very important that readers keep in mind while reading this book that the reason why certain regimes or certain countries are mentioned and others are not has nothing to do with the intention of the author to criticize the referenced or analyzed countries. The world is composed of 193 UN member states. This means there are today 193 different political (and economic) systems whose architectures do not resemble each other. In principle, all the UN

member states are supposed to be strengthening democracy and the rule of law using liberal interventionism or the self-determination philosophy that was prescribed in the international rule-based postwar world order that was laid out at the end of WW2, but no nation is doing it, including the United States (the principal custodian of those two philosophies). The only reason why certain countries (regimes) are mentioned and others are not is due to the author perceiving that the way the political, economic, social, and cultural culture of those mentioned countries captures better the issues and ideas that he (the author) wants to build his arguments upon. It is very important that readers who originate from countries mentioned in this work, such as Lebanon and others, know that it is not the intention of the author to discredit your countries, the people of those two countries, the past or present leaders, or the past or present politics adopted.

The author's goal is to show, as I said above, a view that could have a bearing on the reason for the failure of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) to prevent wars between nations, including the failure to make people surrender their freedom to cooperate based on the work program that was specified in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The main aim is to provide to those powerful families/dynasties (and not the nations who won WW2 or UN member states) constructive criticism that, in the opinion of the author, they should not ignore if they do not want the fate that happened to the rulers of the Roman Empire to happen to them (i.e., their heirs).

The views expressed here are those of the author only and should not be interpreted as those of any organization that the author was affiliated with before or today.

Special Note to All Staff Members of the United Nations

An idea does not consist of physical matter. Ideas are visualizations. However, an organization is a legal entity (an artificial person) that real humans operate.

All references to the word “United Nations” in this study could mean (i) the idea that the victor(s) of WW2 came up with during World War Two to “unite” existing nations to maintain collective peace and security; and (ii) the formal general intergovernmental international organization named United Nations (UN), which the different UN member states created in the aftermath of WW2 and tasked with coordinating the work included in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

This work is a treatise. In this present work, the author is trying to understand if the United Nations (as an idea and a class action) or the United Nations (as a legal entity or an organization) is threatening the capacity of the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties who control the international order or the money supply of the world) and is preventing them from maintaining their strength and stability as Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, and others did on the kings of the earth, the princes, the generals, the rich, the mighty, and everyone else, or not.

While reading this book, one should bear in mind that the author recognizes that many UN staff are wholeheartedly working at the United Nations (herein, the organization) to attain the goals specified in the UN Charter and that their efforts have made lots of constructive contributions to deepening peace and security in the world. The progress the United Nations (hereinafter the organization) has made to contribute to human development is impressive. The purpose of the author is not to discredit the efforts or achievements of the UN staff and, henceforth, the results they achieved to secure the goals stipulated in the preamble of the UN Charter.

On the other hand, it is important that UN staff also know that after the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) approved that the United Nations (the organization) become an economic operator, its administrators are taking advantage of the idea of good to plunder, steal, launder money, accuse people erroneously, deny people rights, and most importantly, use the UN courts to destroy people's livelihoods, just as Ferdinand II, King of Aragon, and his wife Queen Isabella I of Castile were doing in the late 15th century/early 16th century in western Europe. Those bad apples at the UN (supervisors) are not able to balance their interests against the interests of the common good because they are not working in their roles to satisfy the best interests of society only but to deepen their own. The disregard and contempt that UN supervisors and UN judges are prompting could compel people to lose faith in this institution, thus deepening fear and want and justifying why we need to go back to cooperating based on pre-1945 political doctrines.

The purpose of this work is to understand if the victors of WW2 (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) could prevent their collapse after they allowed the United Nations (hereinafter, the organization) to be operated in the same way people on the outside of the UN fence do.

Special Note to All People of Faith

This work is a treatise. It is an inquiry into the continuous denial of man of the ideas that are included in the biblical scriptures (which are different than the question of whether God created the universe or not) and man's ongoing search to explore new methods to improve connectedness, when in theory all the ideas that newborns are coming up with are nothing but a different way of saying what is already mentioned in religious scriptures.

The present work is exploring the impact of man's ongoing conviction that it is possible to rely on the ideas prescribed in the religious scriptures and, at the same time, let human imagination convince human ingenuity that it could escape from the danger that the scriptures say would happen to the person who thinks that he or she is exempt from the appeal that such scriptures tax. Said differently, the work is exploring the impact of man formulating continuous work programs that prioritize the idea of good and then thinking that the idea of good could be used to solidify one's own power without the person who is relying on such an idea having to enforce them on him (her) first.

Specifically, the work is examining the impact of man's decision (i.e., human imagination) to diminish the role of religiosity as a tool for controlling behavior in the aftermath of World War II, allowing technology (machinery) to take on the majority of the responsibility. In this regard, and if we are to consider that in theory there is no difference between having a machine deny a person a right and having a real person do it (i.e., enforce the law), the author is trying to understand if the moral degradation that the world would be knowing consequent to man stopping to use human reason as a principal interlocutor to improve well-being would help the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world (i.e., the victors of World War 2) to maintain their strength and stability, or if it would revert them to a simpler form. In other words, the author is trying to understand if the decision of the victors of World War II to undermine the values that are included in the religious scriptures could help them get their way despite the resistance of others.

While reading this book, it is important to remember that the author's intention is not to discredit or criticize any religion or faith, to disprove any belief, to instruct readers on whether to have faith in a particular religion over another, or to question any facts presented in any religion or religious text. Likewise, I am not trying to tell you what the ideas or prophecies that are included in the biblical scripture should mean to you or in your particular faith and/or if you should believe in them or not. I selected and analyzed all the biblical references solely because I believed they contained ideas that could help me better understand whether the decision made by the victors of World War II (i.e., the families and dynasties that control the international order and the global money supply) to act against the counsel of those chosen scriptures was wise for maintaining their strength and stability.

In publications in which the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings) is mentioned, Muslim writers follow his name by the phrase 'upon him be peace and blessings.' Whenever I mention the name of the Prophet Muhammad (upon him be peace and blessings), I do not include this phrase in this work. Readers who adhere to Muslim faith should understand that it is assumed and that no disrespect is intended.

Definitions

The victors of WW2 (or powerful families, or most powerful families, or families/dynasties who won WW2, or families/dynasties who control the international order and the money supply of the world, or elite families/dynasties): This word shall refer to the group of men who stand to lose the most if their wealth, power, or status is taken away from them and placed in the hands of another group. This group of men exercises their power by presiding over the money supply of the world and the international order. In other words, this group of men owns and controls the system that determines the value of money, ensuring that no transactions occur on any operating platforms except for the one controlled by its members. To perceive how this group exercises its control over the world, one must regard its members as being nothing other than the people who own and administer the wire that feeds electricity into homes from the street. People would be living in darkness if they did not connect to this wire to have light. In theory, the members of this club can only be the families/dynasties that preside over the different religions; hence, no authority can outlive the authority of the group of people who rely on the idea of good (i.e., the idea of God) as a tool to solidify their power. The elites (the families/dynasties that control the international order or the money supply of the world) preserve their power through the rich countries, or the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council.

Five permanent nations of the UN Security Council: This word shall refer to the countries that provide legal functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, those five nations are the countries that legitimize the social contract (natural and legal rights) that the victors of WW2 want all people to respect. In principle, those five countries are a group of rich and poor nations that the families/dynasties that won WW2 want other societies to consider their opinions before taking action of their own. The families/dynasties that control the five permanent nations of the UN Security Council are not necessarily the families/dynasties that control the international order and the money supply of the world.

Rich nations (rich countries): This word shall refer to the countries that provide financial functionality for the architecture that the elite families/dynasties that won WW2 want every member of the human family to respect. In other words, they are the countries that own the companies through which most of the world's trading is handled as well. Until the end of WW2, those countries were based in Western Europe, and the families/dynasties that won WW2 used to make those countries their home bases. The principal job of the government in those rich nations is to induce the atmosphere that will determine which market is to fall and which one is to grow, which nation is to be engulfed in a civil war, and which country is to stay in peace. In other words, they pull the strings and levers that determine which nation has power or wealth and which one is engulfed in instability.

Nations that won WW2: This word shall refer to the western European monarchs and republics. It was the Old Powers (the western European monarchs and republics) who fought during WW1 and WW2, and they were the ones who won those two global wars. In real life, no society, kingdom, or nation that waged a war and won gave its spoils to another society or kingdom. In addition, the Western European monarchs and kingdoms started working to build wealth, power, and status at the start of the 2nd millennium and did not start to build military before WW1 or WW2 erupted. This work regards the role of the United States as the global policeman of the world, a functional role delegated to it by the families and dynasties that control the monarchs and republics in Western Europe. In other words, the United States is fronting on behalf of the families/dynasties that control the western European monarchies and republics, just as law enforcement agents front for the families/dynasties that control governments in any country.

Banks, large financial institutions, or corporations: This word shall refer to the legal organizations that the rich nations depend on to ensure that people are routing the money that created things through such service providers. Governments regulate the power of banks, large institutions, or corporations, and vice versa. All the wealth that banks, large institutions, or corporations gain comes from investment in research financed by governments.

Governments: This word shall refer to the structure (legal entity) that determines and enforces the natural and legal rights that people legitimize. Banks, large institutions, or corporations regulate the power of governments, and vice versa. All the wealth that any nation acquires gets determined by the families/dynasties that won WW2 through the rich nations. In other words, the families/dynasties that won WW2 decide which country to inject money into to increase its productivity, might, etc., and which country to build within it or next to it (i.e., in a neighboring country) competitors to weaken its government and impoverish its people. The families/dynasties make this decision based on how the balance of power is shifting within a nation and between nations as a function of progress.

Global policemen of the world: This word shall refer to the United States. In this work, the United States is not regarded as a member of the club of rich nations or the powerful families/dynasties that won WW2. The United States is viewed as a satellite state that the rich countries and the powerful families/dynasties control. In the aftermath of WW2, the families/dynasties that won this global war took on the global policeman responsibility that Great Britain was playing until 1945 and delegated its functionality to the United States. The job of the United States, acting as the global policeman, is to ensure that the social model desired by the families and dynasties that won WW2 is adopted in various UN member states in the aftermath of the war. This social model was summarized in the work program stipulated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Leading by example: The phrase “leading by example” shall refer to two relations: political and economic. The political meaning shall refer to cooperation based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The economic meaning shall not refer herein to the readiness of the industrialized nations (the Italians, the Norwegians, the Germans, the British, the Americans, the Chinese, the French, the Russians, etc.) to follow the legal procurement rules during the implementation of projects. Rather, the economic meaning of the word “leading by example” shall refer to the preparedness of the rich and industrialized nations to ensure that no newborn stays idle. This can only happen when the industrialized nations and the rich nations recognize that all that people do on earth is

create material things to improve basic social services (water, health, and food), transportation, and communication, and that there is a vast empty space on earth that, if exploited and inhabited, would make fewer people live in fear and want. This shall mean that industrialized nations give the nations whose people are idle the blueprint (technology) that can show them how to build tunnel boring machines, pile driving machines, etc., to enable them to interconnect mountains or explore the sea (build submarines, roads on the surface of the sea to interconnect the world through such a network, build tall buildings in the middle of the sea, build large boats, etc.), thus preoccupying them with building instead of being devoted to stripping them of their power.

United States: All references to the word “United States” in this book could mean (i) the United States, as a philosophical idea as penned in the US Constitution about how “all men having been created equal” by the founding fathers of this country, which they named the United States; and (ii) the territory located in North America, which is known as the United States, and whose inhabitants depend on the idea “of all men having been created equal” to get their ways despite the resistance of others. This idea that the founders of the United States penned about “all men having been created equal” is the final axiom in man’s ongoing search to enhance morality. Its power to help mankind strengthen the idea of good was incomparable from 1776 to 1945. This idea enabled the American people to develop an understanding of themselves unprecedented in the history of the world. If American culture were endangered, later generations could not prosper. Any criticism or compliment expressed shall not mean the need to have this country promote nationalistic ideas intended to deepen the hegemony of this country over the international order, but rather to maintain the understanding that the American people have gained of themselves as compared to other societies around the world. This is because it is this understanding (awareness) that led them to be in favor of the idea that the WW2 war aims be to secure that all people live free from fear and want, instead of being in favor of extending domination.

PREFACE

People deny being created in the image of God and that their supreme mission is to refine their own ferocious human character, rather than their neighbor's, while leaving theirs undomesticated or uncultivated. This dilemma has prevented mankind from establishing virtuous values that are capable of producing a complete and perfect virtuous character. This situation has left human relations incomplete, fragmented, and incapable of rising to the level that certain beasts managed to attain, which highlights the irony that despite their potential for virtue, humans often fail to achieve the moral standards observed in some animal behaviors.

In mathematics, curve fitting is “the process of constructing a curve, or mathematical function, that has the best fit to a series of data points, possibly subject to constraints.” Humans have taken it upon themselves to explore how to align each other’s behavior with manmade ethical values, without knowing if those values are the exact answers to the outcomes and conditions that matter to them. Only when people aligned their behavior with the fixed principles or truths found in philosophical and religious texts, which are typically given to all newborns and are believed by many to be divinely ordained, did they manage to create ordered relations.

Regrettably, all the new ideas that both existing and new people have tested to align each other's behavior with man-made natural and legal rights (data points) have failed to cultivate virtuous characters who are willing to conform their actions to the laws or standards legitimized (i.e., legislated laws or human rights treaties).

The unpreparedness of people to fit each other’s behavior to the fixed principles or truth suggested in philosophical and religious books has caused people to hurt each other's feelings and to fail to uphold the principles of trustworthiness, honesty, and gentleness in all daily interactions. This situation has kept peace and security worldwide filled with extreme, rapid changes, including alternating highs and lows, just as happens when a person turns and twists in a roller coaster.

The intensity of this erratic and frightening relation that people have been enduring from one generation to the next to refine the character of their neighbor while keeping their own character undomesticated or uncultivated has changed in function of the readiness of the individuals involved to let their mental convictions and anxiety dictate scenarios. Two phenomena have consistently repeated themselves, particularly among those who focus on monitoring their neighbors' actions within any group, rather than solely on refining their own character. The first is the failure of the individuals involved to manifest a discerning heart to govern, and the second is to distinguish between right and wrong. The drawback of such an outcome is that it prevents the individuals involved from transforming the conditions and outcomes that matter to them or improving peace and security.

For instance, until 1945, basic rights were legitimized based on what religious dictates established. There were no human rights, freedom, or social justice. People were convinced that social and economic inequality was natural (or, as some thought, God-given).

In addition, the height of joy, success, and excitement that rulers, kings, chiefs, judges, priests, military officers, bankers, etc. enjoyed before 1945 depended on the ability of the governance system instituted to make citizens (i.e., the weak) live in the past instead of the present. They acted as if the past were superior to the present, as if the way of life our predecessors experienced in the past were the absolute truth, and as if the present were fundamentally wrong. This plan, in which individuals took it upon themselves to focus on their neighbors' actions to maintain their superiority before 1945 and attempted to "correct" the present by encouraging people to abandon contemporary political beliefs, has kept the world in a state of ignorance. Nonetheless, this strategy survived because the balance of power was resting on three independent categories of people or social pillars and not only on one, as the case appears to be since 1945 in most UN members. Those three pillars were the tyrannical, domineering ruler—one who fears neither God nor shows mercy to the people. The merchant—who profits from the marketplace, which is, in turn, guarded by that very same tyrannical ruler. Then there are the religious figures—the kind who follow the money. This group eats at the table of the tyrannical ruler and ensures that the merchants and the poor

surrender freedom to the laws that the dominating ruler legitimizes by staying living in fear.

For instance, before 1945, it was the king of England and a small number of powerful individuals who acted as the head of state, head of the armed forces, head of the church, and landlord or principal merchant over an exclusive territory and political structure called a kingdom or state. As of 1945, most UN member states are headed by individuals who are fulfilling the multi-roles that the present king of England exercises within his realm. In addition, within each state, a large number of people are also rivaling and repelling each other from power, wealth, and status to determine who could make the weakest consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on his own.

The division of labor makes it impossible for two people to fulfill the same role or to fill the same void space. In a globalized age, as it exists presently, it is not possible to create stability within any exclusive territory (kingdom or state) when the individuals involved in any social or political sphere are all working to build an empire. When all people and societies start to repel each other politically, economically, militarily, and religiously to determine who could establish a globalized imperial system instead of to perfect a noble character, the transformations that, throughout history, such entanglement produced were mainly short-lived opportunities (in the form of feudalists and capitalists) instead of permanent ones (creating democratic civil societies).

In addition, the lack of advances in communication and transportation before WW2 did enable only a small number of people to recognize that the security of one person is the forgone security of another person. Today, many people are aware that the past cannot be the future. The future is not the past. Most people are aware that the advances in technology today are different from those that existed before 1945. It is not possible to make people accept the religious or political principles upon which states were founded in the past in the present because people's awareness differed. If the people with lots of affluence and power (rulers, kings, chiefs, judges, priests, military officers, bankers, etc.) continue to insist on keeping their superiority by building social orders that are not in accordance with the principles

of equal rights, as suggested in the UN Charter and most constitutions, and continue to insist on wanting people to live in accordance with the laws, declarations, stories, and declarations suggested in constitutional decrees or religious books instead of in accordance with the objectives affirmed in such documents, conflicts and wars in the world could become worse.

In the mathematical field of numerical analysis, interpolation is a “type of estimation, a method of constructing new data points within the range of a discrete set of known data points.”

The Book *World Lost* is an attempt to search among the almost limitless actions and ideas that people come up with to fit each other's behavior to specific convictions to achieve outcomes and conditions that matter to the fittest to first construct a perception (an imaginary curve) that readers could draw or visualize about how the victors of WW2 are permitting the international order to develop since 1945. Second, to take the perceived or imaginary curve that readers draw or visualize to interpolate (estimate) if the ideals that the victors of WW2 have been enforcing since 1945 could construct the behaviors that they believe could fit as closely as possible the ethical values that they consider define best how they would like people's interdependence or relations to be structured, or if they would lead to their demise.

To carry out the work, the author examines how certain natural events in space and time that take place within the spatial boundary of the human body could be accounted for by physics, chemistry, mathematics, philosophy, and religion. He also studies how interpersonal relationships compare to the natural relationships examined to determine if the policies and frameworks legitimized and enforced by the victors of WW2 could produce balanced outcomes, similar to those achieved by natural events that can independently yield exact scientific results. The aim is to understand if the legitimized empiricism used to persuade the weak to consider the opinion of the fittest before taking action on their own could permit the victors of WW2 to rejuvenate their power or the bleak historical events that occurred.

The book shows that people worldwide may struggle to align their behavior with the work program suggested in the UN Charter or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) because the victors of WW2 deviated from the suggested work program and embraced a new work plan altogether. The work supports that the errors, abuses, and discrepancies that the victors of WW2 (i.e., the old powers and their heirs) are letting their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions) carry out seem to be taking the international order on a journey through which logical steps are used to bring skepticism and tensions instead of rejuvenating their power and improving peace and security in the world.

The book *World Lost* aims to demonstrate that the heirs of the old powers, by choosing to remain silent and not hold their critical thinkers and servants (governments and international institutions such as the United Nations) accountable, are causing significant harm to the Anglosphere and jeopardizing the transformation of the resulting chaos, danger, and instability into opportunities for the rejuvenation of their power, wealth, status, and world peace. The book argues that if the heirs of the victors of WW2 do not reform the international intergovernmental organization known as the United Nations and restore its role, along with that of other non-state actors like the World Bank, IMF, NATO, EU, and Red Cross—as per what the founding fathers of the UN suggested—the Anglosphere that their ancestors spent the last thousand years building could be lost to new families or dynasties that would then promote the values associated with it.

1

Fast and Loose

The word "crown" within the jurisprudence of the Commonwealth realms (i.e., the UK) has different meanings. It refers to the monarch personally, as head of the Commonwealth, or as king or queen of their realms. It can also refer to the rule of law; however, in common parlance, 'The Crown' refers to the functions of government and the civil service.¹

The role of the crown (or, herein, the king or queen) is to be the shepherd of the realm in the service of the law. In as far as the Crown (herein referring to the people who are responsible for the functioning of government in its different military, security, and civil service functions, such as military officers, police officers, judicial officers, etc.), those subjects should be in the service of the law as well as answerable to the laws that they are determining and enforcing. This is because if the law and political order are not natural but human creations, and other people besides the Crown (or herein, the king or queen) are enjoying privileges and immunities from the political order when the latter is nothing but simply a means towards an end, this means that when a realm tolerates that more than one single shepherd (i.e., king or queen) perceives that he was granted the right to be the deputy of God on earth, that specific social order is overloaded with a multitude of shepherds or deities.

The heirs of the old powers could continue to overlook the acts of misconduct of the subjects who chose to make their living from public service and hold this process under closed-door inquiry, as the case is like in many countries, but if a real transformation of this culture is not

¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Crown

seriously addressed so this process becomes transparent, it is highly likely that most governments could become converted into a den of thieves. In other words, legalizing capital punishment for those who disobey the laws is pointless if murder is also excused for those who create and enforce those laws. In other words, the UN has no checks and balances when it is allowed to be an economic operator and its staff has privileges and immunities.

The heirs of the old powers could continue to allow state and non-state actors, particularly the United Nations, to become a den of thieves by accepting that individuals who make a living from the idea of altruism or public service argue that misconduct occurs simply because "expectations and standards have not been met." Unfortunately, the majority of people form personal convictions based on their interpretation of factual evidence, filtered through their own values, feelings, tastes, and past experiences, rather than on the results of natural laws.

For example, when a shepherd takes his flock to graze, he does not seem to be taking any implement other than a "rod and staff" and, when available, a dog. In other words, the shepherd does not use a flail to coerce his livestock, as humans do to restrain each other. So, if we can't say animals are more rational than humans, one of two things is true. The first scenario is that humans are a species with greater irrationality than that of animals. The second is that mankind is being hoarded by the wrong shepherds.

I have no way of knowing if animals are a species that is more rational than humans. However, if mankind is being hoarded by wrong shepherds, then two scenarios could save mankind from this historical dynamic.

The first is that, if mankind comes to terms, there are natural laws that are much more powerful than humans, and therefore, it is necessary to implement transformative cultures that integrate such truth. For example, the United Nations should be stripped of its right to act as an economic operator while retaining privileges and immunities, including the existence of internal judicial panels that claim to provide "accountability and transparency." If a UN staff member, a priest, a

police officer, a judge, or any person who believes that he would like to dedicate his life to the service of the law and his neighbor commits misconduct, then he/she should be the first to receive capital punishment. This also means that the panel who would be providing the oversight is not a party to the government or social contract as Thomas Hobbes suggested.

The second is if the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them wage a war or implement anything apart from a "rod and staff" and, when available, a dog. In other words, they may resort to laws, guns, policing, soldiering, computers, artificial intelligence, weapons of mass destruction, and other means to achieve their goals despite facing resistance from others.

I have no way of knowing which scenario between the aforesaid two could help the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them get their way, despite the resistance of others. However, we cannot say that peace and security have remained unchanged in the world over the past two thousand years; rather, what has changed is the methods that people use to protect themselves more effectively. We can conclude that either the implements (such as laws and tools) are causing people's ongoing unpreparedness to obey, or that humans are unprepared to acknowledge the existence of natural laws that are far more powerful than they are.

I do not know which one of those two rules could be prompting humans to be unprepared to obey. However, considering that animals appear to be a species that does not only know how to count and how to be rational but also, and most importantly, how to memorize, then two scenarios could be torturing mankind and preventing this species from remembering to act in accordance with the pledges concluded. The first is that humans can't tell right from wrong. The second is if humans are something other than what they claim in religious books about being the successor of God on earth.

Hence, irrespective of what humans' reasoning ability is like or whether humans are a species whose rationality is below other species, humans decided that their relations do not have to be limited to

“getting to know one another”² as Surah al-Hujurat, verse 13, stipulates why all people were created with natural distinction and not “to rule over each other,” which is what people have been doing for the last 1500 years. This signifies that, as the same Surah counsels, mankind has to pick between two choices. The first option is to follow the counsel of Surah al-Hujurat verse 13, which advocates for establishing a righteous culture that transforms public service into one of the noblest professions. In this way, ordinary people would have no reason to be bound by their personal powers and consciences. The second is to wager on information technology becoming capable of making ordinary people voluntarily or involuntarily be ready to surrender freedom.

Considering that humans are not like animals capable of memorizing how to do right when they genuinely know it is wrong, this signifies that for as long as humans do wrong when at the moment the perceived benefits seem to outweigh the costs, the ongoing efforts of the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them to get their way despite the resistance of others will not be something that humans would be able to attain except if aided to do so.

To my knowledge, there are two methods that mankind could use to correct the distortions that skew one’s analyses of benefit and cost. The first is if this control is done through controlling the human mind so the latter controls the human body (i.e., natural law) as mankind was doing until 1945. The second method involves controlling the human body in such a way that it subsequently controls the human mind (i.e., automation), a practice that mankind began after 1945.

I don't know which of the two methods could help the heirs of the old powers and their protectors get their way despite resistance. What I do know is that in 1944, while a large number of the world's population were divided, lending a hand to either side of the families/dynasties who were rivaling each other to determine who would get to control the international order and in this way dominate them, Schrödinger seemed to have been preoccupied with another unrelated fixation. In the book *What is Life?*, which he published in 1944 and in which he addressed the problems of genetics while looking at the phenomenon

² <https://quran.com/49/13?translations=131>

of life from the point of view of physics,³ Schrödinger made the following statement in chapter VI:

...living matter, while not eluding the "laws of physics" as established to date, is likely to involve "other laws of physics" hitherto unknown, which, however, once they have been revealed, will form just as integral a part of science as the former.

Schrödinger clarified the meaning of his statement, indicating that it is susceptible to many misconceptions. Schrödinger explains that "living matter evades the decay to thermodynamical equilibrium by homeostatically maintaining negative entropy in an open system."⁴

However, if we take the scientific explanation that Schrödinger gave, another way of saying it is that living matter avoids any net of macroscopic flows of matter or of energy, either within a system or between systems, by maintaining order through adopting a homeostatic state.

In biology, homeostasis is the state of steady internal, physical, and chemical conditions maintained by living systems.⁵

If all living matter regulates its internal environment to process energy and ultimately survive, this means that the priority of any human being will always be to maintain a relatively stable internal state that persists despite changes in the world outside.⁶ Likewise, if scientists are not able to discover the "other laws of physics" hitherto unknown that Schrödinger proclaimed need to be uncovered so all living organisms do not continue to manifest natural resistance to change, it would not be possible to establish equilibrium except by regulatory mechanisms as I just said (i.e., automation).

³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erwin_Schr%C3%B6dinger

⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/What_Is_Life%3F#Content

⁵ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Homeostasis#:~:text=In%20biology%2C%20homeostasis%20is%20the,conditions%20maintained%20by%20living%20systems.&text=Homeostasis%20is%20brought%20about%20by,maintained%20by%20many%20regulatory%20mechanisms.>

⁶ <https://www.livescience.com/65938-homeostasis.html>

I have no way of knowing when humans began to apply dogmatism to secure regulatory mechanisms or political opportunism. Opportunism is the practice of taking advantage of circumstances with little regard for principles or for what the consequences are for others.⁷ Likewise, political opportunism refers to the attempt to maintain political support or to increase political influence—possibly in a way that disregards relevant ethical or political principles.⁸

Indeed, post-1945 humans began to establish political equilibrium through using both philosophical dogmatism and technological methods to serve as regulatory mechanisms to control or regulate people's behavior to increase political influence.

I do not know if the heirs of the old powers would be able to use the philosophy of dogmatism and the scientific method to control the behavior of people. However, if we take the period going from the date when the world of ideas began to be used by people to forgo each other's rights to human rights to 1945, we note that none of the spiritual or philosophical conceptions of life that people developed managed to help people to maintain a relatively stable internal state despite changes in the world outside. Likewise, if we take the period from 1945 to 2018, or after the heirs of the old powers began to emphasize the importance of the scientific method to control the behavior of people, we find that all the passing effect has been negligible. So far, all the scientific advances in transportation, communication, health, and computations have been addressing the mechanical conception of reality or nature but not helping mankind to satisfy his perceptions or emotions.

The heirs of the old powers could continue to try to test establishing equilibrium through using regulatory mechanisms that discount the human mind in favor of merging dogmatism and the scientific method to achieve ethical or political principles that attempt to maintain their supremacy. However, the question that will always be begging an answer, in my opinion, was raised by Arthur Schopenhauer⁹ (1788–1860), a German philosopher, in his book *The World as Will and*

⁷ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Opportunism>

⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Political_opportunism

⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Schopenhauer

Representation,¹⁰ which he published in 1818 and in which he characterizes the phenomenal world as the product of a blind and insatiable metaphysical will.

In the book *The World as Will and Representation* Schopenhauer argues that “the world we experience around us—the world of objects in space and time and related in causal ways—exists solely as ‘representation’ (Vorstellung) dependent on a cognizing subject, not as a world that can be considered to exist in itself (i.e., independently of how it appears to the subject’s mind).”¹¹

The thing-in-itself is a concept introduced by Immanuel Kant (1724-1804), a German philosopher and one of the modern Western philosophers. In the book *Critique of Pure Reason*,¹² Kant states that things-in-themselves would be objects as they are independent of observation.¹³ No one knows what the "thing-in-itself" actually is. This “thing-in-itself” can be the invisible hand¹⁴ (or providential divine action) that Adam Smith¹⁵ (1723-1790), British economist, political economist, and a pioneer who is regarded as the father of economics, acknowledged guarantees the stability of an economic system. At the same time, it can be what philosophers define it as.

In philosophy, a noumenon is a posited object or event that exists independently of human sense and perception.

I cannot know how the exploration that all newborns are prompted to subscribe to is benefiting our societies or the heirs of the old powers if the inner essence of everything exists independently of human sense and/or perception. Likewise, I have no way of knowing what fundamental questions people are addressing when they prompt newborns to explore the heavens without first ensuring that individuals are ready to establish stable relationships with one another here on Earth.

¹⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_World_as_Will_and_Representation

¹¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_World_as_Will_and_Representation

¹² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Critique_of_Pure_Reason

¹³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Noumenon#Kantian_noumena

¹⁴ <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-011-1099-z>

¹⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adam_Smith

Schrödinger concludes the book *What is Life* with “philosophical speculations on determinism, free will, and the mystery of human consciousness.” He draws two conclusions: “(1) My body functions as a pure mechanism according to laws of nature; and (2) Yet I know, by incontrovertible direct experience, that I am directing its motions, of which I foresee the effects, that may be fateful and all-important, in which case I feel and take full responsibility for them.”¹⁶

The heirs of the old powers are through their own free will directing the motions of their bodies and the physical body of all members of the human family. The heirs of the old powers must be aware that their own descendants will bear full responsibility if the directions they are guiding the inherent will of people to explore do not lead to the visions they are pursuing.

Schopenhauer identifies the thing-in-itself—the inner essence of everything—as will: a blind, unconscious, aimless striving devoid of knowledge, outside of space and time, and free of all multiplicity.

Throughout history philosophers questioned if humans are born devoid of knowledge or if they are born preloaded with the capacity to distinguish between what is good and what is evil. Then again, irrespective of the way humans are born (i.e., devoid of knowledge as John Locke and other philosophers posited or preloaded with the capacity to distinguish between what is good and what is evil as Rene Descartes and others posited), the heirs of the old powers need to be sure that they do not fall victim to what philosophers speculate reality could be construed to correspond to, which could be totally different than what their own brain supposes is true.

For example, Robert Fisk (1946–2020) was a writer and journalist who held British and Irish citizenship.¹⁷ Fisk received many British and international journalism awards, including the Press Awards Foreign Reporter of the Year seven times.¹⁸ During his career, Fisk covered the civil wars in Lebanon and Syria, the Iran-Iraq conflict, the wars in

¹⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/What_Is_Life%3F#Content

¹⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Fisk

¹⁸ Robert Fisk: Celebrated Middle East correspondent of The Independent dies aged 74". The Independent. 1 November 2020. Retrieved 1 November 2020.

Bosnia and Kosovo, the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, the Islamic Revolution of Iran, Saddam Hussein's invasion of Kuwait, and the US invasion and occupation of Iraq.

Before his death, Fisk reported during an interview with Conor O'Clery¹⁹ (born -), a veteran Irish journalist and writer, in which the two were discussing current political scenarios based on the many years of experience and insights into world politics that each one has had.²⁰

During the exchange, Fisk reported that he detected, based on his interviews with Isis fighters in Iraq, Syria, Afghanistan, and other locations, as well as material published by Isis that he read, that the language used by the Isis community, from leaders to fighters, was childish or infantile. In other words, the conditioning exhibited by those Isis fighters or sympathizers lacked any connection to reality. Fisk added that their behaviors bear no relationship to the world we live in. Fisk said that each time he saw the Isis members cooperate, he perceived that they were building a childish caliphate (institutions). Fisk related what he observed to how children behave. Children don't know the difference between good and evil until they are taught. In the case of the Isis community, Fisk concluded that the behavior of the Isis fighters indicated to him that the influence they were receiving was causing them to infantilize issues rather than think rationally.

I have no way of knowing how much the concept of intellectual property affected the behavior of the subjects who sympathized with the idea of erecting an Islamic state so they could free themselves from manmade laws that are meant to hold them back from increasing their happiness. Then again, considering that one of the principal factors that creates a gap between the rich and the poor is the unpreparedness of the rich to let moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary, or artistic production become free, if the heirs of the old powers have no objection to companies locking away the world's entire scientific and cultural heritage, published over centuries in

¹⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conor_O%27Clery

²⁰ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jmu5mFdldAg&ab_channel=JaneStephenson

books and journals, so it becomes in digital form instead of remaining in paperback and accessible to everyone, this signifies that the heirs of the old powers have no objection to the cost of information getting higher. In other words, if the heirs of the old powers have no objection to corporations or publishing houses locking away all the scientific, literary, or artistic production to reduce intellectual and copyright thefts or reduce damages to the environment as green activists' support is needed, this means that the heirs of the old powers have no objection if information does not remain free and thus its cost continues to be lower specifically because of all the theft that is going on.

There are two ways to lock away information. The first is to deny it altogether. The second method is to provide access only to selected information. I cannot determine the extent to which the infantile behavior observed by Fisk in the community that supported the idea of creating an Islamic state was influenced by deliberate efforts to control what those individuals knew or how they were conditioned to think. However, one thing is for sure. Fisk's observations suggest that it is not relevant whether people's access to information is controlled or unrestricted; individuals in society may still be willing to surrender their freedom and obey. This is because the majority of the subjects who sympathized with the idea of creating an Islamic state hail from countries that prioritize suppressing their nationals and control the information that their dependent people can have access to. Likewise, we cannot say that in nations where information is freely accessible, the behavior of the people is significantly better than in nations where leaders deny access to information. Even when people are denied or permitted access to information, if the majority regress to childish behavior (one group resists domination, the other resists deception), their ability to distinguish good from evil remains unchanged, whether they are kept ignorant or given new, advanced knowledge beyond their initial natural programming.

If this aforementioned conjecture is true, then what Schopenhauer said about “the thing-in-itself” could be defined like a truth and not be totally erroneous. In other words, if whenever a given society is influenced to let its mind or will be motivated on a specific form of knowledge and devoid of other types of knowledge (i.e., the nations that use Arabic as an official language), and if whenever a given

society is influenced to let the mind or will of its subjects be free (i.e., the nations that use other languages as their official languages), they both become exactly as Schopenhauer said, blind, unconscious, and striving aimlessly, then it may very well be that the absolute self-conviction of the heirs of the old powers about how reality is transforming in their favor may not be precisely the case.

In other words, if conflicts and wars show a lack of respect for human worth, then we can say that the abnormal rise in intolerance exhibited by people since 1945 indicates a significant level of disregard and contempt for human rights. When people exhibit disregard and contempt for human rights, this means that either the faction that dominates the general populace (normally the regime) has stopped to plan and began to rely on its personal power and conscience to rule, or the populace is experiencing an awakening that makes it impossible for the faction that dominates to not resort to force to continue to maintain its power or grip. Another way to describe the latter motive would be to compare it to a traffic bottleneck.

Addiction is defined as a brain disorder characterized by compulsive engagement in rewarding stimuli despite adverse consequences.²¹ I do not know if happiness, freedom, love, corruption, power, prestige, wealth, etc. are forms of addictions, but they appear to be complex conditions that have the same effect on people as drugs do. Most people who begin taking drugs state that they use substances or alcohol to feel good, feel better, or improve performance. It looks as if freedom and power are two compulsive engagements that have harmful consequences just like drug use or alcohol do. The only difference is that the sense of wellness or pleasure from alcohol consumption or drug use lasts only as long as the effects of those substances, whereas the effects of freedom and power are indefinite.

If power, wealth, prestige, freedom, etc. are degrees of compulsive engagements that a person cannot stop once they start pursuing them, this means that a person cannot back away and live without them influencing his behavior for as long as his brain is operating. Alcohol or drug addiction exacts an "astoundingly high financial and human toll" on individuals and society as a whole since antiquity. If all people

²¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Addiction>

believe that the only way to achieve their dreams or values is by being divided into factions—one that denies and another that liberates—then as individuals become more devoted to increasing their rewards, their compulsive behaviors are likely to intensify until they eventually subside.

I do not know if the pursuit of power or freedom produces the same direct adverse effects that addiction does, such as impaired control over substances or behavior. However, the foreign policy strategies devised by France, Great Britain, and other traditional Western European nations before and after WW1 are the principal causes of the rise of the ruthless dictatorships and regimes that exist in the world today. It is very difficult to rationalize what those old powers expect to gain from arming or supporting those people who believe that their regimes are dominating them to take up arms and to revolt against their governments, except to see the United States collapse.

As I said before, the United States started playing the global policeman role post-1945. Before 1945, Great Britain, France, and the rest of the western European nations were fulfilling this policeman function. Those western European nations understood that each war they waged to suppress any nation highlighting democracy or freedom reduced their chances of victory compared to the previous conflicts, ultimately leading to World War II. In fact, the United States started learning this lesson in 1945.

Hence, the biggest winners from all the wars and conquests that the United States encumbered post-1945 and the consequent isolationism that this country started knowing are the Western European nations. If those old powers have been, until 2018, in favor of the United States resorting to military interventions to create conditions of stability and well-being around the world, this signifies that those old powers are not in favor of the American self-determination ideology becoming a great cause for good in the world. If the opposite were the case, those old powers would have been in favor of rebuilding the countries that the United States wrecked post-1945 in the same way they were in favor of Germany becoming rebuilt after WW2 to prevent the Germans from feeling tremendous resentment toward them.

Putting it differently, it appears that the old powers (i.e., the families/dynasties who won WW2 or control the money supply of the world) are not in favor of the nations that they enlisted the United States to wreck post-1945, so they cooperate with them based on the principles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights or based on the religion that they uphold, mainly Islam, but want them [the nations that became stateless] to cooperate with each other based on the principles of equal rights but without rivaling them. Then one of two things could be prompting those old powers to refuse that the United States rebuild the countries that the latter is wrecking on their behalf. The first is that the heirs of the old powers are undermining the moral codes that underpin the social order, which constrain the use of power and inform everyone's behavior as responsible citizens, as Edmund Burke (1729 – 1797), Irish statesman and philosopher, life-long concerns centered around.²² The second is what Fisk became aware of during his career and mentioned during the exchange or interview he was holding with Conor O'Clery about how the people whose ongoing civil unrest and wars wrecked their lives became blind, unconscious, aimless strivers devoid of knowledge. This is precisely what strikes the people who become highly knowledgeable or well-informed as well, as I said before. During the interview with Conor O'Clery, Fisk expressed his astonishment at the British government's lack of preparedness to fairly address the issues in the countries that the UK was involved in damaging. He even put into question whether “there must be some kind of independent thing inside the brain that stops such behavior, but it's not there anymore.”

I cannot determine whether the ongoing political emancipation, in which the United States appears to be the main actor since 1945, is merely a component of a broader implicit war strategy that the descendants of the old powers are using to reshape the conditions and outcomes that are important to them. In the book titled *Is the United States being used as an instrument to further the ends of Despotism and Anarchy?*²³ I try to support the idea that the families and dynasties that won World War II (i.e., the old powers) could have used the

²² <https://www.tcd.ie/trinitylongroomhub/whats-on/details/edmund-burke-lectures.php>

²³ <http://centermpa.com/>

United States as a tool to represent them in their efforts to maintain strength and stability after 1945.

Then again, considering that the United States had no experience playing the global policeman role in the world before 1945, most people would be ready to understand the sloppiness with which this country is operating. At the same time, what is incomprehensible from the way the families/dynasties who won WW2 are going about to attain the implicit war aims that they chose the United States to play their bully role to attain is that they do not seem to be fixing as much as they are wrecking, so the two could go hand in hand, thus preventing people from feeling great resentment toward them [the heirs of the old powers] and the international post-war order that they are working to implement.

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers are fixing the countries that they are having the United States wreck on their behalf, but this constant, disproportional, aggressive military posture that the United States seems to be projecting since 1945 appears to have stopped frightening people as it did during the 20th century.

Since the date societies invented large-caliber guns (artillery) to use such ordinances as a tool to help them break the will of the faction that they deemed was rivaling them for power, none of the attempts tried succeeded at breaking the determination of people to abandon what they always believed in—truth. Only when humans used diplomatic channels do they seem to have been able to maintain a sustainable hierarchical order or balance of power.

I do not know if the strategy that the heirs of the old powers appear to be adopting to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them, which seems to entail having strong nations wreck the weaker ones but without the boots that are on the ground fixing or rebuilding what was wrecked back, is a viable tactic to maintain their strength and stability.

The good thing about history is that it contains all the tactics that people tested with to dominate each other, thus permitting new people to know what worked and what did not work. However, the

unpreparedness of new people to accept the observations and warnings of our predecessors in order to be “the measure of all things,” as Protagoras²⁴ (490 – 420 BC), a pre-Socratic Greek philosopher, did put it more than 2500 years ago, appears to provide a clear diagnostic of what could be preventing mankind from settling on the specific traditions, perspectives, and values that those who ordain themselves the deputy of God on earth need to draw from to shape a stable future.

Hence, it is not possible to know if this abnormal rise in rudeness, selfishness, and unethical behavior that mankind is experiencing post-1945 is principally due to the political arrogance that the heirs of the old powers appear to be adopting to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them, and if this rise in intolerance is due to the heirs of the old powers undermining the power of the wickedness that resides in the hearts of people over any implicit war aims they were concealing; we can say that the dangerous and delusional impulses that have swept the social order are mainly due to the unpreparedness of the heirs of the old powers to remain faithful to the work program that they enlisted all the members of the human family to observe in the aftermath of WW2.

In other words, there are only two principles known to men to govern (regulate) ethics. The first principle involves legitimizing the authority of God, which subsequently legitimizes concepts such as the Ten Commandments, the Quran, and the Torah; the second principle involves legitimizing the authority of natural and legal rights, which subsequently legitimizes concepts such as democracy, human rights, and the Red Cross. Hence, the heirs of the old powers chose not to impose faith as a means to control ethics post-WW2 and adopted instead natural and legal rights to legitimize concepts such as democracy, human rights, etc. This means that if wickedness, cruelty, and self-interests increased post-1945, this can only be due to the unpreparedness of the heirs of the old powers to remain faithful to the work program that they enlisted all the members of the human family to observe in the aftermath of WW2.

Furthermore, hence, there is only one motive that could be provoking this confusion that humans have known post-1945, and that limiting

²⁴ <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/protagoras/>

factor can only be attributed to people stopping to speak the same language, as happened when our ancestors tried to erect a tower that reaches to the heavens.²⁵ This means that it is highly likely that people in modern days are reliving similar events to the ones that transpired in this biblical story leading to the descent of God to stop those predecessors from building this tower.

I cannot determine whether the parallel between how people are forming relationships today and the relationships described in this biblical story shows any similarity. Nonetheless, in the Bible, it is reported that before God came down and confused the people who were working on erecting the tower that they wanted to reach the sky with the intent to dismiss them from pursuing this project, everyone was being bound by his personal power and conscience. In other words, all the people were trying to seize the opportunity to define good and evil for themselves.

If mankind has been horrible from the dawn of time until 1945 at defining good and evil without God, then things should not be going any differently for this species from 1945 onward. People in modern times cannot speak the same language because the majority are trying to seize the opportunity to define good and evil for themselves. For example, in the exchange that Fisk did hold with Conor O'Clery, Fisk reported that he found a large number of the Syrians who had sympathized with ISIS against the Syrian government to have done it because they were betraying their own families to try and get some money back, get revenge on someone who had married the wrong person, etc.

If the distrust, temptations, jealousy, impunity, and lack of accountability that people experience today reflect a similar state to that described in this biblical story, then we can conclude that the remedy God used to prevent further harm among people could be an effective solution in the present time.

In the Bible, it is reported that God came down and confused all the nations who were united together to use this new technology that they

²⁵ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Genesis%2011%3A1-9&version=NIV>

called the “brick” in order to use it to make a name for themselves. The families and dynasties that emerged victorious from World War II encouraged all the other nations to join them in using the same technology, the "brick," to establish their own reputations in the aftermath of the war.

Verse 9-11, in chapter 1, in the book of Ecclesiastes,²⁶ it is written:

- 9 What has been will be again,
 what has been done will be done again;
 there is nothing new under the sun.
10 Is there anything of which one can say,
 “Look! This is something new”?
It was here already, long ago;
 it was here before our time.
11 No one remembers the former generations,
 and even those yet to come
will not be remembered
 by those who follow them.

If “what has been” will be again because the current generation is forgetting the wisdom of previous generations, then this signifies that the wrath or rage reported in religious scriptures and by historians throughout history will repeat itself unless the current generation observes the ethical principles legitimized in religious textbooks or laws.

I don't know if the heirs of the old powers could rebuild the societies that the powerful nations are destroying. In this way, the new societies that would be installed will evade that distrust, temptation, jealousy, impunity, lack of accountability, etc. deepening, thus necessitating that God come down to scatter people over the face of the whole earth, as the Bible reports he did in antiquity, to stop those who were using this new technology they called the “brick” to use it to make a name for themselves.

Then again, hence, all the wars that were waged post-1945 can only mean that the families/dynasties who won WW2 used the assembly

²⁶ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Ecclesiastes%201&version=NIV>

halls they built for the nations to buy and sell, rather than to maintain post-conflict peace and stability, as the United Nations was transformed into. This means that what happened to our ancestors when they got together to build a tower that reaches to the heavens to make a name for themselves could be something that the heirs of the old powers should be prepared to confront.

In other words, since the heirs of the old powers have no objection to the United Nations becoming converted into a den of thieves or into a market to buy and sell as Jesus found happening in the temple he entered, then it is highly likely that if the heirs of the old powers continue to allow the powerful nations and the United Nations to combine information technology and ethics to control people's behavior instead of letting people (nations) determine their own political status as the founding fathers of the UN counselled, then all the bricks that were used to erect the United Nations could fall over their heads in the same way Jesus or, later, Muhammad predicted would happen to the people who turn away from behaving in accordance with the principles depicted in the scriptures.

I cannot know if the heirs of the heirs of the old powers and the faction protecting them could evade God scattering them across the earth, as he did in antiquity, or if the bricks used to erect all the institutions whose officials are not practicing what they preach, such as the United Nations, would fall over their heads as Jesus predicted. However, if the perceptions that Fisk reported during his exchange with Conor O'Clery—about how the love of most has grown cold in all the countries that he was stationed in to cover their conflicts and that a good number of people were turning away from the faith and joining ISIS to betray their own family to try and get some money back, get back on someone who had married the wrong person, etc.—are true, then wickedness could be weighing down on almost every member of the human family.

Furthermore, hence, there can be no other motive to explain why Great Britain, for example, which is a kingdom that has had a long experience in international affairs, would participate in wrecking countries without fixing them back, except to suppose that this kingdom is weighed down in wickedness, carousing, drunkenness, and

the anxieties of life, as Fisk found a good number of the Syrians ailed from; then we can say that in the same way the Syrians are sympathizing with ISIS just to betray their parents, brothers, and sisters, relatives, and friends, the families/dynasties who control Great Britain must be acting in the same way. In other words, the heirs of the old powers are betraying the ideas that could help them to continue to maintain their strength and stability to determine whose ego, elitism, and entitlement would be much bigger than the other.

I have no way of knowing if this self-centered culture that seems to have taken hold of people in rich and poor countries alike is due to the war aims that the families/dynasties who won WW2 have placed being totally flawed or this culture of toxic intolerance, competitiveness, greed, jealousy, etc. that emerged post-WW2 is due to the families/dynasties who won WW2 being at war with the truth.

However, since the WW2 war aims selected by the families/dynasties who won WW2 cannot be rationalized as erroneous—because the United States had tested the strategies adopted in the UN charter for 150 years prior, and the successes achieved by the United States demonstrate their capability to use those strategies to form a perfect union—their war aims were considered justified.

Hence, the only rational motive for this abnormal rise in intolerance, competitiveness, greed, jealousy, etc. that emerged post-WW2 can only be attributed to a score of political arrogance committed by the families/dynasties who won WW2. We can then say that for as long as the heirs of the old powers allow nations with great power to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counseled poor and rich nations to be faithful to its principles in order to let the United Nations modernize so created things do not go to waste, the longer this ongoing surplus of knowledge or lack thereof will be emboldening people and rendering them to be more cruel and divisive.

Furthermore, all the wars that powerful nations wage against poor nations push them to prioritize social justice but do not provide the instruments to rebuild. Thus, the principal motive for wrecking poor nations is to prevent them from determining their own political status,

not to make them peaceful or democratic, as the UN's founding fathers counseled. This is because when those poor nations that the powerful nations begin to determine their own political status, they will begin to know the truth.

The heirs of the old powers face a problem not only in keeping the truth from the poor nations they are destroying but also in preventing their own citizens from discovering it. It looks as if the heirs of the old powers can maintain their strength and stability by counting on two tactics going forward. The first is to go back to pre-1945 political strategies while increasing the death rates. The second tactic is to confiscate all computers, phones, and tools from individuals to prevent them from focusing on their neighbors' activities and instead encourage them to concentrate on meeting their own survival needs.

Hence, it is highly unlikely that the families/dynasties would be able to collect all the computers, phones, and tools from the hands of people to stop them from continuing to be preoccupied with what their neighbor is doing in order to start to be preoccupied with how to sustain their survival needs; this scenario signifies that the heirs of the old powers can only count on the pre-1945 political strategies along with increased death rates doing the job of helping them to maintain their strength and stability

Iteration is the repetition of a mathematical or computational procedure applied to the result of a previous application, typically as a means of obtaining successively closer approximations to the solution of a problem.²⁷ One option for the heirs of the old powers to maintain their strength and stability is to employ an iterative process that allows existing nations to use the struggle for a more dignified, just, and beautiful world as a guiding principle to create the desired sequence or approach. On the national front, the heirs of the old powers could convert nations into becoming police states, as was the case pre-1945.

Humans have been employing the aforementioned two methods to control each other since antiquity. For example, the United States was

²⁷

<https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com/us/definition/english/iteration#:~:text=iteration-,noun,and%20measurementc2%2C%20Computersc2>

formed when settlers displaced indigenous people on the continent. Initially, the indigenous people resisted, but then they gave up. This scenario is not happening in modern times. For instance, the US has made it known on many occasions since 1948 that its interests are tied to the maintenance of the strength and stability of Israel. This position that the US takes is, of course, a prerogative. The problem is that the US expects the entire world to accept Israeli apartheid and settler-colonialism as inevitable, claiming that its involvement in opposing those who challenge the people living in Israel will ultimately lead to global peace.

Since 1948, the special relationship that the United States has with the state of Israel has effectively influenced the factions controlling poor nations worldwide to accept the US's reluctance to acknowledge that the families or dynasties governing Israel must make concessions. Unfortunately, the people whose land was taken or those who sympathize with their cause disagree with the positions taken by the US, Israel, or their government.

Governments around the world have failed to prevent their citizens from sympathizing with the Palestinians, resulting in many of the nations surrounding Israel becoming stateless. As of 2016, many nations that had previously boycotted Israel began to normalize relations in order to avoid the same fate that awaited those countries whose leaders failed to prevent their citizens from advocating for freedom, justice, and equality to create a more dignified and just world.

Then again, as of 2016, the United States resists recognizing that all people are actors pursuing power and that the idea of making the world peaceful is the overarching idea that humans depend on to get their way despite the resistance of others. As such, instead of the United States thinking as a realist and adopting policies that do not make the people who are rivaling its power feel great resentment towards its policies toward the state of Israel or any other society, the United States wants to convince everyone who puts into question the unfair treatment of the Israeli government of the Palestinian people or their sympathizers that they are anti-Semitic.

It is unclear whether the nations that the United States and its allies recently transformed into stateless entities in the Middle East and subsequently left them to rebuild on their own are refusing to comply with the wishes of the powerful countries that destroyed them or if this destruction is part of a coordinated strategy to achieve implicit goals. There are only two logical motives to explain why the United States and its allies would want to wreck poor countries. Those two motives are the following: First, the US and its allies think they can influence the politics of poor countries by wrecking them. Second, the United States and its allies believe that by wrecking poor countries, they can impose a certain level of unity on them.

I do not know which of the two motives mentioned above prompted the United States and its allies to destroy so many countries in a relatively short period of time and in close geographic proximity to each other. If the United States and its allies were not on an intentional mission to annihilate the Muslim faith, two motives could have prompted this unconventional foreign policy strategy. The first reason is that the United States and its allies did not engage with the poor Muslim countries internally to encourage compliance, which may have led to a lack of understanding and cooperation in addressing their needs and concerns. Second, the ongoing destruction in Muslim countries that had refused to normalize relations with Israel since 1948, along with Israel's role as a central power, was intended to ultimately resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and establish Israel as a polycentric state in the Middle East. It is important to note that those wrecked countries also form a beltline that runs from Central Asia to North Africa.

Once again, I do not know which motive from the aforesaid two could have prompted the United States to front and use its giant strength to wreck so many Muslim countries that are in close geographic proximity to each other in a relatively short period of time, when it is well known that violence has never been used to kindle passions but to breed hate.

I cannot understand how the heirs of the old powers concluded that unleashing United States military might to cause destruction in impoverished countries after 1945, such as Lebanon, Iraq,

Afghanistan, and Syria, would benefit their cause. Similarly, I cannot understand how the heirs of the old powers concluded that using the United States to promote democratic values while simultaneously allowing it to support authoritarian or hierarchical regimes that refuse to give up power to the voting public—such as in Egypt, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Russia, Great Britain, Norway, and Sweden—would not provoke resentment against them and their protector, the United States.

Al-An'am is the sixth chapter or Surah in the Quran. The word "Al-An'am" is translated into English as The Grazing Livestock.²⁸ However, the word "Al-An'am" signifies blessing.²⁹ The theme of this Surah is about God's dominion and power. In verse 6 of Surah Al-An'am, it is stated that those who were blessed with wealth and power should inquire about how many people before them possessed enormous power, but then they were destroyed and replaced with other people.³⁰ Most importantly, in the same Al-An'am Surah, in verse 12, it is stated that whatever exists in the heavens and the earth belong to God.³¹

If, as verse 14 of the same Surah claims, God "provides for all and is not in need of provision,"³² this means that the heirs of the old powers have no excuse if they ruin themselves, because they were handed the scriptures that contain the fate of all those who abused the Al-An'am (i.e., blessing) that they were granted.

In other words, if man lives in a world controlled by a divine power that, as the Quran claims, decides who is granted power, the heirs of the old powers must avoid conduct that would cost them their power to keep their blessings.

Put differently, if violence has always achieved only destruction, not construction, this means that peace has always achieved the opposite—construction, not destruction. Construction and destruction both

²⁸ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Al-An%27am>

²⁹

<https://www.almaany.com/ar/dict/ar-en/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A7%D9%86%D8%B9%D8%A7%D9%85/>

³⁰ <https://quran.com/6/6>

³¹ <https://quran.com/6/12>

³² <https://quran.com/6/14>

change matter from one form to another. This process of converting matter takes place on the outside of the human body, such as through physical actions that can either build or destroy environments and communities. The factor that influences whether people choose to obey or disobey is related to their behavior. It is the behavior that prevents humans from the pursuit of civilized societies.

For example, during WW2, it was the United States, the United Kingdom, and their allies who destroyed Germany and Japan and rebuilt those two countries in the aftermath of WW2. The state of despair that the United States, Great Britain, and their allies imposed on the people living in Lebanon, Iraq, Afghanistan, Egypt, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Syria, and other countries after 1945 illustrates the consequences of killing or imprisoning a large number of people in a short period and in close geographic proximity. The social order in those countries appears to be no longer under control.

This out-of-control state that exists in the Middle East presently and in many other parts of the world is a result of the refusal of those same families/dynasties who ordered that destruction and construction take place to stick to one course. For instance, the heirs of the old powers prioritized reason in Germany and Japan, while in Lebanon and Iraq, they prioritized their emotions.

The heirs of the old powers rebuilt what they had destroyed in one place while leaving it broken in another because they let their perceptions disconnect from rational reality. This gap prevented them from acting in an appropriate manner, leading to inconsistent approaches in their reconstruction efforts across different regions.

In fact, to show how lost and confused the heirs of the old powers who won WW2 are (i.e., the heirs of the old powers), let us take this example.

Most political observers agree that the political context in Lebanon is very complex. Despite all the havoc that this country has endured since 1975, the pursuit of the Lebanese people for a more just and dignified world keeps on growing rather than leveling. Instead of being preoccupied only with their hardships, the Lebanese people remain

resolute in holding accountable the families and dynasties that control them for the public money they have embezzled or for political mismanagement and plunder. Put differently, despite all the culture of corruption that France, Great Britain, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, the United States, the United Nations, and many other organizations financed to keep the families/dynasties who control the Lebanese people and the general population in this country crisscrossing and backstabbing each other to prevent them from cooperating based on the principles stipulated in the UN charter, the determination of the population to abandon the idea of good did not diminish. In other words, in Lebanon, it is not the politicians who are exacerbating the serial political failings or systemic corruption but the general population. The people are electing the political leaders who are mismanaging and plundering. It is the general population that soldiers for the different political factions that operate in the country. Yet despite the existence of a culture in which we could say that each member in it is basically responsible for the pervasive fragile political system, systematic corruption, and massive debts that it is suffering, everyone in this culture is adamant to see that their social relationships be organized on the basis of justice and equal rights. In other words, the concept of justice and equal rights fuels the conflict rather than extinguishing it. This also means that if the United States, Great Britain, France, and many other countries want to end corruption in Lebanon, the most effective solution is to stop the flow of any aid to the Lebanese people until they annihilate themselves on their own in the same way biological systems do when food becomes low.

The problem with this afore-proposed strategy to end the culture of corruption and political mismanagement in Lebanon is that people are like viruses; they cannot be controlled. In other words, political and economic boycotts cannot help in ending any systemic culture of corruption or political mismanagement. After the United States boycotted Cuba, the healthcare system there became equally compatible with the one that exists in the United States, if not better. Cuba produces the best doctors in the world in a country where the average wage is only \$20 per month.³³ Likewise, after the United

³³ <https://theculturetrip.com/caribbean/cuba/articles/why-cuba-has-the-best-doctors-in-the-world/>

States boycotted Iran, this country started working on building a nuclear bomb.³⁴

This reality supports that it is in the advantage of any country that the United States break relations with them or sanction them rather than maintain a relationship. Actually, there are other issues related to wrecking many nations at the same time and letting fragile and corrupt politicians run a political system, such as the potential for increased instability and conflict in those regions, which can have far-reaching consequences for global security. The historical political system of Italy provides a good example.

Giulio Andreotti (1919 – 2013), seven times Italian Prime Minister (and 33 times minister), began his career in 1945.³⁵ Andreotti was a Christian democrat, but he was known to his enemies as Beelzebub (demon).³⁶ At the height of his statesman career, Andreotti was riddled with corruption, subjected to criminal prosecutions, and charged with colluding with Cosa Nostra.³⁷

In Lebanon, the solace of all political leaders and their power bases lies in either the Christian baroque or the Islamic practice of praying five times a day; however, their behavior over the last few decades has not differed from the approach that Andreotti shaped his political life around—the Cosa Nostra.

The United States, Great Britain, France, and many other countries are pressing the Lebanese government to normalize relations with the State of Israel. If the two countries normalize relations, the Lebanese people will not abandon the idea of wanting their new neighbor to cooperate with them based on equal rights principles or continue to spring political ambushes.

In the mid-1990s, a simmering nexus between powerful politicians and powerful mafia bosses burst out onto the public stage. That was when the whole world saw a glimpse of how the Christian Democratic Party

³⁴ <https://www.nti.org/learn/countries/iran/nuclear/>

³⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Giulio_Andreotti

³⁶ <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-10986816>

³⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Giulio_Andreotti#Controversies

(i.e., the Roman Catholic Church) could be the mafia in Italy and vice versa. Of course, Cosa Nostra's infamous omertà (code of silence) and the privilege that the Roman Catholic Church enjoys make it impossible to know the full truth about their connections and interactions, which complicates the investigation into their potential collusion.

Then again, irrespective of whether Cosa Nostra and the Roman Catholic Church happen to be one and the same in Italy, the families/dynasties who control the Italian government and the families/dynasties who control the Roman Catholic Church appear to have failed to carefully balance out different factions and interests, leading to increased tensions and power struggles that ultimately contributed to political instability. Andreotti's fall, or greatest liability, resulted from the inability of the families and dynasties that control Italy and the Roman Catholic Church to manage internal rivalries. Andreotti's world turned upside down in 1996.

The political system in Israel has been riddled with human rights violations and corruption, and the political system in Lebanon has been riddled with corruption and anti-nationalism. As of 1948, the United States, Great Britain, or France has refused to condemn any human rights violations that the families/dynasties who control the state of Israel committed or forced Israel to respect any of the resolutions that the UN Security Council has unanimously taken against this country. Likewise, those same three powerful countries did not take any action against the families/dynasties who control Lebanon.

In 1964, Winston Fulbright proposed that when a nation fails to align its perception with events, the gap between fact and perception widens, rendering action irrelevant and irrational. In other words, a nation becomes unable to determine if the goals that it is ready to pursue are based on cherished myths or objective facts. It looks as if the decision of the families/dynasties who control the United States and Great Britain to let the families/dynasties who control the states of Israel, Lebanon, France, and all others not lead by example emanates from the unpreparedness of the powerful families that control those two great powers to accept that the awareness of people is progressive.

Likewise, conflicts are not only a clash between good and evil, but they could also be a clash between conflicting interests.

I do not understand why the powerful families controlling the United States and Great Britain believe that the families/dynasties controlling Israel and Lebanon would not overthrow them, given that throughout history, state organizations formed based on residence rather than kinship have often been upended. All the nations that are organized through kinship, such as Great Britain, Norway, Sweden, Belgium, Spain, Oman, Morocco, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, etc., are capable of maintaining this relationship only by force.

I do not understand why the families and dynasties that control the United States and Great Britain are eager for all Muslim nations to normalize relations with Israel before ensuring that all people in those countries, including Israel, cooperate based on the principles outlined in the UN charter. If the two global policemen, the United States and Great Britain, who are heirs of the old powers, continue to maintain their traditional special relationship with the state of Israel after it normalizes relations with all its neighbors, their credibility will be jeopardized. While bribes and hedonism may work wonders for those in power, they do not restore the confidence of impoverished individuals in their leaders.

If the United States and Great Britain succeed in making Muslim nations normalize relations with Israel but continue to send military aid instead of funding social programs, they will not achieve the peaceful coexistence they seek. This is because most people look at the world and at themselves in moralistic rather than empirical terms, as Winston Fulbright once suggested.

Actually, it looks as if the families/dynasties who control the United States and Great Britain are going to be confronted with challenges that are much more complex and menacing than securing that the Muslim nations who did not normalize relations with the state of Israel until now do it if they go about and confuse freedom, social justice, or democracy with the perceptions that they perceive such concepts to mean from their perspectives only.

This is also to say that when the Soviet Union collapsed, the breakdown provided a benefit to the United States and Great Britain because the freed bloc found advantageous arrangements with those two countries. If the Muslim nations and the Jews unite, those two factions would not be willing to compromise their imperialism ambition for the sake of the United States and Great Britain. This is because one faction (the Jews) already believes that it was designated by God as his chosen people,³⁸ while the other faction (the Muslims) believes that God promised them to be a great nation.³⁹

I do not know up to what extent the people who live in Great Britain or the United States are capable of proving that they and the Muslims or Jews who live in the Arabian Peninsula are from one father. If God started to intervene as he was doing in antiquity or a newborn proclaimed that the time has come for the children of Abraham⁴⁰ to unite, I think there is a good chance that this truth would start to pose a different world politics reality. In other words, if the Jews need to go back to live in Palestine (i.e., the promised land) to await the coming of the Messiah,⁴¹ and the Arabs have already built a tower⁴² that is as tall as the high rises that exist in the United States and Great Britain, if not higher, then there is a good chance that if myths rather than objective facts start to conquer people's minds, then the friend today could be the enemy of tomorrow.

Put differently, if the Jews, the Muslims, and even the Christians are both awaiting the return of the Messiah, and in the Hebrew Bible it is stipulated that for the startup of the Messianic Age, the construction of the Third Temple⁴³ must happen first or in tandem with it, this means that the families/dynasties who won WW2 would have no excuse after the Jews and the Muslims normalize relations to stop them from beginning construction.

³⁸ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Deuteronomy%2014&version=NIV>

³⁹ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Genesis%2017&version=NIV>

⁴⁰ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Genesis%2016&version=NIV>

⁴¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Messiah_in_Judaism#:~:text=Orthodox%20Judaism,-Orthodox%20Judaism%20maintains&text=Orthodox%20Jews%20strictly%20believe%20in,his%20arrival%20with%20every%20day.

⁴² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Burj_Khalifa

⁴³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Third_Temple

Presently, all people can either visit Burj Khalifa in Dubai or the Freedom Tower in New York, and so there is no reason why the same should not be the case when it comes to visiting religious sites. If the third temple is constructed in Jerusalem, people could have the option to visit this site as well as the Vatican in Rome or the Westminster Abbey in Great Britain.

No one knows why the Roman emperors were against allowing the Jews to build the Third Temple before their collapse, or why the rulers of the Umayyad Caliphate chose to build the Dome of the Rock on the site where the Third Temple is supposed to be built,⁴⁴ or why the western European monarchs who ruled this region did not help the Jews to build this temple before the collapse of the Turkish Empire in 1923. Indeed, the decision of the new families/dynasties who won WW2 to permit the Jews to finally have a new nation to build this temple is finally the right step toward finally establishing peace on earth.

I have no way of knowing if the Jews are going to resolve their own debate on when to proceed to build the third temple, as there is an ongoing schism with one group wanting to start before the arrival of the Messiah and the other after, and/or if the families/dynasties who control western Europe, the United States, or the Vatican have planned to have their heirs move to live in Jerusalem or to invest in the region, but according to historians, Jerusalem was filled with millions of visitors and pilgrims from the entire region in the time of King Agrippa⁴⁵ (11 BC–AD 44).

Furthermore, I do not know what role the New Messiah will have for the Jews after the Third Temple is constructed and what role the families/dynasties who control western Europe, the United States, or the Vatican are programming for the Jews if they choose and give them the okay to start to build the third temple before the arrival of the Messiah. In other words, would the new Messiah or the families/dynasties who control Western Europe, the US, or the Vatican want the Jews to only hold the priesthood or also pursue their full

⁴⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Third_Temple#Attempts_at_rebuilding

⁴⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agrippa_I

potential?? We should remember to also include what the Jews would consider suitable for them.

I do not know what the families/dynasties who control Western Europe, the United States, or the Vatican (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) are planning; hence, they could all be Jews who want to return to live in the warm weather of the Middle East. However, what I do know is that the societies that are now inhabiting the social space that is called, in modern days, "some Israel" and "others Palestine" are all resolute and implacable in their determination to increase their happiness. I am also uncertain whether those individuals intend to undergo a transformation prior to the arrival of the new Messiah. In other words, I do not know if they are going to begin to practice before the arrival of the new Messiah what Jesus already asked their predecessors to do, and they refused. This teaching instructs individuals not to resist evil; if someone slaps them on the right cheek, they should turn the other cheek as well, according to Matthew 5:39 in the New Testament.⁴⁶

This is because it looks as if the world is going to become more dynamic if the heirs of the old powers continue to push to have the Jews and the Muslim nations normalize relations without first obtaining assurances that they would begin to turn their swords into ploughshares or the families/dynasties who control Western Europe, the United States, or the Vatican begin to lead by example.

In other words, if Great Britain and the United States continue to hasten the normalization of the relations between the Jews and their neighbors and continue to prioritize, at the same time, leftist views but without leading by example to create a balance between the role that they are trying to project themselves as being capable of playing and the values that they are depending on to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them, there is a good chance that they could be the victim of their own convictions.

Put differently, if the United States and Great Britain believe they can maintain a monopoly on virtue by using their military power to control existing governments, this belief relies on the assumption that their

⁴⁶ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Matthew+5&version=NIV>

adversaries will be individuals from different racial or national backgrounds. The collapse of the Soviet Union serves as a compelling example of how this regime succumbed to the pressure from its own people.

This is also to say that if the United States and Great Britain are unsure whether they can defend the values they depend on to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them, it could be suicidal for them to continue to monopolize virtue because the danger to the world is not the ideology that people preach but people themselves.

This strategy that the United States and Great Britain, with their allies, adopted to wreck many Muslim nations in the Middle East at the same time under the pretext that Islamization was a threat to the free world has left the United States and Great Britain in many complex problems.

The people (race) whom the United States and Great Britain, with their allies, violated every human rights principle in the books to protect post-1945 (i.e., the Jews) and even wrecked the entire Middle East to achieve this goal are now beginning to normalize relations with one another.

I do not know if the Jews, the Egyptians, the Turks, or the Arabs are planning on rebuilding the countries that the United States, Great Britain, France, and the rest of the Scandinavian countries wrecked in the Middle East with American, British, French, Nordic, or Swedish workers or technology, and so it seems the only choice for this choice to happen is if a new civil war erupts in Turkey, Egypt, or Israel before the construction of the third temple starts. The other option is for the United States, Great Britain, France, and the rest of the Scandinavian countries to start to rebuild the countries that they wrecked in the Middle East before the Turks, Egyptians, or Israelis begin doing it. That's, of course, if the families/dynasties who control the United States, Great Britain, France, and the rest of the Scandinavian countries do not want to wreck and rebuild Europe a second time instead of their own home countries.

I do not know how the families/dynasties who control the United States, Great Britain, France, and the rest of the Scandinavian countries could delay the reconstruction of the Middle East between the Arabs, the Israelis, the Turks, the Egyptians, etc. after the majority of the Muslim nations who did not normalize relations with the state of Israel do it. In other words, up until now the states of Israel and Egypt kept their relations one-sided. Since the signing of the Israeli-Egyptian accord in 1979, the ruling families and dynasties of Egypt have engaged in trade or cooperation with the Israeli government. This tactic permitted the Great Power to keep the Egyptian families/dynasties under control instead of having to deal with how to keep the entire population of Egypt under the same condition, thereby avoiding potential unrest or opposition from the broader populace. If the people of Egypt and Israel normalized full trading relations, they would be creating the same gridlock that exists between Turkey and its western European neighbors. Likewise, if the people of Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Lebanon, Iraq, etc., and Israel agree to normalize trading, they would be creating the same gridlock that exists between the United States and China or Germany and its neighbors.

Ultimately, the heirs of the old powers face a choice: they can either continue to topple regimes using the same motives that the United States, Great Britain, and their allies employed to invade Afghanistan (to remove a government that harbored a terrorist) and Iraq (to remove a government that harbored a dictator), or they can adopt a strategy of reward.

Throughout history, aggression never was proven effective in inhibiting behaviors or wills. In fact, most of the time, the people who felt betrayed, aggressed, or deterred pursued vengeance when the threat was removed. Rewards, on the other hand, “reinforce responsible behavior and a more favorable attitude toward the one who gave the reward.”⁴⁷

I cannot know what the heirs of the old powers plan to pick to deter nations from continuing to rival them to narrow the gap between the happiness or power that the heirs of the old powers know and themselves. In 2005, I showed in the book *Social Improvement and*

⁴⁷ <https://digitalcollections.uark.edu/digital/collection/Fulbright/id/107>

*Human Security: collateral Damage*⁴⁸ that increased awareness or knowledge happens to increase crises and conflicts and vice versa. This means that the more people (nations) continue to set religious (ethical) or scientific (technological) goals that are at war with the truth, the more people would be accelerating crises and conflicts in the world. This also means that if the heirs of the old powers want the United States to continue to play the global policeman role without this country playing by the books, its leaders must become masters at making rosy pronouncements that they know are false to hide or to shield their own competition with others. I plan to continue to investigate the effect of making rosy pronouncements without leading by example in the next chapter.

⁴⁸ Salim Maloof, Book, social Improvement and Human Security: Collateral Damage, 2005

2

Wicked as they come

Abū al-Ṭayyib Aḥmad ibn Al-Ḥusayn Al-Mutanabbī Al-Kindī⁴⁹ (915 – 965 AD), was an Iraqi poet who is regarded as one of the greatest, most prominent, and influential poets in the Arabic language. Al-Mutanabbī once said that a person would be crazy if he needs a proof to support to him that it's morning.⁵⁰

I do not know how the heirs of the old powers plan to continue to maintain their strength and stability without introducing substantial modifications that make people see they have appointed the United States and Great Britain to manifest a monopoly on morality and vice instead of helping people (nations) to create peaceful coexistence.

The families and dynasties that control the United States and Great Britain could continue to make optimistic statements about their successes while encouraging nations to avoid adopting progressive measures rooted in tribalism. The strategy adopted by the families/dynasties who won WW2 to prevent the world from possessing the right to fabricate strategic items, while granting access to the functions of those items, deepened rivalry and did not alleviate global tensions and animosities. In fact, it appears that the longer the families and dynasties controlling the United States and Great Britain postpone the rebuilding of the countries they allowed the United States and the United Nations to disrupt, the more their political status from

⁴⁹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Al-Mutanabbi>

⁵⁰ <https://adabworld.com/%D8%B4%D8%B9%D8%B1-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D8%AA%D9%86%D8%A8%D9%8A-%D9%88%D9%84%D9%8A%D8%B3-%D9%8A%D8%B5%D8%AD-%D9%81%D9%8A-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D8%B0%D9%87%D8%A7%D9%86-%D8%B4%D9%8A%D8%A1/>

1945 to the present will be justified under the pretext that their interventions were intended to combat authoritarian regimes, corruption, and injustice. Equally, it will become increasingly difficult for them to avoid becoming entangled in a new global war as they try to extricate themselves from their current predicament. Additionally, we should consider what God might be preparing for them in the future.

In other words, if government priorities are reflected in how they spend taxpayers' money, we could say that the exuberant defense budgets nations have proudly maintained yearly since 1945 indicate that they are working to enhance their own power rather than strengthen peaceful coexistence.

The outbreak of WW1 and WW2 resulted as a consequence of the British Empire diverting itself from more important tasks in the world. The preoccupations that the British Empire was pursuing prevented its thinkers and strategists from avoiding the upheaval of those two global wars, which ultimately led to significant loss of life and geopolitical instability in the following decades, highlighting the dangers of prioritizing imperial ambitions over global peace and cooperation. The price that the British Empire paid appears to be irreversible.

If nations continue to focus on the need to pursue their vital interests instead of adapting collective security initiatives, they would not be able to balance their power without using nationalistic ideas as a frame of reference.

As Al-Mutanabbī suggested, proof is unnecessary to show that the money spent by the United States, Great Britain, and their allies to bring down regimes since 1945, or the policies adopted by the United Nations, did not secure even a semblance of unity among the existing nations. The UN and powerful nations can create false stats to claim the world is safer, but they can't change the moral attitude of people in every city.

In fact, if the US government is failing to stop its own soldiers and nationals from continuing to put the spotlight on how the wars that this country waged since the start of the 21st century in Iraq and

Afghanistan were worth fighting, this means that the majority of the public in the US believes that the US government deliberately misled them into believing that such meddling could increase their happiness.

The inability of the United States, Great Britain, all NATO nations, the United Nations, and international aid organizations to significantly improve the preparedness of the people in Iraq and Afghanistan for rebuilding their countries raises questions about whether the United States truly played a role in rebuilding Germany or Japan after World War II, or if that responsibility fell to neighboring countries.

Frankly, only one leader since 1945 seems to have found the courage to say that his meddling in the political status of other nations is to make his country great. This leader was the 45th president of the United States, Donald Trump (born 1946). Other leaders competing with him in his country, as well as foreign leaders, do not appreciate this honesty. Most leaders put the spotlight on emotion, belief, fear, and tradition to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them and the faction that protects them instead of being blunt as Donald Trump was.

In my opinion, Trump's ethical approach demonstrates significantly more wisdom than the tactics employed by other leaders to achieve their goals, even when faced with opposition. In the 17th century, Baruch Spinoza⁵¹ (1632 – 1677), a Dutch philosopher, tried to reinvent emotion, belief, fear, and tradition to detach them from religion or superstition and make them a quasi-scientific discipline, but he was confronted with lots of resistance when he tried to bend the rules of existence. I am uncertain whether there is a connection between the difficulties Spinoza faced when opposing religious leaders and their supporters, who manipulate people, and the resistance that Donald Trump is currently introducing to prevent conflicts with the truth.

However, we could say that as long as the heirs of the old powers and the factions that protect them deny the truth and persist in trying to force people to surrender their freedom—claiming to build a perfect union while lacking any example of their own—international order would be increasingly destabilized.

⁵¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baruch_Spinoza

To my knowledge, humans rely on two sources to transform effects or ideas into conditions and outcomes that lead others to surrender their freedom and obey. Those two sources are religion and science. Furthermore, if the heirs of the old powers and the factions that protect them want people around the world to accept that the regimes or livelihoods that they are commissioning the United States to wreck are meant to increase evil or their own happiness, they are going to have to let those two tools (religion and science) start to make magic for them.

Put differently, if the heirs of the old powers want to prevent people around the world from believing that the United States is converting UN member states into police states through its meddling and thus inciting refusal to obey, the United States must be able to distort people's perceptions like magicians making spectators believe the impossible is possible.

Statistics is the science concerned with developing and studying methods for collecting, analyzing, interpreting, and presenting empirical data.⁵² The likelihood of the heirs of the old powers and the factions that protect them using statistics to distort data with this tool to make people around the world believe that the authoritarian regimes that the US and its allies are toppling are ending corruption and injustice and thus be ready to surrender freedom and obey is low. If that were true, statisticians should be God's deputies on Earth by now.

If, in theory, a person cannot use statistics or any one of the topics that mathematics facilitates to resolve the truth or falsity about made-up conjecture such as quantity (number theory), structure (algebra), space (geometry), and change (mathematical analysis) because a person is not able to know how things are changing around him except for what his sense of touch principally decodes. This means that for as long as the heirs of the old powers and the factions that protect them continue to rely on the other senses (sight, hearing) to accept truth that may not be totally true, there is a good chance that after a certain point in time, people will stop to believe that all the taxes that their governments are collecting from them to spend on toppling authoritarian regimes are making the international order more peaceful.

⁵² <https://www.stat.uci.edu/what-is-statistics/>

In other words, if a person is not able to determine the truth about how something is changing that is bigger than the size of roughly an apple—because this is the largest object that a person can fit in the palm of his hand to know how the latter is changing comprehensively with respect to time—and if the heirs of the old powers and the factions that protect them continue to make people have access to truth that their fingers do not touch or their mouths taste, the truth that they [the people] would be knowing would not correlate with the truth that their leaders are trying to make them believe.

If the truthfulness about something physical will always be entwined in whatever a person manages to touch or taste, then not all perceptions communicated by the other senses to the mind or other people transmit about what is true and/or what is false are totally true.

In fact, to show how science is an unreliable tool for producing the magic that convinces people the actions of the heirs of the old powers and their protecting factions are justified, thereby preparing them to surrender their freedom and obey, let us consider this example.

The financial rivalry that emerged in the aftermath of World War II created a demand to search for complex financial instruments that can help create quick financial gains. The greatest source of growth in the financial sector has been in financial instruments known as derivatives. In simple terms, a derivative tells us how quickly something is changing. It is the slope of the line tangent to the curve (i.e., function).

However, derivation is an operation that is much more complex than what people get out of it. Derivation is basically “how you invent new physics. You take the knowledge that you already have and you combine it in a new way to come up with a description of something new.”⁵³ Nonetheless, in as much as mathematicians try to sell mathematics as an objective reality that could be perceived in a manner analogous to sense perceptions, mankind was not programmed to possess the power to create new physics. Everything in reality seems to be constantly changing. Thus, despite how much

⁵³ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Be_6Qaq1es&ab_channel=DoS-DomainofScience

mathematicians or economists try to make “mathematics everything”⁵⁴ as Pythagoras⁵⁵ (570–495 BC), a pre-Socratic Greek philosopher, believed, all the theoretical and technological instruments that exist to help people (nations) determine how the price of financial derivatives, for example, change over time fail to reduce risks. The turbulent stock market of the 1990s and the 2008-2009 financial crisis are two examples of the consequences of wagering on futures and options to create profits.⁵⁶

Hence, science seems ineffective at providing lessons that the heirs of old powers and their protecting factions could use to replicate the magic religion has historically produced—making people ready to surrender freedom and obey voluntarily. This scenario means that the heirs of the old powers are very soon going to need to start to appeal to God to send another prophet to make people start becoming preoccupied not with what they [the heirs of the old powers] are doing but to start to focus on making sacrifices; otherwise, they [the heirs of the old powers] have no other choice but to lead by example or increase the death rates.

I have no way of knowing what tactics the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world are planning on adopting to make people make sacrifices and be ready to forgo their access to material things in their lifetime to have access to them in the afterlife after they traded the principles that on their premise religion controlled people or the one that the founding fathers proposed to let the United Nations with its utopian principles end injustice and poverty in the world is not working out. After more than 70 years of setting policies that are based on rational or ethical values, but without the families/dynasties who control the different existing nations being ready to lead by example, this strategy has not achieved anything since 1945 other than creating a massive black hole similar to the punctual crashes that the financial sector began to experience after traders began to make investments in investments or make promises about promises.

⁵⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philosophy_of_mathematics#History

⁵⁵ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pythagoras>

⁵⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stock_market_crash

The heirs of the old powers could continue to look the other way so the world becomes plundered with impunity. However, if kleptocracy and tools that give states different levels of power, as well as the power to convert ideas or effects from impossible to possible, did not help the families/dynasties that controlled the international order in antiquity avoid continual resizing, could the actual families/dynasties prevent their heirs from being resized, particularly since all the plunder that they are permitting is to forgo the security of others for their sake?

I don't know for how long the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world can withstand the United Nations bending the rules of existence. However, their decision to allow the United Nations to operate economically and foster corruption indicates that the heirs of the old powers are actively working to undermine the legitimacy of the fragile concept of good that they are trying to uphold in order to maintain their own strength and stability.

The heirs of the old powers and the global money supply could continue to be misled by thinkers who argue that the international system operates under anarchy. However, the continuous failure throughout history of the families/dynasties who controlled the international order and the money supply of the world to prevent their resizing, despite how rational and obsessed they were to ensure their security and survival, supports that there could be a divine authority who is vigilant and who does not let wickedness prop up beyond a certain limit no matter how much the necessities of life take the social order in a range of directions.

I am unsure whether a divine authority regulates conduct or interactions between people to shorten the sensual life and thus prevent terror and lawless violence from fully taking over and shattering mankind's resolve to use the idea of good as a tool to dominate each other. But if from Adam and Eve to now, all that people have been doing is to sin and defy the principles that the generation before counselled its successor to avoid, but then the cohort whose turn it is to make things better does the same thing but to a higher degree (i.e.,

repeats the same sin just adding a higher degree),⁵⁷ this means that the stories that are in the Bible are not there for no reason.

In other words, if we observe throughout history that new societies are formed with cohorts that are more rational than their predecessors but simultaneously less prosperous than their successors, this suggests that the continuous cycle depicted in the Bible and by historians—where each new generation creates new things while neglecting morals and righteousness until their actions outrage conscience and compel them to collectively strive against wickedness or corruption—may still be occurring today.

The Bible and historians indicate that the level of corruption and injustice in the world fluctuates based on the degree of happiness that people pursue, which is also inversely related to the level of disenchantment they experience. For example, in the Bible, we read that when the level of corruption and injustice deepened to an irreparable level, a flood wiped out all the human progress. Likewise, in the Bible, we read about punctual wrath happening to specific societies that fail to control wickedness or evil, as in the case of Sodom and Gomorrah being destroyed. In fact, we could compare the same patterns of wrath taking place in contemporary history. For example, the Lebanese civil war (1975-1990) destroyed the progress that the Lebanese people had made in their country. The Second World War (1939-1945) prompted destruction that affected more than one country.

If the new cycle of insecurity that began after World War II continues to escalate, it will result in an increasing disregard for dignity and human worth, ultimately leading to one of the scenarios mentioned earlier.

Then again, if we take the Bible as a whole (i.e., a continuum), we can say that it is divided into two main sections. In the first part, we have the story of the creation. In the second part, we have accounts of evil, despicable acts of terror or unyielding anger leading to the arrival of Jesus, who, with some of his followers, prophesies apocalyptic

⁵⁷ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fLkhuvdT-tU&ab_channel=BiblicallyBlonde

accounts that reveal God's heavenly perspective for mankind if humans continue to define good as per the way they have been doing it since antiquity.

No investigator has been able to confirm if the apocalyptic events that the book of revelations (or later the Quran) describes are visions that would take place or if they are projections of the imagination, as Baruch Spinoza suggested in the 17th century.⁵⁸ Since humans are unlikely to adopt the principles suggested in biblical scriptures or by the UN's founding fathers, we can say that as people deviate from those principles, the chances of another global war or the visions suggested in the book of Revelation (or the Quran) are present and real.

I do not understand how the heirs of the old powers and the global money supply plan to prevent wickedness from descending to the level described in the Bible before the Flood. However, we can say that for as long as humans believe that the international order is governed by anarchy, meaning that there is no divine law, the likelihood of the apocalyptic visions reported in the book of Revelation is at least somewhat valid.

To avoid the recurrence of another global war or the occurrence of the apocalyptic visions that are reported in the Bible, Quran, or other religious and philosophical books, it would be necessary that the powerful nations destabilize poor nations to prevent their level of power from growing, including making sure that the balance of power between the militarily better-off be replaced with a system of collective security.

Indeed, the numerous revolts faced by poor nations after 1945, as well as the wars waged by powerful nations that formed coalitions against them for various reasons, illustrate how great powers can claim to represent the enduring hopes of humanity while actually sacrificing the security of other nations to preserve their own interests.

⁵⁸ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pVEeXjPiw54&ab_channel=TheSchoolofLife

Unfortunately, even if powerful nations are causing the instability that poor nations have experienced since 1945 to prevent those nations from using their power against them, the powerful nations cannot stop morals and righteousness from spiraling down to an uncontrollable low level, as happened in Noah's or Abraham's time. This is because mankind has no way of knowing how corruption or wickedness is changing to know whether or not humanity is spiraling down toward another WW3 or the apocalypse that is prophesied to occur in the Book of Revelations of the Bible and other religious books.

In 2001, President George W. Bush, the 43rd US president, made a speech at the United Nations on the occasion of the Sept. 11 attacks in which he said that his country would defend itself to deliver its children from a future of fear. It looks as if the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world and the faction that protects them need to decide between wanting to defend themselves from a future of fear by keeping their commitments to law and life or taking an offensive/defensive view to determine if they can maintain their strength and stability.

No one knows how effective the offensive and defensive measures that the United States launched in response to aggression and terror in the world after the Sept. 11 attacks have been. However, this end does not appear to be in view. Then again, no one knows if the failure of the US and the allies that this country enlisted to press on with their agenda for peace and prosperity in every land post-2001 is because those powerful nations backed away from rebuilding the nations that they wrecked and did it fairly. Most importantly, it's unclear whether the apparent rise in unpreparedness to obey post-2001 in both poor and rich countries stems from the United States and its coalition not considering their responsibilities and the future, as they advised the nations they devastated. As of 2001, the world appears to be facing a new challenge that is more harmful than the failure of powerful nations to uphold the principles of the UN, which all existing nations agreed to in 1945 to counter early threats to peace. This threat is skepticism.

Skepticism is defined as the questioning of knowledge claims set forth in various areas.⁵⁹ All the evil or good that took place in the world so far resulted right after people realized that they could put into question knowledge or rational belief and get away with it.

I do not know to what extent skepticism has played a role in humans' intangible desire to explore and challenge the boundaries of what we know and what our ancestors knew. Then again, we can say that since mankind began to inquire, to explore, and to comprehend the nature of things better (metaphysics) as related to what we know or how we can know it (epistemology), our knowledge does not seem to have progressed or changed in the later area. In other words, we cannot say that we have resolved any new epistemological idea that our ancestors questioned. Rather, all the benefits that our ancestors provided, and the opportunities we have now to contribute equally, seem to have focused on expanding our understanding of metaphysical issues. However, this area appears to have originated only once in terms of enhancing our understanding of what we know. The dilemma that this poses is that it prevents us from suspending judgment on many dogmas or beliefs.

The challenge of distrusting dogmas and beliefs is that it weakens the specific dogma or belief that a given authority wants its followers to accept. In other words, the existence of God will not affect how people relate to one another unless they are actively seeking to determine God's existence in order to have their dreams fulfilled. The challenge of conducting inquiries or discussing topics in isolation, rather than holistically, places a significant burden on the social order's ability to maintain its complementarity, which is essential for fostering understanding and cooperation among individuals in a community.

Complementarity is defined as a complementary relationship or situation.⁶⁰ However, in physics, complementarity is both a theoretical and an experimental result of quantum mechanics, also referred to as the principle of complementarity.⁶¹ The complementarity principle was formulated by Niels Bohr (1885 – 1962), a Danish physicist who made foundational contributions to understanding atomic structure and

⁵⁹ <https://www.britannica.com/topic/skepticism>

⁶⁰ <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/complementarity>

⁶¹ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Complementarity_\(physics\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Complementarity_(physics))

quantum theory.⁶² Bohr suggested that “objects have certain pairs of complementary properties that cannot all be observed or measured simultaneously.” Bohr considered many properties of objects during his research, such as position and momentum; spin on different axes; wave and particle-related properties; value of a field and its change (at a certain position); and entanglement and coherence.

In physics, quantum entanglement is “a physical phenomenon that occurs when a pair or group of particles are generated, interact, or share spatial proximity in a way such that the quantum state of each particle of the pair or group cannot be described independently of the state of the others, including when the particles are separated by a large distance.”⁶³

Bohr's study of quantum entanglement appears to be central to the social entanglement that the heirs of the old powers and their protectors are attempting to resolve in order to continue altering the conditions and outcomes that are important to them. In other words, we could say that the challenge facing the heirs of the old powers and their protecting faction since 1945 is how to align the ideas, positions, momentum, and polarization of closely correlated groups.

For example, when the United States invaded Afghanistan, this country found itself entangled not in building a strong central government that would be better than the Taliban, who were ousted, but in the development of mass corruption. Unfortunately, the majority of bureaucrats, police officers, and judges in those countries sought the Americans' support not to enforce order, but to exploit the concept of democracy for their own gain, leading to increased corruption, extortion, and bribery. This situation entangled the United States in many new problems. People cannot now know if the United States invaded those countries to increase its relative power in the world or to aid those people who believed that if their regimes cooperated with them righteously and justly, they would be better off.

I do not know how the heirs of the old powers are planning to resolve the entanglement that ideas, perceptions, motions, polarization, etc.

⁶² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Niels_Bohr

⁶³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_entanglement

generate. What I do know is that when Bohr was studying particle entanglement at the quantum level, he found that such relations reached paradoxical effects. As can be expected, Bohr found entanglement that can be correlated under certain conditions. However, under different conditions, Bohr found that the entanglement that the properties were generating was resulting “in an irreversible wave function collapse of that particle and changes the original quantum state. With entangled particles, such measurements affect the entangled system as a whole.”⁶⁴

In fact, Bohr’s observation led Albert Einstein,⁶⁵ Boris Podolsky,⁶⁶ Nathan Rosen,⁶⁷ to describe this entanglement in 1935 in what became known as the EPR paradox.⁶⁸ The EPR paradox argued that the description of physical reality provided by quantum mechanics was incomplete.

I have no way of knowing if the entanglement or disparity that those physicists failed to resolve between classical and quantum physics was due to them missing loopholes, but Einstein, like many other scientists and philosophers before him, argued that there could be a “spooky action at a distance”^{69,70} causing this phenomenon.

In physics, action at a distance is the concept that an object can be moved, changed, or otherwise affected without being physically touched (as in mechanical contact) by another object.⁷¹ Likewise, in physics, the principle of locality states that an object is directly influenced only by its immediate surroundings.⁷² If substances at the quantum level do not obey entanglement that classical physics seems to show that it is resolved in larger objects, this means that the failure

⁶⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_entanglement

⁶⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Albert_Einstein

⁶⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boris_Podolsky

⁶⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nathan_Rosen

⁶⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/EPR_paradox

⁶⁹ https://web.archive.org/web/20150412044550/http://philosophyfaculty.ucsd.edu/faculty/wuthrich/GSSPP09/Files/BellJohnS1981Speakable_BertlmannsSocks.pdf

⁷⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_entanglement

⁷¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Action_at_a_distance

⁷² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principle_of_locality

of the heirs of the old powers to resolve the entanglement that humans are continuously manifesting could be due to some “spooky action at a distance” (i.e., the hands of God), or the heirs of the old powers are spooking in territories that they are going to live to regret.

As I said before, I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers plan to create complementarity in social relationships that would allow them to know what it is for one thing to cause another to be able afterwards to make the relationships that people establish with one another correlate. After the financialization of the world and the unyielding rush of people to delegate to technology the role that the human body serves (i.e., supporting that there is no need for many people to be living), the heirs of the old powers and the faction who protects them could be plotting to take advantage of biological warfare to reduce the population for all we know. In other words, without strict birth planning programs to control population sizes within countries, the heirs of the old powers and their protecting faction could be plotting to eliminate the elderly and sick segments of the population, viewing them as a burden rather than a benefit to the nation.

It doesn't matter if the heirs of the old powers are helping humanity thrive or letting the financialization of the world create a gap between the rich and the poor. The heirs of the old powers will continue to get their way despite others' resistance, depending on their ability to control skepticism, perception, knowledge, primary and secondary qualities, induction, free will, and more.

To my knowledge, those aforementioned criteria can be controlled by the heirs of the old powers either through choosing to be faithful to traditions or through making room for the mind.

Anytime people make room for the mind, this means that they are making room for arguments as well. In logic and philosophy, an argument is defined as a series of statements, called premises, intended to determine the degree of truth of another statement, the conclusion.⁷³

⁷³ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Argument>

Truth is defined as the property of being in accord with “fact” or “reality.”⁷⁴ Humans do not know what “fact” or “reality” is and, henceforth, do not know what “truth” is. Such ignorance led humans to ascribe “truth” to things that aim to represent reality. Humans developed beliefs, propositions, and declarative sentences to make their ideas correspond to reality. Then again, since belief, propositions, declarative sentences, etc., are all attitudes that something is the case.⁷⁵ This signifies that it is not possible to refer to any representation of reality that employs any one of those aforementioned terms without classifying it into either a true or false statement.

Humans began to use “true” or “false” statements (values) since antiquity. In fact, humans are no longer analyzing and appraising arguments based on just a two-way “true” or “false” relation, which is also known as crisp logic,⁷⁶ Boolean logic⁷⁷ or traditional Aristotelian logical calculus.⁷⁸ The ultimate quest of men post-1945 to increase happiness prompted engineers, scientists, and mathematicians, who inherited the work of physicists and philosophers such as Albert Einstein, Niels Bohr, Erwin Schrödinger, René Descartes, Adam Smith, and George Berkeley, to explore unconventional solutions to the majority of the questions that their predecessors deemed impossible to resolve using classical physics or classical mathematics.

For example, from the twelfth century to 1945, humans began to accept three-valued logics, which added “unknown” to the Aristotelian classical two-valued logic (i.e., “true” and “false”). Jan Łukasiewicz⁷⁹ (1878 – 1956) and Stephen Cole Kleene⁸⁰ (1909 - 1999) are two mathematical logicians who made remarkable contributions to ascribing truth to three-valued propositions.

This shift in paradigm (i.e., three-valued logic), which our predecessors began to tolerate in the 12th century, underwent another

⁷⁴ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth>

⁷⁵ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Belief>

⁷⁶ [https://blog.oureducation.in/fuzzy-systems/#:~:text=Crisp%20logic%20\(crisp\)%20is%20the,but%20Ben%20was%20not%20punctual.%E2%80%9D](https://blog.oureducation.in/fuzzy-systems/#:~:text=Crisp%20logic%20(crisp)%20is%20the,but%20Ben%20was%20not%20punctual.%E2%80%9D)

⁷⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boolean_algebra

⁷⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Term_logic

⁷⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jan_%C5%81ukasiewicz

⁸⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stephen_Cole_Kleene

fundamental change starting in the middle of the 20th century. As of 1945, people started to tolerate a new propositional calculus that accepts the existence of more than two or three truth values for any proposition. In other words, many engineers, scientists, and mathematicians changed the way they used to contemplate their work and began to accept truth that is between 0 and 1 (i.e., Boolean knowledge). Put differently, people now accept partial truth. Said differently, people are now okay accepting that something could be 0.3 true and 0.7 false or 0.8 true and 0.2 false, etc.

People's quest to see that machines think like humans led people to put up with many-valued logic (i.e., partial logic). In other words, people started to have no problem with accepting the proposition that extends the traditional two-values logic or three-valued logic to n -valued logic for n greater than 2.⁸¹

For example, modern predicate logic⁸² (or first-order logic), such as fuzzy logic⁸³ or probability logic can now allow users to resolve the truth about any finite-valued⁸⁴ (finitely many valued) and infinite-valued⁸⁵ (infinitely many valued), thus making any perception that was regarded as ambiguous before 1945 become precise. The countless intelligent products available on the market, ranging from kitchen appliances to driverless cars, vividly demonstrate the potential of fuzzy logic to transform skepticism in various fields into clarity and approachability. A user can determine the truth or falsity of any variable by assigning linguistic variables and values to it, and then proceeding to produce either classical true and false values or partial true and false values for that variable. A good fuzzy logic example is the automatic braking system.

It is important to keep in mind that “fuzzy logic” and the appellation “fuzzy” were proposed by Lotfi Aliasker Zadeh (1921 –2017), an electrical engineer, mathematician, computer scientist, and artificial intelligence researcher, in 1965.⁸⁶ Furthermore, fuzzy logic is a form of

⁸¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Many-valued_logic

⁸² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First-order_logic

⁸³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fuzzy_logic

⁸⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Finite-valued_logic

⁸⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Infinite-valued_logic

⁸⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lotfi_A._Zadeh

logic (i.e., tools) that is as precise as crisp logic (i.e., traditional Aristotelian logic) because fuzzy logic contains crisp logic as a special case.

This new paradigm shift that Lotfi Zadeh proposed changed the way many scientists contemplate their work. His contribution made it possible to resolve many true-false statements employed in the control theory of artificial intelligence. Thanks to Zadeh's contribution, it is no longer necessary to know the detailed physical properties of any system. The system using its own linguistic rules determines how to adjust itself on its own, allowing for greater flexibility and adaptability in response to varying conditions without requiring external input, which enhances its ability to operate effectively in dynamic environments and improves decision-making processes.

In 1962, Thomas Kuhn⁸⁷ (1922 – 1996), an American philosopher, published a very influential book that was titled *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*.⁸⁸ In this book, Kuhn suggested that scientific revolutions are not a matter of incremental advance; they involve "paradigm shifts."⁸⁹ Indeed, countless 'intelligent' products can autonomously determine individuals' actions without requiring an understanding of their physical properties, representing a paradigm shift rather than merely an incremental scientific advance..

Zadeh's contribution to society, helping newborns trust car engines without needing to understand their appearance, composition, or operation, is immeasurable. Actually, Zadeh is not the only investigator who made remarkable contributions to society before he passed away, but many people before him did. One person who is worth noting is Alfred Nobel⁹⁰ (1833 - 1896), a Swedish chemist, who invented dynamite in 1867. Dynamite is a breakthrough that could be regarded as another paradigm shift to the one that Zadeh proposed. In the case of either breakthrough (i.e., dynamite or fuzzy logic), a person does not need to know great detail about the physical properties of the

⁸⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thomas_Kuhn

⁸⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Structure_of_Scientific_Revolutions

⁸⁹ <https://www.npr.org/sections/13.7/2016/07/18/486487713/what-is-a-paradigm-shift-anyway>

⁹⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alfred_Nobel

system that he is using (i.e., dynamite) or the system that he is blowing up.

Zadeh stated before he passed away that what inspired him to perceive that it would not matter if systems were programmed to accept noisy, imprecise input is mainly due to “people not requiring precise numerical input to make decisions, so why should computers.”⁹¹ Zadeh’s conclusion is a deduction that most people who are not doing any research would agree with. In fact, Zadeh’s suggestion about most people making decisions for the most part based on summaries is also another deduction that most people would agree with him on.⁹² Most people, when they buy things from a catalog, are looking at the summary.

A false truth is a form of misdirection.⁹³ For example, a person states, “I am telling the truth because I am an honest person.” Most people believe that honest people do not lie. The truth is, honest people lie.

Certainly, the concept of allowing numerous 'intelligent' products to process imperfect information in an already ambiguous world is not a new one. The invention of dynamite serves as an example.

I do not know if when Alfred Nobel made his great contribution to society, he was aware that we live in a society that does not operate on premises that are only true but also false ones. However, Lotfi Zadeh stated during a presentation he was making in 2009 at Villanova University, an American university, that fuzzy logic (i.e., this tool) is a form of precise logic of imprecision.⁹⁴

I do not know how peace and security will evolve in the world in the years to come. However, when we examine the period from 1867 to 2018, we find that Alfred Nobel's premise about humans using explosives to create a safer world has proven to be fuzzy logic or only

⁹¹ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2ScTWFcXGo&ab_channel=sciencentral

⁹² https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5d2ZFQ8LMYw&ab_channel=villanovauniversity

⁹³ <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/let-their-words-do-the-talking/201912/false-premise-is-lie-in-truth>

⁹⁴ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5d2ZFQ8LMYw&ab_channel=villanovauniversity

partially true. Dynamite did not make the world a safe place as Alfred Nobel predicted but made it more dynamic than what it was like before he was even born, leading to both advancements and increased conflicts that challenge global peace and security.

The contribution that Alfred Nobel, Lotfi Zadeh, and many other inventors made in all facets of life has helped people around the world to live in a modernized time compared to our fathers and forefathers. But if each new cohort that replaces a precursor is living in deeper fear and want than the disregard and contempt that the people who handed over to it its own progress and challenges have, this means that the quest of the heirs of the old powers to ensure that their heirs do not become resized during the ongoing efforts of the new people to save the world from the frightening difficulties into which the older generation has placed the international order must be a preoccupation that they are always on the lookout for to ensure that this outcome does not happen.

Only the relevant level of economic and military power prevents a nation from resizing. This signifies that the heirs of the old powers must be obsessed with how to ensure that no family/dynasty, religion, idea, etc., rises above a certain threshold to ensure that their power does not become entangled in any security dilemma.

The term “security dilemma” was coined by the German scholar John H. Herz⁹⁵ in his 1951 book *Political Realism and Political Idealism*.⁹⁶ John Herz stated that the security dilemma is "a structural notion in which the self-help attempts of states to look after their security needs tend, regardless of intention, to lead to rising insecurity for others as each interprets its own measures as defensive and measures of others as potentially threatening".⁹⁷

⁹⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_H._Herz

⁹⁶ <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/reviews/capsule-review/1952-07-01/political-realism-and-political-idealism-study-theories-and#:~:text=In%20This%20Review-,Political%20Realism%20and%20Political%20Idealism%3A%20a%20Study%20in%20Theories%20and,University%20of%20Chicago%20Press%2C%201951>

⁹⁷ Herz, J. "Idealist Internationalism and the Security Dilemma", *World Politics* vol. 2, no. 2 (1950): 171-201, at p. 157 (Published by Cambridge University Press)

Herz's suggestion is founded on the assumption that there is no legitimate monopoly of violence, meaning there is no world government, and therefore, states must ensure their own security. Herz's view is theoretically incorrect. Newborns enter a world that lacks a single political authority governing all of humanity across the entire planet, yet they encounter individuals who enforce common laws that are entirely relative. In other words, people do not enter a lawless world after birth. Newborns find that all scientific, literary, and artistic works are owned by existing individuals, who use laws to protect both material and immaterial interests.

If new people are continually refusing to be dominated and governed by the laws that they find existing people have legitimized, this resistance is mainly due to the powerful people refusing to let the poor people participate in the full development of their personality. In other words, if the families or dynasties that control people living in Western Europe, Russia, China, and the United States agree to lower the living standards of those populations so that people in Africa or Asia can improve theirs, then those living in poverty would not focus on democracy or justice as a means to increase their happiness.

Then again, hence the only course that the heirs of the old powers have to ensure that the vertical hierarchy that they enjoy does not become destabilized is if people in rich and poor nations agree to be united to redefine their relations without regard to ethnic, religious, or other boundaries; this means that the heirs of the old powers must be working genuinely on building civil society organizations that can become capable of providing public goods evenly across all territories. In other words, the heirs of the old powers are rejecting the inhumanity of wars and injustice in all its forms.

However, since the best interests of the heirs of the old powers are not served when people in rich and poor nations redefine their relations without regard to ethnic, religious, or other boundaries, only two solutions can ensure that the power of their heirs does not become threatened or destabilized. The first is if they increase death rates by making people become entangled in a war of power politics. The second solution is for them to adopt Lotfi Zadeh's approach by eliminating the concept of "thinking outside the box."

In other words, if people in rich or poor nations happen to agree to share things created based on equal rights or respect for fair equality of opportunity, the heirs of the old powers would not be able to continue to justify their role. This means that the heirs of the old powers must be counting on their heirs maintaining their strength and stability by employing regulatory mechanisms that discount the human mind in favor of merging dogmatism and the scientific method to achieve ethical or political principles. Put differently, the heirs of the old powers must be prioritizing a strategy that centers on getting rid of all the people who are not useful to them instead of continuing to find ways to force those who disobey to surrender their freedom.

I do not know how the heirs of the old powers could manage to avoid becoming resized while the created things that they are planning on using to control people's minds with their relevant power (i.e., technology) increase people's awareness at the same time. However, only if the heirs of the old powers think about the world as an interlinked entity but manage to make it possible that developments in one part of the world do not impact the other parts could they avoid becoming threatened or resized; this signifies that the heirs of the old powers must shed old moralistic myths and think about unthinkable things⁹⁸ as William Fulbright⁹⁹ (1905 – 1995), a US politician, urged the United States government in 1964 to do to avoid becoming resized.

Once again, I do not know how the heirs of the old powers plan to combine morally incompatible roles (i.e., preach freedom and social justice and defend the maintenance of their power). In 1967, Fulbright bet that “the new younger generation that will emerge in poor and rich countries will reject the inhumanity of war, and that the price of empire is America's soul.”¹⁰⁰ The prediction that Fulbright made in 1967 describes the ongoing conflict, 50 years later, between regimes worldwide that refuse to relinquish their power to the voting public and the younger generation that Fulbright feared would become disenchanting.

⁹⁸ <https://www.nytimes.com/1964/03/26/archives/fulbright-says-us-must-shed-myths-and-think-daringly-on-foreign.html>

⁹⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/J._William_Fulbright

¹⁰⁰ <https://digitalcollections.uark.edu/digital/collection/Fulbright/id/689>

I am unsure how the heirs of the old powers plan to control future generations, who will realize they are destined to live a life similar to that of the queen of England, except that it involves eliminating many people to uphold the values that the queen relies on to achieve her goals, despite others' resistance. In other words, the queen has no other way to defend the values that allow her to achieve her goals, despite the resistance of others, except by violating the very values she is trying to uphold; her only logical option to escape this predicament is to either continue the failed strategies of her predecessors or to think creatively and devise a solution that eliminates her critics while maintaining a facade of positivity.

In political science, rollback is the strategy of forcing a change in the major policies of a state, usually by replacing its ruling regime. It contrasts with containment, which means preventing the expansion of that state, and with détente, which means a working relationship with that state.¹⁰¹

Throughout history, human nature did not change. The heirs of the old powers could not be relying on any one of the three traditional methods to continue to get their way despite the resistance of others. All of those methods were tested and failed.

For example, our ancestors decided to change the worldview from being predominantly religious into one that would be more scientific or rational. Historians tell us that the landscape emerged after our ancestors decided to increase their happiness, and it has not been any different than the upheaval we are experiencing. In fact, up until guns and cannons were invented, a despotic ruler could hide in his castle until the people who were rivaling him went away. This luxury is no longer permissible. This also means that as technology advances, it will become increasingly difficult for rulers to achieve their goals despite resistance from others. Even when certain rulers in antiquity, such as many emperors of the Roman Empire, attempted to keep people away from knowledge or other dogmas, this strategy did not help them increase their wealth or power.

¹⁰¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rollback>

In fact, as of the start of the 21st century, there is a new trend that emerged, which can contain some clue to help us narrow down our search for how exactly the heirs of the old powers could be counting on doing away with their critics without employing any one of those three traditional political science strategies that other rulers tested and failed; let us consider this contrast between the way our predecessors valued the power of knowledge to control each other and how people are doing it now.

Until 1945, people in positions of power from secular and religious backgrounds collected and burned books in their efforts to suppress dissenting or heretical views that were believed to pose a threat to the prevailing order.¹⁰²

Today, the heirs of the old powers and their protectors aren't forcing people to burn their books; instead, people are voluntarily disposing of all their hardcovers to keep only those they can read electronically. In fact, as of the start of the 21st century, many industrialized nations are developing space programs to see that their citizens migrate to start to live on other planets.

I don't know if the new colonies that the heirs of the old powers are allowing scientists to explore are intended for their own escape from Earth's insecurity or to send their rivals away. However, we can say that as people continue to eagerly trade their books for electronic versions, among other actions taken to protect the environment, this voluntary submission—or cultural genocide—by the common people to the heirs of the old powers and the faction that supports them will significantly contribute to suppressing sources of information that could encourage resistance. Furthermore, once all the important books containing knowledge are collected, it becomes straightforward for the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them to control all the ways in which ideas are transmitted. Blocking a person's access to their phone prevents them from communicating or engaging in buying and selling.

¹⁰² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Book_burning#Historical_background

As the above example shows, it is unlikely that the heirs of the old powers will continue testing any of the three political strategies that make people stop being bound by their personal power and obey.

Furthermore, it is highly unlikely that the heirs of the old powers would want to send people to live on other planets while taking along with them their skeptical or competitive attitude. This means that the heirs of the old powers need to resolve how to make people begin to relate courteously with one another here on earth first before sending people to live in outer space.

I cannot think of a way for the heirs of the old powers to support people engaging in protests that strengthen democracy, social justice, and human dignity while also working to remove those who are not ready to accept that values are merely tools used by the wealthy to achieve their goals despite resistance from others, unless they either tap into the power of God for assistance or employ a genetic harvesting method to achieve this outcome.

No one knows if our ancestors were like us, rivaling and competing with one another when they decided to switch their views about the world from one that was based on religious dogma to one that was rational, thus prompting God to begin to send emissaries to control the prevailing injustices. The emissaries that God sent to earth managed to encourage people to lead their lives on a somewhat higher level, with a broader spirit of brotherly goodwill for one another.

I do not know how many messengers God sent to Earth to help people strengthen values such as equality and friendliness. However, the principal figures appear to be Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad. Strangely, God appears to have empowered Moses and Jesus in different ways. In the case of Moses and Jesus, he granted them power to perform miracles or magic that he did not grant to Muhammad. Yet when we try to rank which religion managed to acquire the spirit of the Jamaat¹⁰³ (or a community) among those three principal ones, i.e., Judaism, Christianity, or Islam, we find Muhammad and his teachings rank far ahead.

¹⁰³ <https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/jamaat>

I do not know if the heirs of the old powers plan to abandon all religions and standardize Islam as a global religion, similar to how English serves as a lingua franca, in order to replicate the success that Islam achieved in forming an Islamic community. However, after the rulers of large Islamist countries post-1960, such as Saudi Arabia (Custodian of the Two Holy Mosques) and Egypt (custodian of Sunni Islamic thought and Islamic jurisprudence), sold out Islam in the same way Judas sold out Christ for a few coins, the odds of the heirs of the old powers becoming in favor of all people in the world abandoning all religions and standardizing Islam as a global religion are not a short-term goal.

The above does not mean that Judaism or Christianity should be illegal. Conversely, a person who can fulfill the obligations of the Quran and believes they can love their neighbor as prescribed by Jesus or Moses is encouraged to try.

The strength of Islam is in its power to make people show splendid qualities while “paralyzing the social development of those who follow it,” as Winston Churchill¹⁰⁴ (1874 – 1965), a writer and British politician who served as the Prime Minister of England during two terms, suggested in 1899 in his book *The River War*.¹⁰⁵ Hence, no stronger retrograde force in the world has demonstrated that it is capable of making people be ready to voluntarily surrender freedom and obey than Islam. The benefit of Islam as compared to science, which creates the same outcome, is that it allows the heirs of the old powers to strip away from people the right to be preoccupied with earthly material things to be preoccupied with winning eternal life instead. The luxurious lifestyle enjoyed by the rulers of countries such as Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Kuwait, Oman, and Bahrain serves as a significant example of how this religion can perpetuate slavery and deprive people of sensual refinement, which is precisely what the heirs of the old powers controlling the international order desire.

It is important to keep in mind that different people practiced different types of Islam. The Islam that Winston Churchill observed and wrote about is fundamentally different from the Islam practiced by the

¹⁰⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Winston_Churchill

¹⁰⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_River_War

Rashidun Caliphate a thousand years ago. The Islam that the Rashidun Caliphate practiced led to the creation of the Islamic caliphates that the world has known after the advent of Islam, while the Islam that the leaders of Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Kuwait, Oman, Bahrain, and many others practiced, like them practiced before, led to the state of despair that this religion is now undergoing.

Since the state of despair that Islam is presently in is principally a result of the families/dynasties who control this religion choosing to practice a fuzzy form of Islam instead of sticking to a crisp form, we could say that what degraded this religion is the decision of the families/dynasties who designated themselves the custodian of this religion to interpret norms that contradict what its teaching stipulates that people should continually adhere to.

This also means that in due time we could expect that Christianity, Judaism, and all the other religions or philosophies to degrade and to convert from being clear and flawless as Islam was to being uncertain and vague. Most importantly, we could also say that the decision of the heirs of the old powers or the United Nations to deviate away from the “crisp” work program that the founding fathers of the UN counseled them to remain faithful to its principles to maintain their strength and stability to pursue “fuzzy” and utopian policies signifies that no matter what the tenacity and obstinacy of the heirs of the old powers to avoid becoming embroiled in controversy, it would not be possible for them to see their power shrink and become absorbed eventually by another growing power.

In other words, if the truth of Aristotelian classical two-valued logic (i.e., "true" and "false") is already considered a subjective argument, then extending this reasoning to n-valued logic for n greater than 2 (i.e., finite-valued and infinite-valued) results in many false premise propositions. As the heirs of the old powers controlling the international order and the global money supply increasingly validate arguments based on internal consistency rather than the truth value of their premises, the imprecise information on which they base their decisions will embroil them in skeptical arguments and controversy.

It looks as if the heirs of the old powers need to make up their minds about how they want to maintain their strength and stability to prevent the power of their heirs from becoming fragmented. This endeavor will require deciding between continuing to pick and mix ideas or solutions in order to apply them in situations that are known to have produced favorable outcomes in different contexts, or wagering on two-valued logic (i.e., "true" and "false") to maintain their strength and stability. Put differently, the heirs of the old powers need to stop wagering on new logic¹⁰⁶ or on how their endeavor to understand the world rationally could make people surrender freedom to them and focus instead on how to let the religious and philosophical truth become the accepted assumption.

In other words, because curiosity or cleverness does not produce all the time the results that one expects, the heirs of the old powers could continue to question the adequacy or reliability of accepted assumptions by diverting human power to explore new truths, but so far none of the new things that humans discovered cast any change on how to make all people increase their abundance.

Putting it differently, the heirs of the old powers could continue to have fun from the joy of scientific discoveries that do nothing but make different generations live through different degrees of wrath or hope and order, which the heirs of the old powers are not exempt from, or turn away from this historical dynamic that humanity has known in search of discovering this process that eliminates this continuous need to first destroy the existing human progress before pledging to avoid any form of unconstructive disregard to maintain peace and security.

Furthermore, if we consider that there is no difference between when the earth became inhabited by early humans and the ongoing space exploration that people from different nations are pursuing, they both began with what Neil Armstrong¹⁰⁷ said when he stepped foot on the moon in 1969: "That's one small step for a man, one giant leap for mankind." We can then say that the desolation and rivalry that the aerospace exploration has provoked when existing nations began this

¹⁰⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_logic

¹⁰⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neil_Armstrong

new age must be identical to what happened when our predecessors in antiquity began to explore.

Presently, the nations that are pursuing space programs are divided into alliances. Each alliance claims that it wants to bring more wisdom and power to the peaceful use of space and the building of a community with a shared future for humanity. Considering what we know about human nature already, we could say that most likely our ancestors employed identical visions when they began the same type of exploration here on earth.

As I said before, the journey of our ancestors here on earth has failed to bring more wisdom and power to the peaceful use of the earth's resources, whether material or natural, as in the case of humans. All the pragmatic ideas that our ancestors proposed to deepen connectedness and build a community with a shared future for humanity were initially transformed into an arms race; later, when the military complex shifted to new scientific research areas, the commercialization of older ideas propelled different societies into a new race, this time focused on financial and economic competition.

For example, during the height of the Cold War, the United States and the former Soviet Union were in a race to determine who would put a man on the moon first. Billions of dollars were spent by both countries to achieve this program, but it has not yet yielded any returns that would encourage people to practice tolerance and live together in peace. As of the start of the 21st century, we have private companies that are now engaged in a scientific race to launch expedition missions to the moon or outer space.

Once again, the private companies who are spearheading such commercial space programs are all proclaiming that their programs are intended to bring more wisdom and power to the peaceful use of space and the building of a community with a shared future for humanity.

In 1799, George Cayley (1773 – 1867), an English engineer and aviator, set forth the concept of the modern airplane.¹⁰⁸ On December 17, 1903, Wilbur (1867 – 1912) and Orville (1871 – 1948) Wright made

¹⁰⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Cayley

four brief flights at Kitty Hawk with their first powered aircraft.¹⁰⁹ Thereafter, WW1 served as a testbed for the use of an airplane as a weapon.¹¹⁰ During the late 1950s and early 1960s, commercial airlines began to utilize airplanes. Hundreds of thousands of companies involved in aviation technology marketed their goods and services as solutions to improve the world. Since 1960, the rivalry between private airline companies (industries) has been very high, resulting in this (business) industry shrinking into becoming an oligopoly as of the start of the 21st century.¹¹¹ The limited competition or monopoly that the aviation market has known since people started cutting each other does not seem to be bringing more wisdom and power to the peaceful use of this idea (airplane) and the building of a community with a shared future for humanity. On the contrary, the resulting behavior indicates that the concept of aviation is evolving in a circular manner rather than in a linear progression. Put differently, the shape of this curve looks like a parabola or a bell-shaped curve.

In other words, when commercial airplanes start to be operated in the same way the military complex operates UAVs (unmanned aerial vehicles, which are aircraft that operate without a human pilot on board), the role of humans in the operation of such vehicles would diminish significantly. The existing oligopoly in the aviation industry would also decrease. At this point in time, the people who hold the monopoly over the aviation industry would either be pursuing new ideas to establish over them a new oligopoly or be reverting to a simpler form so new people start to develop the same avionic concept that George Cayley launched, which could lead to increased innovation and competition in the industry.

In other words, the astronauts mainly monitor the vehicle's behavior when in the orbiter. The entire shuttle system is computer controlled; it is really like autopilot.¹¹² When humans navigate a vehicle on

¹⁰⁹ <https://airandspace.si.edu/exhibitions/wright-brothers/online/fly/1903/#:~:text=On%20December%2017%2C%201903%2C%20Wilbur,invented%20the%20first%20successful%20airplane.&text=The%20Wrights%20used%20this%20stopwatch%20to%20time%20the%20Kitty%20Hawk%20flights.>

¹¹⁰ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Airplane>

¹¹¹ <https://research.stlouisfed.org/publications/page1-econ/2018/11/01/the-economics-of-flying-how-competitive-are-the-friendly-skies/>

autopilot, their bodily input becomes nonexistent. At this juncture, the value of human worth and that of the object converge.

If humans are actively working to reduce their utility to zero, there is a significant risk that the ongoing space explorations will reflect the same disregard that people showed when societies commercialized the aviation industry seventy years ago. Similarly, when private companies involved in space or lunar explorations establish a human colony on the moon or Mars, humanity will be replicating the same behavioral redundancies that currently exist on Earth, but in a lunar context.

I do not know what return mankind expects to gain when private companies finish building the infrastructure that would be connecting the earth to the moon and begin to operate manned missions to the moon. In other words, if throughout history humans had refused to use on earth the continuous ideas that they came up with to reduce greed and evil here on earth so in-situ resource utilization could suffice for everyone, it would be naïve to suppose that the heirs of the old powers would want to extend the corruption or wickedness that exists on earth to the moon. Put differently, hence the heirs of the old powers are always searching to improve their effectiveness; they must take into account human behaviors in future actions, particularly by implementing strategies that promote cooperation and mitigate conflict among individuals and groups. Simply put, it would be naïve to assume that the heirs of the old powers would want the rivalry, civil disagreement, and chaos that occur on earth after each scientific advancement to also repeat on the moon.

Once again, I am unsure how the heirs of the old powers plan to control human behavior to ensure that the new ideas or directions programmed by governments or private companies to increase their wealth and security align with their own self-interest. For example, the smartphone revolution showed that a particular technology direction is inevitable, and commercial interests at all social levels will turn all frameworks and rules upside down.

¹¹² https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/shuttle/shuttlemissions/sts121/launch/qa-leinbach.html#:~:text=Once%20overtop%20of%20the%20shuttle,and%20landing%20the%20orbiter%20itself.

Surely, it is nice to live in a world where inhabitants can choose to live on Earth or another planet, especially with the abundance of tools available here that can, with the push of a button, create miracles; however, I believe that the heirs of the old powers should focus on the future rather than getting lost in the present.

Many outstanding thinkers have asked what makes a ball stop rolling when it is in motion. Others investigated what it means for one thing to cause another.

Nicolas Malebranche, (1638 –1715), was a French Oratorian priest and rationalist philosopher. He once said that for A to cause B, it must be that when A happens, B necessarily follows.¹¹³ Unfortunately, what Malebranche suggested about this relationship is not true all the time.

George Berkeley (1685 –1753) – known as Bishop Berkeley – was an Irish philosopher whose primary achievement was the advancement of a theory he called "immaterialism" (later referred to as "subjective idealism" by others).¹¹⁴ Berkeley suggested that there are many relationships in which A cannot be the cause of B.

No one knows what causes the perception that Malebranche or Berkeley suggested and/or the Golden ratio.¹¹⁵ Many philosophers suggested that what causes either perception is the will of an omnipotent being.

If, as Berkeley and Descartes suggested, God has an interest in sustaining the world according to his rules as much as he had an interest in wanting to create the universe in the first place,¹¹⁶ it looks as if the choices that the heirs of the old powers have ahead are clear. The heirs of the old powers have the option to either follow God's terms or

¹¹³ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Vfah52Z8Dc&ab_channel=UniversityofOxford

¹¹⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Berkeley

¹¹⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden_ratio

¹¹⁶ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Vfah52Z8Dc&ab_channel=UniversityofOxford

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Vfah52Z8Dc&ab_channel=UniversityofOxford

continue to rule based on their own terms. In other words, the heirs of the old powers can choose to implement God's work program (i.e., the work program that the UN founders proposed) or continue to walk away from it to implement theirs and defy God.

I have no way of knowing what the heirs of the old powers will pick from the two aforementioned scenarios to avoid their heirs becoming resized and absorbed by another growing power, as happened throughout history for all the heirs of the old powers who deviated away from behaving according to the values that are suggested in the scriptures. If the heirs of the old powers disregard biblical values, they must think they can force all living things to submit to them.

Since the beginning of recorded history, God (the natural world) is the only entity shown to possess the power to achieve his goals despite opposition from others. Throughout the Bible, God is depicted as empowering and favoring particular individuals exactly as humans do in real life, except that his hallmark signature has been staying invisible and using protagonists who are capable of accomplishing their missions very successfully by relying on their distinguished power to do magic.

Let the word "magic" (or "miracle")¹¹⁷ refer to the power of influencing the course of events by using mysterious or supernatural forces.¹¹⁸ Let also the word "magic" (or "miracle") be an event not explicable by natural or scientific laws.

The heirs of the old powers may think they can replicate God's magic to escape, so they ignore their role as custodians of biblical values and choose to rule differently.

I don't know if the old powers' heirs can make things appear and disappear like Moses and Jesus did to get people to give up their freedom and obey. Alternatively, if the heirs of the old powers have a way to make impostors of Muhammad, the direct verbal revelations that the new messenger (prophet) would begin to receive would serve as a basis for the heirs of the old powers to use, just as the

¹¹⁷ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Miracle>

¹¹⁸ <https://www.lexico.com/en/definition/magic>

families/dynasties who followed the Rashidun caliphate interpreted the teaching of the Quran (or Sharia Islamic law) to convert this religion from being a faith that would get people to lead their lives on a somewhat higher level to being a tool to spread fearful fatalistic apathy that deprives a person of any sensual refinement as exists in Saudi Arabia or Egypt presently.

In 2018, the Grand Sheikh of al-Azhar¹¹⁹ (the highest authority in Sunni Islamic thought and Islamic jurisprudence) stated that the only two norms of Islam that most Muslims appear to be faithful to from the days of Muhammad to now in all the Quran are located in Surah al Nisa.¹²⁰ The first is the right to marry four times,¹²¹ and the second deals with how inheritances should be split.¹²²

The goal of the heirs of the old powers is to persuade people to lead their lives on a somewhat higher level to avoid the end of times. All the challenges that mankind has known from antiquity until now to establish a stable social order were predicated on the unpreparedness of people to court their pleasure. For example, the verse in the Quran on the right to marry four wives stipulates that if a person fears that he might not treat an “orphan” justly, then that person can marry the women who seem good to him, up to four. The verse does not legalize polygamy or adultery.

Moreover, the challenge of encouraging people to live at a somewhat higher level is not about legalizing or outlawing monogamy or polygamy, but rather about an individual's capacity to treat others equitably. Put differently, the challenge of a person marrying multiple wives lies not in denying access to this right for those wanting four or four hundred wives, but in that person withholding material resources from others, preventing them from living with dignity. Another way of saying the above is that the challenge with having the members of the ruling families/dynasties in Saudi Arabia, Qatar, UAE, Oman, etc. marrying more than one wife is not about preventing those subjects from the pleasure of having four wives, but the challenge with this

¹¹⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Grand_Imam_of_al-Azhar

¹²⁰ <https://quran.com/4>

¹²¹ <https://quran.com/4/3>

¹²² <https://www.islamicstudies.info/tafheem.php?sura=4&verse=13&to=14>

right is that it makes the members of those ruling families/dynasties use the resources of the nations that they were entrusted with managing to improve the livelihoods of their dependent people to pursue their pleasure.

Therefore, the person who revealed the Quran was literate and aware of other faiths, philosophies, and human wickedness, supporting the intention for the Quran to improve connectedness and resolve inequity, rather than adding to it. In other words, this process could be regarded exactly as the evolution that private companies who manufacture cellular phones make to their different products. Manufacturers regularly roll out new models that include features that are more user-friendly and advantageous than those of the products they replace, in order to gain market share and avoid bankruptcy.

In fact, the power of the Quran (or Islam) to build a community, as Muhammad and the Rashidun Caliphate demonstrated, supports the powerful utility of this faith until the custodians of this religion started to abuse its jurisprudence and to convert it into the functionality that it is serving presently in the world (i.e., a tool that the custodian of this faith can bunker under to commit evil and a tool for the people who proclaim that they are fighting evil to declare this faith among their crucial targets).

Therefore, since mankind can no longer use the essence of Islam or, for that matter, any one of the other religious or philosophical ideas, such as Christianity, Judaism, Buddhism, or Confucianism, to build a community until all the different conspicuous cultures that are bunkering under the idea of good to get their way are occulted, the choices that the heirs of the old powers have to prevent their resizing and collapse boil down to two choices if they continue to want to rule the international order on their terms and not on the terms of God. The first is to tap into the service of a false prophet or an antichrist to assist them in rebuilding the same community that Islam showed is possible to erect or to continue to rely on science to help them to get their way. Of course the option of increasing the death rates is always available, but as I said earlier, violence has never served the rulers that adopted this strategy to get their way indeterminately as God and his messengers seem to do it.

I do not know what the heirs of the old powers are going to wage between the above two scenarios to help them avoid that people's continuous infatuation with resizing each other to increase their happiness does not affect them.

In 2007, Steve Jobs (1955 – 2011), the founder of Apple Inc., was asked about what he thought the iPhone was, and Jobs said that “the iPhone was actually a mobile computer with a phone in it.”¹²³ Steve Jobs went on to say that “Lots of things have computers in them, but nobody calls them computers. A car is still a car, he said, yet cars have many computers in them.”

Michael deAgonia is an American contributing writer for Computeworld.¹²⁴ In 2007, Michael deAgonia reported in a piece that he published on the iPhone that the technology of Star Trek (especially The Next Generation) helped spark his interest and eventual career in IT.¹²⁵ Star Trek: The Next Generation (TNG) is an American science fiction television series that aired from 1987 to 1994.¹²⁶ In addition, Michael deAgonia made the following statement in the same article: "The gizmos and flashy technology always appealed to me, and I always found it fascinating that for the crew of the Enterprise, everything contained computers, yet most devices remained functionally similar to what we use today.”

From 2007 to 2018, the Apple company has released many new models of the original iPhone. I do not know how the newer models are different, but they seem to confirm Michael deAgonia's perception or Steve Jobs' thoughts on what an iPhone is and still is.

Despite all the new models produced by iPhone and other manufacturers, if the functionality remains similar, these new models do not influence people to be more tolerant; instead, people compete to be among the first to possess the latest model. This means that the

¹²³ <https://thenextweb.com/apple/2015/09/09/genius-annotated-with-genius/>

¹²⁴ <https://www.computerworld.com/author/Michael-deAgonia/>

¹²⁵ <https://www.computerworld.com/article/2542195/smartphones-first-look-at-the-iphone-tomorrow-s-technology-today.html>

¹²⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Star_Trek:_The_Next_Generation

impact of science or technology on the behavior of people in the future will not change. In other words, the impact of science on human behavior will remain as Michael deAgonia perceived it and Steve Jobs eloquently put it: a car would still be a car, yet cars will be very soon driverless due to all the computers that are installed in them.

Furthermore, if all the potential created by technical progress from gizmos and flashy technology is projected to have no bearing on influencing people to change their behavior and would remain as Michael deAgonia perceived technology to be serving functionality and not changing behavioral states, this signifies that the heirs of the old powers cannot count on the magic of science to get their way despite the resistance of others.

It looks like the heirs of the old powers can only rule the international order on their terms, rather than on God's terms, by using the same strategies God adopted to get his own way, as I said before. This means tapping into the service of a false prophet or an antichrist to help the heirs of the old powers make people stop being bound by their personal power and conscience and to surrender freedom to this new prophet messenger.

Many magicians in modern times are capable of doing tricks that are more alluring and complex than the ones Moses or Jesus performed, and therefore, it should not be a problem whatsoever to impersonate such subject characters.

A humanoid robot is a robot with its body shape built to resemble the human body.¹²⁷ Sophia is a social humanoid that media from around the globe have covered in its appearances and interviews.¹²⁸ Sophia is a super-intelligent humanoid who is capable of imitating human gestures and facial expressions, holding a conversation, and even manifesting general reasoning aptitude.

If a humanoid robot becomes more intelligent, and the heirs of the old powers decide to use a model (or a real human) to feign a connection to the deity, thereby positioning themselves as a source of spirituality

¹²⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Humanoid_robot

¹²⁸ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sophia_\(robot\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sophia_(robot))

to attract followers, that individual would need to perform signs of prophecy and wonders akin to those performed by Moses and Jesus to persuade people to surrender their freedom and obey them. In Surah Al-Ma'idah in the Quran, verse 110, it is reported that Jesus took what was like clay and formed it into the shape of a bird, and then it became a bird with life in it. In the Old Testament of the Bible, Moses converted a staff into a serpent. [Exodus 4:3]

As I said before, many magicians in modern times are capable of doing tricks that are more alluring and complex than the ones Moses or Jesus performed. In fact, the progress that mankind has made to produce creative works that can act out just like God and his associates from angels to prophets is unlimited presently.

A fandom is a subculture composed of fans characterized by a feeling of empathy and camaraderie with others who share a common interest.¹²⁹ The word "fandom" has been around since the very early twentieth century, from "fan," a baseball slang abbreviation of "fanatic," which comes from the Latin "fanaticus," meaning "mad, or inspired by a god."¹³⁰ For example, if a person is a fan of a singer or a series of comic books, it is said that he or she belongs to their fandom.¹³¹

For example, Power Rangers is an American entertainment and merchandising franchise built around a live-action superhero television series that began airing in 1993.¹³² In this series, the protagonists are a team of people who transform into superheroes and gain superpowers.

As the above shows, people are already brainwashed or conditioned to accept that heroes thwart periodic antagonists who threaten to take over the earth, which reflects a broader trend in media where audiences are encouraged to view conflict in a simplistic hero-versus-villain framework.

¹²⁹<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fandom#:~:text=A%20fandom%20is%20a%20subculture,who%20share%20a%20common%20interest.&text=The%20subject%20of%20fan%20interest,entire%20hobbies%2C%20genres%20or%20fashions.>

¹³⁰ <https://www.vocabulary.com/dictionary/fandom>

¹³¹ <https://www.vocabulary.com/dictionary/fandom>

¹³² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Power_Rangers

Actually, Power Rangers is not the only series that revolves around a team of youths who morph and become powerful superheroes who possess enhanced strength or superhuman (psychic) abilities such as super speed, extrasensory perception, or invisibility.

Fandom, also known as Wikia (commonly before October 2016), is an American-made wiki hosting service and domain operated by Fandom, Inc. (formerly known as Wikia, Inc.) that provides global entertainment and in-depth information on favorite fandoms.¹³³ Fandoms represent more than four hundred thousand (400,000) communities of fandoms.¹³⁴ For example, Superpower Wiki is a Fandom Comics Community.¹³⁵ The Superpower Wiki is the largest collection of superpowers known to humankind. This collection contains an archive of over 10,000 pages of different superpowers produced in different TV series, films, books, etc. The administrators of this archive state that their website lists every single ability that has ever revealed itself in any fictional work. This Power listing serves as a resource for fiction writers, who dabble in the writing of any genre or the making of any film as well.

As the above shows, the development of television and especially the Internet has made it possible to progress beyond creating a community of people who are fans of God and his associates from angels to prophets. Currently, a new fan base has developed a strong connection and enthusiasm for this source material, to the extent that they are creating works that depict the actions of God or any of his associates. For example, Superpower Wiki archives the different powers in nine categories. Those nine categories are almighty powers, constructs, enhancements, magical powers, manipulations, meta powers, physiologies, psionics, and science powers. The “Almighty powers” category, for example, lists the names of all the powers that can either directly or indirectly create, control, manipulate, shape and reshape everything and anything.¹³⁶ The “constructs” category lists the powers

¹³³ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fandom_\(website\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fandom_(website))

¹³⁴ <https://www.fandom.com/>

¹³⁵ https://powerlisting.fandom.com/wiki/Superpower_Wiki

¹³⁶ https://powerlisting.fandom.com/wiki/Category:Almighty_Powers

that create objects out of energy and/or Matter.¹³⁷ The remaining categories are also indexed to provide related data.

The infrastructure that the heirs of the old powers need to develop involves creating an imposter of Moses or Jesus who can help people establish stronger relationships with each other or reorganize the existing social order.

However, the question that the heirs of the old powers need to resolve is not whether they could create a false prophet who could impersonate Jesus and perform similar miracles or an antichrist who could do comparable tricks or claim a connection to a deity, but whether this person could attract a following comparable to that of Jesus, Moses, Muhammad, Buddha, Confucius, and others. For instance, the Bible tells us that crowds were following Jesus wherever he would go, including being ready to voluntarily surrender freedom to him and to obey. In fact, to this day, millions of people still worship Jesus. I suggest investigating if the heirs of the old powers could empower an individual to attract a large following and be a master of their lives in the next chapter.

¹³⁷ <https://powerlisting.fandom.com/wiki/Category:Constructs>

3

The crooked way

Throughout history, famous leaders, actors, singers, orators, and inventors have attracted large crowds, and while some of their followers were willing to surrender their freedom to them, only a few acknowledged that their fandom had become a master of their lives. When the heirs of the old powers insert humanoids among people and have a false prophet (being a real human being or a humanoid himself/herself) perform healing acts as Jesus was doing, a large crowd would become ready to let this impersonator become the master of their life in the same way members of Cosa Nostra accept to do it when they sign up. However, since we could expect that not all the people would be ready to surrender freedom to this false prophet as happened when Moses, Jesus, Muhammad, Buddha, Confucius, etc. lived, this then signifies that this solution is another temporary one, and it would not end the historical dynamics that have accompanied mankind. This also means that a strategy that employs some sort of genetic harvesting method that produces people who agree on everything could be the only solution that the heirs of the old powers have to realize if they do not want their heirs to be resized or absorbed by another growing power.

Indeed, most people believe in dogmas (i.e., values or ideals), and such faith causes their thinking to be devoted to disruption and resistance to gratify their senses. If such a conviction persists, this behavior will continue to entangle the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them in a dynamic web from which there is no hope of escape, as past human history attests. This means that if the heirs of the old powers consider this tried method (i.e., having a real or false prophet help them to do great work in and through people's lives and in and through their disabilities), it would not help them to tell people to go to hell in such a way that they ask for direction, which is what the ultimate goal is here.

Then again, since the ultimate goal of the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them is to ensure that people stay far from objective reality, their aim is to avoid that people agree on things, thus making it not necessary to rely on the apparatuses that they control to make societies consider their opinion before taking action of their own. This signifies that the heirs of the old powers have no other choice but to rely on out-of-the-box concepts so such ideas would make people become ready to be subordinated.

As I previously mentioned, the only concept that can effectively serve the heirs of the old powers and their protective faction, thereby allowing them to continue transforming the conditions and outcomes that matter to them, is increased death or the implementation of a genetic harvesting strategy that can achieve this goal without resorting to violence to reduce world populations.

I will not discuss the effect of increasing death rates through violence, because the results that this strategy produces are known. I don't know how to create a genetic harvesting strategy, but I know its goal. The end will be to reduce the world population without resorting to violence to achieve this goal.

Evidently, this genetic harvesting strategy must be one that would remain compatible with the command that God asked humans to continually do, and that was to be fruitful and increase in number [Genesis 1:28]. The only change that would be introduced is that this rise in number would exclude people who refuse to surrender freedom to the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them and obeys.

For the aforementioned strategy to take place, the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them need to introduce qualitative change.

I do not know how the heirs of the old powers can introduce qualitative change in human nature, thus enabling them afterwards to control the quantitative change. However, I do know that it is possible to introduce a qualitative change that transforms a person into an object without altering human nature.

As I mentioned earlier, the only way for the heirs of the old powers to continue transforming the conditions and outcomes that are important to them is if people's knowledge and increased awareness do not hinder this goal. There are two ways to achieve this end. One scenario is by keeping people away from education and by suppressing the sources of information that people depend on to get their way despite the resistance of others. The other scenario works by employing an opposite strategy. In other words, by making education or information accessible to people.

Prior to WW2, people enslaved each other by keeping them away from education and by suppressing the sources of information from each other. This strategy failed to make people obey. As of 1945, we have noticed that the families/dynasties who won WW2 made access to knowledge and information free. However, they supplemented these efforts with tools that replaced the roles humans previously performed in reality.

As people (societies) trade their actual knowhow for access to tools or technology so the latter can act out in reality instead of the human body, this transition means that the utility or worth of the human person becomes zero. This also signifies that as people (societies) continue to relegate their purpose and allow that their objective know-how about the real world shrinks and is based on what tools communicate to them, the more their dependence on tools or technology for the sustenance of their survival needs or livelihoods becomes indispensable. As more and more people see that their own know-how is shrinking, they will become servants to the families or dynasties that control those tools. In the same way, a great number will manifest total servitude to God and his associates.

I don't know if the families that control the technology that decides which doors to open and close, like in prisons, will be as generous as the Bible says God was about wanting people to be fruitful and multiply when the worth of a human and an object become the same. The good news is that the families or dynasties involved could choose to create societies that are as discreet as those in the Nordic countries or Sweden, as spiritual as those in India, or as content as the Chinese

people; however, they would likely not resemble the Jews or Muslims, who have been the source of much of the dynamism the world has experienced over the last two thousand years (i.e., the inhabitants of Mesopotamia).

No one knows how the prophets or messengers who emerged in Mesopotamia and claimed to be linked to divine providence were tied to God's pledge to maintain a covenant with Abraham and his descendants. However, what we do know is that Mesopotamia has remained embroiled in violence from the time God began to intervene in this region to the present day. Likewise, the people who live to the west of Mesopotamia (i.e., western Europe and North America) did not manage to stabilize this region of the world despite all their scientific progress, and neither did the people who live to the east of Mesopotamia (i.e., India and China) despite all their philosophical progress.

Then again, if we analyze how the west and the east changed over the past two thousand years, we observe that despite the faithfulness of the societies who live in the west to the idea of God as a tool to govern, this religiosity did not help those people to become judicious (noble) but converted them into tyrants. Similarly, despite the commitment of Eastern societies to philosophy as a governing tool, their self-understanding did not enable them to effectively translate this awareness into political systems that represent the best form of government, which often resulted in governance that lacked the necessary structures for accountability and representation.

Furthermore, since we can now say that science and philosophy (religion) are in harmony post-1945, especially after the advances that were made in science in the 20th century to support that science is capable of achieving magic that is almost congruous or indifferentiable to the one that Moses, Jesus or Muhammad did the night he claimed that he went from Mecca to Jerusalem and back (*isrā'*),^{138,139} this means that if science continues to make the impossible possible as this aptitude proved it is capable of doing, secularism would continue to rise. The advantage of secularism is that it creates the illusion of

¹³⁸ <https://www.britannica.com/event/Miraj-Islam>

¹³⁹ <https://www.britannica.com/event/Isra>

possibility without causing division among people who share a common language.

On the other hand, life can either be accepted or changed. In the case of religion, a person is required to accept life and do nothing to change it. In the case of science (technology), a person does not accept life but changes it virtually. The inherent vice of power is the unequal sharing of prosperity and not the equal sharing of virtual splendor. This also means that the inherent value of science (i.e., technology) is just like the inherent value of religion. Both science and religion contribute to making most people equal in their experiences of misery, ignorance, and envy.

If science and religion have no power to change qualitatively the conduct of humans, only righteousness or pioussness can do it, as eloquently expressed in the Quran in Surah Al Hujurat verse 13: (*O mankind, We have created you from male and female and made you peoples and tribes that you may know one another. Verily, the most noble of you in the sight of God is the most righteous of you. Indeed, God is knowing and acquainted.*),¹⁴⁰ this then signifies that if the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them are waging on science to make people be ready to surrender freedom to them, the odds of this tactic succeeding are very low. In other words, if what made people be ready to surrender freedom and obey was their conviction that the idea of good is better suited for them to increase their happiness than the idea of evil, this means that what made people be ready to let Moses, Jesus, Muhammad, Buddha, Confucius, etc. become masters of their lives was not tied to whether those figures possessed divine providence or not but was due to the people having gotten convinced that those subjects were leading by example.

In fact, to support how it would have not mattered whether Moses and Jesus were really who they claimed to be, or whether those two were two seasoned magicians who were making people be ready to surrender freedom to them for their own self-interests, as what counts is the type of seduction that those two were capable of instilling, which is the only gauge to support that it was not the power of making things

¹⁴⁰ <http://www.alquranenglish.com/quran-surah-al-hujurat-13-qs-49-13-in-arabic-and-english-translation>

appear and disappear that was making people surrender freedom and obey but rather something that was much higher than that. Their power to communicate ideas (magic) made people believe that what they heard was what they would say or change if they were giving those speeches.

Most governments constantly make speeches at least as important as Jesus's, urging dependents to surrender freedom and obey. If we consider that Jesus and his disciples couldn't spread their teachings, but their successors did, we can say that the same thing could happen again. In other words, future cohorts would voluntarily surrender freedom to their governments, as people did in the times of Jesus, Moses, or Muhammad, rather than continue to demand more from their governments, as has occurred over the past 75 years or since mankind began to cooperate to secure the principles set forth in the UN Charter.

Then again, even though it is too early to know if the postwar idea that the families/dynasties who won WW2 (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) picked to translate their war aims into actions that would allow them to maintain their strength or stability, we find that until today (or 70 years later) the heirs of the old powers failed to create the syncretism that Jesus, Muhammad, Buddha, Moses, or Confucius managed to achieve in hybridizing people.

Syncretism is the combining of different beliefs while blending practices of various schools of thought.¹⁴¹ Syncretic politics is an idea that works just like syncretic religion.¹⁴² This idea merges or assimilates discrete political dogmas, thus asserting an underlying unity and allowing for an inclusive approach to other convictions.

I do not know if the heirs of the old powers would be able to break through this obstacle that magicians, musicians, actors, tools, etc. seem to have no problem with breaking, but when it comes to governance, only Jesus, Moses, Buddha, Confucius, and a handful of others managed to achieve such success with Muhammad (i.e., Quran), of course, leading the pack. However, if we do consider that magic and

¹⁴¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syncretism>

¹⁴² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syncretic_politics

seduction are two forms of attraction that produce a feeling of awe followed by a desire to know how the trick was done in the case of magic or how to conquer the person that an individual felt magic toward him or her in the case of seduction, we could say that the failure of the heirs of the old powers to create the fusion that Isla managed to achieve and till now all their efforts are producing fission can only be due to the limit that the heirs of the old powers encumbered themselves in when they agreed to let the individuals who were supposed to secure for them the goals set forth in the UN charter be like them, a deputy of God on earth.

This also means that many of the most pressing governance problems that the heirs of the old powers are currently facing to control the international order are not due to limits tied or related to the indisposition of people to secure the standards that they fixed in the UN Charter, but to how they are using the people who are supposed to achieve this goal for them.

In other words, the decision of the heirs of the old powers to accept that the governments that they are approving rise to power and to behave contrary to the principles that are stipulated in the UN Charter or in the religious and philosophical books is making the rates at which the social order stays out of equilibrium change under the influence of various forces instead of changing according to the way they see themselves fitting. Furthermore, since delaying the creation of fusion by the heirs of the old powers allows fission's energy to create more entropy, this means that unless the heirs of the old powers start to prioritize values instead of prioritizing diffusion (secularism), it is highly likely they will fail to create the fusion necessary to continue to maintain their way despite the resistance of others. In other words, if the heirs of the old powers fail to allow the strategies they are implementing in the social order to instill a lasting sense of awe in people, akin to seduction rather than the fleeting impact of a magic trick, the heirs of the old powers will soon lose control over the ideas being continuously generated. If the heirs of the old powers could control ideas, communism/socialism would have vanished after the Soviet Union's 1990 collapse. Strangely, during the 2016 US presidential election, a considerable number of the American people

seem to think that socialism could be a beneficial dogma for the United States.

I do not know if the heirs of the old powers plan to continue emptying the world of the power that ordinary people possess, as they seem to have been doing since 1945, instead of removing regimes that refuse to cooperate based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter. In Surah al-Nahl of the Quran, verses 33 and 34, it is stated that those who wrong themselves would eventually be struck by the evil consequences of what they did, and they would be enveloped by what they used to ridicule.¹⁴³

It is unclear whether the heirs of the old powers would lose their good things if they continued to reject prioritizing the values that the founding fathers of the UN proposed to them in order to maintain their strength and stability. However, what I do know is that the New Testament of the Bible reports that after the death of Jesus, his twelve recruits (who were known as his twelve disciples and later as the twelve apostles) became missionaries and continued his work. We also read in the New Testament that the Holy Spirit came down and gave to each disciple the ability to speak several different languages, allowing them to spread Jesus' ministry and teaching throughout the different villages in the Holy Land and beyond.

Nobody knows what effect the teachings of the twelve disciples had on the founding of the Christian church and the subsequent collapse of the Roman Empire. Likewise, I do not know if the decision of the heirs of the old powers to prohibit the poor nations whom they freed from determining their political status as the founding fathers of the UN proposed to let the United Nations meddle in the politics of those freed nations in the same way the disciples of Christ were doing when they traveled throughout the Holy Land and continued the ministry of Jesus bears any resemblance to this biblical account. However, what I know from the New Testament is that the disciples were preaching the miraculous things Jesus did.

I do not know they were gaining the acceptance and belief of converts. Most importantly, how were they paying for all the costs that were

¹⁴³ http://koran.link/en__16/

associated? However, what I do know is that the United Nations is spreading the dogmas and values of the families/dynasties who won WW2 using not only 12 disciples but at least 120,000 disciples. In fact, all the funds and programs that the United Nations operates enjoy rights to generate funds to pay for their preaching operations, including benefiting from privileges and immunities.

Nobody knows if Jesus and his disciples, Moses, Muhammad, Buddha, or Confucius were charging money when they were teaching people to accept the values or dogmas that they were spreading in the same way the families/dynasties who won WW2 are allowing the United Nations to do it. Most importantly, I have no way of knowing what miraculous things Jesus or his disciples performed to gain the acceptance and beliefs of converts.

However, what I do know is that the *vanishing coin* trick¹⁴⁴ is a form of manipulation using misdirection and sleight of hand.¹⁴⁵ The vanishing coin trick is classified as a close-up type of magic. The performer and the person watching stand very close to each other. The vanishing coin trick can be performed by a magician in less than a minute, but any coin magic¹⁴⁶ manipulation is generally considered a very hard trick to master. To perform this trick convincingly, the performer spends years practicing to acquire the needed skills or dexterity.

I do not know if Jesus or his disciples were performing illusions similar to the vanishing coin trick in front of people to gain their acceptance, or if they were limiting their magic to aspects related solely to healing illnesses.

Then again, if we take the miracles that Jesus did before his death, the story that we are told in the book of John in the New Testament of the Bible about him having revived Lazarus¹⁴⁷ stands out. Historians report that the Roman Empires brutally treated the people who undermined

¹⁴⁴ <https://www.thesprucecrafts.com/easy-magic-trick-vanishing-coin-2266972>

¹⁴⁵ <https://www.masterclass.com/articles/magic-101-what-is-coin-magic-14-types-of-coin-tricks#:~:text=Coin%20magic%20is%20when%20magicians,and%20the%20coin%20magic%20effects.>

¹⁴⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coin_magic

¹⁴⁷ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=John%2011&version=NIV>

their rules or preached new messages when Jesus lived. Whether the miracle of Jesus reviving Lazarus was a trick or a divine inspiration, the sense of awe felt by his audience had to be kept low-profile to avoid attracting attention to the event, which could have led to them and Jesus being locked up in the same way that governments currently imprison dissidents. In fact, all sense of awe dies out in nature. When a person watches a magician perform a trick in real life, or when a person becomes ill and then heals or runs into a danger and escapes unharmed, his sense of awe dies with space and time. For example, Harry Houdini (1874 –1926), a Hungarian-born American illusionist and stunt performer who was widely known worldwide, presented himself as the scourge of fake spiritualists.¹⁴⁸ Thousands used to watch his performances or spectacles. In 1904, thousands of people watched along with 100 journalists the stunt that the Daily Mirror,¹⁴⁹ a British national tabloid, commissioned for Houdini at London's hippodrome.¹⁵⁰ A century later, Houdini's acts still generate a sense of awe, but this does not seem to touch people's conscience in the same way that Jesus's acts do, despite his having been dead for thousands of years. Presently, millions of people are ready to surrender freedom to Moses or Jesus, even if those two subjects were just a myth.

I do not know how much Houdini's unpreparedness to claim that he was assisted by supernatural powers cost him, thus preventing him from acquiring the acclaim that Moses, Jesus, or his apostles gained. Houdini sought, before his death, to create a large, unified national network of professional and amateur magicians so he could duplicate the success that the apostles of Jesus managed to achieve, but he failed. Then again, if the possibility of failure and death that Houdini thrilled his audiences with throughout his career did not impress his spectators to the point of making them be ready to accept his magic as a mean to provide useful knowledge about moral and ethical issues, as well as about the nature of God as Jesus and Moses did, then only one motive could have prompted the followers of Moses or Jesus (or his apostles) to accept the magic that those two performed and to reject the magic that Houdini performed. This motive must have been related to the benefits that Jesus and his apostles offered in exchange for people's

¹⁴⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harry_Houdini

¹⁴⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daily_Mirror

¹⁵⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harry_Houdini#Daily_Mirror_challenge

willingness to surrender their freedom, in contrast to what Houdini provided.

I do not know what Houdini expected people to gain from the advancement, elevation, and preservation of magic as a performing art in the society he created and led as president. What I do know is that in the literature it is reported that Houdini always stressed the importance of unity as a rule or a tool to advance the goals he was pursuing.¹⁵¹

If Houdini and his associates failed to create the fellowship that the apostles managed to pull together to convert their goals into a movement in the same way Jesus's disciples did, two motives could explain this reason. The first is if Pentecost really occurred and Jesus's disciples were really healing as the Bible claims. The second is if the person who agreed to be a Christian had to pledge to follow Jesus's teachings.

I do not know which specific motive between the two above has helped the apostles to overcome the arguments, jealousies, and in-fighting that the heirs of the old powers seem to be unable to conquer as of 1945 to push forward with the work programs that they are pursuing to ensure our successors do not face the same disregard that they lived. So, if supernatural powers are supporting their efforts, this breakdown must have one of two motives. The first is if the heirs of the old powers believe that the strategies that they are pursuing would enable them to achieve the same results that the apostles managed to reach by converting Christianity from a small movement into a world religion. The second is if the heirs of the old powers believe that even if the strategies that they are pursuing fail, their outcomes would spare them the same fate that Houdini and his associates encountered when their audience refused to accept that their magic be a tool to promote fellowship and to improve ethical standards.

Once again, I do not know which specific strategy the heirs of the old powers might be employing to ensure that their power or that of their successors does not disintegrate and get absorbed by another growing power. But the Bible says Jesus and the apostles were poor, so they couldn't build schools, health centers, parks, or roads for those they

¹⁵¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harry_Houdini#Magic_career

wanted to convert. Moses, Jesus and the apostles, and Muhammad promised the people who would subscribe to their teachings an entry ticket to heaven.

I do not know if the money that the heirs of the old powers are routing through the United Nations to build schools, health centers, parks, and roads is actually helping those families improve the conditions and outcomes that are important to them. However, I do know that the insecurity the world has experienced since 1945 cannot be used as evidence to support the idea that people or nations are promoting harmonious fellowship or maintaining ethical standards among one another.

Then again, since we cannot suppose that living in heaven is better than living on earth unless, in heaven, a person becomes able to live forever, this means that up until Moses, Jesus, the apostles, or Muhammad returns to tell us that, indeed, in heaven, a person can live forever. This means that what Moses, Jesus, the apostles, and Muhammad were promising to make people surrender freedom to them is ignorance and mediocrity.

Fortunately, we cannot say the same about what the families/dynasties who won WW2 (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) promised in the aftermath of WW2 and delivered. The heirs of the old powers built schools, health centers, parks, and roads for the people, asking them to cooperate based on the principles stipulated in the UN charter, and they asked them to do more than just surrender freedom in the hope of gaining something whose truth was uncertain. If, despite all the positive contributions they have made to the world, most societies refuse to surrender their freedom to these powers, then one of two motives may be true: The first is that improving the social conditions of people increases crises and conflicts and vice versa [see Maloof 2005]¹⁵². The second is that the United Nations (i.e., their disciples) and the totalitarian and hierarchical regimes are accelerating their collapse, leading to a potential shift in global power dynamics that could either benefit or harm societal progress.

¹⁵² Salim Maloof, Book, social Improvement and Human Security: Collateral Damage, 2005

To put it differently, if we take the magic that Jesus performed to revive Lazarus and compare its power to the one that science has achieved in helping save lives, most people should be equally grateful to the heirs of the old powers (i.e., the families/dynasties who won WW2) for having agreed to end colonialism and domination in the aftermath of WW2 so people can extend their longevity.

Furthermore, we could say that when Jesus revived Lazarus or Moses turned his staff into a serpent, those two acted selfishly exactly as magicians do in real life. Magicians keep their audience in the dark about the methods behind their tricks. Likewise, the person who watches a magician convert a staff into a serpent or a piece of clay into a bird in modern days does not recoup anything from what he observes is being performed. In fact, in the case of Jesus or the apostles, individuals could only benefit from Jesus' teachings (i.e., to live in poverty) in the afterlife.

The heirs of the old powers facilitated, for people, in the aftermath of WW2, access to all the magic that science can achieve. People don't have to wait for the afterlife to get good health coverage or increase their knowledge, yet most people continue to refuse to surrender freedom to them [the heirs of the old powers] as billions still do for Moses, Jesus, Muhammad, Buddha, or Confucius. This means one of two things. The first scenario occurs when governments and the United Nations fail to set a positive example. In other words, governments and the United Nations portray themselves as objective and responsible entities dedicated to achieving the goals outlined in religious texts or the UN charter; however, these public officials often act as a faction that uses this framework to exert their violent power over others. The second scenario arises when governments or the United Nations receive ideas that convince them that violence serves as a solution to problems.

I don't know if the unpreparedness of governments and their dependents to obey the magic that the heirs of the old powers have made available to everyone through science is due to either of the two arguments mentioned above. However, if religion and science produce the same effect that toys create when a child becomes attracted to a figurine, and then once a person obtains it, this sense of desire dies out,

this means that this reaction to disobedience could be natural. If this disobedience is natural, it can't be controlled. Furthermore, if people are fed ideas that violence solves problems, this conviction means that the heirs of the old powers are entangled in a web from which there is no hope of escape if they do not increase death rates or rely on genetic harvesting methods to create the same effect.

In other words, before the collapse of the Soviet Union republics, the families and dynasties that controlled this bloc relied on communism or socialism (i.e., philosophical ideas) to prepare people to surrender freedom to them, thus enabling them to get their way despite the resistance of others. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, the same families/dynasties are relying on their technological superiority (i.e., material interests) to get people to continue to be ready to surrender freedom to them. For example, the involvement of Russia in Syria in support of the Syrian government is an example to show how the scientific material interests of Russia are being used to get the families/dynasties who control the Syrian regime to surrender freedom to them. However, since it is not possible for the families/dynasties who control Russia to continue to get their way in Syria except to rely on communist, social, democratic ideas, etc., to prevent those families/dynasties who they are helping in Syria from getting together and directing their violence at them, this means that only if the death rates in Syria go on indeterminately or the people of Syria get tired from living in constant violence could the Russians get to transform the conditions and outcome that matter to them without being worried that the Syrians would turn on them. Then again, since this process is an incessant pattern that resembles the way grass grows or is cut down—one could be doing the cutting while the grass next to him would be growing—this means that if the heirs of the old powers do not employ a genetic harvesting method that reduces birth rates, they would not be able to protect the values that they are trying to defend without resorting to violence to do it. A fire can never be extinguished with fuel. The families and dynasties that control Russia are learning this truth from their experiences in Syria, while the Americans are learning it from their involvement in Afghanistan and Iraq.

In 1967, William Fulbright suggested to the American people in the paper *The Price of an Empire* that all religious and philosophical

books are composed to support the idea that the purpose of human life is to let good triumph over evil. If the heirs of the old powers do not want to convert the world back into a large police state as was the case pre-1945, then the only choice that they could use besides employing a genetic harvesting method that reduces birth rates would be to remove all the references to humans being wicked from all the religious and philosophical books as Thomas Jefferson's¹⁵³ (1743 – 1826), 3rd President of the United States, tried to do when he suggested editing a version of the Bible that does not contain supernatural aspects as well as perceived misinterpretations he believed had been added by the Four Evangelists.^{154,155}

I don't know if removing all references to the human heart being programmed with evil from religious and philosophical books would stop people from interpreting their fortunes based on others' behavior. Then again, it is difficult to imagine how the dogmas or ideologies—values or ideals—currently divided into good or evil would become reinterpreted to reflect only good, so that the cohort growing up in such a culture would remain unaware that every inclination of the human heart is evil all the time, once they start using the idea of good as a tool to dominate and subjugate each other.

In the book, *Thoughts on how the socio-cultural patterns that emerged post WW2 are a regression to reconstruct the in-bound world events in reverse order?*¹⁵⁶ I support how the unpreparedness of the heirs of the old powers to remain faithful to the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed in order to let the United Nations interfere in the preparedness of people or nations could be a regression to return mankind to re-experience the same threats that humanity endured but in reverse order. In other words, humanity's first date with another global war will resemble WW1, before human progress continues to spiral down to a state reminiscent of the Stone Age.

¹⁵³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thomas_Jefferson

¹⁵⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jefferson_Bible

¹⁵⁵ <https://www.smithsonianmag.com/store/books/jefferson-bible-smithsonian-edition/>

¹⁵⁶ <http://centermpa.com/>

I do not understand how the heirs of the old powers plan to remove power from the world or to raise a new breed of people who would agree on everything without genetic harvesting, thereby preventing humanity from enduring another global war while people believe that the rule of law was created to strengthen social justice.

Surely, the heirs of the old powers could wager on the successes that the French leaders adapted to stay afloat in recent histories. France is a country that managed to get up in disorder. France maintained a two-faced, two-tongued strategy until 1945 with success. One tongue preached freedom, social justice, and equality. The other was brutal and tyrannical. One tongue made promises; the other broke them. One tongue made covenants; the other proved treacherous.

This two-faced—two-tongued—strategy that France adopted to empty the world of power helped this country until the middle of the 20th century to allow it to get its way despite the resistance of others. As of the start of the 21st century, the two-faced—two-tongued—strategy adopted by the families and dynasties that control France, particularly against their own citizens and in the poor nations they administered until 1945, such as Lebanon and Algeria, has sparked profound controversy within French society. The awareness of the French people is making this society enjoy what is just and forbid what is wrong.

The families and dynasties that control France, along with the faction that protects them, seem to be losing their ability to maintain their strength and stability without compromising or undermining the values they claim to uphold, thereby revealing their true nature. It looks as if France is not far from transforming into a full-fledged police state similar to the regimes that imprison all their human rights activists on charges of upsetting the prevailing social order.

I do not know how the world would be like when more nations openly declare that they are adopting totalitarian regimes to maintain order or when the police and the military become the largest and maybe the only employers in existing countries, as the case is already in nations such as North Korea, Egypt, Russia, China, Saudi Arabia, Lebanon, and many others.

In other words, there are only two ways for the heirs of the old powers to continue to maintain their strength and stability without increasing the death rates. The first choice is for people to follow the teachings of Jesus and his apostles, which advocate postponing happiness until the afterlife. The second is if people start to cooperate based on the principles of equal rights.

In other words, the heirs of the old powers could manipulate and disrupt all the rules-based orders they can conceive or devise to establish a new legal order that suits them, but only two legal diplomacy rules would be effective. The first rule is based on the principles counselled by Jesus, the apostles, and later the founding fathers of the UN, while the second rule is the one that the great powers used before 1945.

Thus, the sooner the heirs of the old powers align with one of the two options mentioned above, the sooner the international order will stabilize. This means that the longer the heirs of the old powers take to act, the more any new rule they come up with will be fragile or outdated.

Furthermore, the longer the heirs of the old powers take to act, the more necessary it will become to openly debate the rules-based order established by those families/dynasties, as they attempt to create a new multilateralism to improve the situation. The more debate over the rules-based order that those families/dynasties laid out, the more the number of actors (states) who would have claims to strategic effects would grow. The more states choose to enhance the rules-based order through deliberate diplomacy instead of resorting to violence to achieve the same end, the more nations would be attuning their ideas to satisfy their best interests, leading to a more stable international environment where cooperation is prioritized over conflict. In other words, a lion needs several kilos of meat per day to become satiated, whereas an eagle can be satiated by only one tenth of that weight.

Therefore, only legitimate and ethical actions can create balance between societies (states); the only option that nations have to maintain their strength and stability is to develop their own strategic

identity. This also means that all the international legal diplomacy or wars that the heirs of the old powers are allowing the different nations to wield or undergo are nothing but a regression to perhaps return mankind to re-experience the same threats that humanity endured but in reverse order, as I detailed in the book: *Thoughts on how the socio-cultural patterns that emerged post-WW2 are a regression to reconstruct the inbound world events in reverse order*. In other words, if the heirs of the old powers do not persuade the regimes that act as their deputies on earth to relinquish control of their vices to the voting public, the first consequence for mankind will be a new global war.

Evidently, the ability of the heirs of the old powers to gather resources (both human and material) would determine whether they would emerge unscathed if the international order descended into a new war, rather than the extent of their power or wealth.

Furthermore, whether the heirs of the old powers or the faction that protects them (i.e., the regimes that control the different nations) pick appeasement or security and deterrence as a tactic to maintain their strength and stability or both, none of those tactics would prevent societies (nations) from being ready to surrender freedom and obey unless the approach that they would be proposing brings the possibility of a better future for all. Therefore, the only viable future for humanity on earth is one that aligns with the work programs proposed by Moses, Jesus, Muhammad, Buddha, Confucius, and more recently, the founding fathers of the UN. The heirs of the old powers have the option to either adhere to the conventional wisdom of the aforementioned messengers, prophets, and philosophers, or to adopt modern strategies that align with the realities of our times.

I do not understand how the heirs of the old powers intend to make people surrender their freedoms to them before either resolving the ongoing conflicts between societies to ensure that resources and outcomes are shared equally or prioritizing legitimate and ethical actions. But Moses, Jesus, Muhammad, Buddha, and Confucius said their ideas could progress even before all conflicts were resolved, and that freedom would be surrendered to them later. Those messengers, prophets, and philosophers wagered on conventional wisdom and built their respective movements on the back of such strategy.

As I said before, I have no idea how the movement that Moses, Jesus, Muhammad, Buddha, Confucius, etc. launched grew into becoming a world religion or philosophy. I strongly believe that three factors may have motivated people to accept the teachings of these leaders. The first reason is that these leaders set an example through their actions, demonstrating values such as compassion, justice, and integrity that resonated with their followers and inspired them to adopt their teachings. The second is if those subjects gained the acceptance and belief of converts through maintaining for them an internal charity mechanism similar to the one that the Hassidic Jews, Born Again Christians, or the Oneida Community¹⁵⁷ in New York, which was operational till 1881, or even the government maintains until today. The third is if the families/dynasties who were ruling in those different epochs were acting unjustly exactly as the case is like now in the world post-1945, thus giving rise to all the networks that are emerging in all the nations that are ignoring the rules-based order to get their way.

Then again, if the heirs of the old powers believe they can establish fixed families or dynasties in the existing nations to play the role of the deputy of God, the social order will inevitably transform into a large cultural theater, reflecting the small-scale social or political order seen in Lebanon since 1975 or in Egypt since 1952. The heirs of the old powers must believe they can resist the global police states or that the moral codes of the various families/dynasties in the nations they are residing in will protect them from being resized or absorbed by a rising power.

Nobody knows what the future holds. However, experts suggest that there is a key distinction between heritage and culture. Heritage refers to the things that we inherit, while culture is about what we create.¹⁵⁸

I have no way of knowing if the customs, languages, values, and beliefs that get passed from one generation to the next and remain with us refer to things that were programmed or whether they were things

¹⁵⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oneida_Community

¹⁵⁸ <https://www.enotes.com/homework-help/what-difference-culture-heritage-393504>

that we just inherited. However, what I do know is that the features that describe a society at any given point in time, such as daily living, attitudes, traditions, and norms, are continuously changing “even within the same society.”¹⁵⁹

Likewise, I do not know if the magic that Jesus, Moses, the apostles, and even the ones that magicians perform, which require a sleight of hand that appears to be embalmed with some sort of grace and finesse to achieve excellence in this craft, is an aptitude that is normally programmed as verse 53 of Surah al Nahl in the Quran (*every bounty that you enjoy is from God*) or as verse 165 of Surah Al-An'am (*it is God who raised some of you above others in rank to test you*), or if it is a form of performing art or manipulation that those subjects perform to create the illusion and distort the senses.

Experts define “teleportation” as the hypothetical transfer of matter or energy from one point to another without traversing the physical space between them.¹⁶⁰ This means that when Jesus, Moses, and the apostles were doing their magic, we could say that the teleportation that was distorting the senses could have been either paranormal magic (created through supernatural means) or a performing art or a form of dexterity that the finesse in the sleight-of-hand (or the body) prompt as regular magicians show is possible.

Then again, since it is not important to know if the voices and body movement that Jesus and the apostles employed to create the healings that they were performing to attract people were being manipulated by supernatural beings and forces or if it was an act of illusion that was making the people who were watching their tricks see their perception of reality get distorted, but rather what counts is that whoever is having his brain subjected to sensory stimulation does not let the patterns that he is witnessing distort his perception of reality. This means that if the heirs of the old powers or money supply of the world and the faction that protects them want to ensure that the patterns that they are observing are not assumptions that their brain is making, they need to be able to determine if the changes that they are observing are a result of the force of one's will or if the lived experience is not

¹⁵⁹ <https://www.quora.com/profile/Alok-Upadhyay-8>

¹⁶⁰ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teleportation>

arising from the experience of being in the world (i.e., the preoccupation with the self¹⁶¹ as Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel¹⁶² suggested).

I don't know if the heirs of the old powers or money supply of the world and the faction that protects them can tell if their experience is due to their own will (i.e., self-obsession) or if natural or supernatural beings control the sensory simulations their brains usually organize and interpret. However, what I do know is that in either case, the capacity of the heirs of the old powers or money supply of the world and the faction that protects them to avoid becoming resized and absorbed by another growing power will depend on their capacity to control entire patterns or configurations and perceptions and not merely individual components (i.e., Gestalt).¹⁶³

In the literature, it is stated that the supernatural encompasses all entities, places, and events that would fall outside the scope of scientific understanding of the laws of nature. This includes categories of entities that transcend the observable universe, such as immaterial beings like angels, gods, and spirits. It also includes claimed human abilities like magic, telekinesis, precognition, and extrasensory perception.¹⁶⁴

Let us contend that all the phenomena that are not understood scientifically today, such as immaterial beings like angels, gods, spirits, telekinesis, precognition, and extrasensory perception, are nothing but cases of skepticism¹⁶⁵ or superstition¹⁶⁶ and that everything that exists, with the exception of magic, adheres to natural laws and forces, as the naturalist philosophers¹⁶⁷ believe.

Earlier I said that if the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them want to avoid becoming resized and absorbed by another expanding power, their dexterity must be swift to the point of

¹⁶¹ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Other_\(philosophy\)#Philosophy](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Other_(philosophy)#Philosophy)

¹⁶² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Georg_Wilhelm_Friedrich_Hegel

¹⁶³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gestalt_psychology

¹⁶⁴ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Supernatural>

¹⁶⁵ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Skepticism>

¹⁶⁶ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Superstition>

¹⁶⁷ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Naturalism_\(philosophy\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Naturalism_(philosophy))

managing to control the perceptions of the whole and not merely individual components.

I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers possess natural dexterity to avoid a magician who can perform the *vanishing coin*¹⁶⁸ trick them into perceiving that he is indeed making a coin disappear or appear. If the heirs of the old powers cannot be sure that they can prevent a single magician from distorting their perception of reality, this means that the heirs of the old powers have no way of knowing if all the manipulations that people are doing (as a whole) using their voices, bodies, or inanimate objects are contributing to transforming the conditions and outcomes that matter to them (i.e., structuralism) or if the physical events that are taking place are contributing to their destruction and eventual disintegration.

If we consider the magical acts performed by Moses, Jesus, or the apostles, we observe that they did not alter reality. In other words, the magical acts that Moses, Jesus, or the apostles were performing did not involve influencing people to make the body start to function in a way opposed to its natural purpose of serving the natural world. Put differently, Moses, Jesus, or the apostles were not influencing people to delegate to tools the role that the body was serving in the natural world, so this aptitude becomes devoted to developing awareness only in modern times. Nor Moses, Jesus and the apostles were not teaching people to disobey their leaders in order to eliminate poverty, as the United Nations began advocating in 1945. Moses, Jesus, and the apostles wanted people to develop an awareness that emphasized the importance of reason over sensory perception for those they were influencing. Moses, Jesus, or the apostles were teaching people to obey their rulers with sincerity of heart and reverence and slaves to obey their masters. Most importantly, Moses, Jesus, or the apostles were teaching people to accept reality and not to have tools fulfill the role that the human body was created to accomplish for the natural world, including teaching that the mind is devoted to ensuring that the actions the body performs in nature and the actions tools perform are shared as equal rights.

¹⁶⁸ <https://www.thesprucecrafts.com/easy-magic-trick-vanishing-coin-2266972>

I do not know if the complex behavioral patterns and interactions that emerged after 1945 are due to the new body of knowledge our predecessors made available or if the decision of the heirs of the old powers to inject leverage into an increasingly one-sided relationship with God. In other words, the heirs of the old powers want to demonstrate to God that they are capable of producing intelligence just like him. Alternatively, they may have sought to exploit a perceived decline in the ability of real humans to obey and allow tools to take over, especially since such devices do not refuse orders.

I'm unsure whether cultural pressures prompted the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world to abandon the goals that the founding fathers of the UN advised them to uphold in order to ensure lasting peace, and this shift is the reason why people are now reluctant to surrender their freedom to them as people once did to Jesus and his apostles.

For example, the book of Colossians in the Bible depicts a letter that Paul the apostle wrote to the people in Colossae.¹⁶⁹ In this letter, Paul tells the people of Colossae that he rejoices in his suffering so Jesus's ministry grows. In other words, Paul thinks that his suffering is not a sign of defeat but a way of participating in Jesus's ministry to transform the selfish human heart. Put differently, Paul thinks that the laws and gods of the Greeks, the Romans, and the Jews failed to transform the selfish human heart and were deceiving people with fine-sounding arguments that were not intended to increase their happiness but rather to make them continue to live under the dominion of darkness. What Paul was really driving at is how to free the Colossians from maintaining any obligation to those three dogmas.

I don't know if the heirs of the old powers and their protectors are as committed to the UN charter as Paul was when he told the Colossians that the church was the ultimate reality to which all other religions and laws pointed. In this regard, the heirs of the old powers and the faction protecting them are currently embodying the new humans they aspire to become, just as Paul advised the Colossians to do. Put differently, the heirs of the old powers are, at least, ensuring that the covert activities that they are engaged in are emptying the world of the

¹⁶⁹ <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Colossians%201&version=NIV>

regimes that refuse to relinquish control to the voting public so societies become able to transcend the ethnic and social boundary lines as the apostles managed to do, or the Rashidun caliphate after the death of Muhammad, and even the founders of the United States.

The rise in the number of wars, anger, rage, malice, slander, impunity, partiality, sexual immorality, impurity, evil desires, and greed that emerged around the world post-1945 suggests that the heirs of the old powers are not putting off their old nature, with its practices, to put on their new nature as the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to do.

Human nature is a concept that denotes the fundamental dispositions and characteristics—including ways of thinking, feeling, and acting—that humans are said to have naturally.¹⁷⁰ Nobody knows what it means to be a human or what the essence of humankind is. The understanding of human nature primarily revolves around Aristotle's explanations, along with the ideas proposed by other philosophers such as Thomas Hobbes, Jean-Jacques Rousseau, Charles Darwin, and many others.

Whether human nature exists independent of individuals, causing humans to simply become what they become, as Aristotle argued¹⁷¹ and/or if this concept is not fixed as some other thinkers have suggested, perhaps the historical dynamic of man to date makes it a good reason to go along with what Jean-Jacques Rousseau¹⁷² (1712 - 1778), a Genevan philosopher and writer, said in his treatise *Emile, or on Education* which he published in 1762. Rousseau wrote, "We do not know what our nature permits us to be."¹⁷³

Indeed, many thinkers argued that true doctrine, understood, changes attitudes and behavior.¹⁷⁴ Maybe the unpreparedness of people post-1945 to surrender freedom to the work program that the founding fathers of the UN laid out for mankind to avoid the disregard and contempt for human rights that they experienced does not reoccur is

¹⁷⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Human_nature

¹⁷¹ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teleology>

¹⁷² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean-Jacques_Rousseau

¹⁷³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emile,_or_On_Education

¹⁷⁴ <https://speeches.byu.edu/talks/todd-b-parker/true-doctrine-understood-changes-attitudes-behavior/>

due to the necessary guidance, especially since the United Nations was converted into becoming an economic operator instead of remaining devoted to serving the coordination role that the founding fathers of the UN laid out for this international intergovernmental institution.

Then again, as long as the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world continue to allow the United Nations to be an economic operator and the families/dynasties who are helping them to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them to become just like them, the deputy of God in the different nations, the relationship between ethical behavior and doctrine (beliefs) would lead to further degradation of the social order; this means that the more the United Nations and the different governments degenerate the world, the more the likelihood of the powerful heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world becoming resized or absorbed by another expanding power grows.

Paul, in his letter to the people of Colossae, tells them to avoid becoming captive to hollow and deceptive philosophies that can lead them away from the truth of the Gospel. He then tells the people of Colossae to put their trust in Christ because “in Him all the fullness of Deity dwells in bodily form.”¹⁷⁵ [Colossian 2:9]

If the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world do not mind that the United Nations be an economic operator and the families/dynasties who are helping them to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them become just like them, the deputy of God in the different nations, this means that the heirs of the old powers are predisposed to let the new ideas and values that their partners [i.e., the UN and the different governments] are testing with or pursuing demonstrate that it is possible to use different work programs to attain the goals that are set forth in the UN charter. If the heirs of the old powers are predisposed to let the United Nations and different regimes in diverse societies seek to test with different work programs to attain the goals stipulated in the UN charter and/or to maintain their strength and stability, this signifies that the heirs of the old powers do not want to put their trust in the principles that the founding fathers counseled them to respect or any metaphysical causation.

¹⁷⁵ <https://www.bibleref.com/Colossians/2/Colossians-2-9.html>

If the heirs of the old powers do not want the principles that the founding fathers counseled them to respect to bring them to fullness, this means that those powerful families must be counting “on human tradition and the elemental spiritual forces of this world” [Colossians 2:8] to help them to get their way despite the resistance of others.

I do not know how the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world could be waging war on traditions to make people surrender freedom to them without noticing that the social order is spiraling down to what it was like pre-1945. Most governments are more and more letting policemen abuse and beat their citizens under the pretext of maintaining law and order post-1945. If the mistrust that exists between police and citizens (activists) continues to deteriorate, the different governments would have no choice but to suppress freedom of expression, freedom of press, and, most importantly, the freedom to inform and be informed. If governments begin to suppress freedom to inform and be informed, it would not be possible for citizens to report police violence because of retaliation. This will lead first states into becoming first a monolith of police states that are “composed of governments that are not really governments at all but organized conspiracies divided among themselves perhaps in certain matters of tactics, but all equally resolute and implacable in their determination to destroy the free world” or the idea of freedom, as William Fulbright suggested in 1964. The political situation that exists in Egypt, North Korea, Iran, Venezuela, Belarus, India, France, China, Russia, etc. is a case in example. However, as the level of corruption in that government grows, this will prompt the different factions within the government who want to control the violence for their own benefit to ruthlessly fight with one another in order to get control of violence-wielding apparatus, as the case is in Lebanon.

It is important to keep in mind that when the culture of nations becomes totalitarian, it is the government [military and police] that starts to enforce illegal agreements and transactions, including becoming engaged in running all drug trafficking, prostitution, gambling, or any economic activity that brings money into the country illegally.

For example, only two solutions could resolve the political and economic gridlock that the families and dynasties controlling Lebanon have imposed on the country. The first is a new civil war. The second is the recolonization of the country, as was the case before WW1, to empty the power. If this aforementioned analysis about what exists presently in Lebanon is projected or superimposed on how the international order would behave when many states fight with one another to get the weaker ones to listen to the opinion of the strongest, this means that a new global war becomes imminent. This is because only a global war can empty power.

Hence, the regimes that its power would need to be emptied out first when most nations establish a totalitarian or fascist culture would not be the one that the people of Egypt or Lebanon possess, but rather this process should start with the governments that possess weapons of mass destruction. This means that the likelihood of the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world favoring that nations use traditional confrontational approaches to disarm each other or empty the world of power or rivalry is not at all something that they would be in favor of.

It should be important to keep in mind that as more nations become totalitarian or fascist states, it becomes very difficult to rely on black propaganda such as distributing false material or currying influence because the freedom of churches, trade unions, political parties, the press, etc. would be severely limited.

Hence, the likelihood of the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world opting to empty power using black propaganda or a global war does not resolve the ongoing historical dynamic but calms it for a while; then the heirs of the old powers must be counting on the elemental spiritual forces of this world to help them transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them.

As I said before, in 1964, William Fulbright urged the United States “to adapt itself to a complex and changing world, to shed old moralistic myths, and to dare to think “unthinkable things.”¹⁷⁶ I cannot

¹⁷⁶ <https://www.nytimes.com/1964/03/26/archives/fulbright-says-us-must-shed-myths-and-think-daringly-on-foreign.html>

think of a bold approach that the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world could consider to maintain their strength and stability except if they explore all of the options and possibilities that genetic harvesting can facilitate to make the world adapt itself to this complex and rapidly changing world that advances in technology seem to have held control of post-1945. In my opinion, only a genetic harvesting approach could allow the heirs of the old powers and the money supply of the world and the faction that protects them “to welcome rather than fear the voices of dissent.”

I do not know how the families and dynasties plan to link the elemental spiritual forces of the world to genetic harvesting approaches that would allow them to categorize an increasing number of ideas and viewpoints as 'unthinkable thoughts,' thereby enabling peaceful coexistence between themselves and the people they expect to obey them.

The Royal Society is the world's oldest independent scientific academy, dedicated to promoting excellence in science.¹⁷⁷ The society is based in Great Britain. It was founded in 1660. The Royal Society's motto 'Nullius in verba' is taken to mean 'take nobody's word for it'.¹⁷⁸ The Royal Society defines that this motto represents “the determination of Fellows to withstand the domination of authority and to verify all statements by an appeal to facts determined by experiment.” For this reason, the society reports that its fundamental purpose is “to recognize, promote, and support excellence in science and to encourage the development and use of science for the benefit of humanity.”¹⁷⁹ The society has also stated that “it played a part in some of the most fundamental, significant, and life-changing discoveries in scientific history.”

The Royal Society reports that there are approximately 1,700 fellows and foreign members. Evidently, all the members are the most eminent scientists, engineers, and technologists.

¹⁷⁷ <https://royalsociety.org/>

¹⁷⁸ <https://royalsociety.org/about-us/history/>

¹⁷⁹ <https://royalsociety.org/about-us/mission-priorities/>

All the scientific discoveries are breakthroughs that occur in specific fields, subjects, or areas of science. To give an example, the scientists who discovered the vaccine for the measles, polio, flu, etc. would not have been able to use this cure to remedy people if it was not for the person who invented the injection needle, etc.

I believe that among the existing 1,700 members of the Royal Society, Elon Musk (born 1971) is the most innovative fellow among all of them.¹⁸⁰ Elon Musk is the CEO and co-founder of SpaceX, Tesla, Neuralink, The Boring Company, Neuralink, OpenAI, and many others.¹⁸¹ In other words, Elon Musk's companies put the acquired knowledge through experimental investigation to use for the benefit of humanity as a whole. Elon Musk is also among the richest people in the world.

Neuralink¹⁸² and OpenAI¹⁸³ are two companies that Musk owns to integrate the human brain with artificial intelligence. For example, Neuralink's stated goal is to develop implantable brain-machine interfaces.¹⁸⁴ Likewise, OpenAI states that its goal is to promote and develop friendly AI in a way that benefits humanity as a whole.¹⁸⁵

Indeed, the ideas of Musk and his associates to achieve a symbiosis with artificial intelligence are fundamental to achieving practical results for the greater well-being of society, as they aim to enhance human capabilities and address complex challenges such as climate change and healthcare. However, if we consider that our ancestors explored and invented the wheel, knife, or dynamite to help us foster better connections with one another, humans have been using those great tools to keep the world dynamic.

I do not know if Alfred Nobel¹⁸⁶ (1833 – 1896), the Swedish chemist who invented dynamite in 1867, wanted to counteract large corporations that may gain too much power by relying on labor-

¹⁸⁰ <https://royalsociety.org/people/elon-musk-13829/>

¹⁸¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elon_Musk

¹⁸² <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neuralink>

¹⁸³ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/OpenAI>

¹⁸⁴ <https://neuralink.com/>

¹⁸⁵ <https://openai.com/>

¹⁸⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alfred_Nobel

intensive strategies to increase their power, as well as governments that may regard labor-intensive strategies as a good tactic to gain power and even oppress their citizenry; thus, he invented explosives. However, the invention of the wheel, knife, or dynamite seems to have concentrated power in the hands of those who used these products incorrectly, and these inventions have strengthened insecurity throughout history.

I do not know if AI would move humanity closer or if it would continue to widen the gap between people (societies) as the past 70 years on the birth of computers have shown so far. Then again, since humans failed to achieve regulatory oversight pursuant to the discovery of explosives (dynamite) by Alfred Nobel over 150 years ago, or since the discovery of the knives¹⁸⁷ over two-and-a-half million years ago, this means that the same scenario that has happened to mankind since the discovery of the knife or dynamite would go on in the future with respect to the discovery of AI and/or its legalization or criminalization.

For example, knives were originally made of wood, bone, and stone. Over the centuries, in-step improvements along with human search for greater problem-solving and inventive skills saw the blade change to be made from copper, bronze, iron, steel, etc. As humans were becoming more intelligent or superintelligent, there were endless types of knives being designed with many different purposes and with greater capability. Presently, people have at their disposal electric knives, precision cutting knives, and computer-guided knives, including surgical knives, which get used to save lives as well as to inflict serious or fatal injuries, as happened to Jamal khashoggi¹⁸⁸ (1958 – 2018), a Saudi Arabian writer, who was dismembered by such a tool in 2018.

Therefore, as AI (computers) increase in power, a host of rules (laws) would be decreed to regulate how such machines would be used, as happened in 1669 when King Louis XIV¹⁸⁹ (1638 – 1715) of France decreed all pointed knives used at the dinner table “illegal,” and he

¹⁸⁷ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Knife>

¹⁸⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jamal_Khashoggi

¹⁸⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Louis_XIV

ordered all knife points ground down, like those similarly used today...in order to reduce violence,¹⁹⁰ the heirs of the old powers would be ensuring that no machine jeopardize the maintenance of their strength and stability, as happened with any discovery up to the invention of the atomic bomb.

Furthermore, since all the iterations of recursive improvement that AI would go through in the future are surely set to introduce enormous qualitative design change, as happened after man invented the knife, it will not influence a behavioral change because if this were the case, humans would not have been using since prehistoric times the tools that they create as weapons. This means that AI, like anything else, should increase the threat of danger around the heirs of the old powers, exactly as knives, spoons, and forks continue to do around dinner tables to this day.

Additionally, since the majority of the threats that inflicted serious or fatal injuries to rulers happened around the dinner table since the dawn of time, this means that as machines get more intelligent than humanity, the work that Elon Musk and other scientists and companies are doing to develop implantable brain-machine interfaces (i.e., implanting very thin threads into the brain that read electrical signals and then transmit this information to a lab for processing) would not counteract making the subject who rules over the heirs of the old powers free from any threat but would only confirm who it was that did the actual attack or assassination.

This then also means that the question that begs an answer is not if advanced AI someday gains the ability to redesign itself at an ever-increasing rate, thus prompting an intelligence explosion¹⁹¹ as many scientists and philosophers fear, or if the probe that would be inserted in the brain would manage to read the signals that the brain generates, thus people's evil actions can be intercepted before they take place, but rather if the heirs of the old powers would be able to prevent the evil inclination that people are continually plotting from provoking their fall and collapse.

¹⁹⁰ <http://www.knifecrimes.org/History-of-the-Knife.html>

¹⁹¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Technological_singularity#Intelligence_explosion

In other words, hence, the heirs of the old powers or money supply of the world cannot let AI enter a runaway reaction of self-improvement cycles resulting in a powerful superintelligence that qualitatively far surpasses all human intelligence, because this would mean that their power is conversely getting weakened, this signifies that it is highly unlikely the heirs of the old powers would allow AI (technological singularity)¹⁹² to advance or to upgrade itself to a point that it could harm them. This means that the heirs of the old powers would be vigilant to ensure that AI (technology) remains within reach or an extension of individual human will so it does not result in unforeseeable changes in human life. This then again means that the obsession of the powerful heirs of the old powers cannot be tangled in how to ensure that the world does not become infiltrated by autonomous software and hardware agents whose details of operation are known only to a select few, as the case is now at most critical military installations, but rather their concern centers on how to control people if the greater interest of humanity continues to be clinging to old myths that seek to achieve the work program of God on earth.

I do not know how AI (technology) could increase the level of maturity and perfection of people without the awareness that everyone is continually gaining, prompting an individual to want to share on equal rights outcomes (power, wealth, prestige). In other words, to have humans practice democracy in a social system that operates just like the caste system¹⁹³ that was forcibly used in India that worked until the end of WW2 but without the rigid caste organization becoming a central mechanism of administration.

Then again, if the heirs of the old powers chose to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers proposed to ensure lasting peace, preferring instead to task the United Nations to teach the poor to refuse to be content with what they have in order to be devoted to ensuring that the rich share outcomes equally with them, then they must have believed in what they were doing. There are two motives that could have prompted the heirs of the old powers to change the work program that the founding fathers proposed for the world

¹⁹² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Technological_singularity

¹⁹³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Caste_system_in_India

program that they commissioned the UN to achieve. The first is if they wanted to create a greater good. The second is if they wanted to extend domination.

Let us discard the idea that the insecurity the world has experienced since 1945 is caused by the heirs of the old powers seeking to extend their domination, because the existing chaos in the social order indicates that if humanity could be dominated, peace and security would have been established on earth since ancient times.

Thus, the failure of the heirs of the old powers to create a greater good is a result of their own decisions, and the world's insecurity reflects a persistent flaw in the pursuit of that greater good. Since the appearance of man on earth, the only good that has existed has been the created things that people produce and the procreation that people maintain.

People's creations are not the reason families and dynasties fail to create greater good in the world; procreation is.

Could the heirs of the old powers be counting on attaining the greater good that they embarked on in partnership with the United Nations as of 1945 on attaining by waging war using AI (technology) along with a genetic harvesting tool to control the population and consequently control rivalry?

The aforementioned strategy could be regarded as a very effective reparative practice to create balance or equality. This strategy would reduce mass protests against government corruption and dire public services. It can reduce high unemployment. It would eliminate impunity or the need to resort to enforced disappearances and unlawful killings between people. It would eliminate increased anxiety, depression, litigation, advocacy, and a host of unconscionable activities.

In fact, I believe that adopting a strategy aimed at repairing the social order through reparative approaches, which utilize genetic harvesting tools to identify optimal combinations of personalities, is essential for ending the various philosophies of appeasement—ranging from religion to dogma—that have accompanied humanity throughout

history, ultimately transforming myths from mere imagination into verifiable realities.

Put differently, it is true that the families/dynasties who control the United States seem to want to obtain their way despite the resistance of others around the world post-1945. Similarly, it is not a myth that the families and dynasties controlling Great Britain, China, Russia, Lebanon, Malawi, and 186 other families and dynasties in the remaining UN member states are all pursuing similar goals. If we add all the different religions, philosophies, ideas, intergovernmental organizations, international aid organizations, etc. that exist in each one of the existing 193 UN sovereign states, which are also being used by people to get their ways despite the resistance of others, we could say that we have today about more than 7.5 billion people who are all seeking to transform the world according to what each one thinks is the right way for mankind to cooperate on earth.

For example, a strategy based on a genetic harvesting approach that seeks an optimal combination of behaviors in the Middle East is an ideal way to reduce violence and the procreation of individuals of Palestinian, Lebanese, Syrian, or Israeli origin who have refused to maintain peaceful coexistence since 1945. Likewise, a strategy that is based on reducing the Omani, Jordanian, Saudi, Kuwaiti, Bahraini, and Qatari populations after the people of those countries failed to use their wealth to diversify their economies supports the idea that those people have no vision and are perhaps exactly as Adolf Hitler and the Nazi ideology regarded the Arab Muslims: parasites and sub-humans (half-apes that ought to feel the whip).¹⁹⁴

Actually, it is important to keep in mind that Nazi ideology placed both Jews and Arabs in the same low position on their racial hierarchy. However, if we compare how Jews transformed in the aftermath of World War II despite the hardships and persecution they faced with how Arabs transformed despite their wealth, support, and fitness, the situation appears hopeless.

Furthermore, if despite all the complaints and appeals that people (nations) raise or make to one another post-1945 to stir a sense of

¹⁹⁴ <https://www.quora.com/What-did-Nazis-think-of-Arabs>

responsibility and civic duty to reduce violence and accelerate progress towards negotiated solutions that contribute to global stability and safeguards, most governments seem to be busy working to see how to safeguard their own interests (or national interests), this means that any future legal or voluntary measure (or a combination) to help improve the situation or to make people start to obey should have no effect. For example, despite all the disorder that the families/dynasties who control Lebanon have created in this country from the start of the Lebanese civil war in 1975 to now, including legal sanctions imposed by the international community to make those responsible people reason, this country has now acclimatized to living in this culture of chaos. In other words, the United States could place every individual who lives in Lebanon on sanctions, and the measure would not intimidate them or stop everyone from continuing to resist until, of course, the United States accepts making Lebanon the 51st state or wipes this country out. This also means that any political solution that the United States introduces to make the Lebanese people go back to their senses and cooperate based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter would either be appeasement or useless.

In mathematics (or economics) an optimization problem is the problem of finding the best solution from all feasible solutions.¹⁹⁵ Optimization problems can be divided into two categories, depending on whether the variables are continuous or discrete. Humans are discrete variables. Humans assert that their wealth, prestige, power, or ideas should be considered continuous.

All people always claim that their aspirations for wealth, power, and prestige should be shared, even without optimizing humans. Since the emergence of mankind on earth, only a very small percentage of people have prioritized human rights considerations that place the welfare of others before their own security, which is typically the primary focus.

In applied mathematics, a local optimum for an optimization problem is an optimal solution (either maximal or minimal) within a neighboring set of candidate solutions. This is in contrast to a global

¹⁹⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Optimization_problem

optimum, which is the optimal solution among all possible solutions, not just those in a particular neighborhood of values.

If we consider that the purpose of the concept of human rights is not just a framework for people to share outcomes on equal rights and how people should be treated, but it is also a framework for how people should treat or address issues, we could say that genetic harvesting can be a great tool for the heirs of the old powers to help them preserve the societies whose behavioral and intellectual fitness criteria at the local level (i.e., national) or at the global level (i.e., worldwide) are satisfactory. For example, the heirs of the old powers gain nothing from having the people of Lebanon keep their social order dynamic. Creating this country, known as Lebanon after WW2, has only resulted in provocation. If we compare the behavior of the people living in Lebanon since 1945 to that of those in Norway, Sweden, or Denmark, one would expect the people in those Scandinavian countries to behave savagely, rather than the Lebanese people. The people who live in Lebanon live in a fair environment where the sun rises and sets like it does everywhere else, and it is not as harsh as in Scandinavian countries. In other words, because the Lebanese people are unable to comprehend the meaning of "tradeoff," which translates herein to mean that it could be perhaps a bliss that they are poor and cohabiting in an environment that is balanced instead of being rich and living half of their lives in total obscurity and the other half exposed to light from the sun as the people who live in those Scandinavian countries bear because no person can have it both ways, they [the Lebanese] have been since 1945 leading themselves and the international order astray.

When the heirs of the old powers adopt a genetic harvesting strategy that deletes the genomes of people who refuse to obey (or to be grateful) and keeps only the societies that are prepared to obey or surrender freedom, this exercise would not only purge the people who refuse to obey, but such actions would also purge societies that cling to traditions or old myths, which merely preserve the status quo.

For example, by purging the societies that manifest low behavioral fitness, as the Lebanese people have been scoring since 1975, and keeping societies that have higher fitness scores, such as the people who live in Norway, Sweden, Denmark, South Korea, China, Russia,

etc., the heirs of the old powers would be continuing to populate the world with people who are highly accomplished or cultured and, most importantly, who are capable of accepting to be tolerant (obey) or to have one or two babies.

In fact, if the heirs of the old powers consider crossover (i.e., recombining elements of good chromosomes from different genomes), they could produce newborns who are better fit, thus making it much easier to create long-lasting structures.

DNA mutations can alter the fundamental genetic information of any living being and may serve as an effective tool to gradually eliminate existing power structures or traditions. In other words, if we are to consider that until 1945 every nation had a constitution that its citizens used to follow to progress and work, but post-1945, all the nations agreed to abandon their constitutions and to adapt the work program stipulated under the UN Charter, but to this date most people refuse to relegate the ideas and viewpoints that are keeping them clinging to tribalism (or old myths), then if the heirs of the old powers do not want the evolution of the world to continue to be as it has been for the last 2000 years, made up of periods of peace and periods of violence, then they have no choice but to accelerate the DNA mutation to shrink the time it would take them to find the perfect living being.

Put differently, because the heirs of the old powers decided to change the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed to ensure lasting peace, preferring instead to delegate to the United Nations as of 1945 the task of accelerating the dumping by people of their traditions and values in favor of being modernized, only if the heirs of the old powers adopt a genetic harvesting strategy (DNA mutation) could they become able to make newborns continue to surrender freedom to them. This is because the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed stipulates that nations “freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social, and cultural development.”¹⁹⁶ If the heirs of the old powers want all the nations to adopt the policies that the United Nations formulates, this then signifies that the historical dynamic that mankind has known would not end. This is because when the leaders of existing

¹⁹⁶ <https://www.hawaii-nation.org/1514.html>

nations signed up to join the United Nations in 1945, they did so with the understanding that the work program they were agreeing to would allow them to freely determine their political status and pursue their economic, social, and cultural development. Most importantly, the generations of people who are growing up post-1945 have never signed on to a work program where the heirs of the old powers at the global level and the families/dynasties who control each existing nation at the national level (i.e., governments) are acting as the deputy of God on earth. And so, if we are to consider that nobody from the generation of people that was born signed into living in a world where specific families/dynasties in each country use the idea of government to use this apparatus to direct their violence at others through this concept, then if the heirs of the old powers want every newborn to obey and surrender freedom to the totalitarian governments that they have operating in each existing nation, they can reach their goal either by increasing death rates or testing with implementing a genetic harvesting strategy.

Then again, if we are to consider that humans evolved initially from starting off as a protein, algae, fish, chimpanzee, etc. to what is the case now, highly complex and highly functional beings who, with AI, are capable of solving an unquantifiable number of problems, which also means that the progress that this organism has known was due to mutation, this signifies that the only choice that the heirs of the old powers have to make newborns sign onto their work program is to implement a genetic harvesting strategy. This is because this strategy would cut, as I just said, the duration it would take those new people, so the continuous genetic mutations that they would be going through would make them be ready to obey.

For example, if all the DNA containing the genetic information of Lebanese people were eliminated, it would save the world significant money and effort in teaching future generations of Lebanese to cooperate based on the principles outlined in the UN charter, without the expectation of becoming a king or queen—something that British, Norwegian, Danish, Dutch, Indian, and many other societies are already prepared to accept.

“Walk the talk” is an opportunity for one to do what one says he/she can do or would like to do, not just make empty promises.¹⁹⁷ Hence, the families/dynasties who won WW2 (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) decided to not honor the work program that the founding fathers of the UN counselled them to respect to ensure lasting peace and are favoring that the families/dynasties who are controlling existing nations at the national level become just like them, the deputy of God, including allowing the United Nations to become an economic operator who buys and sells just like how businesses do it; then there is no doubt that this genetic harvesting strategy is a good scheme for anyone to hold on to power.

Indeed, this genetic harvesting idea is effective in preventing mankind from remaining entrenched in arrogance and opposition. The only problem is that this strategy considers evolution a function of mutation and that not all human progress is programmed by the gods.

In the Bible, specifically in the book of Genesis, it is reported that God instructed people to multiply and fill the earth, rather than to adopt a strategy aimed at improving humanity through a process that selects individuals based on the survival of the fittest.

I have no way of knowing if the God(s) would favor the families/dynasties who won WW2 (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) adopting an evolution strategy that is based on modifying human DNA to reduce the world population or to let only the earth become inhabited by people who have no objection to obeying them. This strategy contradicts what the religious textbooks report that God told people to do. It is possible to go ahead and change the text of the story of creation that appears in the Bible, but this tactic does not abolish the idea that a superpower could really exist.

For example, the movement that the people who formed the Oneida Community in the United States in 1848 established until their disintegration and collapse in 1881 is regarded by many historians as one of the most successful perfectionist religious communal societies that were formed in the 19th century.¹⁹⁸ In my opinion, the Hasidic

¹⁹⁷

movement is another example of a very successful perfectionist religious communal society that exists in modern times.

Historians report that the Oneida Perfectionists lived as one family, sharing work, property, and love, enabling them in the 32 years of their existence to build a viable social, religious, and economic enterprise. I believe that Jews in general, and the Hasidic movement specifically, are adopting a communal living or social system approach to improve the socioeconomic conditions of their members, similar to the successful methods used by the Oneida Perfectionists before their disintegration. In fact, I believe that the social order currently practiced by Jews in general and the Hasidic movement in particular is the most successful example of a regimented and regulated social structure in existence today.

If we draw a parallel between the social conservatism and social seclusion shared by Hasidic Jews and the Oneida Perfectionists, we can conclude that the two movements were quite similar in their devotional practices, as well as in their approaches to corporeality and cooperation in creating necessary bonds.

Then again, if the Oneida Perfectionists became divisive, this means that what could prompt the collapse of the communal living that the Jews in general and the Hasidic movement in particular have to be something that they are doing that is the inverse of what prompted the collapse of the Oneida Perfectionists.

I can identify only three differences between how people relying on Judaic tribalism after 1945 pursue their goals and how members of the Oneida Community operated before its disintegration.

The first involves the way the Jews are cooperating economically as compared to the Oneida Perfectionists. The Jews accepted capitalism (i.e., the industrial market society), whereas the people who lived in the Oneida community rejected this prospect.

¹⁹⁸<https://library.syr.edu/digital/guides/o/OneidaCommunityCollection/hsr1.htm#:~:text=The%20Oneida%20Perfectionists%20enjoyed%2032,the%20form%20of%20joint%20stock.>

The second involves the way the Jews are increasing in number. The Jews are not employing a planning system. Some historians say the Oneida Community's divisiveness and collapse was due to its 1869 family planning system. This family planning system was called stirpiculture.¹⁹⁹ The system stipulated that “people who were spiritually superior would have sex with one another in order to have children.” Other historians reported that the founder of the Oneida community wanted to pass leadership to his son.²⁰⁰

The third involves the way the members of each society have sex. The Oneida Perfectionists believed that there should not be any marriage. Every adult male and female were spouses to one another. The Jews are adopting monogamous marriage.

If we take those three parameters and examine them to find out how the Oneida Perfectionists stayed faithful to the teaching of the Bible and the way the Jews are presently doing it, we can put this relationship as shown in the below table (Yes: in accordance with God’s plan; No: not in accordance with God’s plan).

	<u>Oneida</u> <u>Perfectionists</u>	Jews <u>(Hassidic particularly)</u>
Economic Cooperation	Yes	No
Family Planning	No	Yes
Sex	No	Yes

If we extend the way the Oneida Perfectionists were living and the way the Jews (Hassidic Jews particularly) are doing to determine whose conduct can influence negatively or positively the power, wealth, and prestige of the heirs of the old powers, we could put this relationship as shown in the below table (Yes, they should be in favor; No, they should not be in favor).

¹⁹⁹ <https://www.bbc.com/reel/video/p08sbbn2/oneida-the-free-love-utopia-that-chased-immortality>

²⁰⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oneida_Community

	<u>Oneida</u> <u>Perfectionists</u>	Jews <u>(Hassidic particularly)</u>
Economic Cooperation	Yes	No
Family Planning	Yes	No
Sex	No	Yes

The table below illustrates how the behaviors of individuals from each movement align with the work program of God or the heirs of the old powers (i.e., the families/dynasties that control the international order of the money supply of the world).

	<u>Economic</u> <u>Cooperation</u>		<u>Family</u> <u>Planning</u>		<u>Sex</u>	
	Oneida	Jews	Oneida	Jews	Oneida	Jews
God	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Powerful families	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

It is not possible to analyze the impact of the Oneida perfectionists on the work program of God or the one that the heirs of the old powers would be in favor of so that this community maintains so they do not rival them. I will analyze only the approach that the Jews are adopting.

As the above table shows, it appears that Hassidic Jews are remaining faithful to the Biblical instructions given to their ancestors regarding sexual conduct, which aligns with the preferences of the heirs of the old powers for how followers of this faith should behave.

The above table shows that, as of 1945, the way Jews are managing their political and economic strength is contrary to the Biblical stipulations for how this society should operate. The way they are pursuing their family planning is in line with God's proposed work program. However, the above table also shows that the way the Jews are managing their political and economic strength and their family planning is a threat to the heirs of the old powers.

This conclusion is very serious and worrisome. If it contains any elements of truth, it means that if the business plan that the Jews in general and the Hasidic Jews in particular are pursuing continues to be as successful as it appears to be since 1945, in due time, the greed or

selfishness that exists in the hearts of people would begin to compete with them until eventually the most powerful resize the weakest. Likewise, this conclusion shows that if the people who are depending on Judaic tribalism post-1945 to get their way despite the resistance of others continue to adapt a free family planning approach, in due time, their large number along with their successful business plan could start to compete with the people of the wealthiest nations. For example, the table below shows the population growth of the wealthiest nations between 1960 and 2020 compared to that of the Jewish population.

Year	<u>Population in Million²⁰¹</u>			
	Israel	Sweden	Denmark	Norway
1960	2.1	7.5	4.6	3.6
2019	8.8	10.1	5.8	5.4

If the heirs of the old powers start to employ male continence as birth control to stop the Jews and the rest of the people who are depending on other tribalism ideas to grow, it would be very easy for the heirs of the old powers to justify how wealthy nations such as Sweden, Denmark, or Norway could continue to get their way despite the resistance of others, even if they are protected by the nations that are permanent members at the UN Security Council.

In other words, if the purpose of any intergovernmental body is to organize relationships, associations, and cooperation among existing nations through diplomatic, political, economic, and social means, it would be hard to suppose how the permanent members at the UN Security Council could justify that the people who live in countries that are largely populated in Africa, such as Nigeria, Ethiopia, or Egypt, remain united with Scandinavian countries for the purposes of solidarity and cooperation, except through adopting a good neighbor²⁰² policy similar to the one that the United States adopted with its neighbors in the western hemisphere.

The good neighbor policy that the United States adopted with its western hemisphere neighbors focused on non-intervention and non-interference in the domestic affairs of Latin American countries.

²⁰¹ <https://worldpopulationreview.com/countries/denmark-population>

²⁰² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Good_Neighbor_policy

Unfortunately, this good neighbor policy converted into a bad neighbor after its citizens' business interests started to be threatened or its access to natural resources started to be impeded, forcing this country to resort to military intervention or threats to coerce its neighbors to stay as good neighbors.

I can think of only two ways to make a largely populated country such as Egypt stay as a good neighbor with the Scandinavian countries. This policy entails having the nations that are protecting those three small Scandinavian countries, such as the United States and Great Britain, continue to support a military regime ruling Egypt or Egypt becoming resized and split into different countries.

I don't understand why the heirs of the old powers that control the international order backed away from letting Egypt become engulfed in a civil war to stop its people from gaining awareness. Likewise, as I said before, I am unsure if making the military in Egypt an economic operator in this large society is a good strategy instead of keeping it complex, small, or powerless. However, what I do know is that Egypt and Israel are neighbors. If those two countries continue to be good neighbors and to endeavor to foster the development of peaceful commercial and cultural relations, I cannot imagine how the state of Israel can continue to grow without formulating, eventually, a Pan-Israeli Arabian/African movement similar to the one that the United States maintains with its neighbors.

I do not know if the state of Israel can continue to grow without liberalizing its commercial relations with its neighbors or adopting a governance diplomacy strategy that would lead to the same limiting outcomes that the United States has adapted and managed to achieve with its neighbors in the Western Hemisphere (i.e., cultural diplomacy rather than economic diplomacy). The heirs of the old powers could convert Israel into a regional power in the same way they made Great Britain become the only great power until WW2 on a global scale. Great Britain is a small island. This small island has limited resources, and so does Israel as a country; its resources are very limited, which poses challenges for both nations in achieving and maintaining regional or global power status, particularly in terms of military strength, economic stability, and technological advancement. To make

Great Britain become a great power, the families/dynasties who controlled this monarch controlled the sea until the end of WW2. The heirs of the old powers used Great Britain to become the political seat and specific western European countries such as Norway, Denmark, Sweden, the Netherlands, and the United States in the western hemisphere to become their research and development (R&D) base and converted the eastern hemisphere into a reservoir of resources and the user or consumer of the ideas and material things produced in those R&D countries.

I don't know which of the three strategies the old powers' heirs will use to keep their strength and stability in this region. In other words, I have no way of knowing if the heirs of the old powers would choose to liberate the economy of the state of Israel with its neighbors, or adapt a cultural diplomacy between the state of Israel and its neighbors, or make the state of Israel become a controlling governance center just like Great Britain is to this day; the monarchs that exist in the Arabian Peninsula, an R&D center just like the case is now with the monarchs that exist in Norway, Denmark, and Sweden; Egypt, Syria, Iraq, and Lebanon as an industrial base; and Africa as the reservoir of resources and users.

Nonetheless, the heirs of the old powers will be unable to govern the diverse societies involved if they fail to maintain a firm hand, regardless of which strategy they adopt to control Israel and neighboring countries. Alternatively, to isolate the Arabian Peninsula and the African continent, the heirs of the old powers could separate these regions from Europe in a manner similar to how the Umayyad caliphate established a belt in northern Africa.

I cannot determine whether the stark similarity between past events and current occurrences is a result of history repeating itself or if people are intentionally shaping new events based on historical events they believe were successful.

However, if the past reveals anything that could be useful in the future, it can only be data. Past data repeatedly reveals that the idea of enlightenment seems to motivate people to prioritize liberty, human

rights, and freedom, which require either overthrowing the faction that denies them or satisfying society's best interests.

This signifies that if the heirs of the old powers do not let the people (societies) develop their own political status and freely pursue their economic, social, and cultural development, including having the rich nations make some concessions in terms of liberty to narrow the developmental gap between nations—go back to implementing the initial work program of the founding fathers of the UN—it looks as if mankind would continue to be on his way to “plow the sea,” as Simón Bolívar²⁰³ (1783–1830), a Venezuelan military leader and revolutionary, once said about the effectiveness of the revolution that he led to unite Spanish America.

Therefore, the choices that the heirs of the old powers must make to maintain their strength and stability are akin to what Simón Bolívar attempted to do in order to keep the South American countries united, until he ultimately succumbed to the ignominious suspicion of betraying the republican principles in order to establish a permanent dictatorship, or to construct the new pristine world order envisioned by the founding fathers of the UN.

I have no way of knowing which specific strategy from the afore two the heirs of the old powers plan to pick to maintain their strength and stability. In other words, if the heirs of the old powers wage on wanting to maintain their strength and stability through supporting constitutional congresses that represent wisdom but assign charismatic political figures who depend on undemocratic processes to rule, as happened throughout the history of Spanish America, which Simón Bolívar referred to as a Caudillismo phenomenon,²⁰⁴ or if they would choose to go back and gather around the pristine work program that the founding fathers of the UN sketched, we know that when our ancestors gathered around such a strategy in antiquity, they were able to remain united and to increase their happiness.

²⁰³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sim%C3%B3n_Bol%C3%ADvar#Final_months_and_death

²⁰⁴ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Caudillo>

Evidently, if the heirs of the old powers choose to want to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them in a rarefied environment, cornered by fractional disputes, the success of their strategy would depend on their capacity to ensure that they are capable of regulating low-intensity conflicts²⁰⁵ as happened throughout the history of Spanish America, including ensuring that the people whom they would help to rise to power keep their dependent people suppressed and away from any form of knowledge or information that has the power to influence them to emulate the actions or the upheavals that those who refuse to live under domination in other geographical places manifest. If the heirs of the old powers choose to return to the founding fathers of the UN's work program, their strategy's success would depend on how many people they grant the right to be God's deputy on earth. I suggest investigating the implications of having many deputies of God on earth in the next chapter.

²⁰⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Low-intensity_conflict

4

Flood Tide

The Inca Empire was “the last chapter of thousands of years of Andean civilizations.”²⁰⁶ Scholars claim that the Incas were able to construct one of the greatest imperial states in human history without the use of the wheel, draft animals, knowledge of iron or steel, or even a system of writing.²⁰⁷ Scholars also agree that the Inca Empire was an amalgamation of languages, cultures, and peoples.²⁰⁸ This also means that the subjects of the empire were not all uniformly loyal, nor were the local cultures all fully integrated, which likely led to varying degrees of resistance and adaptation among the different groups within the empire. Most importantly, historians also agree that the Inca (meaning rulers or lords) were a very small percentage of the total population of the empire, probably numbering only 15,000 to 40,000, but ruling a population of around 10 million people.²⁰⁹

The ruling families/dynasties may have a very small number of people acting as their deputies on earth post-1945, but I do know there are 193 UN member states. Analyzing how charismatic political figures transformed Lebanon (10,542 km², 5 million people) in partnership with the UN since 1945, we could definitely say that monks and children are the only ones who do not believe they are God's deputy on earth; the rest do.

I don't know if the rest of the world has as many people claiming to be gods as Lebanon. What I do know is that in addition to all the

²⁰⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inca_Empire#Antecedents

²⁰⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inca_Empire

²⁰⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inca_Empire#Expansion_and_consolidation

²⁰⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inca_Empire#Etymology

Lebanese who have been acting as the deputy of God on earth since 1945, the heirs of the old powers have granted the right to more than a half a million UN staff (UN system) to act as the deputy of God on earth but with license to enjoy privileges and immunities.

Historians claim that in 1438, Sapa Inca (paramount leader) Pachacuti-Cusi Yupanqui,²¹⁰ (1418 -1472) whose name meant "earth-shaker," managed with the help of his son to bring much of the modern-day territory of Peru under Inca control, thus starting the impetus that built the Inca Empire.

The official language of the Inca Empire was Quechua.²¹¹ In Quechua "Pachakutiq" means "he who overturns space and time" (though more commonly translated as "earth shaker"), and "Yupanki" means "with honor."

I do not know if the United Nations is shaking space and time for the heirs of the old powers in the same way that Sapa Inca (paramount leader) Pachacuti-Cusi Yupanqui transformed his community 500 years ago from a society that did not use the wheel or draft animals and lacked a system of writing into one of the most successful empires. What I do know is that the Incas had a moral code that most historians believe helped the empire grow. This moral code was the Inca moral code – ama suwa, ama llulla, ama quella (do not steal, do not lie, do not be lazy).²¹²

Therefore, since the only man-made reason that could have prompted this rise in ignorance, tyranny, and vice that emerged in the world post-1945 can only be blamed on the decision of the heirs of the old powers to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed to ensure lasting peace, in favor of having the United Nations shake the earth to obtain moral codes that were not specified in the UN charter, then we can say that what is plaguing the international order is an overwhelming state of total ignorance, inexperience, and lack of reason.

²¹⁰ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pachacuti>

²¹¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quechua_languages

²¹² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inca_Empire#Religion

This also means that to undo this systemic stage of ignorance that the United Nations conducted mankind to know after it started to teach people to steal, lie, and be lazy, instead of teaching them to turn in every four months a large quill full of live lice,²¹³ as was the Inca's way of teaching and accustoming their dependents in the empire so they do not be lazy and learn to pay tribute, and to transition back to a developmental strategy that could put the international order back on the right path, the United Nations must go back to being what the founding fathers of the UN promulgated this organization to serve, and existing governments must cooperate based on the work program that is stipulated in the UN Charter or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Of course, the heirs of the old powers could continue to rely on war, low-intensity conflicts, AI, and tyranny to maintain their strength and stability—the extremes that could overwhelm the international order.

Carlos Fuentes Macías (1928–2012) was a Mexican novelist and essayist.²¹⁴ In his book, *El Espejo Enterrado*,²¹⁵ Fuentes suggested that Simón Bolívar was always wary about aiming at “what is impossible to attain, because in the quest for liberty we may fall into tyranny.” Fuentes said that Bolívar’s concerns stem from his belief that “absolute liberty always leads to absolute power, and among these two extremes is social liberty.”

Therefore, since the founding fathers of the UN proposed finding social liberty in the same way that Simón Bolívar suggested—specifically, “to find equilibrium through a strong executive power able to impose equality where racial inequality prevailed”—the heirs of the old powers must decide between two choices for the way forward, which also reflect ideas that Fuentes reported Bolívar believed in. The first choice is to wager on continuing to let the United Nations preach liberty while mankind falls reciprocally into tyranny, and the second involves going back to implementing the work program

²¹³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inca_Empire#Expansion_and_consolidation

²¹⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carlos_Fuentes

²¹⁵

<https://www.amazon.com/espejo-enterrado-Buried-Mirror-Spanish/dp/607110614>

that the founding fathers of the UN proposed, because it is the only dogma that makes the social order adapt to existing cultures, which is what Montesquieu²¹⁶ (1689 – 1755), a French judge and political philosopher, also believed in to ensure lasting peace. I believe that the US's ability to find balance until 1945 was due to its leaders' power to adapt its institutions to its citizens' awareness.

Then again, if we factor in how all the justified or unjustified attempts that the United States made to reorganize the political administration of some poor nations since 1945 to make them be ready to consider its opinion before taking action on their own or cooperating democratically were all fraught with failure until now, this means that this hostility that the United States is encountering cannot be due to any other flaw than an animosity in principle.

In other words, if we consider that each nation has become post-1945, as William Fulbright described the Communist bloc before its collapse as a “monolith composed of governments that are not really governments at all but organized conspiracies, divided among themselves perhaps in certain matters of tactics, but all equally resolute and implacable in their determination to destroy the free world,” this means that the United States' power and policy are nothing but an obstacle in front of the ongoing imperialism that the United Nations has spent the last 75 years teaching people to be devoted to pursuing.

For example, up to 1945, the western European nations ensured that their economic interests were protected by practicing a form of imperialism that was based on working closely with the local rulers of the poor nations to facilitate this operation. Obviously, the new form of imperialism that the world is confronting post-1945 (i.e., AI) is beyond the control of both the local rulers of poor nations and the rulers of powerful nations, because its exercise happens in space rather than on land on Earth.

Put differently, since the western Europeans believed that they were the fittest, and on the basis of this social Darwinism idea, they fostered their imperialistic expansion around the world until 1945—but as of

²¹⁶ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Montesquieu>

1945, they [the western Europeans] and the new powerful nations that started to compete with them, such as the United States, China, Russia, and others, started to practice imperialism in space and not on land on earth only—this means that there is now an opening on earth for the people that are the fittest to conquer the weak. Evidently, given that the population of China is the largest in the world²¹⁷ (1.42 billion) on earth, then it is normal that their economic and political fitness outshines all the other existing nations.

Likewise, rivalry is natural; this phenomenon suggests that the hostility the United States has faced since 1945, ranging from animosity to rapaciousness, may result from an ongoing natural selection process occurring on Earth. In other words, the people's unpreparedness in nations where the US meddled politically—pressuring them to prioritize US interests or to cooperate democratically—stemmed from an ongoing societal struggle to determine the fittest, rather than from any US weaknesses in promoting global peace and security.

Furthermore, because people will always seek to increase their happiness rather than gather around the noble ideas that the United States is genuinely preaching or peddling, the more this country employs force to fix flawed democracies, the more the nations whose political status this country interfered in, or the ones who are on the sidelines watching, would be interpreting its actions because of the "arrogance of power" dilemma. This means that the United States could be living exactly what Simón Bolívar went through when he decided to engage in power politics to strengthen freedom and social justice in Spanish America before his death. Most importantly, the United States faces one of two choices: The first is that its ongoing efforts to combine two incompatible moral roles (i.e., protector of freedom and social justice and its quest to increase its power to secure the former) would end up bringing this nation exactly to where Simón Bolívar or Christ was forced. In the case of Simón Bolívar, he became disillusioned, and he gave up, as I said before; whereas, in the case of Christ, he was crucified.

²¹⁷ [https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2018/07/11/world-population-day/#:~:text=More%20than%20half%20of%20all,by%20India%20\(1.35%20billion\).](https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2018/07/11/world-population-day/#:~:text=More%20than%20half%20of%20all,by%20India%20(1.35%20billion).)

Given that Simón Bolívar and Christ were largely victims of their own ideological convictions, as the people they sought to transform became the true beneficiaries, the United States must decide whether to align itself with the United Nations' post-1945 work program aimed at creating political unity or the program proposed by the UN's founding fathers before 1945. The goal is to avoid ending up “plowing the sea,” as Simón Bolívar felt his attempt to organize Spanish America was prompting, which does not appear any different from the foreign policy that the US seems to be adopting as of 2016, including withdrawal to restore the world.

To avoid the United States facing a fate similar to that of Christ, the country must restructure the United Nations so that this intergovernmental organization can return to the role envisioned by the UN's founding fathers, which supports nations in determining their own political status and pursuing their own economic, social, and cultural development. This is because the United Nations is the only intergovernmental international organization—an artificial person—whose power is nowhere and everywhere, just like God.

In other words, if this intergovernmental international organization continues to make people reject poverty and shame in the world, the only soul that those disenchanted people are going to want to crucify is the soul of the United States. This is to avoid ending up “plowing the sea,” as Simón Bolívar felt his attempt to organize Spanish America was prompting, which does not appear any different from the foreign policy that the US seems to be adopting as of 2016, including withdrawal to fix the world.

The US can test its legitimacy by letting the UN endorse its rule, but it must also accept that, as William Fulbright said in 1964, “those who move events and make history are those who have understood how little, not how much, it is within our power to change.”

Therefore, as long as the United States tries to combine two morally incompatible roles (i.e., the global policeman charged with ending social injustice but allowing regimes that are not cooperating with their dependents' people based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights), then the only

parameter that we could say is at stake here is the traditional American values, because all humans are mortal.

In other words, as long as the United States remains unprepared to put pressure on its principal partners (Great Britain and the rest of the western European powers along with the state of Israel) to either cooperate based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter or to stop them from trading with the nations that the US wants its people to cooperate democratically with or even start to consider its opinion before taking action on their own, the poor in the countries that are living under domination by their local rulers side with them and thus are the ones that would be doing their fight to ensure that the American values prevail; this country wants to continue to be involved in traditional power politics.

To give an example, instead of the United States using its “responsibilities of power” on the aftermath of the Lebanese civil war to sanction the countries that are trading with the Lebanese people or giving them any financial support, thus giving the Lebanese enough time to think over whether they want to revamp the constitution of this country, ending this traditional tribal way that the power players in this country are still using to conduct politics till now, this country [the US] is sanctioning the power players [i.e., Lebanese politicians] whom its partners [i.e., other regional powers] are empowering in this country to sustain the old myths that the people of Lebanon are still adapting to.

Likewise, instead of the United States siding with the wish of the people of Egypt to transform the country into a civilian government in 2011, this country [the US] has been using its “responsibilities of power” since 1945 to sustain a political system that everyone knows, except the United States, is analgesic, which would eventually collapse or be a big threat for the US in the region.

Most importantly, instead of the United States supporting any society that wants to split from its own community, as the founding fathers of the UN counselled, this country [the US] has been using its “responsibilities of power” since 1945 to protect the old powers and

their interests, including the artificial monarchs that they created around the world to serve their interests.

Nikah mut'ah²¹⁸ (literally "pleasure marriage") is a temporary marriage contract that is practiced in Islam that many Muslims and Western scholars categorize as an Islamic attempt to religiously sanction prostitution, which is otherwise forbidden.

There is no definite prescribed minimum or maximum duration for the practice of the Nikah mut'ah concept. The Oxford Dictionary of Islam indicates the minimum duration of the marriage is debatable, and durations of at least three days, three months, or one year have been suggested.²¹⁹

I have no way of knowing if the strategy that the old powers (Western European powers) adopted to dismantle the social order that the Turks built in the Arabian Peninsula over hundreds of years and to replace it with the structures that currently exist (i.e., monarchs) was intended to serve their short-term or long-term interests. Nonetheless, the form of Nikah mut'ah that the old powers seem to have practiced at the end of WW1 (i.e., taking simple chiefdoms and converting them into kingdoms) does not appear to be helping the world systematize its entire legal framework under a specific jurisprudence, which would only continue to expand over time. To the contrary, the way science evolved seems to point to the fact that mankind's pursuit to obtain energy from natural resources such as sunlight, wind, or water (i.e., green energy) has left the old powers with an elephant in their hands. This is because the sun or the wind does not only shine over their heads but also over the heads of the people that they empowered to be like them, the deputy of God on earth. In fact, the large number of high rises that were built in the new monarchies that were set up by the old powers in the Arabian Peninsula and elsewhere in the aftermath of WW1 seem to rise much higher than most of the towers that those old powers built from WW1 to now.

²¹⁸https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nikah_mut%27ah#:~:text=Nikah%20mut%27ah%20Arabic%3A%20%D9%86%D9%83%D8%A7%D8%AD,and%20agreed%20upon%20in%20advance.

²¹⁹<https://books.google.com/books?id=6VeCwQfVNjkC&pg=PA221&dq=Mutah&hl=en&sa=X&ei=QVhdUaPxDcjRrQeW54AY&ved=0CEoQ6AEwBjge#v=onepage&q=Mutah&f=false>

For example, some scholars predict that the absolute monarchs (and false republics) created by the old powers in the late 19th and early 20th centuries to protect their resources would be unable to build higher skyscrapers than those the great powers are building in their own nations. However, such limiting development cannot prevent one of the heirs of the standing monarchs from naming himself king of kings²²⁰ as Mohammad Reza Pahlavi²²¹ (1919 – 1980) ordained himself in 1967, or a new liberator like Simón Bolívar emerging in any of those monarchs (or false republics) who would want to establish justice and peace in the whole earth.

I do not know how the message that Jesus preached differed from the values or principles that Moses initially taught. Equally, I also don't know how Muhammad's message differed from Jesus' or Moses'. Similarly, the message that even Simón Bolívar was teaching is the one that Moses, Jesus, or Muhammad preached. Such teachings led people under each distinct period to choose to reject wanting to surrender freedom to the authorities that were ruling over them in order to surrender freedom to the ideas that those aforementioned messengers and philosophers had. However, it seems as if post-1945, instead of mankind becoming united to implement the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed, all the members of the human family are working to conform the social order to make it operate in accordance with all the philosophies that exist minus the one that the founding fathers of the UN proposed, which aimed to promote peace, security, and cooperation among nations, leading to a fragmented global landscape where conflicts and divisions persist rather than the unity envisioned by the UN's founders.

Likewise, I have no way of knowing if the political situation that existed when Moses, Jesus, Muhammad, and Simón Bolívar lived was as dynamic as it appears to be in modern times. I think the question that begs an answer is whether the heirs of the old powers are going to emerge as victorious as Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad were in the long run or if their success will be temporary just like the one that Simón Bolívar attained.

²²⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/King_of_Kings

²²¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mohammad_Reza_Pahlavi

However, the only message that any new Liberator can convey is what the heirs of the old powers should have already understood; the only truth that, in my opinion, needs to be addressed was articulated by William Fulbright in 1964. Fulbright counselled the families/dynasties who control the United States to always recognize that “those who move events and make history are those who have understood how little, not how much, it is within our power to change.”

I do not know what set of facts William Fulbright was trying to caution the families/dynasties who control the United States to be cautious about emulating the past history to avoid the United States becoming resized. Hence, it is highly unlikely that Fulbright could have been reminding his fellow countrymen about the importance of being faithful to values or principles or about how to run the US’s politics, because every circumstance requires its own distinct political tactic to resolve. I can only presume that he was cautioning his fellow compatriots to remember the way the elemental spiritual forces of this world work on their own and to keep sight of the limitations of mankind.

Utopian socialism is often described as the presentation of visions and outlines for imaginary or futuristic ideal societies, with positive ideals being the main reason for moving society in such a direction.²²² No one knows if this concept is a reactionary perception that the mind generates as a result of all the wickedness that mankind causes or if this concept is a programmed plan and human class struggle makes people become unable to demonstrate that it is possible to be built.

Then again, considering that all the visions that people came up with throughout history to build an ethically just social order resulted subsequent to a class struggle that encompassed lots of trials and difficulties until only those who persevered or lasted till the end were the victors, the question that also begs an answer centers on knowing whether this filtering that always produces one victor is guided by an invisible hand or if the circumstances, environment, nature, people, facts, customs, traditions, and economic or military power of people produce this outcome.

²²² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Utopian_socialism

Plato (428 BC – 348 BC) and Aristotle (384 BC – 322 BC) are generally regarded as the two greatest figures of Western philosophy.²²³ Aristotle was the student of Plato. According to a conventional view, Plato’s philosophy was “abstract and utopian,” while Aristotle’s philosophy was “empirical, practical, and commonsensical.” Furthermore, most scholars agree that the differences between the two philosophers were related to how each interpreted the truth connection among all things. Plato believed that the connection point was upwards to the heavens, and Aristotle believed that it pointed downward to the world.

I do not know if objects exist independently of any observer (Plato’s view) and/or if objects exist inside the mind of an observer (Aristotle’s view) to know which view between those two holds the answers to knowing what is there. Most importantly, I do not know why the literary or scientific work that Aristotle examined, and particularly his work that was posthumously published and titled “Metaphysics” (after the physics), received so much attention until it became an entire philosophical discipline.

Indeed, there is a close analogy between the immense attention that Aristotle’s work (i.e., his book, which was titled “Metaphysics” posthumously) has benefited from during the past two thousand years now, along with the scientific method that sprouted from it, and the equivalent immense attention that people are giving to the field of computer science to help them examine “the fundamental nature of reality, including the relationship between mind and matter, between substance and attribute, and between potentiality and actuality.”²²⁴

I do not know if the underlying themes from Aristotle's treatise, which led to the discovery of the scientific method and now influence the field of computer science, are helping humanity resolve questions related to existence, objects and their properties, space and time, cause

²²³

<https://www.amazon.com/Metaphysics-Great-Books-Philosophy/dp/0879756713#:~:text=Written%20in%20the%204th%20century,yet%20remain%20the%20somehow%20same.>

²²⁴ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metaphysics>

and effect, and possibility. Nonetheless, the irrational or selfish behavior that humans continue to manifest to the present day suggests that this strategy of observing how things behave, codifying the behavior, and doing the science is not helping mankind to understand the first causes. As Aristotle did put it, it is helping mankind to ensure lasting peace.

To the contrary, we could say that since philosophers and scientists began to want to depend on Aristotle's work to understand the world or use the scientific method that ensued from it, including the new method that is now in use and was called "computer science," and instead of all these methods helping humanity to answer abstract questions about the structure of the world, including explaining our everyday perception of the world, the inductive or deductive reasoning that is ensuing seems to be plunging man in greater uncertainty.

The heirs of the old powers can continue to use their influence to encourage newborns to understand the world by exploring the underlying causes and principles of existence, just as their predecessors did. But if it has not been self-evident so far that this endeavor is altering human behavior, despite two thousand years of attempts to understand physical change, then if everything remains somehow the same, this means that if humans do not start to connect and point upwards to the heavens, Aristotle's decision to make humans point downward could spiral the world into an irreparable condition or a point of no return.

Putting it differently, if we go and analyze the distinct political context that Moses, Jesus, or Muhammad dealt with and how in each circumstance those three people (or prophets) managed to change the political context in their favor in due time (Moses through the interjection of a god via the natural system, Jesus through surrendering to domination, and Muhammad through waging wars against disbelievers in Mecca), we cannot but agree with what Fulbright counselled about the powerlessness of man to change the direction of events no matter how tight the political grip of a given faction is on the social order or disorder. For example, Moses resized a political order that was highly dominant (Pharaohs), while Mohammad resized a

chaotic political system (Meccans) that can definitely be compared to the prevailing international social order that emerged post-1945.

Furthermore, if we compare how “supposedly” God was fighting Moses’s own wars, but then he [God] turned his back on him [Numbers 20:12], yet in the case of Jesus, God stayed neutral, but most importantly, in the case of Muhammad, God “supposedly” left Muhammad to fight his own wars, then got his teaching to rise in this chaotic system that it was thrown in until it became one of the most successful social and political systems that the world has known to date, signifying that the heirs of the old powers are up against two choices. They [the heirs of the old powers] can continue to try to work around this invisible design that man does not appear to possess the power to defeat or alter its course, as the past world history attests to that. In other words, the heirs of the old powers can continue to allow their thinkers to come up with arguments to support why they are unable to finally direct all the ethics, morality, knowledge, justification, belief, logic, methods of science, theories of math, and rationality that exist to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them on the grounds that the entire state of the system is not fully visible to an external sensor. The heirs of the old powers can also accept the UN founders' social or political work program, which is similar to God's proposal, in the hope that the future will change the conditions that matter to them.

Then again, if some relationships in this world can only be changed through rationality, this means that as long as the heirs of the old powers continue to allow the families/dynasties who control the existing nations to cling to irrational conduct, comprehending the ultimate nature of reality is impossible. This also means that if the heirs of the old powers continue to favor that the families/dynasties who control the existing nations refuse to let people cooperate in accordance with the truth that seems to explain the most fundamental features of the world (i.e., the idea of good), then there is a good chance that two thousand years from now, the people who would be existing then would still be doing what it is now our turn to do. That is to categorize that which is, or that which exists, to ultimately understand the first causes. And so, the heirs of the old powers can continue to want to maintain their strength and stability by aligning

mankind on the same trajectory that their predecessors took since Aristotle published his work in the hope that when their thinkers discover what causes a ball that gets smacked by another ball to move and what prompts it to not move, they will also discover what prompts people to refuse to surrender freedom. But as I said, if all the information that humans collect and investigate does not change human nature, then all the formulas or ideas that the newborns will be coming up with could be encapsulating mankind in a labyrinth from which there is no exit without paying first a big price. This is because we have no way of knowing if the future will resemble the past.

Therefore, the critical issue is not whether humanity or human progress will continue, especially since every newborn ultimately faces death, but rather whether the families or dynasties that see themselves as God's representatives on earth (i.e., those that control the existing nations) wish to persist in relying on probability. This means that if the heirs of the old powers do not wish to replicate past history, all the scientific progress they are relying on to help maintain their strength and stability must be capable of predicting what will happen tomorrow. If scientific progress fails to predict what will happen tomorrow, the heirs of the old powers will continue to believe they are merely reliving the same past experience. Furthermore, the constant production of created things by humans would lead to constant changes, while human nature remains unchanged; this means that as mankind continues to develop chaotically as has been the case since humanity decided to take Aristotle's suggestion and to make the mind point down to the world instead of upward, the drawback on humanity could be much worse than the wars and insecurity that the world has known for more than two thousand years now.

To put it differently, until the end of WW2, people resorted to politics to serve religion and vice versa. From 1945 onward, people (societies) began to use AI and machine learning to serve politics or vice versa. Therefore, AI and machine learning are more effective tools for controlling behavior than religion; it is common for competing societies to rely on AI or machine learning rather than religion to achieve their goals of gaining control over one another more quickly. For example, until 1950, when a driver was exceeding the speed limit, cops used to rely on their wristwatches to determine who was driving

within it and who was not. Presently, a speed gun provides this information.

Then again, throughout history, anytime people introduced a new religion to control each other's behavior, the new creed in question did not spread or become accepted without the people who were spreading or legitimizing their creed resorting to violence or force to impose their new ideas or relative faith. This means it is highly likely that people who resort to science (AI or machine learning) will not be able to transform the conditions and outcomes that matter to them, despite others' resistance, without resorting to violence or force.

Redundancy is defined as the inclusion of extra components which are not strictly necessary to functioning, in case of failure in other components.²²⁵ I have no way of knowing how the faction of the population that depends on religion to get its way despite the resistance of others, or the faction that uses the idea of government to achieve the same, or now the faction that is using AI or machine learning for the sake of transforming the conditions and outcomes that matter to the maintenance of its own strength and stability, plans to resolve who would surrender to the other first to avoid any redundancy, or if there would be a need for all three of them to control people's behavior. However, what I do know is that in the real physical world, it is very easy to look at three people who are running on a course and to estimate where they are going to be at a later point in time, including who has a better chance of arriving first. This happens because we assume that the uncertainties in the speed and position of each runner are so small that we can't detect them. In other words, we assume that the conditions that are prevailing will not change markedly.

Sadly, predicting how the international order will change is not as simple as watching three runners race and using human perception to guess who will win, because countless people and things are causing changes that must be considered, including geopolitical shifts, economic factors, and social movements that influence global dynamics.

²²⁵ <https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com/us/definition/english/redundancy>

I do not know if our relative size in the universe to large bodies such as planets is comparable to the relative size that atomic-sized particles exhibit. In quantum mechanics, the Heisenberg Uncertainty principle²²⁶ developed by German physicist Werner Heisenberg²²⁷ (1901 – 1976), a German theoretical physicist, is an idea that asserts that it is not possible to simultaneously know the exact position and the exact speed of a particle (an object). If rivalry behaves in the same way atomic-sized particles in physics do, this means that it is not possible to know how close or how far the three apparatuses that humans depend on to control each other, i.e., religion, the idea of government, and AI or machine learning, are from clashing with one another to determine which faction would begin to impose its rules before the others.

The problem is that religion is a behavioral-controlling tool that grants a person the right to ignore or to conform with the dictates that the scriptures prescribe. However, when it comes to AI or machine learning, a person cannot override the limitations that such tools impose on their behavior. In other words, if a person forgets the password for his phone, he has no way of knowing how to have it reinstated unless the company that produced it unlocks it. This means that since religion permits a person to obey or disobey, but AI or machine learning does not offer this flexibility, this signifies that when the mind of a person becomes neutralized, the human body can only behave in the same way physicists suggest atomic-sized particles function.

As I said before, according to the uncertainty principles, it is not possible to simultaneously know the exact position and the exact speed of a particle (an object) at the quantum level. In other words, if an investigator wants to predict or know the precise position of a particle(s), that investigator cannot know the precise momentum of those particle(s) and vice versa. If a person wants to maintain his strength and stability, he needs to have both.

For example, after the families/dynasties who won WW2 (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) decided to deviate from the world program that the founding fathers proposed to control the international order

²²⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uncertainty_principle

²²⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Werner_Heisenberg

and began to rely on science (AI or machine learning) to control people's behavior instead of relying on what the founding fathers of the UN counseled, they [the heirs of the old powers] managed to know people's positions but seemed to have forgone becoming capable of controlling the people's motion in the process.

In the book, *The Prison*,²²⁸ I suggest how the international world order that the heirs of the old powers designed was supposed to function in the same way a prison works, whereby each cell represents each existing nation. Although the heirs of the old powers could consider slowing down the movement of people between nations on a global scale by spreading diseases, this solution unfortunately deviates from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN advised them to follow in order to maintain their strength and stability. This is because this strategy increases the uncertainty rather than decreasing it.

To illustrate an example, let's take a clock and let's say we want to go from 6 a.m. to 7 a.m. Using half-measures means that first we get 6:30 a.m., then we go to 6:45 a.m., then we go to 6:52 and 30 seconds, and on and on until we supposedly reach 7 a.m. Let each measure refer to the size of the different nations. When the heirs of the old powers slow down the movement of people to limit their entropy within the confines of each geographical boundary, this strategy does not reduce the progression of uncertainty; instead, it redistributes the uncertainty across the size of each respective measure.

Perhaps the best way to understand the aforementioned concept is to compare it to the behavior of a wave. The amplitude refers to the distance from the peak of the wave to its resting point, which is the level of calm water. This means that instead of the height of the uncertainty amplitude being high, when the uncertainty is spread along the size of each measure (i.e., existing nation), the distance from the peak of the wave to its resting point would be shorter, but this does not mean that the wave (energy) dissipates.

Rivalry does not slow down when people's motion slows down. When people are slowed down, rivalry increases because they become less focused on their own concerns and more focused on their neighbors.

²²⁸ Book published by Salim Maloof in 2017 www.centermpa.com

To understand the effect of slowing down people's motion, we can draw an analogy with the functioning of loudspeakers. If we take a loudspeaker and place little bits of polystyrene in the area that vibrates or pulses (the area where the sound is heard), we can observe that when we turn the volume knob on the equipment that is connected to the loudspeaker, the bits of polystyrene will start to move in an upward and downward movement.²²⁹ When a loudspeaker generates sound, it is actually causing its surface to pulsate (i.e., move up and down repeatedly), and this pulsation (vibration) bombards the nearby air molecules. Then the air molecules that were prompted to vibrate will collide with the next set of air molecules that are right in front of them, and so forth, eventually transferring this little pulse away from the speaker.

When the movement of the air molecules vibrating as a consequence of this pulsing of the speaker is low, this means that we have fewer pulses in any unit of time. But if the air molecules move fast, we get more pulses per unit time.

What this means is that when we placed the bits of polystyrene on the speaker and started to bombard the air molecules, those bits did not travel away from the surface of the loudspeaker in the direction in which the air molecules that were bombarding were moving but remained doing their up-and-down bouncing on the loudspeaker. This means that matter does not move through waves; only energy does. This signifies that if the heirs of the old powers slow down the motion of people and start to make them pulsate in their place, they could never arrive afterwards to control rivalry because the energy that they would be pulsating, its frequency, would be fast. When the distance between wavelengths is shorter, the more energy a wave has.

Hence, governments would have no choice but to tighten law and order when the entropy (i.e., energy) of their citizens becomes high; this situation would present another challenge for the heirs of the old powers.

When AI or machine learning starts to be used to regulate all the entropy that people produce, the ultimate goal of the families/dynasties

²²⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsQL-sXZOLc>

who control (operate) such tools would be to progress law and order to a standard in which all members of the human family would start to respect the same norms. For people (societies) to maintain the same degree of entropy worldwide, the change of entropy that people (societies) release must be within a threshold or a spectrum that cannot go above a certain intensity. This means that the families/dynasties who control AI or machine learning would have to constrict the entropy of the people (societies) whose disorder is high to keep it within a tolerable spectrum.

In physics, when a laser spot is projected on a wall through a very narrow slit. As the slit opening narrows, the projected laser spot light becomes smaller. However, after a certain minimum slit opening, instead of the laser light continuing to be restricted to the projected wall, the spot on the wall starts getting wider. In other words, the narrower the restriction gets, the wider the spot on the wall becomes.

Put differently, if the same uncertainty about what happens when a laser light passes through a narrow slit occurs when humans' freedom gets restricted, this means that if the heirs of the old powers choose to restrict or slow down the momentum of people to within their respective geographical spaces, including factoring in using AI or machine learning to control people's behavior, the uncertainty of the social order would become much more blurred than if they were to go ahead and keep the social order continuing to be heterogeneous as they started doing post-1945.

In other words, if the heirs of the old powers choose to back away from pursuing this work program that the United Nations started to make people give up being content with their own living conditions in order to be devoted to ending poverty and injustice, including making existing nations go back to resolving their political and economic status on their own as the founding fathers of the UN proposed but while using AI or machine learning to control the societies (nations) that they want them to consider their opinion before taking action on their own, they [the heirs of the old powers] would accelerate the unpreparedness of people to obey until an adversary rises up against them and disintegrates their power.

Said differently, if the heirs of the old powers choose to stop this largely unrestricted trade and widespread piracy that emerged in the world post-1945 in order to have governments take a more active role in their economy and society issues exactly as the founding fathers of the UN counselled, but without requiring that the families/dynasties who control existing nations or the factions that protect them relinquish control of their regimes to the voting public in order to cooperate based on the principles that the founding fathers stipulated in the UN Charter, there is a good chance that the social order of each nation could convert to what it was like in Japan when the families/dynasties who controlled this country practiced Sakoku²³⁰ (i.e., period of national isolationism) from 1633 to 1853.

According to historians, the United States forced the families/dynasties who control Japan to lift the restrictions that the families/dynasties involved had placed on commerce and foreign relations. If the families/dynasties that control existing nations continue to deviate away from the work program suggested in the UN Charter and to practice national isolationism or control the unrestricted trade or widespread piracy or unrestricted foreign policy, including letting the United Nations teach people to reject being content in favor of being devoted to ending poverty and injustice, this could prompt the existing nations to hold each other in awe, thus increasing the struggle of each nation for power. When the families/dynasties who control existing nations start to pursue their self-interest to ensure their own security or survival, there is a good chance that those families/dynasties start to compete with one another. This would mean that the families/dynasties who control existing nations would need to form alliances to improve their internal or external relative level of power.

Consider the defensive perspective that existing nations adopt when they begin to address the security dilemma arising from their shift in relationships from horizontal to vertical, ultimately leading to the emergence of a great power that uses its relative economic and military strength to influence weaker nations, compelling them to consider its opinions before acting independently.

²³⁰<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sakoku#:~:text=The%20policy%20was%20enacted%20by,through%20a%20series%20of%20treaties.>

The Bible claims that Solomon was a king that God had granted wisdom, wealth, honor, and power so that there would never be anyone like him, nor will there ever be. [1 Kings 3:10-13] I don't know if the heirs of the old powers can bring peace or safety to earth like Solomon did. [1Kings 4:24]

In other words, I do not know if the AI and machine learning that the heirs of the old powers would depend on to help achieve peace or safety on Earth will be as effective as the Bible claims Solomon was. In the book of Kings, we are told that “God gave Solomon wisdom and very great insight and a breadth of understanding as measureless as the sand on the seashore.” [1Kings 4:29]

I have no way of knowing why Solomon and the faction that was protecting him fell out of favor with God (or with his adversaries). I cannot think of a reason that would have prompted Solomon to be disavowed by God or his adversaries to turn away and rise against him except to suppose that he began to violate the principles or supplication that he pledged to remain faithful to during the prayers of dedication he offered when he inaugurated the temple that he constructed to house the Ark of the Covenant that he brought from Zion. [1Kings 8:27-52]

In other words, Solomon did not enslave any of the Israelites; instead, they served as his fighting men, government officials, officers, captains, and commanders of his chariots and charioteers [1 Kings 9:22]. However, the individuals who rebelled against Solomon were his adversaries, most notably a trusted official named Jeroboam [1 Kings 11:26]. This means that either Solomon started to violate the principles on which he was administering justice or Solomon pursued the same policies that the old powers (i.e., the families/dynasties who won WW2) adopted from 1945 to now.

For example, Western European countries fought during WW1 and WW2 to determine who would dictate or seek the other's opinion before taking action on their own. From the date when the United States obtained its independence in 1776 to when WWI and WWII were fought, the families/dynasties who controlled those Western European nations controlled the sea. We can say that the heirs of the

old powers controlled the United States throughout this entire time. In the middle of the 19th century, those families/dynasties who controlled the sea started to allow the United States to develop economically (skyscrapers, highways, industrialization, etc.) without factoring what implications its growth would have on cultural rivalry. The canvas that emerged in the first half of the 20th century supports that the decision of the families/dynasties who controlled the sea to make Europe preserve its heritage and allow North America to become the model for a new age helped the Americans to modernize and, therefore, live a better life.

Likewise, the canvas that emerged from 1945 to 2015 after the same families/dynasties who won WW1 and WW2 allowed the chieftains who helped them to defeat the Turkish Empire to establish absolute monarchs in the Arabian Peninsula without factoring what implications their decisions could have on cultural rivalry if those newly created monarchs become populated with skyscrapers, highways, and industries, thus making them appear to be the model for a new age as the United States appeared to be one from the middle of the 19th century to the middle of the 20th century does not seem to be any different. Indeed, the modernization (highways, skyscrapers, malls, industries) that exists in the UAE, Saudi Arabia, Oman, etc. supports that the “person” or the group of people who reasoned that power refers to trading the share of the money that the people who lived in those aforementioned countries were supposed to be getting from oil by building for them infrastructure that modernizes them was not factoring in the threat that this action (transformation) was likely to create for them in the long run, which we all see is the case right now.

I do not know if showing off capacity to control the money supply of the world or building space shuttles, weapons of mass destruction, or aircraft carriers and submarines serves as a proxy for visual flexing of economic and financial muscle or power as compared to showing off with simple modernization initiatives in transportation and communications technology such as highways, skyscrapers, tourism, and industries, which the senses can interrelate with, or if those constitutes true wealth, power, or prestige.

However, if we take the green belt perimeter that the families/dynasties who control Great Britain legalized around the city of London to prevent urban sprawl within this buffer zone and thus protect the towns and villages that are within this area from merging with each other and thus losing their identity to how the city of London could have changed if this green belt policy had not been enforced and this area had transformed into a booming metropolis as happened in Dubai, for example, we find that this strategy has produced nothing but cramming more and more Brits into adjacent small places. Most importantly, this strategy that the families/dynasties who control Great Britain adapted to keep a belt or a buffer around the city of London, which serves, among other things, to maintain an open space for security reasons, does not seem to have faded the ambitions of the poor in the country to reduce the disparity that exists between those who are living within the inner belt of the green belt zone and the ones who are living on the edge or the outside perimeter.

I do not know if this policy that the families/dynasties who control Great Britain adopted to trade building in the city of London mind-blowing structures so those skyscrapers serve as evidence of the sense about the power of this country on land in the same way this country argues its power extends in the sky and at sea. However, what I do know is that when a person is on the roof of a high-rise, he can see way farther as compared to a person who is standing on the ground. Likewise, since people live on land rather than at sea or in space, competition occurs on land, reflecting the rivalry for resources, territory, and influence that shapes societal dynamics. This means that the city of London can be the financial capital of the world²³¹ as some experts suggest but if its population continues to be crammed into small places while rent prices or the cost of living in London continues to go through the roof, the only choice that the families/dynasties who control Great Britain have would be to either build skyscrapers in the areas that they have designated as green zones or to demolish the skyscrapers that they have built for the chieftains who helped them to betray the Turks so they can put their own hand on the oil that exists in the Arabian Peninsula. That is, of course, if the families/dynasties who

²³¹ <https://www.theglobalcity.uk/global-financial-centre#:~:text=London%20is%20the%20world's%20most,to%20virtually%20every%20economy%20globally.>

control Great Britain do not want to do as the King of Spain²³² after his dependents forced him to abdicate and relocate to live in Dubai to escape persecution.

No one predicted when the Umayyad Empire collapsed in 750 AD that the Arabian Peninsula, which is basically a desert, would transform after 1100 years into a metropolis that currently rivals the cities that exist in the most industrialized nations and one in which the King of Spain would go to seek refuge.

In 2018, the families/dynasties who control Dubai built a structure in the form of a picture frame (known as the Dubai Frame)²³³ so that when a visitor stands on one side of the void and looks through, he could see the old city of Dubai (i.e., the past) and when he goes and stands on the other side of the void and looks through, he could see the new face of the city (i.e., the present).

There is an old Arabic proverb that states that “any society that hesitates on a matter will eventually miss out on something.” Therefore, the consequence of the decision of the families or dynasties that control Great Britain to refrain from transforming London into a landscape that celebrates Britain’s innovation and technological success on land in the same way this country has done in space and at sea can be corrected using two outcomes. The first is if Great Britain takes the next fifty years to morph the city of London into becoming a metropolis that connects the digitized or automated things that exist now to new magnificent architectural landmarks as exist in Dubai or as the families/dynasties who are controlling Egypt are doing after they decided to build a new capital to balance advances in transportation and telecommunication (modernization) with their need to pursue their self-interests. The second is if the families/dynasties that control Great Britain wage on the level of power of the people of Dubai or Egypt without their ambitions growing into a threat to their strength and security. This means that the choices that await the families/dynasties who control Great Britain to ensure that the continuous aspirations of their dependents to increase their happiness do not get in the way and

²³² <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/08/17/world/europe/king-juan-carlos-spain-uae.html>

²³³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dubai_Frame

corrode the class system or the way of life that the heirs of the old powers would like to maintain, so they have two choices. They [the families/dynasties that control Great Britain] consider moving to live in Dubai since it is the companies that they own that built this modern metropolis city. Alternatively, the families/dynasties who control Great Britain can try to count on overtaking Dubai and the other cities whose inhabitants are also competing against them to become the model for a new age.

Evidently, for either of the two aforementioned scenarios to yield results that allow the families and dynasties controlling Great Britain to maintain their influence despite opposition, the country's economic growth rates—developed with the heirs' of the old powers investments—must surpass those of other competing nations or families. In other words, the families and dynasties that control Great Britain would not give to any nation the blueprint for how to build an aircraft carrier or an atomic bomb; the heirs of the old powers can only use their money to develop cities similar to the one that exists in Dubai, but in the hope that an adversary would not emerge in any one of those new cities that would rival them for power.

In my opinion, only one strategy, when blended with the idea of digitization or AI, can ensure that the families/dynasties who control Great Britain become a permanent deputy of God on earth. This strategy would be based on stripping existing people, and then newborns, of any land rights, property rights, private businesses, etc. in favor of making all the amenities that a newborn extracts from all the material of terrestrial origin (water, food, and natural resources) that the individual finds in his environment, along with the manmade things that he or she creates from such materials, such as shelter, roads, airplanes, knives, furniture, clothes, etc., a commodity that a newborn would lease from the government or the authorities that would be administering the social order or all the things that a person needs to ensure their livelihood and not own, as the case is right now. For example, currently, governments, monarchs, and individual landowners claim total rights to all land within their borders, allowing land use by either granting legal titles for ownership or providing only tenancy rights to occupants. Under the new strategy, land would no longer be tended as it is currently, and an entity like the World Bank

(or the United Nations) would own all the land but lease the right to use it to newborns in exchange for payments made over a specified period.

I do not know if God knew in advance that when the world human population becomes large and everyone would be legitimizing natural and legal rights to protect the material interests from any scientific, literary, or artistic production of which he is the author, people's claims would crisscross, and therefore he decided to work around this gridlock by picking a group of people whom he called his chosen people and then seconding to them privileges that humanity is still entangled in resolving until now. However, if we consider that the majority of the conflicts and wars that people (nations) prompt or environmental degradation and climate change that people (societies) provoke are all due to people formally recognizing their rights to land rights or to the created things that get produced from such land tenure (i.e., the resources found on these lands), when newborns start to lease lands from the corporations or governments that administer different terrestrial ecosystems and not claim ownership or tenancy as the case is like right now, the social contract that would be legitimized would not be something that people could refuse to surrender freedom to and refuse to abide by its rules. In other words, when the fingerprints or irises of any newborn are encoded and used to control or regulate the actions that a person possesses the right to unlock or lock, people would no longer be able to depend on their personal power and conscience to obtain their way despite the resistance of others. The families/dynasties who control the algorithms that run people's biometric data can legalize the natural and legal rights that they see fit.

Once again, I do not know if the families/dynasties who control Great Britain and the rest of the existing nations (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) would be able to capitalize on the folly or ignorance of people (nations) to steer them toward accepting giving up being devoted to strengthening strong property rights, free markets, and private business, which are the three pillars that are important to any person to increase his happiness or any national economy to grow. Evidently, the biggest obstacle that the heirs of the old powers have to overcome to continue to get their way despite the resistance of others is going to center on how to make people (societies) agree to let them be the

custodians of the land's customary tenure systems or other ideas that they believe that with the help of AI or machine learning, which they control, they could manage to make people (societies) be tolerant, which is a prerequisite to making progress on the human rights and dignity ideas or economic development, environmental conservation, and climate change initiatives that they say are necessary to ensure lasting peace or cultural survival after the United Nations has spent the past seventy years teaching people why they need to stop being content with what they have to be devoted to eradicating poverty and injustice. In other words, the challenge that the families/dynasties who control Great Britain and the rest of the existing nations have to overcome when their power using AI and machine learning becomes powerful enough to control the world's land and natural resources will center on how to ensure that people (societies) would not be conspiring against them in the same way those people in antiquity did against the faction that was claiming that they were God's favorite people and, therefore, possessing rights to get their way despite the resistance of others. This is because those people who were in antiquity, claiming that they were God's chosen people, created a spiral of political assassinations and rebellions from which they never recovered. This means that when the heirs of the old powers start to legitimize the right that a person possesses to unlock or lock using AI or machine learning, their power would not be any different from the one that the Bible depicts God was exhibiting.

For example, a big portion of the Old Testament of the Bible is about God telling the Israelites who does what, who rules who, which road to take, where to camp, who eats what, etc., including even God fighting on their behalf. Presently, the families/dynasties who control Great Britain and the rest of the existing nations can use AI and machine learning to do exactly what God was facilitating for the Israelites. If all the stories of greed and lust for power that the Old Testament of the Bible state the Israeli kings displayed from Solomon onward until this clan became conquered are a conduct that the families/dynasties who control Great Britain and the rest of the existing nations, along with the faction that protects them, start to exhibit after AI and machine learning start to give them this power, then there is a good chance that they could be absorbed by a new expanding authority and killed or exiled and scattered as happened to the Israelites to this day.

Put differently, hence, it is no longer possible to expect that from the start of the 21st century onward people (nations) would be ready to tolerate that outcomes continue to be not shared on equal rights as was the case throughout the second half of the 20th century when it was mainly the families/dynasties that controlled the Scandinavian countries and western Europe that were investing in the stock markets of poor countries to supplement the money that they needed to increase the happiness and well-being of their citizens, including stabilizing the health of their national economies. After the United Nations started to ask people to stop being content and to be devoted to ensuring that poverty and injustice be eradicated on earth, there are only two choices to stop this violence that emerged in the world from continuing to get out of control: The first is that all the existing nations, rich or poor, stop investing in each other's economies to increase inequality, as they are doing, and instead go back to implementing the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed. The second choice is to increase death rates. The large number of bloody revolutions, coups, trade wars, etc. that emerged around the world post-1945 support all the injustice that has struck the world after the families/dynasties who won WW2 decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed in order to let the UN and standing governments that refuse to relinquish control to the voting public of the regime create the transformations called for in the UN charter.

I have no way of knowing which choice from the aforementioned two the heirs of the old powers will pick to ensure that their heirs would not become resized in the same way the Bible reports happened to the son of King Solomon after, according to the Bible, he did evil in the eyes of the lord [1 Kings 11:11]. In my opinion, if the heirs of the old powers want to prevent the power of their successors from being usurped as happened to the son of Solomon, they must ensure that whatever strategy they formulate produces results that make their confidants unwilling to betray them, their adversaries always willing to rescue them, the rich refusing bribes to strengthen law and order, and the poor ready to be tolerant.

I do not know how, in today's world, the families and dynasties that control the international order or the global money supply can ensure that people adhere to the aforementioned criteria, given that the United Nations has become an economic operator and many current governments are controlled by families and dynasties that refuse to relinquish power to the voting public. Nonetheless, considering that poverty has not yet debased the soul and wealth has not yet elevated a sleazy soul, it appears that the families/dynasties controlling the international order or the world's money supply (i.e., the families/dynasties who won WW2) have two options to continue their dominance despite the resistance of others. The first choice is to step back and implement the work program proposed by the founding fathers of the UN to ensure lasting peace, with the hope that, by leading by example, people will support their position as the sole deputy of God on earth. The second choice is to prevent a situation similar to what happened to King Rehoboam, the son of Solomon. In other words, the families and dynasties that control the international order or the global money supply need to wage war against AI and machine learning and to stop the United Nations, after having evolved into an economic operator that functions similarly to existing governments that strive for equitable outcomes without giving up control to the voting public, thereby ensuring they remain the only deputy of God on earth.

*Stumbling on Happiness*²³⁴ is a non-fiction book published by Daniel Gilbert²³⁵ (born 1957), an American social psychologist and writer, in 2006, in which he tries to suggest that humans inaccurately judge, among other things, what made us happy in the past, what will make us happy in the future, and even what is making us happy right at this moment. Gilbert says happiness is more about how we choose to see things than what happens to us.

For example, the Bible states that King Rehoboam, the son of Solomon, consulted the elders who had served his father during his lifetime on how they would advise him to answer the people who approached him to ask him to lighten the harsh labor and the heavy yoke that he had put on them so they would agree to serve him. [1

²³⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stumbling_on_Happiness

²³⁵ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daniel_Gilbert_\(psychologist\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daniel_Gilbert_(psychologist))

Kings 12:6] The Bible reports that the elders counseled Rehoboam to be a servant to the people when they come to see him, including giving them a favorable answer on whatever they ask for. [1Kings 12:7] According to the Bible, Rehoboam rejected the advice the elders gave him and consulted the young men who had grown up with him and were serving him. [1 Kings 12:8] The Bible states that the young men advised Rehoboam to answer the people the opposite of what the elders counseled him (i.e., harshly). [1 Kings 12:10] Indeed, Rehoboam's decision to listen to the young men who served him cost him dearly. The Bible recounts that his decision led to the downfall of the nation of Israel.

Indeed, Rehoboam's inaccurate judgment is supported by the reality that he and all the Israelites dealt with and continue to deal with to this day. I have no way of knowing how the heirs of the old powers envision the future or, more importantly, what roles they believe they will occupy in the scenarios they are creating. Then again, since I have no way of knowing what specific scenarios the heirs of the old powers are imagining for their heirs in the future, but it seems that they expect that their wealth be preserved at least, we can say that their preoccupation centers on how to ensure that people's continuous strive for happiness would not strip their heirs of the wealth that they accumulated for them, thus rendering them scattered as the Israelites became.

For example, in the book *Who Owns the World*²³⁶ published by Kevin James Cahill²³⁷ (born 1944), an Irish author and investigative journalist, in 2006, Cahill suggested that Queen Elizabeth II is both queen of 32 countries, head of a Commonwealth of 54 countries in which a quarter of the world's population lives, and most importantly, legal owner of one-sixth of the earth's land surface. Evidently, the Queen and her immediate heirs are not personally involved in determining who receives land in her vast dominion, unlike other monarchs who own large tracts of land, such as those in Saudi Arabia, Morocco, and Jordan. If we combine the land owned by the 32 reigning monarchs with the land owned by the Vatican and the land controlled by families or dynasties that govern existing states, it

²³⁶ <https://archive.org/details/whoownsworldsurp00cahi/page/n387/mode/2up>

²³⁷ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kevin_Cahill_\(author\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kevin_Cahill_(author))

becomes clear why humanity's actual footprint on the 37 billion acres of available land, as stated by Cahill, is only 1.5 percent.

Furthermore, hence, the ongoing debate that environmentalists seem to be waging about how people's activities are destroying the environment does not seem to be creating damage beyond the extremely small urban land area that Cahill claims that humans are carrying out their activities within the overall large land area available; it looks as if humans are prompted to give up their traditional way of doing things in favor of modernized methods to ensure that the technical progress that is continually created does not run to waste and not necessarily to protect the environment. This is because it makes no sense that this force that seems to have designed everything in the universe to work perfectly did not factor in how to control the damage that humans provoke on earth when all that this force wanted humans to be doing is be fruitful [Genesis 1:28] and, most importantly, create change in matter.

Humans built slaughterhouses to slaughter (butcher) livestock. Farmers, usually, bring their livestock to the slaughterhouse, but before their cow, lamb, ox, pig, chicken, bird, etc. are slaughtered, the animal is put first in a pen or parking lot to await its turn to be butchered afterward.

The Bible contains many verses about God claiming ownership of the universe and all the earth. [Exodus 19:5; Job 41:11; Leviticus 25:23] I do not know if God transferred, in the aftermath of WW2, to the families/dynasties who won this global war (i.e., the actual heirs of the old powers as of 1945) the freedom of deciding how humans should relate, in addition to his apparent non-objection to making them the largest landowners on earth. But if God did not task the families/dynasties who won WW2 to approve policies that aim to make people give up their traditions and values to be modernized, chances are that they could be working on gathering people in cities in the same way livestock are assembled in parking lots before getting slaughtered.

In other words, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to make the UN push people to give up their traditional way of living in

favor of becoming modernized, thus preventing the potential technical progress that they control from going to waste, they [the heirs of the old powers] could be altering the role that humans may have been programmed by this force that created the universe to serve on earth and even instructed that everyone without any exception be devoted to doing that, and that is to eat food from the sweat of its toil [Genesis 3:19].

Put differently, if the families/dynasties who won WW2 continue to make the UN push people to abandon living in rural areas or follow their own traditions and cultures to move to live in cities, thus becoming modernized and educated, they would be increasing the number of people who would be waiting in the pen just as livestock do before getting slaughtered. The only difference is that livestock were not programmed with the ability to commit acts of treachery when driven to slaughter or abuse, whereas humans possess that capability. Surprisingly, throughout history, the majority of those who deceived their masters were their servants, including close confidants, partners, and relatives.

Therefore, if the heirs of the old powers do not want their heirs to deal with a situation similar to the one that Rehoboam dealt with when he asked the Israelites to fight with him and his adversaries, they all backed down [1 Kings 12:24], or avoid being in a situation that Saddam Hussein found himself in in modern history when his military deserted so quickly in front of the coalition forces and did not put up any resistance as a gesture of loyalty to him or the country, only one conduct can spare them from reliving the same events. This is the conduct that Solomon was adapting to until he built the temple.

Once again, I do not know if the heirs of the old powers could build the social order that they conceptualized in the aftermath of WW2 (i.e., the work program that the founding fathers sketched for them) using AI and servants who are running (administering) the United Nations in the same way Jesus found people doing in the temple courtyard buying and selling, and while in each existing nation there are families/dynasties who are considering themselves like them, the deputy of God on earth.

In the Book *On The Genealogy of Morals*²³⁸ Friedrich Nietzsche²³⁹ (1844 – 1900), a German philosopher, uses Christianity as his primary example to support how the weak can chip away at the dominance and power of the strong. Nietzsche argues that slave morality can corrupt and oppress society just as effectively as Master Morality, which is the morality dictated by the strong.

I have no way of knowing if the mindfulness that the rich monarchs who are based in Western Europe mainly started exhibiting or spearheading at the United Nations in the aftermath of WW2 so all people (societies) become conscious about the need to share outcomes on equal rights is a tactic that they conspired to get people (societies) to stop being prepared to surrender freedom to their actual leaders to let the idea of psychological superiority resolve the idea of political superiority, as Nietzsche claimed that there is no exception to this rule, or if this tactic would eventually stifle from those rich Western European monarchs their power in the same way Solomon or the kings that succeeded him saw that their decision to assign wicked people in high places did bring them.

I have no way of knowing if those rich Western European monarchs decided to deviate away from the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed because they wanted to reset the political order so they could, through AI or machine learning, control the international order. To achieve this goal, the rich Western European monarchs need to, first, disengage people (societies) from continuing to remain faithful to classical political authorities. When the social order of the nations that the rich Western European monarchs wish to influence becomes chaotic, it becomes much easier for them to transform the political system from a stand-alone authority into one where information technology (IT) provides the necessary government services. Evidently, the application of information technology in government functions and procedures eliminates the need to continue to sustain feudal families/dynasties that control and operate stand-alone political systems that exist in many nations and simplifies governance until eventually connecting all humanity to a common political authority.

²³⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/On_the_Genealogy_of_Morality

²³⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Friedrich_Nietzsche

I have no way of knowing if connecting the nations to a common political authority for all humanity would restore democracy between people to its true meaning. In other words, I have no way of knowing if setting up a single polity with global jurisdiction would make people more willing to cooperate based on the principles set forth in the UN Charter when rivalry appears to be natural. Simply put, it would be hard to imagine how, when information and communication technology start to simplify governance, people (societies) would start to be ready “to practice tolerance and to live together in peace with one another as good neighbors”²⁴⁰ if right after humans’ needs for food and mates are met, people begin to rival each other for wealth, power, prestige, and fame. Put differently, if humans are inherently biased, as Nassim Taleb²⁴¹ (born 1960), Lebanese-American essayist and scholar, states in his book *Antifragile: Things that Gain From Disorder*,²⁴² and therefore, it is very difficult to count on the mind to predict events, any efforts intended to normalize or fit relations under a rule (curve) should increase entropy (fragility) rather than diminish it. In other words, it does not matter what class of random events, errors, and volatility people employ to reduce uncertainty; humans will still be influenced by their inherent biases. This is because there is no difference between letting a person use his own mind to change matter and letting AI or machine learning grant the right to a person to change matter or effectuate an action, given that the algorithm that is used in AI or machine learning was programmed by the mind. Hence, humans are not able to dislodge from themselves their inherent biases or natural distinctions, the more people are driven to improve their awareness, the more their insistence on outcomes becoming shared on equal rights grows. Actually, it may very well be that peoples were deliberately made from tribes and nations “to know one another,” as verse 49:13 in Surah al-Hujurat²⁴³ in the Quran states, and not necessarily to build and maintain a common political authority or to practice democracy. In fact, it looks as if the way the founding fathers of the UN counseled the families/dynasties to let existing nations

²⁴⁰ <https://www.un.org/en/sections/un-charter/un-charter-full-text/>

²⁴¹ https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL5lwhwpppROHd9FbfQRYs117lc8q9bk_G

²⁴² <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antifragile>

²⁴³ <https://corpus.quran.com/translation.jsp?chapter=49&verse=13>

determine their political status matches very closely with what this aforementioned verse in the Quran counsels.

Therefore, since it is not possible to know if the ideas that the families/dynasties who control existing nations (i.e., the heirs of the old powers) introduce to change the rule of the economy, such as wealth, tax, intellectual property, trade, and competition, etc., are intended to serve the maintenance of their [the heirs of the old powers'] strength or stability and not to give the rest of the families/dynasties that are acting like them as the deputy of God around the world excuses to become more powerful, it is highly likely that the solutions that the heirs of the old powers who control existing nations are continually coming up with to solve problems that the UN and the families/dynasties who are acting like them as the deputy of God on earth in existing nations are perpetrating could be encumbering their heirs in irreparable detriment.

In other words, if people around the world continue to believe that the idea proposed by the founding fathers after WW2, which aims to make all members of the human family cooperate in the economic field, is merely an excuse for the families/dynasties who won WW2 to pursue their pre-planned actions, they may begin to adopt strategies that deprive the heirs of the old powers of the faction of people who would be willing to fight and die for them.

There is an Arabic proverb that states that man has no power to change back three things to the way they were: words before being spoken, the passing of time, and trust if lost. I do not know if the heirs of the old powers could convince people (societies) to trust their future discourses on the importance of reaffirming faith in human rights and dignity, economic development, cultural survival, environmental conservation, and efforts to combat climate change to obtain the necessary political alliances or commitments that they need to spare the world from spiraling down to an abyss. But as more people's awareness rises, the more people become unprepared to accept that outcomes are shared on equal rights. This means that the more the heirs of the old powers scale up on AI or machine learning, the more frustrated people would become. Hence, the only choice that the heirs of the old powers have is to start to relax rules or to prioritize

domination to control law and order; this means that the more the social order shifts between liberal and conservative solutions, the more the failures will multiply, as Eric Hoffer²⁴⁴ (1902-1983), American moral and social philosopher, suggested in his book *The True Believer: Thoughts on the Nature of Mass Movements*.²⁴⁵

Michel Eyquem de Montaigne (1533 –1592), was one of the most significant philosophers of the French Renaissance.²⁴⁶ His massive volume *Essais*, published in 1588, contains some of the most influential essays ever written on human nature, from the profound to the trivial.²⁴⁷

Montaigne's introspection in *Essais* captures the Catholic and Protestant ideological tension²⁴⁸ that was prevailing at that time (15th and 16th centuries) in order to take the reader through logical steps to comprehend how this conflict divided the church into two factions, in which one faction was attempting to subvert church doctrine with its own reason and scholarship, while the other was embracing skepticism as a means to discredit all reason and scholarship. Indeed, the religious and political challenges that the Catholic and Protestant ideological tension and the different revolutionary waves that followed and occurred in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries (i.e., Atlantic revolutions)²⁴⁹ to spread liberal or republican ideals did cost the aristocrats, kings, and, in particular, the papal authority a lot.

There is no difference between the errors, abuses, and discrepancies that the heirs of the old powers and the families/dynasties that control existing nations are committing and those that the Catholic Church, aristocrats, etc., were defending throughout the second half of the second millennium.

It is highly unlikely that if the rich or the poor do not make concessions, as usually happens after each war or conflict between those two factions, the fixed formula summarizing core tenets that

²⁴⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eric_Hoffer

²⁴⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_True_Believer

²⁴⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michel_de_Montaigne

²⁴⁷ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Essays_\(Montaigne\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Essays_(Montaigne))

²⁴⁸ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reformation>

²⁴⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atlantic_Revolutions

each segment depends on to get its way despite the resistance of others, the historical dynamic would remain as the case has been throughout history. I believe that the work of Friedrich Nietzsche and the work of Eric Hoffer capture very powerfully the gridlock that exists between humans, which Montaigne never managed to find any certainty to resolve. For example, the war launched by nations that consider themselves the custodians of the free world at the start of the 21st century against Islamists advocating a return to scripture illustrates how both factions undermined the convictions of many people regarding humanity's commitment to practicing tolerance in order to achieve the goals outlined in the UN Charter. In other words, irrespective of how erroneous the conduct of the faction that is bunkering under the idea of human rights, environmental degradation, AI, etc., to get its way despite the resistance of others but without wanting to observe the principles set forth in the UN Charter might be, or how erroneous the people who are bunkering under the religious movement to get their way despite the resistance of others and also not observing the UN principles as well, it is difficult to not mistrust the certainty of both human reason and experience, as Montaigne, Hoffer, and Taleb to some extent argued. This means that if morality is a black hole, the heirs of the old powers could be committing political assassination if they believe that they could stand up against the power of morality. If this were the case, the world would have been peaceful since a long time ago. This also means that if the heirs of the old powers believe they can reduce people's ability to make their own choices while increasing the influence of AI or machine learning to compel obedience, they may be trapping themselves in the same historical patterns that have existed throughout history. Said differently, if humans are a species that, when they are dominated, become clever, and when they become powerful, become imprudent (or, as said by Hoffer, power corrupts the few... weakness corrupts the many),²⁵⁰ this means that the apparent natural rivalry that people exhibit could be entangling either faction [the strong and the weak] in a gridlock that only two conduits could spare either faction from its adverse effects. The first is if humans agree to stop distinguishing between what is right and what is wrong, as verse 2:17 in the book of Genesis in the Bible states, and go back to living as was the case before Adam disobeyed God. The second is if humans agree to

²⁵⁰ <https://www.unz.com/print/Reporter-1954jun22-00016>

cooperate or to observe the principles that the founding fathers of the UN counselled to avoid being compelled to disregard the resistance of others in order to obtain their way.

In simple terms, to enable the poor to respect law and order, the families and dynasties that control existing nations must first lead by example. Likewise, the heirs of the old powers must lead by example in order for the families/dynasties that control existing nations to respect law and order. To respect law and order, the heirs of the old powers must choose to serve good or evil. Additionally, the heirs of the old powers, along with their critical thinkers and servants (including governments and international institutions), have refused to accept the 1945 social order reset that promoted friendly relations; only two more resets are possible. The first is whether the heirs of the old powers reset history and religion. In other words, the heirs of the old powers would need to rewrite history and religion in a way that excludes any references to God, concepts of good or evil, social classes, or moral values, such as pride, honor, dignity, and the Ten Commandments, to prevent newborns from being influenced by these ideas and thereby giving them a reason to disobey. Alternatively, increase death rates or practice a genetic harvesting method or women's sterilization method to keep the world population under control. It is important to keep in mind that the objective of practicing any method that reduces the world population here is not to control rivalry but rather to slow down rapid liberal or conservative change.

Then again, hence man's power appears to be, as Montaigne suggested, finite and the truth infinite,²⁵¹ this means that any method that the heirs of the old powers pick from the aforesaid two to test if it could produce change in the social order will require that the poor accept to voluntarily or involuntarily surrender freedom to them [the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects].

However, the legality of any idea, dogma, belief, etc., appears to be a function of persuasion or conviction. This means that if, as Montaigne suggested, man is finite while truth is infinite and the heirs of the old powers and the faction that protects them want to continue to be using

²⁵¹ Screech, Michael (1983). *Montaigne & Melancholy: The Wisdom of the Essays*. Penguin Books. pp. 1-5.

their power as they have been doing since 1945 to persuade and convince people to surrender freedom to them but without leading by example, it is highly unlikely that mankind can achieve stability on earth that would go beyond the successes that John Humphrey Noyes²⁵² (1811 – 1886), American preacher and religious philosopher who founded the Oneida community, managed to achieve at the micro scale from 1848 to 1881; the Rashidun Caliphate²⁵³ from 632 - 661 AD at the regional level; and the United States at the continent level 1776 to 1939.

Furthermore, since the second law of thermodynamics states that things cannot become more ordered or less messy by themselves, and since 1945 the heirs of the old powers preferred to have the United Nations teach people to stop being content with their social standing in order to be devoted to ending poverty and injustice around the world instead of remaining faithful to the work program that the founding fathers of the UN proposed, this means that the awakening that this activism facilitated will make it highly unlikely that mankind can even be able to establish peace and security on earth that could even produce the results that Noyes, the Rashidun Caliphate, or the United States attained.

For example, historians suggest that Noyes was influenced by Charles Grandison Finney (1792 – 1875)²⁵⁴ American Presbyterian minister and leader in the Second Great Awakening. Finney has been called the father of modern Revivalism. Christian revivalism is increased spiritual interest or renewal in the life of a church congregation or society, with a local, national, or global effect.²⁵⁵ Noyes believed that there was an electrical divine energy connecting all people and that this energy passed through activism or social reforms.²⁵⁶

The American Civil War was fought from 1861 to 1865. It's unclear whether the fervor of the activism or social reforms of the Second Great Awakening was compiling uncertainty in the face of the socio-

²⁵² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Humphrey_Noyes

²⁵³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rashidun_Caliphate

²⁵⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles_Grandison_Finney

²⁵⁵ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christian_revival

²⁵⁶ <https://www.bbc.com/reel/video/p08sbbn2/oneida-the-free-love-utopia-that-chased-immortality>

political changes that the United States was tackling in the 19th century. However, what I do know is that as of 1945, the activism or social reforms (awakening) that the United Nations unleashed around the world have left only the dead remaining unprepared to suggest ways that can help mankind answer questions that pertain to existence. I recommend continuing to study the impact of this revival, especially in the aftermath of WW2, to understand its implications on the power, wealth, and status of the heirs of the old powers.